Contents lists available at ScienceDirect



Journal of Inorganic Biochemistry



journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/jinorgbio

Magnetic nanoparticles as a support for a copper (II) complex with nuclease activity



Maria A.S. Silva^a, Adolfo I.B. Romo^a, Dieric S. Abreu^a, Marta S.P. Carepo^{a,b}, Luis Lemus^{c,*}, Miguel Jafelicci Jr.^d, Tércio F. Paulo^a, Otaciro R. Nascimento^e, Esteban Vargas^f, Juliano C. Denardin^{f,g}, Izaura C.N. Diógenes^{a,*}

^a Departamento de Química Orgânica e Inorgânica, Universidade Federal do Ceará, Cx. Postal 6021, Fortaleza, CE 60451-970, Brazil

^b UCIBIO, REQUIMTE, Departamento de Química, Faculdade de Ciências e Tecnologia, Universidade Nova de Lisboa, Caparica, Portugal

^c Facultad de Química y Biología, Universidad de Santiago de Chile, Alameda 3363, Estación Central, Santiago, Chile

^d Instituto de Química, Universidade Estadual Paulista (UNESP), Rua Prof. Francisco Degni, 55, Araraquara, SP 14801-060, Brazil

^e Grupo de Biofísica Molecular Sérgio Mascarenhas, Departamento de Física e Ciências Interdisciplinares, Instituto de Física de São Carlos, Universidade de São Paulo, Brazil

^f Departamento de Física, Universidad de Santiago de Chile and CEDENNA, Av. Ecuador 3493, Santiago, Chile

^g Departamento de Física, Universidade Federal do Santa María, Santa María, RS 97105-900, Brazil

ARTICLE INFO

Keywords: Core-shell magnetic nanoparticles Copper complex DNA nuclease activity Reactive oxygen species Hydroxyl radical

ABSTRACT

Magnetic nanoparticles have been extensively explored for the development of platforms for drug delivery and imaging probes. In this work, we have used a modular capping strategy to produce magnetic gold-coated Fe_3O_4 (Fe₃O₄@Au) nanoparticles, which have been decorated with a copper (II) complex containing a thioether derivative of clip-phen ($Fe_3O_4@Au@Cu$), where the complex [Cu(2CP-Bz-SMe)]²⁺ has affinity to bind DNA and proven nuclease activity (2CP-Bz-SMe = 1,3-bis((1,10-phenanthrolin-2-yl)oxy)-N-(4-(methylthio)benzylidene) propan-2-imine). The functionalization of Fe₃O₄@Au with the copper complex occurs through the sulfur atom of the thioether moiety, as indicated by Raman scattering on surface. The magnetic measurements showed the nanomaterial Fe_3O_4 @Au@Cu is still magnetic although the gold shell and the functionalization with the copper complex have diminished the magnetization due to the dilution of the magnetic core. The nuclease assays performed with Fe₃O₄@Au@Cu indicate that the nuclease activity of the nanomaterial toward the plasmid DNA involves an oxidative pathway in which H₂O₂ species is involved as intermediate in a Fenton-like reaction. Based on the electron paramagnetic resonance spectra (a^N = 15.07 G, a^H = 14.99 G), such nuclease activity is assigned, essentially, to the HO· species indicating that the radical production property of $[Cu(2CP-Bz-SMe)]^{2+}$ is successfully transferred to the core-shell gold-coated Fe₃O₄ magnetic nanoparticles. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first study reporting nuclease activity due to the reactive oxygen species generated by a copper complex immobilized on a gold-coated magnetic nanoparticle.

1. Introduction

Fundamental and frontier knowledge of physics and chemistry have been used in a joint effort to synthesize nanometer-sized structures for imaging and therapeutic applications. In this context, magnetic nanoparticles coated with gold in a sort of core@shell nanostructure have been of great interest. Besides the stability enhancement provided by the gold shell, the biocompatibility and the easiness of gold modification have offered a sophisticate and wide range of functionalization possibilities going from the attachment of simple oxides to more complex organic and/or inorganic molecules depending on the desired application [1, 2]. Furthermore, the advantage that core@shell magnetic nanostructures can be guided toward specific targets by a magnetic field gradient is a highly desirable property, especially in biomedical applications [3, 4]. Since the late 1970s when Widder et al. [5] proposed the term "magnetic drug delivery" for magnetic nanoparticles functionalized with drug molecules, tens of thousands of publications can be found in the literature aiming, mainly, to reduce toxicity and adverse side-effects. In addition, a selective drug delivery platform would present a stimuli-responsive behavior for on-demand dosing. Currently, chemotherapy treatments are based on drug-DNA associations due to the role DNA plays at cellular level in the cell replication

* Corresponding author. E-mail addresses: luis.lemus@usach.cl (L. Lemus), izaura@dqoi.ufc.br (I.C.N. Diógenes).

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jinorgbio.2018.06.015

Received 28 January 2018; Received in revised form 17 May 2018; Accepted 24 June 2018 Available online 30 June 2018

0162-0134/ ${\ensuremath{\mathbb C}}$ 2018 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

and differentiation processes. The interaction of a given drug with DNA, in turn, can occur through the major or minor grooves with the formation of covalent bonds or by DNA degradation by reactive oxygen species (ROS) [6–13]. Coordination compounds of Cu and Mn containing phenanthroline (phen) derived ligands have been extensively studied due to the ability to degrade DNA either by intercalation and/or oxidative mechanisms [13–18]. A series of copper complexes containing tetracoordinating bis-phenanthroline ligands, named clip-phen (1,3-bis((1,10-phenanthrolin-2-yl)oxy)propan-2-amine), was developed by Pitié et al. and showed antitumor properties [14, 19–22]. The clipphen compounds are able to intercalate with DNA and to form copper complexes with nuclease activity about 60 times higher than [Cu (phen)₂]⁺ through multi-steps mechanisms involving oxidative pathways [23–26]. The nature of these ROS species, however, and the formation of intermediates are still under debate.

