

Effects of different forms of soybean lipids on enteric methane emission, performance and meat quality of feedlot Nellore

Animal Research Paper

Cite this article: Silva RA, Fiorentini G, Messana J D, Lage J F, Castagnino P S, San Vito E, Carvalho I P C, Berchielli T T (2018). Effects of different forms of soybean lipids on enteric methane emission, performance and meat quality of feedlot Nellore. *The Journal of Agricultural Science* **156**, 427–436. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S002185961800045X>

Received: 14 September 2016

Revised: 26 March 2018

Accepted: 29 May 2018

First published online: 19 June 2018

Key words:

Beef cattle; glycerol; rumen-protected fat; soy bean; soybean oil

Author for correspondence:

G. Fiorentini, E-mail: fiorentini.giovani@gmail.com

R. A. Silva¹, G. Fiorentini¹, J. D. Messana¹, J. F. Lage¹, P. S. Castagnino¹, E. San Vito¹, I. P. C. Carvalho¹ and T. T. Berchielli^{1,2}

¹Department of Animal Science, São Paulo State University (Unesp), School of Agricultural and Veterinarian Sciences, Jaboticabal, SP 14884-900, Brazil and ²Department of Animal Science, INCT/CA – UFV, Viçosa, MG 36570-000, Brazil

Abstract

The aim of the current study was to evaluate the effect of different forms of soybean lipids on enteric methane emission, intake, performance, digestibility and meat quality of 40 young Nellore bulls (initial body weight (BW) 444 ± 10.2 kg and 24 ± 2.1 months). The dietary treatments were as follows: NF = no dietary additional fat (46.0 g ether extract (EE)/kg diet), SO = soybean oil (62.0 g EE/kg diet), SB = soy beans (without any processing; 62.0 g EE/kg diet) and RPF = rumen-protected fat based on soybean oil (62.0 g EE/kg diet). The intake of dry matter (DM), organic matter (OM), crude protein (CP) and neutral detergent fibre (NDF) was greater in the SO diet than those fed with NF. The SO diet decreased digestibility of DM, OM, CP or emission of enteric methane. The diets did not affect digestibility of DM, OM, CP or emission of enteric methane. Animals fed with SO had greater average daily gain and feed efficiency in relation to the other diets tested. The SO diet increased hot and cold carcass weights and subcutaneous fat thickness of carcasses when compared with the NF diet. The proportions of saturated and unsaturated, mono and polyunsaturated, and n-3 and n-6 fatty acids were not affected significantly by treatments. The SO diets were demonstrated to be more beneficial for animal performance compared with diets without supplemental fat.

Introduction

Changing meat fatty acid profiles in order to reduce the proportion of saturated fatty acids (SFA) is of paramount importance in the production of healthier meat for consumers (Ladeira *et al.*, 2014). Thus, improving the nutritional quality of beef is a key challenge for the beef industry. The current challenge of global livestock production is to increase the productivity and quality of the end product, such as milk and meat, and reduce environmental impact. Thus, an alternative suggested for use in livestock to mitigate methane (CH₄) emissions and improve meat quality is the inclusion of lipids in diets of ruminants (Cottle *et al.*, 2011; Moloney *et al.*, 2012). This strategy could be an attractive target for manipulation because the reduction of CH₄ is usually linked to increased productivity (Leng, 1993) and improvement in meat fatty acid profiles.

Soybean is one of the most commonly used lipid sources in ruminant diets in Brazil due to its availability, low cost and high nutritive content (Barletta *et al.*, 2012). Brazil is currently one of the world's largest soybean producers (USDA, 2018). The lipids present in soy beans contain approximately 850 g/kg of unsaturated fatty acids (UFA), especially oleic, linoleic and linolenic acids. The inclusion of lipid sources in cattle feed may increase the energy density of the diet, resulting in increased energy intake, decreased methane emission and modification of the fatty acid profile of meat from these animals (Fiorentini *et al.*, 2012, 2014, 2015a). According to Grainger and Beauchemin (2011), the addition of 10.0 g fat/kg dry matter (DM) in the diet reduces the emission of methane by 1.0 g/kg DM intake by cows. In contrast, the high quantity or availability of fatty acids in the rumen may interfere with rumen fermentation, causing adverse effects on the digestibility and intake of nutrients (Fiorentini *et al.*, 2015b). However, the effects of fat in the rumen, the digestion of diet components (e.g. fibre) and the deposition of fatty acids in tissues may vary greatly because they are determined by the degree of saturation and availability of fat in the diet, the amount of supplement provided and composition of the basal diet (Jenkins and McGuire, 2006).

