

POSTER PRESENTATIONS

every month during and after therapy. Each episodes of decompensation was recorded.

Results: Between April 2015 and June 2016, 41 patients with decompensated HCV-related LC with median baseline CTP score of 9 (range 7 to 13), CTP B/C (23/18), median MELD score of 14 (range 8 to 35), median liver stiffness median 40.7 kpa (range 17 to 75). Twenty (20), 12 and 9 patients were having MELD of <15, 15–20 and >20 respectively. Thirty five patient (87.5%) had genotype 3, four (9.7%) had genotype 1 and one (1.4%) each had genotype 2 and 4 infections. 37 patients were treatment naïve while 4 patients were treatment experienced (PEG-IFN-RBV failures). Between April 2015 and January 2016, SOF was used with ribavirin (RBV) for 24 weeks (n = 13). After January 2016, SOF-daclatasvir for 24 weeks (n = 16), SOF-DCV-RBV for 12/24 weeks (n = 7), SOF-ledipasvir for 12/24 weeks (n = 5). Thirty nine patients (95.1%) completed while 2 patients discontinued treatment due to worsening liver function. All patient achieved ETR response. 21 patient achieved SVR12 and SVR12 is awaited for rest of the patient, 70% (29/41) patient showed improvement of liver functions with improvement in MELD score by ≥2 points and CTP score ≥2 points at treatment completion or at 3 month after completion of therapy. Four patient required hospitalisation for worsening ascites during therapy or follow up.

Conclusions: In decompensated cirrhosis sofosbuvir and daclatasvir/ ledipasvir are safe and effective.

FRI-223

New direct action antivirals containing regimes to treat patients with hepatitis C chronic infection: first results from a national real-world registry of the Brazilian Hepatology Society

H. Cheinquer¹, H.S. Coelho², R.S. Aires³, E.D. Quintela⁴, C. Lobato⁵, J.E.M. Filho⁶, L.B. Lima⁷, L.A. Borba⁸, M.G. Pessoa⁹, G.F. Silva¹⁰, E.B. Hyppolito¹¹, C.P. Ivantes¹², R.F. Rezende¹³, C.B. Mello¹⁴, E.A. Lopes¹⁵, H. Sette, Júnior⁹, J. Lima¹⁶, A.P. Ferreira¹⁷, F.D. Souto¹⁸, F.V. Nascimento¹⁹, G.M. Gomide²⁰, I. Altikes²¹, P.A. Pinto²², M.A. Pedrosa²³, G.S. Pereira²⁴, A.C. Martinelli¹³, L.F. Gonçalves²⁵, R.L. Garcia²⁶, L.C. Mendes²⁷, F.P. Pessoa²⁸, M.G. Ferraz²⁹, D.B. Terrabuio⁹, N.A. Figueira¹⁵, P.A. Ferreira²⁹, R.P.F. Filho³⁰, R.A. Silva³¹, C.M. Rocha³², A.C. Lyra³³, C.V. Tovo³⁴, E. Strauss³⁵, A.S. Evangelista³⁶, E.R. Parise²⁹. ¹Universidade Federal do Rio Grande do Sul, Porto Alegre; ²Universidade Federal do Rio de Janeiro, Rio de Janeiro; ³Universidade Federal de Goiás, Goiás; ⁴Instituto de Infectologia Emílio Ribas, São Paulo; ⁵Fundação Hospitalar do Acre, Rio Branco; ⁶Universidade Federal da Paraíba, João Pessoa; ⁷Universidade Federal de Alagoas, Maceio; ⁸Ambulatório de Hepatites Virais – Município de Criciúma, Criciúma; ⁹Hospital das Clínicas da Faculdade de Medicina da USP, São Paulo; ¹⁰Universidade Estadual Paulista Júlio de Mesquita, Botucatu; ¹¹Hospital São José de Doenças Infeciosas, Fortaleza; ¹²Centro de Orientação e Aconselhamento – SMS/Curitiba, Curitiba; ¹³Hospital das Clínicas da Faculdade de Medicina de Ribeirão Preto da USP, Ribeirão Preto; ¹⁴Universidade Federal do Estado do Rio de Janeiro, Rio de Janeiro; ¹⁵Universidade Federal de Pernambuco, Recife; ¹⁶Universidade Federal do Ceará, Fortaleza; ¹⁷Universidade Federal do Maranhão, São Luiz; ¹⁸Universidade Federal de Mato Grosso, Cuiabá; ¹⁹Ambulatório de Hepatites Virais de Feira de Santana, Feira de Santana; ²⁰Universidade Federal do Triângulo Mineiro, Uberaba; ²¹Hospital Ipiranga, São Paulo; ²²Hospital dos Servidores do Estado do Rio de Janeiro, Rio de Janeiro; ²³Instituto de Neurologia de Curitiba, Curitiba; ²⁴Hospital de Bonsucesso, Rio de Janeiro; ²⁵Universidade Federal do Espírito Santo, Vitória; ²⁶Unidade Sanitária SMS do Município de Joinville – Programa Municipal de Controle de Hepatites Virais, Joinville; ²⁷Hospital de Base do Distrito Federal, Brasília; ²⁸Hospital Geral de Fortaleza, Fortaleza; ²⁹Universidade Federal de São Paulo, São Paulo; ³⁰Universidade Federal da Bahia, Salvador; ³¹Faculdade de Medicina de São José do Rio Preto, São José do Rio Preto; ³²Fundação Hospital Adriano Jorge, Manaus; ³³Hospital São Rafael, Salvador; ³⁴Irmandade Santa Casa de Misericórdia de Porto Alegre, Porto Alegre; ³⁵Hospital do Coração; ³⁶Hospital Albert Einstein, São Paulo, Brazil