In our group, we have deliberately attached a thioether fragment to a clip-phen derived ligand, thus producing the Schiff base ligand 1,3-bis (1,10-phenanthrolin-2-yloxy)-N-(4-(methylthio)benzylidene)propan-2imine (2CP-Bz-SMe) to coordinate copper ions and also modify gold substrates. The design of [Cu(2CP-Bz-SMe)]²⁺ also took in account the positive net charge of the complex and the planarity of the phenanthroline moieties. While the latter feature favors the interaction with DNA through intercalation, the positive charge enhances the electrostatic attraction toward the polyanionic DNA. The inclusion of a complex into the topological domains of the double helix (intercalation or groove binding) is a desired condition for artificial nucleases operating via ROS generation, where the reactive hydroxyl radical (HO·) might be produced into close proximity with DNA thus causing damage. In addition, the inter-phenanthroline bridge in 2CP-Bz-SMe is flexible enough to stand the variations in the coordination geometry of the copper atom due to the changes in the oxidation states (Cu^{II}/Cu^I) in a Fentonlike reaction (tetrahedral for Cu^I and square-planar for Cu^{II}). The association between biochemical and electrochemical studies indicated that the ion complex [Cu(2CP-Bz-SMe)]²⁺ indeed degrade DNA due to the generation of HO· through a mechanism involving a Fenton-like reaction [17].

Have proven the ability of [Cu(2CP-Bz-SMe)]²⁺ to adsorb on gold solid substrate, this work intends to decorate magnetic core-shell goldcoated Fe₃O₄ nanoparticles (Fe₃O₄@Au) with [Cu(2CP-Bz-SMe)]²⁺ (Fe₃O₄@Au@Cu) to investigate whether the copper complex retain its ability to generate HO· upon the immobilization on the magnetic nanomaterial. Aiming to achieve this goal, a multidisciplinary effort is addressed connecting several techniques associated with material science, coordination chemistry and biochemistry. At first, the discussion will briefly focus on the functionalization of Fe3O4@Au with [Cu(2CP-Bz-SMe)]²⁺ thus forming Fe₃O₄@Au@Cu. Second, the magnetic properties determined from vibrating sample magnetometry (VSM) measurements of Fe₃O₄@Au@Cu will be compared to the parent sample to show that the functionalized nanoparticles still exhibit magnetic behavior. Finally, as the main goal of this work, electrophoresis and electron paramagnetic resonance (EPR) data will be presented to discuss both the nuclease activity of Fe₃O₄@Au@Cu and the generation of hydroxyl radical.

2. Experimental section

2.1. Chemicals and procedures

Deionized water (18.2 M Ω cm at 25 °C, Milli-Q) was used to prepare all aqueous solution. KH₂PO₄, (99%), K₂HPO₄ (99%) were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich and used to prepare the phosphate buffer solution (PBS) in a pH range adjusted with KOH (98%, Fisher Scientific) and HCl (99%, Tedia). Iron(III) acetylacetonate, [Fe(acac)₃] (99.9%), 1,2-dodecanediol (90%), oleic acid (99%), oleylamine (70%) and benzyl ether (98%), from Sigma-Aldrich, and gold acetate, Au(O₂CCH₃)₃ (99.9%), from Alfa Aesar, were used without prior purification. 1,10phenantroline, active neutral alumina (70–290 mesh), metallic sodium, 4-methylthio-benzaldehyde, 2-amino-1,3-propanediol, and deuterated tetrachloroethane ($C_2D_2Cl_4$) were purchased from Aldrich and used as received. Cu(NO₃)₂·3H₂O, from Merck, was used without any treatment. Methanol and dimethylformamide were dried over 4 Å molecular sieves for 72 h prior to use. Acetonitrile (chromatographic grade, from Mallinckrodt) was dried by heating to reflux over P₂O₅. Plasmid pBR322 was purchased from New England BioLabs and used as received. Catalase from bovine liver, p-mannitol, and superoxide dismutase bovine erythrocyte, all from Sigma-Aldrich, were used as received in the DNA cleavage assays, which were acquired in 10 mM PBS, pH 7.4. All other chemicals were of at least reagent grade quality and were used as received.

The Schiff base ligand 1,3-bis((1,10-phenanthrolin-2-yl)oxy)-N-(4-(methylthio)benzylidene)propan-2-imine (2CP-Bz-Me) and the [Cu (2CP-Bz-SMe)](NO₃)₂ complex were synthesized as previously described in the literature [17].