Thus, the hypothesis of the current study is that the addition of different forms of soybean lipids in the diet could reduce methane emissions, increase animal performance and improve the profile of UFA in the meat and the response will depend on the form in which the lipids are fed. So, the objective of the current study was to evaluate the effect of different forms of

Table 1. Composition of the experimental diets

| Ingredients | Diet (g/kg on a DM basis) | | | |
|--------------------------------------------|---------------------------|------|------|------|
| | NF | SO | SB | RPF |
| Maize silage | 300 | 300 | 300 | 300 |
| Crude glycerine | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Ground maize | 479 | 457 | 465 | 454 |
| Soybean meal | 81.1 | 85.6 | – | 86.3 |
| Soybean oil | – | 17.3 | – | – |
| Soybean | – | – | 95.2 | – |
| Megalac-E [®] | – | – | – | 19.7 |
| Urea | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 |
| Mineral supplement ^a | 30.0 | 30.0 | 30.0 | 30.0 |
| Chemical composition | | | | |
| Dry matter | 619 | 620 | 620 | 620 |
| Organic matter | 954 | 955 | 956 | 945 |
| Crude protein | 160 | 160 | 161 | 164 |
| NDF | 293 | 286 | 296 | 286 |
| Ether extract | 46.0 | 62.0 | 62.0 | 62.0 |
| Total digestible nutrients ^b | 719 | 758 | 730 | 754 |
| Fatty acids (g/100 g of total fatty acids) | | | | |
| C10:0 (capric) | 0.15 | 0.11 | 0.11 | 0.12 |
| C12:0 (lauric) | 0.24 | 0.18 | 0.18 | 0.21 |
| C14:0 (myristic) | 0.10 | 0.10 | 0.10 | 0.11 |
| C16:0 (palmitic) | 13.7 | 13.0 | 13.1 | 14.4 |
| C16:1 (palmitoleic) | 0.14 | 0.13 | 0.12 | 0.13 |
| C17:0 (margaric) | 0.15 | 0.13 | 0.14 | 0.15 |
| C17:1 (heptadecenoic) | 0.03 | 0.04 | 0.04 | 0.03 |
| C18:0 (stearic) | 2.76 | 3.08 | 3.16 | 3.17 |
| C18:1n9c (oleic) | 33.4 | 31.9 | 31.7 | 31.1 |
| C18:2 n6,c (linoleic) | 45.6 | 46.3 | 46.4 | 43.8 |
| C18:3 n6 (linolenic) | 1.48 | 2.56 | 2.58 | 1.79 |

NF, no additional fat; SO, soybean oil; SB, soy beans; RPF, rumen-protected fat; NDF, neutral detergent fibre. The guarantee levels of the RPF (Megalac-E[®]): 850 g/kg ether extract; linoleic acid (C18:2): 450 g/kg; Linolenic acid (C18:3): 60 g/kg.

^aComposition of product expressed in g or mg per 100 g of supplement: Calcium, 210 g; Phosphorus, 20 g; Sulphur, 37 g; Sodium, 80 g; Copper, 490 mg; Manganese, 1.424 mg; Zinc, 1.830 mg; Iodine, 36 mg; Cobalt, 29 mg; Selenium, 9 mg; Fluorine (maximum), 333 mg.

^bEstimated by NRC (2001).

soybean lipids in diets on enteric methane emission, intake, performance, digestibility and meat quality of feedlot young Nelore bulls.

Materials and methods

The study was carried out at the animal nutrition experimental sector of the Departamento de Zootecnia at FCAV/UNESP, Jaboticabal, São Paulo, located at 21°15'22" S, 48°18'58" W and 595 m asl.