E-mail: eymard.med@gmail.com

Background and Aims: Hep C treatment with new DAAs shows SVR rates above 90%. However, there is still a paucity of data in Latin America. Brazil Ministry of Health started DAA distribution in 2015. We report first results of a large national Real-World registry on Hep C DAAs treatment in Brazil.

Methods: Brazilian Society of Hepatology Registry Program is a multicenter observational cohort initiated in July 2015 which aims to include 5,000 HCV patients treated with new DAAs. Primary objective was SVR12/SVR24. 2763 HCV patients are already registered and 1523 completed treatment and follow up to assess at least SVR12 (1162 genotype 1, 33 genotype 2, 316 genotype 3, 9 genotype 4 and 3 genotype 5,6).

Results: From 1523 patients, 60.3% were male, mean age 58.3 ± 10.8 year, 47.3% were cirrhotic, 50.3% had a previous HCV treatment (20% with telaprevir or boceprevir), 7.9% were transplanted (80% liver), 3.3% were co-infected with HIV and/or HBV and 9.8% had decompensated cirrhosis. SVR12 for genotypes 5, 6 was 67% (2/3) and for the other genotypes is showed in Table 1 (ITT analysis).

Table 1:

SVR	Gen 1	Gen 2	Gen 3	Gen 4
Global	97% (1122/1162)	88% (29/33)	91% (288/316)	89% (8/9)
Cirrhosis	95% (508/533)	82% (14/17)	85% (144/169)	100% (3/3)

Table 2 showed the SVR12 rates for three main known regimen of DAA combination for treatment of HVC Gen 1 and 3 patients.

Table 2:

SVR	SMV + SOF	DCV + SOF	SOF + PR
Gen 1	96% (410/428)	97.5% (597/614)	100% (12/12)
Gen 3	–	91% (254/280)	94% (12/13)

SMV = simeprevir, SOF = sofosbuvir, DCV = daclatasvir, PR = pegylated interferon plus ribavirin

SVR observed with other less commonly used treatment regimens in patients with HCV genotype 1 were: SOF + R 83% (5/6), SOF + ledipasvir 96% (54/56) and Abbvie 3D 97% (45/46). No significant differences could be found in SVR according to ribavirin use or between treatment experienced and treatment naïve patients.

22 (1.4%) patients interrupted treatment prematurely for any reason and at least 5 of them achieved SVR. Four patients died and 8 developed signs of liver decompensation during treatment. 8.5% of patients had a serious adverse event (SAE) that resulted in treatment modification, mainly due to anemia associated with ribavirin use. HCC was diagnosed during or after treatment in 23 patients. Six of those had a previous HCC treatment (4 liver transplant, 1 resection and 1 TACE).

Conclusions: Preliminary results of HCV new DAA regimes in this cohort of mostly compensated patients showed a high rate of SVR and excellent tolerability in Brazil.

FRI-224

Ribavirin free versus Ribavirin containing therapy with all second generation direct acting antivirals for the treatment of hepatitis C virus genotype 1 infection; a pooled analysis of 4501 patients

H. Ahmed¹, A.I. Abushouk², A. Menshaw³, A. Attia³, A. Negida¹, S.A. Loutfy⁴. ¹School of Medicine, Zagazig University, Zagazig; ²School of Medicine, Ain Shams University; ³School of Medicine, Al Azhar University; ⁴Cancer Biology Department, National Cancer Institute, Cairo University, Cairo, Egypt

E-mail: hoseen011232@medicine.zu.edu.eg

Background and Aims: The addition of ribavirin (RBV) to second generation direct acting antivirals (DAAs) for the treatment of Hepatitis C virus (HCV) is still questionable. Hereby, we performed a meta-analysis of published randomized controlled trials (RCTs) to investigate whether the addition of RBV to the DAAs treatment regimens is beneficial for patients with HCV genotype 1 infection.