2.1.1. Synthesis of Fe₃O₄@Au NPs

Fe₃O₄@Au core-shell nanoparticles were synthesized by seedmediated growth approach, where Fe₃O₄ nanoparticles are employed as seeds for the subsequent gold coating in a two-step procedure following a protocol adapted from the literature [27]. First, Fe₃O₄ NPs (ca. 6 nm) were prepared according to the reported method [28]. Subsequently, the gold shell was grown on Fe₃O₄ (hexane suspension) by chemical reduction of Au(O₂CCH₃)₃ with 1,2-dodecanediol in the presence of oleic acid, oleylamine and benzyl ether, under argon flow, using different Fe₃O₄:[Au(O₂CCH₃)₃] molar ratios (1:1, 1:2 and 1:3). The reaction mixture was heated up to 190 °C (rate 1 °C/min) and kept at this temperature for 1.5 h before cooled down to room temperature. The process, as well as the Transmission Electron Microscopy (TEM) images along with the respective particle size distribution histograms (for 1:3 ratio), are shown in Fig. S1 of the Electronic Supporting Information (ESI). Fig. S2 of the ESI shows the standard XRD patterns for Fe₃O₄ and Au in conjunction with those obtained for Fe₃O₄@Au produced by mixing Fe₃O₄ with gold acetate in different molar ratios; all the samples exhibited diffraction peaks consistent with a gold face-centered cubic (fcc) phase. The XRD patterns showed a dependence on the amount of gold acetate going from the coexistence of both Fe₃O₄ and Au phases to no peak assigned to Fe₃O₄ phase in the 1:3 molar ratio.

2.1.2. Functionalization of Fe₃O₄@Au NPs

The surface functionalization of Fe₃O₄@Au NPs (1:3 molar ratio) with [Cu(2CP-Bz-SMe)]²⁺ complex ions was carried out by means of place-exchange method in which magnetic NPs originally dispersed in organic medium can be transferred to an aqueous medium [29]. In this case, the direct replacement of the capping agents (oleic acid and oleylamine) by [Cu(2CP-Bz-SMe)]²⁺ occurs due to the strong gold-sulfur bonding. In a typical procedure, [Cu(2CP-Bz-SMe)]²⁺ methanol solution $(5 \text{ mL}, 2.4 \text{ mg mL}^{-1})$ was slowly added to the hydrophobic Fe₃O₄@Au NPs hexane suspension (10 mL, 2.3 mg mL^{-1}) into the 50 mL centrifuge tube, under argon flow. The biphasic mixture was placed in a water bath ultrasonicator for 20 min and then it was vigorously stirred (170 rpm) at room temperature for 24 h. The Fe₃O₄@Au@Cu NPs were separated by centrifugation at 6000 rpm for 20 min. The precipitated product was firstly rinsed with hexane to remove the capping agents and, subsequently, with methanol and water to remove the non-immobilized [Cu(2CP-Bz-SMe)]²⁺ species. The washing process was repeated until the supernatant was clear and the UV-vis spectrum presented no absorption bands that could be assigned to the complex. At each washing step, the particle suspension was vortexed (5 min) and centrifuged (6000 rpm for 20 min). The successful functionalization of Fe₃O₄@Au was visually indicated by obtaining a blue suspension in water. Each step of the production of the core-shell gold-coated Fe₃O₄ nanoparticles decorated with [Cu(2CP-Bz-SMe)]²⁺ was followed by TEM (see Fig. S1 of the ESI). As can be ascertained

from the histograms, the gold coating of Fe₃O₄ nanoparticles implies an average size increase from 6.4 \pm 0.7 to 8.6 \pm 1.8 nm, no further size increase is observed upon the immobilization of the copper complex.

2.1.3. Plasmid pBR322 cleavage assays

Reactions using supercoiled plasmid pBR322 (BioLabs) were performed in 22 uL of 10 mM phosphate buffer solution pH 7.4. The DNA and Fe₂O₄@Au@Cu concentrations were kept constant for all reactions at 6.8 ng mL⁻¹ and 0.807 mg mL⁻¹, respectively. A final concentration of 15 µM for ascorbic acid was used for the reactions containing this reagent. Scavengers, catalase (100 μ g mL⁻¹), p-mannitol (50 mM), and superoxide dismutase (SOD) (100 μ g mL⁻¹), were used in separate reactions containing the plasmid pBR322, Fe₃O₄@Au@Cu and ascorbic acid, aiming to identify the nature of the generated reactive oxygen species (ROS). H₂O₂ (3 mM final concentration) was also incubated with Fe₃O₄@Au@Cu and DNA in the absence of reducing agents as well as in the presence of D-mannitol (50 mM). All reactions were incubated for 30 min at room temperature. Following the incubation, 4 mL of $6 \times$ DNA loading dye (Biolabs) were added to the reaction mixture and the samples were immediately loaded on a 0.8% agarose gel in TEA buffer pH 8.0 (40 mM, 2-amino-2-hydroxymethyl-propane-1,3-diol, 20 mM acetic acid, 1 mM EDTA) and electrophoresed in a horizontal electrophoresis system (Bio-Rad) at 70 V. After electrophoresis, the gels were stained with GelRedTM (Biotium, Inc.) for 30 min and the images were acquired with a Gel Doc XR + system (Bio-Rad) using the Quantity One 1D analysis software.

2.1.4. EPR measurements

To an aerated aqueous suspension containing Fe₃O₄@Au@Cu, H₂O₂ was added to a final concentration of 30 μ M and allowed to react for 2 min with previous addition of DMPO (5,5-Dimethyl-1-pyrroline-*N*-oxide, final concentration of 100 μ M). The reaction mixture was centrifuged at 5000 rpm for 2 min and a 150 μ L aliquot was extracted and transferred to the quartz cell of the EPR equipment.