Experimental design and animals

A total of 40 Nelore young bulls with an initial average body weight (BW) of 444 ± 10.2 kg (after the adaptation period and at 24 ± 2.1 months) were housed in individual 8 m² (4 × 2 m²) pens and provided with a feed trough and a water trough. The animals spent 28 days acclimating to the diets, facilities and management. After this period, they were confined for 84 days (totaling 112 days – acclimatization plus experimental period), during which intake, weight gain and CH₄ emissions were evaluated. At the beginning of the trial (0 day – after 28 days of acclimatization period), all the animals were weighed after a 16-h fast and before the first feeding in the morning. Average daily weight gain (ADG) was obtained by weighing animals at the beginning and the end of the experiment (84 days), always after a 16-h fast.

Diets, sampling and data collection

Young bulls were fed with one of the following experimental diets: NF = no dietary additional fat (46.0 g ether extract (EE)/kg diet); SO = soybean oil (62.0 g EE/kg diet); SB = soy beans (without any processing; 62.0 g EE/kg diet); and RPF = rumen protected fat based on soybean oil (62.0 g EE/kg diet; Megalac-E[®] – Church and Dwight, affiliate Química Geral do Nordeste S/A, Camacari, Brazil). The RPF (Megalac-E[®]) is manufactured by a saponification process where fatty acids (based on soybean oil) react with calcium hydroxide. The diets were mixed weekly to avoid potential rancidity problems.

All four diets were composed of maize silage (300 g/kg DM), used as the only source of roughage and concentrate (700 g/kg DM). Concentrates were composed of maize, soybean meal, urea, crude glycerol (803 g/kg glycerol; 15.9 g/kg EE; 50.3 g/kg ash; 120 g/kg water and 0.20 g/kg methanol). The crude glycerol was acquired from a soybean oil-based biodiesel production company (ADM, Rondonópolis, Brazil; Table 1). All diets were formulated to provide 160 ± 4.4 g/kg of crude protein (CP) to meet the nutritional requirements of Nelore bulls with an average initial weight of 400 kg and ADG of 1.25 kg/day (Valadares Filho *et al.*, 2006).

Cattle were fed with maize silage and the experimental concentrates twice per day at 08:00 and 16:00 h as a total mixed ration. Throughout the entire experimental period, the quantities provided were adjusted to allow a surplus of approximately 100 g/kg in relation to the total amount consumed on the previous day. Daily weights and samplings were taken for the diet quantities provided. To estimate intake, samples of feed offered (maize silage and concentrates) and feed refusals from each animal were first composited weekly and then composited every period (every 28 days). Feed samples were frozen at –20 °C for later analysis. Samples (feed offerings and feed refusals) were dried at 55 °C for 72 h and ground in a Wiley mill (Thomas Scientific, Swedesboro, NJ, USA) to pass through a 1 mm screen and analysed according to AOAC (1990) to determine DM (method 934.01) and mineral matter (MM; method 942.05) and to obtain EE (method 954.02). Nitrogen was determined using a LECO FP-528 nitrogen analyser (LECO Corp., St. Joseph, MI, USA). Neutral detergent fibre (NDF) was determined using α -amylase and without the addition of sodium sulphite following Van Soest *et al.* (1991) and adapted for the Ankom²⁰⁰ Fibre Analyser (Ankom Technology, Fairport, NY, USA).

The fatty acid composition of feed offerings was determined using approximately 1 g of dried sample. The frozen sample

was homogenized in 20 ml of a chloroform and methanol solution (2:1) using a Turrax homogenizer, disintegrator and emulsifier (Folch *et al.*, 1957). In the next step, the lipid extract aliquot was methylated using the protocol of Kramer *et al.* (1997). Fatty acids were quantified using a gas chromatograph (GC 2010, Shimadzu Corp., Kyoto, Japan) with an SP-2560 capillary column (100 m × 0.20 mm internal diameter with a 0.02- μ m film thickness; Supelco, Bellefonte, PA, USA). The initial temperature was set to 70 °C for 4 min (13 °C/min) until it reached 175 °C and then held for 27 min. After this, the temperature was increased by 4 °C/min until it reached 215 °C and held there for 31 min. Hydrogen was used as the carrier gas with a flow of 40 cm³/s (Table 1).