2.2. Apparatus

X-ray powder diffraction (XRD) characterization was carried out on a Rigaku Rotaflex RU - 200B X-ray diffractometer with CuK α radiation ($\lambda = 1.5418$ Å) in the 2 θ range from 30 to 90°. Transmission Electron Microscopy (TEM) micrographs were taken using a HITACHI HT7700 transmission electron microscope operating at 120 kV. Samples were prepared for TEM analysis by dropping NPs suspensions onto carboncoated copper grids (300-mesh) followed by drying at room temperature. Size analysis was performed on captured digital images using ImageJ 1.45s software. For each sample, the average particle size and size distribution was calculated from the diameters of at least 100 particles randomly selected from the TEM micrographs. The chemical composition was investigated by scanning electron microscopy with energy-dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (SEM-EDS) using a Quanta 450 FEG-FEI scanning electron microscope equipped with an EDS Bruker QUANTAX system coupled to the SEM microscope, using an acceleration voltage of 20 kV. The SEM-EDS analysis was performed on the powder samples mounted on the aluminum pin stubs using carbon conductive tapes and without the sputtering of another material. The Fe, Au and Cu contents were determined by inductively coupled plasma with optical emission spectroscopy (ICP-OES) by using a Spectro Arcos spectrometer. Previously, the NPs samples were digested in concentrated aqua regia at 50 °C, and then diluted with Milli-Q water. The magnetic properties of the NPs samples were measured using a vibrating sample magnetometer (5 T-VSM from Cryogenic Ltd.) with a maximum applied field of 50 kOe and a temperature range of 5 to 300 K. Magnetization values were normalized and reported as emu per gram of sample. Electronic spectra in the ultraviolet and visible (UV-Vis) regions were acquired using a Cary 5000 UV/vis/NIR spectrophotometer. Normal Raman and Surface-Enhanced Raman Scattering (SERS) spectra were acquired on a Renishaw inVia confocal Raman system (controlled by WiRE 3.4 software) coupled to a Leica DM 500 microscope. The spectrometer was equipped with 785 nm diode laser (300 mW) with a spectral resolution of $\sim 1 \text{ cm}^{-1}$. A 50 × objective lens (~1 μ m laser spot size) was used to focus the laser beam and to collect the Raman signal. EPR measurements were carried out on a Varian E-109 spectrometer operating at the X-band. Measurement conditions: center field 339 mT, sweep field 10 mT, sweep time 60 s, MW power 20 mW, gain 2.0×10^3 , modulation amplitude 0.05 mT, modulation frequency 100 kHz, time constant: 0.064 s, MW frequency: 9.50390 GHz. Spectral simulations from which the hyperfine coupling constants were obtained were performed by using the EasySpin program [30].

3. Results and discussion

The incorporation of $[Cu(2CP-Bz-SMe)]^{2+}$ onto Fe_3O_4 @Au was achieved by place-exchange method in which the magnetic core Fe_3O_4 is firstly recovered with a gold shell which, in turn, is functionalized with the molecules of the copper complexes. Both the steps of the formation of the gold shell and the functionalization with the complex were performed by the displacement of the surfactant molecules, as illustrated in Scheme 1.

As a consequence of the functionalization with the hydrophilic charged Cu(II) complex, it was observed a decrease in the hydrophobicity of Fe₃O₄@Au that made possible the dispersion of the nanomaterial in water. SEM-EDS analysis (Fig. S3(A) of the ESI) show emission peaks assigned to O, Fe, Au and Cu elements indicating the incorporation of the copper complex on Fe₃O₄@Au, based on the assumption that [Cu(2CP-Bz-SMe)]²⁺ is the only source of Cu atoms. The



Scheme 1. Representative illustration showing the formation of $Fe_3O_4@Au$ and its functionalization with $[Cu(2CP-Bz-SMe)]^{2+}$ (inset: magnified planar structure of the complex). Images are out of scale.



Fig. 1. Magnetic hysteresis loops at 300 K (A) and 5 K (B), y-axes are in emu per gram of sample. Zero-field cooled/field cooled (ZFC/FC) magnetization curves (C) at 0.01 T from 5 to 300 K of (i) Fe_3O_4 @Au and (iii) Fe_3O_4 @Au@Cu. Inset in panels (A) and (B): magnified view of the small field region from -0.1 to 0.1 T.

corresponding elemental mapping (Fig. S3(B) of the ESI) indicates the O, Fe, Au and Cu signatures of the functionalized core-shell structure and, also, the homogeneous distribution of the complex meaning the different phases are coexisting on the selected area of Fe₃O₄@Au@Cu shown in the SEM image. The presence of the elements Fe (5.69 mg L⁻¹, 7.11 wt%), Au (59.50 mg L⁻¹, 74.38 wt%), and Cu (0.22 mg L⁻¹, 0.28 wt%) was confirmed by ICP-OES analysis after aqua regia digestion of Fe₃O₄@Au@Cu. Accordingly, the corresponding copper loading was found to be 43.28 µmol g⁻¹.

The magnetic properties of the core-shell and functionalized nanoparticles were determined from VSM experiments and compared with those of the Fe_3O_4 parent sample. Fig. 1 shows the magnetization in function of the applied magnetic field and the dependence of the magnetic susceptibility on the temperature.

In comparison to Fe_3O_4 (52 emu/g), the drastic reduction of the saturation magnetization (M_s) observed for $Fe_3O_4@Au$ (8 emu/g) and $Fe_3O_4@Au@Cu$ (6 emu/g) in the magnetic hysteresis curves at 300 K is assigned to the dilution effect due to the incorporation of the non-magnetic masses of the Au shell and copper complex. Near the zero-magnetization region (inset in Fig. 1A) it can be seen all samples present superparamagnetic state at room temperature. On the other hand, at 5 K, all samples present a hysteretic behavior (inset in Fig. 1B) indicating the nanoparticles are blocked at low temperatures. The increase observed for the M_s values at 5 K, in comparison to that at 300 K, is assigned to a more ordered spin structure due to the relative low thermal fluctuation and an enhancement of the magnetic anisotropy [31]. Table 1 summarizes the magnetic properties obtained for the nanoparticles studied in this work.