Total tract diet digestibility coefficients were determined for all animals using the indigestible NDF (iNDF) marker technique, as described by Cochran *et al.* (1986). There were three *in vivo* digestibility measurement periods (every 28 days = 3 periods) with ten animals from each treatment sampled during each period. Faecal samples were collected directly from the rectum over 3 days (end each period – 26th day), at 07:00, 11:00 and 16:00 h, the first, second and third day of collection, respectively (Ferreira *et al.*, 2009). Faecal samples were dried at 55 °C for 72 h, ground and composited proportionately (on DM basis) for each of the 3 days of sampling, for each animal. The iNDF was obtained via an *in-situ* methodology after 240 h (Casali *et al.*, 2008) with incubated samples of feed offered, feed refusals and faeces (Wiley mill, 2 mm screen). The iNDF was analysed using an Ankom200 Fibre Analyser (Ankom Technology Fairport, NY, USA).

Methane measurements

Methane emissions were assessed using the sulphur hexafluoride (SF₆) tracer technique (Johnson *et al.*, 1994), where each animal was sampled daily for 5 consecutive 24-h days, beginning at 77 days of feeding. Twenty-four animals (six per treatment) were fitted with gas collection halters at 10 days before methane sampling to allow animals to adapt and facilitate sampling. The selection criterion utilized was the animal's tameness and ease of handling in the management centre (stockyard). The enteric methane was measured simultaneously on the 24 animals.

The release rate of gas from a permeation tube was known before its insertion into the rumen. The permeation tubes were maintained in a water bath at 39 °C and weighed for 6 weeks in the laboratory. The average release rate was 2.0 ± 0.52 mg SF₆/day, mean ± s.d. Brass permeation tubes filled with SF₆ and known release rates were administered orally to each of the 20 animals 72 h before methane sampling to allow the tracer gas to equilibrate in the rumen. The animals were fitted with gas collection halters connected to pre-evacuated polyvinyl chloride canisters designed to fill halfway over 24 h. Sampling started at 06:00 h daily (one by one), when the animals were removed from the pens and was conducted at the management centre (stockyard) to facilitate sampling.

The collection canisters were located above each animal to reduce the risk of equipment damage and were connected to the halter by tubing inside airline flexible-coil tubing. Canister pressure was measured after 24 h of collection and the halter replaced if the final pressure was beyond the expected range (from –12 000 PSI (initial pressure) to –6000 PSI (final pressure)); higher pressure indicated probable blockage of the halter, whereas lower pressure indicated a probable leak in the system. In

both situations, a new halter was placed on the animal to achieve an average absorption rate within the stipulated range; filling halfway over 24 h. After sampling (approximately 30 min), each animal was taken to the original pen for feeding. The pressure readings were recorded and the canisters pressurized using pure nitrogen gas (N₂). The ambient air samples in the feedlot were collected twice daily to determine the background concentrations of methane and SF₆. These values were subtracted from the animal's values to calculate the net output in the expired breath. At the end of sample collection, concentrations of CH₄ and SF₆ were determined at the EMBRAPA Environment laboratory, located in Jaguariúna, Sao Paulo State, Brazil, by a GC HP6890 (Agilent, Delaware, USA), equipped with flame ionization detector at 280 °C, column megabore (0.53 mm × 30 m × 15 μ m) Plot HP-Al/M (for CH₄), electron capture detector at 300 °C and for cleaning purposes. Soon after the collection period and prior to the determination of CH₄ and SF₆, the canisters were pressurized to between 1.3 and 1.5 PSI (g) using special nitrogen 5.0, and then readings of the initial and final dilution pressures were taken with a portable digital manometer (±0.01), certified for reading range from –1 to +2 bar (g) (model DPI705, Druck, Groby, UK), in order to obtain the dilution factor. Calibration curves were established using standard gases certified by the White Martins development laboratory (Jaboticabal, São Paulo, Brazil), with the concentration for CH₄ in ppm (5 ± 0.3, 10 ± 0.2 and 19 ± 0.7 ppm) and for SF₆ in ppt (34 ± 9.0, 91 ± 9.0 and 978 ± 98.0 ppt), according to Westberg *et al.* (1998). The emission of methane (kg/year) was calculated by multiplying the daily emission by 365 days. Methane emissions (g CH₄/kg DM intake (DMI, g/kg)) were calculated by dividing the daily CH₄ output of each animal by daily intake (during methane sampling).

Slaughter, carcass data and sample collection

At the end of the trial period (84 days), young bulls were fasted for 16 h on the day prior to transportation to the slaughterhouse. The slaughterhouse (Minerva Foods, Barretos, Sao Paulo, Brazil) was 90 km and 01:30 h transport time away from the feedlot. On arrival at the slaughterhouse, they were kept in resting pens and slaughtered after 6 h. Pre-harvest handling was in accordance with good animal welfare practices and slaughtering procedures followed the Sanitary and Industrial Inspection Regulation for Animal Origin Products (Brasil, 1997). After slaughter, carcasses were weighed and then refrigerated at 0 °C for approximately 24 h. After the post-mortem chill period, the cold carcass weight (CCW), final carcass pH (using a Testo 205 pH meter 205 coupled penetration electrode – Testo Inc., Sparta, NJ, USA), 12th rib subcutaneous fat thickness (SFT) and 12th rib *longissimus* loin-eye area (LEA) were measured on the left side of each carcass. The LEAs were traced on transparencies and measured later with a compensating polar planimeter; SFT measurements were taken at ¾ of the ventral length over the *longissimus* muscle (Steiner *et al.*, 2003). Cold carcass yield (CCY) was calculated using CCW divided by final slaughter weight and then multiplying the result by 100.

A boneless *longissimus* section was removed from the posterior end of the wholesale rib (between the 10th and 13th ribs on the right half of the carcass). *Longissimus* muscle samples were vacuum-packed individually and held at –20 °C for 2 days. After that, each frozen *longissimus* sample was standardized from the posterior end into one 2.54 cm thick steak sample

(AMSA, 1995) for Warner–Bratzler shear force (WBSF) measurement and other analyses as described later. All steaks were vacuum-packed and held at $-20\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$ for 10 days, then they were thawed at $4\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$ for 24 h prior to analysis.

Meat and subcutaneous fat colour

The determination of meat and fat colour was performed as described by Houben *et al.* (2000), using a Minolta colorimeter (Model CR 300, Minolta Camera Co. Ltd., Osaka, Japan) evaluating lightness (L^*), redness (a^*) and yellowness (b^*). The colour aspects were assessed by the International Commission on Illumination $L^*a^*b^*$ colour system with a 25-mm-diameter measurement area using a D65 illuminant (which corresponds roughly to the average midday light in Western Europe/Northern Europe) and 0° as the standard observing point. The samples were thawed before colour evaluation. Cross sections were made at the sample surface 30 min prior to the assessment to expose the myoglobin to oxygen; the same steps were performed for the fat colour measurement. After this step, the colour was measured at three different points and the average values calculated. The colorimeter was calibrated before analysing the samples against black and white standards.

Warner–Bratzler shear-force measurement, cook loss and sarcomere length

Warner–Bratzler shear-force steaks were thawed at $4\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$ for 24 h and oven-broiled in an electric oven (Layr, Luxo Inox, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil) preheated to $150\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$. Internal steak temperatures were monitored by 20-gauge copper-constantan thermocouples (Omega Engineering, Stamford, CT, USA) placed in the approximate geometric centre of each steak and attached to a digital monitor. When the internal steak temperature reached $35\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$, the steak was turned over and allowed to reach an internal temperature of $70\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$ before removal from the oven. Cooked WBSF steaks were cooled for 24 h at $4\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$ (AMSA, 1995). Five round cores (1.27 cm diameter) were removed from each steak parallel to the long axis of the muscle fibres (AMSA, 1995). Each core was sheared once through the centre, perpendicular to the fibre direction, by a Warner–Bratzler shear machine (G-R Manufacturing Company, Manhattan, KS, USA). Cook loss was evaluated on the steaks used for the WBSF measurement. The total cooking loss was calculated as the difference between the weight of the steaks before and after oven-broiling, thawing loss was calculated as the difference between the weights of the steaks before and after thawing, and total loss was calculated by adding the cooking loss and thawing loss. Sarcomere length (SL) was measured by laser diffraction using a 05-LHR-021 laser, Melles Griot, (Carlsbad, CA, USA) and calculated as described by Cross *et al.* (1981). From each cube, the SL of six fibre samples was determined and used for calculation of average SL.

Proximate analysis

After thawing at room temperature, the meat samples were lyophilized for 36 h to obtain homogeneous and moisture-free samples, ground in a Wiley mill (Thomas Scientific, Swedesboro, NJ, USA) to pass through a 1-mm screen and chemical composition determined according to AOAC (1990). Crude protein was quantified by the Kjeldahl method, EE was extracted by the Soxhlet

method and the ashes were obtained through a muffle furnace at $550\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$.