Fig. 1 C shows the temperature dependence of magnetization measured at 0.01 T in ZFC (zero-field cooled) and FC (field cooled) modes from 5 to 300 K. The average blocking temperatures (T_B) values, which correspond to the temperature that separates the unblocked from

Table 1 Magnetic properties determined for Fe_3O_4 , $Fe_3O_4@Au$ and $Fe_3O_4@Au@Cu$ at 300 and 5 K.

Sample	Magnetic properties				
	T _B (K)	${\rm M_S}^{\rm 300K}$ (emu/g)	${\rm M_S}^{\rm 5K}$ (emu/ g)	H _C ^{300K} (T)	H _C ^{5K} (T)
Fe ₃ O ₄ Fe ₃ O ₄ @Au Fe ₃ O ₄ @Au@Cu	30 140 160	52 8 6	60.82 8.95 6.44	0.0039 0.0038 0.0039	0.0098 0.0212 0.0096

the blocked state, were measured from the maximum of the ZFC magnetization curve. T_B values were observed at 30, 140 and 160 K for Fe₃O₄, Fe₃O₄@Au and Fe₃O₄@Au@Cu, respectively. At high temperatures, above T_B , the unblocked state can be seen while below T_B , all samples are in the blocked state behaving like conventional ferromagnetic materials, in accordance with the hysteresis loops.

The increase in T_B observed for Fe₃O₄@Au and Fe₃O₄@Au@Cu is assigned to the increase of the coupling of the magnetic dipole moments of the magnetic cores of neighboring nanoparticles [32]. Such effect is likely due to the decrease of the inter-particle spacing. We hypothesize two factors may explain such effect in the inter-particle distance: (i) the replacement of the surfactant species (~30 Å) by the smaller molecules of the copper complex (~15 Å); (ii) the possible formation of polynuclear interconnection among the complexes of neighboring functionalized nanoparticles.

The UV–Vis spectrum of Fe₃O₄@Au@Cu (Fig. S4 of the ESI) presents the characteristic bands of the copper complex assigned to the intraligand $\pi^* \leftarrow \pi$ transitions of 2CP-Bz-SMe [33], in the region from 250 to 450 nm. A broad band assigned to the surface plasmon (SP) absorption of gold is observed with maximum at 620 nm indicating the immobilization of the copper complex on Fe₃O₄@Au. The SP absorption presents a red shift in comparison to the spectrum of Fe₃O₄@Au ($\lambda = 525$ nm) indicating spatial closeness after the immobilization of the copper complex. This result is in agreement with the decrease in the inter-particle spacing, since it enhances the coupling of the localized surface plasmons implying a red shift of the SP band [34]. The SERS spectra obtained for Fe₃O₄@Au@Cu (Fig. S4 of the ESI) presents, as the most intense peak, the stretching mode assigned to the CS bond at 724 cm⁻¹ [35–37], indicating the gold-coated Fe₃O₄ nanoparticles was successfully modified with the copper complex *via* the sulfur atom of the thioether moiety; a result that was indeed expected due to the known affinity between gold and sulfur atoms [17, 38–41].

Despite the extremely restrained diffusion of HO· radical in physiological medium (no longer than 50 Å) [42], nuclease assays have shown that the reduction of [Cu(2CP-Bz-SMe)]²⁺ in the presence of dioxygen induces the degradation of DNA due to the generation of HO. [17]. Such behavior has been assigned to the structural features of the complex, mainly the phenanthroline moiety, that allow a close approach to DNA making operative the nuclease action of HO· radical [14, 17, 43]. It is not straightforward, however, to conclude that this property could be transferred to the nanoparticles. On the other hand, in functionalizing the NPs with the copper complex one should expect an increase of the local concentration of the complex which has the advantage of both increase the generation of HO· radical and the positive charge as well. In order to evaluate these assumptions, DNA cleavage assays were performed to investigate the nuclease activity of Fe₃O₄@Au@Cu and to compare with that presented by [Cu(2CP-Bz-SMe)]²⁺ in solution. The nuclease activity was followed by relaxation of the supercoiled circular plasmid pBR322 DNA (Form I) into the relaxed (Form II) through DNA agarose gel electrophoresis and the results are shown in Fig. 2. Catalase, p-mannitol and SOD were used as scavengers of reactive oxygen species (ROS) in the cleavage assays aiming to protect DNA from the nuclease activity of H2O2, HO· and O2· species, respectively.



As reported for the complex in solution [17], no nuclease activity is observed in the absence of the reducing agent AA (Fig. 2A, lane 2). After the addition of AA, however, the band assigned to the supercoiled circular plasmid is barely seen while an intense band corresponding to the relaxed form appears (Fig. 2A, lane 3) indicating the DNA degradation. The non-observation of linear plasmid suggests that the immobilization of the copper complex on the nanoparticle surface reduces its ability to interact at specific positions of the double-nicked linear form. In this way, the nuclease activity of $Fe_2O_3@Au@Cu$ is lower in comparison to the free complex, where there are not mobility restrictions and linear plasmid DNA was observed.

The cleavage assays in the presence of the ROS scavengers (Fig. 2A. lanes 4 to 6) showed that only catalase is able to protect DNA (Fig. 2A, lane 4) meaning H_2O_2 is involved in the cleavage mechanism. By accounting that H₂O₂ itself does not induce the plasmid DNA degradation, such species is probably taking part in the cleavage process as an intermediate. Also, it cannot be ruled out the presence of H₂O₂ as consequence of the autoxidation reaction of AA catalyzed by the copper complex in presence of oxygen. Previous works have indeed shown the enhancement of H₂O₂ production within the tumor by the addition of exogenous metal catalyst [44, 45]. For copper complexes containing phenanthroline derivatives, however, the non-protective effect of Dmannitol and SOD has been reported as "false negative" [46, 47], due to the combination of the intercalation ability with the high reactivity of HO· and O_2 ·⁻. The results presented in Fig. 2B were obtained in the absence of the reducing agent AA and show the DNA is equally degraded in the presence of Fe₃O₄@Au@Cu and H₂O₂ indicating the oxidative nature of the process. Aiming to further investigate if the activity of Fe₃O₄@Au@Cu is similar to that proposed for [Cu(2CP-Bz-SMe)]²⁺ in solution, EPR measurements were carried out. An aerated aqueous suspension containing Fe₃O₄@Au@Cu and H₂O₂ was kept under stirring for 2 min followed by the addition of DMPO, which is

 Fig. 2. Cleavage assays of pBR322 plasmid DNA

 (6.8 ng mL⁻¹) by Fe₃O₄@Au@Cu (0.807 mg mL⁻¹).

 A. 1. DNA (control); 2. DNA + Fe₃O₄@Au@Cu;

 3. DNA + Fe₃O₄@Au@Cu + AA;
 4. DNA +

 Fe₃O₄@Au@Cu + AA + 0.1 mg L⁻¹ catalase;
 5.

 DNA + Fe₃O₄@Au@Cu + AA + 50 mM p-mannitol;
 6. DNA + Fe₃O₄@Au@Cu + AA + 0.1 mg L⁻¹ SOD.

 B. 1'. DNA + Fe₃O₄@Au@Cu + 3 mM H₂O₂;
 2'.

 DNA + Fe₃O₄@Au@Cu + 3 mM H₂O₂ + 50 mM p-mannitol.
 AA = ascorbic acid, [AA] = 15 μM.



Fig. 3. EPR spectra of Fe₃O₄@Au@Cu in aerated aqueous solution containing H₂O₂ and DMPO. From (A) to (C): experimental, simulation and component 1 identified as DMPO—HO· adduct with 90% of abundance. (D) and (E) non-identified components with abundances of 6% and 4%, respectively (these spectra were magnified 10 times). Spectral simulations were made with the EasySpin program [30]. Measurement conditions: center field 339 mT, sweep field 10 mT, sweep time 60 s, MW power 20 mW, gain 2.0×10^3 , modulation amplitude 0.05 mT, modulation frequency 100 kHz, time constant: 0.064 s, MW frequency: 9.50390 GHz.

known [48] to form long-lived adduct with oxygen-centered radicals. After centrifugation, the reaction mixture was transferred to the quartz cell of the EPR equipment. The acquired spectra are shown in Fig. 3. The hyperfine splitting ($a^{N} = 15.07$ G, $a^{H} = 14.99$ G) observed in the EPR spectrum of Fe₃O₄@Au@Cu in solution containing H₂O₂ and DMPO indicates the presence of the DMPO-HO· adduct as major component (90%) thus virtually discarding the other possible ROS species ($O_2 \cdot \overline{}$ or HOO \cdot). The other components (spectra D and E) could be produced by HO \cdot radical reactions forming secondary species with abundances of 6% and 4%, respectively, and the following EPR parameters $a^{N} = 14.65 \text{ G}$, $a^{H} = 7.44 \text{ G}$ and $a^{N} = 14.65 \text{ G}$. Such result hints that the DNA degradation showed in the electrophoresis experiments is essentially due to the HO· species produced by Fe₃O₄@Au@Cu. Still more relevant is the finding that the radical production property of the [Cu(2CP-Bz-SMe)]²⁺ ion complex is transferred to the magnetic nanoparticle.

4. Conclusions

By means of a modular capping strategy we have produced a magnetic gold-coated Fe₃O₄ nanoparticle decorated with a copper complex that presented nuclease activity assigned to the release of hydroxyl radical. SEM-EDS, ICP, TEM and SERS demonstrated the Fe₃O₄ nanoparticles were fully recovered by gold and subsequently decorated with $[Cu(2CP-Bz-SMe)]^{2+}$ through the sulfur atom of the thioether moiety. The magnetic measurements showed the final nanomaterial Fe₃O₄@Au@Cu is still magnetic although the gold shell and the functionalization with the copper complex have diminished the magnetization due to the mass dilution of the magnetic core. The nuclease assays performed with Fe₃O₄@Au@Cu indicated the nuclease activity of the nanomaterial toward the plasmid DNA involves an oxidative pathway in which H₂O₂ species is involved as intermediate. EPR spectrum of Fe₃O₄@Au@Cu presented hyperfine splitting ascribed to the HO· radical, thus indicating this species is the responsible for the DNA degradation observed in the nuclease assays and that the radical production property of the [Cu(2CP-Bz-SMe)]²⁺ ion complex is transferred to the core-shell gold-coated Fe₃O₄ magnetic nanoparticles. So far, at the best of our knowledge, this is the first study reporting the nuclease activity induced by ROS produced by a copper complex immobilized on a gold-coated magnetic nanoparticle.