Lipid oxidation

For determination of thiobarbituric acid reactive substances (TBARS), meat samples (50 g) were collected from between the 10th and 13th ribs on the right half of the carcass, identified and vacuum-packed in polyethylene bags. A 10-g sample was ground in a multiprocessor and 0.2 ml of antioxidant butylated hydroxytoluene (0.30 g/kg) was added along with 50 ml of distilled water and 1 ml of an antifoaming solution (Sigma A5758, São Paulo, Brazil). The samples were then ground again and homogenized for 1 min. After homogenization, the samples were transferred to a 250 ml volumetric flask containing pieces of porcelain, to which 50 ml of a 4 M hydrochloric acid (HCl) solution was added. Subsequently, the samples were distilled in a blanket heater at $100\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$ until 50 ml of the distillate was collected. From the distillate, 5 ml was transferred to a test tube and 5 ml of a 0.02 M thiobarbituric acid solution was added. The test tubes were kept in a boiling water bath for 35 min and the absorbance was measured at 530 nm in a spectrophotometer (Hitachi High Technologies America, Inc., model U-2,900, Pleasanton, USA). The TBARS value, expressed in mg malonaldehyde (MDA)/kg meat, was obtained using a conversion factor based on a standard curve using 1, 1, 3, 3-tetraethoxypropane.

Fatty acid profile

To determine the fatty acid composition of fresh meat, samples of the transverse section were collected from the *longissimus* muscle, freeze-dried and frozen for lipid extraction and methylation. The fatty material was extracted using a mixture of chloroform–methanol, as reported by Bligh and Dyer (1959) and the fatty acid methyl esters were obtained by ISO method 5509 (ISO, 1978). The transmethylated samples were analysed with a GC (model Focus CG-Finnigan, Thermo Finnigan, San Jose, CA, USA) with a flame-ionization detector and a capillary column (CP-Sil 88; Varian, Palo Alto, CA, USA) measuring $100\text{ m} \times 0.25\text{ mm}$ internal diameter with a thickness of $0.20\text{ }\mu\text{m}$ (Supelco, Bellefonte, PA, USA). Hydrogen was used as the carrier gas at a flow rate of 1.8 ml/min. The initial temperature of the oven was $70\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$ and was increased by $13\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}/\text{min}$ to $175\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$, where it was maintained for 27 min. The temperature was then increased by $4\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}/\text{min}$ to $215\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$, where it was maintained for 9 min, followed by another increase of $7\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}/\text{min}$ to $230\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$, where it remained for 5 min. The temperature of the injector was $250\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$ and of the detector was $300\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$. Identification of the fatty acids was performed by comparison of retention times with standards of fatty acids from butter, and the percentage of fatty acids was obtained using Chromquest 4.1 software (Thermo Electron, Milan, Italy).

Statistical analysis

The experimental design was completely randomized. Intake, digestibility, weight gain and quantitative carcass trait data were collected from 40 animals over four treatments with ten replications. Initial BW was used as a covariate for the statistical analysis of intake, digestibility, weight at slaughter, ADG, feed efficiency (FE), hot carcass weight (HCW), hot carcass yield (HCY), LEA, relative LEA and back fat thickness. The mathematical model

was represented by:

$$Y_{ij} = \mu + t_i + iw_j + e_{ij}$$

where Y_{ij} = observation of animal j subject to treatment i ; μ = the overall mean; t_i = effect of treatment i ; $i = 1$ to 4 ; iw_j = initial weight of the animal j ; and e_{ij} = the residual experimental error. The CH_4 emission data were analysed without the use of the covariate. Statistical analysis was conducted using the GLM procedure of SAS (Statistical Analysis System, version 9.1). Treatment means were compared using the Tukey test and adopting $P < 0.05$. The standard errors of the mean derived from the model are reported in tables.