Abbreviations

2CP-Bz-SI	Me 1,3-bis((1,10-phenanthrolin-2-yl)oxy)- <i>N</i> -(4-(methylthio)		
benzylidene)propan-2-imine			
AA	ascorbic acid		
clip-phen 1,3-bis((1,10-phenanthrolin-2-yl)oxy)propan-2-amine			
DMPO	5,5-dimethyl-1-pyrroline-N-oxide		
EPR	electron paramagnetic resonance		
FC	field cooled		
ICP-OES	inductively coupled plasma with optical emission spectro-		
	scopy		
PBS	phosphate buffer solution		
ROS	reactive oxygen species		
SEM-EDS	scanning electron microscopy with energy-dispersive X-ray		
	spectroscopy		
SERS	Surface-Enhanced Raman Scattering		
SOD	superoxide dismutase		
SP	surface plasmon		
T _B	blocking temperature		
TEA	triethanolamine		
TEM	Transmission Electron Microscopy		
VSM	vibrating sample magnetometry		
XRD	X-ray powder diffraction		
ZFC	zero-field cooled		
Acknowledgment			

I. Diógenes (# 307078/2017-5), M. Jafelicci-Jr (# 309836/2013-1) and D. Abreu are thankful to CNPq and A. Romo (# 72170429) to CONICYT for the grants. E. Vargas and J. C. Denardin thanks to Basal FB0807. All authors are thankful to CNPq, CAPES, FUNCAP and FONDECYT (# 11130172) for financial support. The authors are grateful to Professor M. L. A. Temperini for the acquisition of Raman and SERS spectra at São Paulo University.

Statement of contributions

Maria A. S. Silva and Adolfo I. B. Romo contributed equally to this work; the former with the synthesis and characterization of the magnetic nanoparticles and the latter with the preparation of the copper complex and EPR studies. Miguel Jafelicci-Jr contributed to the synthesis and characterization of the magnetic nanoparticles. Dieric S. Abreu and Tércio F. Paulo were responsible for the acquisition and interpretation of SERS spectra. Otaciro R. Nascimento and Marta S. Carepo were responsible for the acquisition and interpretation of EPR data. Marta S. Carepo contributed with the nuclease assays. Esteban Vargas and Juliano Denardin contributed with the magnetic measurements of the functionalized nanoparticles. Luis Lemus was responsible of the design and synthesis of the complex and revision of the manuscript. Izaura C. N. Diógenes besides being the supervisor of Maria A. S. Silva, Adolfo I. B. Romo and Dieric S. Abreu was responsible for writing the manuscript.

Appendix A. Supplementary data

Electronic Supplementary Information (ESI) available: [TEM and SEM images, XRD patterns, UV–Vis, SERS, Raman and EDS spectra]. Supplementary data associated with this article can be found in the online version, at https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jinorgbio.2018.06.015.

References

^[1] P. Liu, R. Qin, G. Fu, N. Zheng, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 139 (2017) 2122-2131.

- [2] E.R. Kay, Chem. Eur. J. 22 (2016) 10706-10716.
- [3] R. Sensenig, Y. Sapir, C. MacDonald, S. Cohen, B. Polyak, Nanomedicine 7 (2012) 1425–1442.
- [4] R. Hao, R. Xing, Z. Xu, Y. Hou, S. Gao, S. Sun, Adv. Mater. 22 (2010) 2729-2742.
- [5] A. Senyei, K. Widder, G. Czerlinski, J. Appl. Phys. 49 (1978) 3578-3583.
- [6] N. Ma, A. van der Vaart, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 138 (2016) 9951-9958.
- [7] T. Moulaei, T. Maehigashi, G.T. Lountos, S. Komeda, D. Watkins, M.P. Stone,
- L.A. Marky, J.-S. Li, B. Gold, L.D. Williams, Biochemistry 44 (2005) 7458–7468.
 [8] D.H. Nguyen-Hackley, E. Ramm, C.M. Taylor, J.K. Joung, P.B. Dervan, C.O. Pabo, Biochemistry 43 (2004) 3880–3890.
- [9] S. Wang, M. Munde, S. Wang, W.D. Wilson, Biochemistry 50 (2011) 7674-7683.
- [10] K. Sato, K. Kawamoto, S. Shimamura, S. Ichikawa, A. Matsuda, Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett. 26 (2016) 5395–5398.
- [11] M. Ahamed, M.J. Akhtar, M.A.M. Khan, H.A. Alhadlaq, A. Alshamsan, Colloids Surf. B 148 (2016) 665–673.
- [12] W. Chen, P. Zou, Z. Zhao, X. Chen, X. Fan, R. Vinothkumar, R. Cui, F. Wu, Q. Zhang, G. Liang, J. Ji, Redox Biol. 10 (2016) 78–89.
- [13] M. Wehbe, A. Leung, M.J. Abrams, C. Orvig, M. Bally, Dalton Trans. 46 (2017) 10758–10773.
- [14] M. Pitié, G. Pratviel, Chem. Rev. 110 (2010) 1018-1059.
- [15] E. Gao, Y. Ding, N. Sun, S. Zhang, X. Qiu, Y. Zhan, M. Zhu, Appl. Organomet. Chem. 31 (2017) (e3575-n/a).
- [16] C. Acilan, B. Cevatemre, Z. Adiguzel, D. Karakas, E. Ulukaya, N. Ribeiro, I. Correia, J.C. Pessoa, Biochim. Biophys. Acta Gen. Subj. 1861 (2017) 218–234.
- [17] A.I.B. Romo, D.S. Abreu, T.F. Paulo, M.S.P. Carepo, E.H.S. Sousa, L. Lemus, C. Aliaga, A.A. Batista, O.R. Nascimento, H.D. Abruña, I.C.N. Diógenes, Chem. Eur. J. 22 (2016) 10081–10089.
- [18] N.R. Angel, R.M. Khatib, J. Jenkins, M. Smith, J.M. Rubalcava, B.K. Le, D. Lussier, Z. Chen, F.S. Tham, E.H. Wilson, J.F. Eichler, J. Inorg. Biochem. 166 (2017) 12–25.
- M. Pitié, B. Donnadieu, B. Meunier, Inorg. Chem. 37 (1998) 3486–3489.
 M. Pitié, J.D. Van Horn, D. Brion, C.J. Burrows, B. Meunier, Bioconjug. Chem. 11
- (2000) 892–900. [21] M. Pitié, C.J. Burrows, B. Meunier, Nucleic Acids Res. 28 (2000) 4856–4864.
- [21] M. Pitie, C.J. Burrows, B. Meunier, Nucleic Acids Res. 28 (2000) 4850–4864.[22] P. de Hoog, M. Pitié, G. Amadei, P. Gamez, B. Meunier, R. Kiss, J. Reedijk, J. Biol.
- [22] P. de Hoog, M. Pite, G. Anadei, P. Gainez, B. Meuner, R. Riss, J. Reedij Inorg. Chem. 13 (2008) 575–586.
- [23] M. Pitié, B. Meunier, Bioconjug. Chem. 9 (1998) 604-611.
- [24] B.R. James, R.J.P. Williams, J. Chem. Soc. (1961) 2007-2019.
- [25] S. Kim, J.W. Ginsbach, J.Y. Lee, R.L. Peterson, J.J. Liu, M.A. Siegler, A.A. Sarjeant, E.I. Solomon, K.D. Karlin, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 137 (2015) 2867–2874.
- [26] S. Kim, J.Y. Lee, R.E. Cowley, J.W. Ginsbach, M.A. Siegler, E.I. Solomon,