Results

The intake of DM, organic matter (OM), CP and NDF was greater ($P = 0.010$) in the SO diet than those fed with NF (Table 2). The SO diet decreased digestibility of NDF ($P = 0.010$) when compared with the NF and RPF diets. The diets did not affect the digestibility of DM, OM or CP. The animals fed with SO diet showed greater final weight, average daily gain and FE ($P < 0.05$) than those fed with the other diets (Table 3). Emission of enteric methane (g/d, kg/year and g/kg DM intake) was not affected by different forms of soybean lipids (Table 3). The animals with SO diet had greater slaughter weight ($P < 0.001$) when compared with the NF and SB diets. The HCW and CCW values were greater ($P < 0.001$) in SO diets compared with NF diet. The HCYS ($P = 0.040$) and CCYS ($P = 0.020$) were greater in RPF compared with the NF diets. No significant differences were observed among the treatments on carcass shrink loss, LEA and final pH of the meat. The animals fed with SO diet had thicker subcutaneous fat ($P = 0.040$) than those from the NF diet (Table 4).

The young bulls fed with SO and RPF diets had greater SL in the *longissimus* muscle ($P = 0.020$) than the SB supplemented animals (Table 5). No significant differences were observed for moisture, protein, ash or fat (Table 5). The lightness (L^*) of fat was greater ($P = 0.030$) in the RPF compared with SO diets (Table 5). The proportions of saturated and unsaturated, mono and polyunsaturated and n-3 and n-6 fatty acids were not affected significantly by the fat sources (Table 6).

Discussion

The inclusion of fat may cause adverse effects on rumen fermentation and alter ruminant intake (Fiorentini *et al.*, 2015b). The fatty acids of the SO diet were probably more readily available in the rumen, and thus more prone to impair rumen functions. The reduction of fibre digestibility in SO diets compared with NF may be associated with unsaturated fat inclusion (Harvatine and Allen, 2006), which may have toxic effects on gram-positive bacteria, especially in the cellulolytic population (Nagaraja *et al.*, 1997). Diets containing 70 g/kg or more of EE in the DM can cause negative effects on feed degradation, especially if there are large proportions of UFA contained within the EE. In addition to being toxic to ruminal microorganisms, fatty acids will adhere to food particles and create a physical barrier that prevents the action of microorganisms and microbial enzymes, which consequently impairs the performance of growing and finishing animals (Sullivan *et al.*, 2004). Nevertheless, Zinn *et al.* (2000) and

Hess *et al.* (2008) reported that supplementing 50–60 g/kg of EE in the DM affected DMI. Thus, contrary to expectations, there was no negative effect with the addition of different forms of soybean lipids in diets (DMI and digestibility), with the exception of reduced NDF digestibility for the SO and SB diets. On the other hand, the SO diet increased DMI and consequently the performance of animals. Therefore, it can be concluded that there is no absolute amount of added dietary lipid that would be appropriate across all feed sources. The amount is variable and depends on the particular source used, the type of animal studied, the supply methods and other characteristics.

It has been postulated that high levels of fat can be used as supplements for beef cattle without deleterious effects in the form of saturated or protected fat (Fiorentini *et al.*, 2014; Carvalho *et al.*, 2016). This statement supports the results found in the present study, in which the SB diet, with grain as a physical barrier that reduces the release of triglycerides present within the cells, did not affect intake or digestibility (Doreau and Ferlay, 1995). The nutrient digestibility of RPF diet, whose triglycerides are partially protected from ruminal effects due to the saponification process, did not differ from the NF diet. Changes in fibre digestibility can have a great impact on intake in high fibre diets. The increase in fibre retention could result in lower total passage rates and affect intake. Jordan *et al.* (2006) verified similar DM intake between the control diet and a diet supplemented with soybean oil, using fat supplementation at levels >60 g/kg of EE in high grain diets with the addition of soy beans or refined soybean oil in diets of young bulls. These authors attributed the absence of harmful effects on intake to the low portion of roughage in the diets (<120 g/kg). However, the lower NDF digestibility coefficients in the current experiment apparently contributed to increasing the intake of animals fed the SO diet.

Supplementation of lipid sources is linked with a reduction of methane emissions (Martin *et al.*, 2010; Patra, 2013; Fiorentini *et al.*, 2014; Rossi *et al.*, 2017). However, the current results differed from these statements and this may be explained by the similar intakes of DM and NDF. Some studies show that intensifying production system can reduce the enteric emission of CH_4 , although the emission of nitrous oxide (N_2O) can be increased by the use of nitrogen fertilizers, either for grain cultivation or for pasture fertilization. This reduction of greenhouse gases per unit of product is mainly related to better utilization of feed and reduction of age at slaughter. The overall estimation of gas balance is complex and requires much research, including more efficient production systems such as silvopastoral and agrosilvopastoral that improve the recycling of gases emitted by the activities of beef cattle production.