Journal of Inorganic Biochemistry 186 (2018) 294-300

- K.D. Karlin, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 137 (2015) 2796-2799.
- [27] K. Yano, V. Nandwana, G.S. Chaubey, N. Poudyal, S. Kang, H. Arami, J. Griffis, J. Liu, J. Phys. Chem. C 113 (2009) 13088–13091.
- [28] S. Sun, H. Zeng, D.B. Robinson, S. Raoux, P.M. Rice, S.X. Wang, G. Li, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 126 (2004) 273–279.
- [29] H.G. Bagaria, E.T. Ada, M. Shamsuzzoha, D.E. Nikles, D.T. Johnson, Langmuir 22 (2006) 7732–7737.
- [30] S. Stoll, A. Schweiger, J. Magn. Reson. 178 (2006) 42-55.
- [31] S.S. Pati, L.H. Singh, E.M. Guimarães, J. Mantilla, J.A.H. Coaquira, A.C. Oliveira, V.K. Sharma, V.K. Garg, J. Alloys Compd. 684 (2016) 68–74.
- [32] D. Peddis, F. Orrù, A. Ardu, C. Cannas, A. Musinu, G. Piccaluga, Chem. Mater. 24 (2012) 1062–1071.
- [33] R.A. Rader, D.R. McMillin, M.T. Buckner, T.G. Matthews, D.J. Casadonte, R.K. Lengel, S.B. Whittaker, L.M. Darmon, F.E. Lytle, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 103 (1981) 5906–5912.
- [34] M.-C. Daniel, D. Astruc, Chem. Rev. 104 (2004) 293–346.
- [35] C.A. Szafranski, W. Tanner, P.E. Laibinis, R.L. Garrell, Langmuir 14 (1998) 3570–3579.
- [36] T.G. Lee, K. Kim, M.S. Kim, J. Raman Spectrosc. 22 (1991) 339-344.
- [37] G.A. Bowmaker, B.C. Dobson, J. Chem. Soc. Dalton Trans. (1981) 267–270.
- [38] H. Sellers, A. Ulman, Y. Shnidman, J.E. Eilers, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 115 (1993) 9389–9401.
- [39] I.C.N. Diógenes, I.M.M. Carvalho, E. Longhnotti, L.G.F. Lopes, M.L.A. Temperini, G.F.S. Andrade, I.S. Moreira, J. Electroanal. Chem. 605 (2007) 1–7.
- [40] T.F. Paulo, H.D. Abruña, I.C.N. Diógenes, Langmuir 28 (2012) 17825–17831.
 [41] T.F. Paulo, R.A. Ando, I.C.N. Diógenes, M.L.A. Temperini, J. Phys. Chem. C 117
- (2013) 6275–6283.
- [42] S. Joseph, H. Noller, Methods Enzymol. 318 (2000) 175–190.
- [43] C.H. Chen, D.S. Sigman, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 83 (1986) 7147–7151.
 [44] A. Tovmasyan, R.S. Sampaio, M.-K. Boss, J.C. Bueno-Janice, B.H. Bader, M. Thomas, J.S. Rebouças, M. Orr, J.D. Chandler, Y.M. Go, et al., Free Radic. Biol. Med. 89 (2015) 1231–1247.
- [45] M.K. Evans, A. Tovmasyan, I. Batinic-Haberle, G.R. Devi, Free Radic. Biol. Med. 68 (2014) 302–314.
- [46] S. Goldstein, G. Czapski, J. Free Radic. Biol. Med. 1 (1985) 373-380.
- [47] O.I. Aruoma, B. Halliwell, E. Gajewski, M. Dizdaroglu, Biochem. J. 273 (1991) 601–604.
- [48] C.L. Hawkins, M.J. Davies, Biochim. Biophys. Acta Gen. Subj. 1840 (2014) 708–721.