Furthermore, glycerol may inhibit ruminal lipolysis (Edwards *et al.*, 2012) and the amount of fatty acid released in the rumen. The lower concentration of free UFA may reduce deleterious effects in the *Archaea* group. It is reported that this corresponds to no change in methane production (g/kg DMI) in diets with soybean oil combined with crude glycerin (Jose Neto *et al.*, 2015). However, decreases in CH_4 emissions have been reported in *in vitro* studies when increasing levels of glycerol were added to the diet (Lee *et al.*, 2011) or combined with soybean oil (Castagnino *et al.*, 2015). In the current study, CH_4 emissions remained constant regardless of soybean lipid source. A possible explanation for this lack of effect is that the current data refer to *in vivo* studies, in which the microbial population had the possibility of adapting to the new environment, and also because some of the added glycerol could be absorbed through the rumen wall.

Table 2. Effects of feeding diets containing different forms of soybean lipids on component intake and apparent total tract digestibility in young Nellore bulls ($n = 10$)

| | Diet | | | | S.E.M. | P value |
|-----------------------------|------|------|------|------|--------|---------|
| | NF | SO | SB | RPF | | |
| Intake (kg/d) | | | | | | |
| Dry matter | 6.6 | 8.8 | 7.6 | 7.7 | 0.43 | 0.010 |
| Organic matter | 6.1 | 8.2 | 7.1 | 7.2 | 0.40 | 0.010 |
| Crude protein | 1.1 | 1.4 | 1.3 | 1.2 | 0.07 | 0.010 |
| NDF | 2.1 | 2.7 | 2.4 | 2.4 | 0.13 | 0.030 |
| Ether extract | 0.34 | 0.60 | 0.51 | 0.56 | 0.030 | <0.001 |
| Intake (g/kg of BW) | | | | | | |
| Dry matter | 13 | 18 | 16 | 16 | 0.90 | 0.009 |
| Digestible component (g/kg) | | | | | | |
| Dry matter | 731 | 742 | 724 | 753 | 8.8 | 0.061 |
| Organic matter | 751 | 762 | 744 | 773 | 8.9 | 0.162 |
| Crude protein | 700 | 721 | 713 | 704 | 1.2 | 0.571 |
| NDF | 690 | 653 | 662 | 695 | 2.0 | 0.010 |
| Ether extract | 920 | 940 | 923 | 925 | 1.7 | 0.463 |

NF, no additional fat; SO, soybean oil; SB, soy beans; RPF, rumen-protected fat; NDF, neutral detergent fibre.

Table 3. Effects of diets containing different forms of soybean lipids on the initial weight (IW), slaughter weight (SW), average daily weight gain (ADG), feed efficiency (FE) and methane (CH₄) emission in young Nellore bulls ($n = 10$ for SW, ADG and FE; $n = 6$ for methane emission)

| | Diet | | | | S.E.M. | P value |
|----------------------------------|------|------|------|------|--------|---------|
| | NF | SO | SB | RPF | | |
| IW (kg) | 447 | 440 | 443 | 448 | 10 | 0.854 |
| SW (kg) | 511 | 545 | 512 | 516 | 8.5 | <0.001 |
| ADG (kg/d) | 0.8 | 1.3 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.10 | 0.010 |
| FE (kg ADG/kg DM) | 0.11 | 0.14 | 0.11 | 0.11 | 0.010 | 0.031 |
| Methane emission | | | | | | |
| CH ₄ (g/d) | 133 | 137 | 135 | 110 | 18 | 0.690 |
| CH ₄ (kg/year) | 49 | 50 | 49 | 40 | 6.5 | 0.690 |
| CH ₄ (g/kg DM intake) | 21 | 16 | 18 | 18 | 1.9 | 0.400 |

NF, no additional fat; SO, soybean oil; SB, soy beans; RPF, rumen-protected fat; DM, dry matter. The feed efficiency (FE) was calculated as follows: Average daily weight gain (kg)/Dry matter intake (kg).

The changes in carcass characteristics are associated with the greater slaughter weight of animals supplemented with fat sources. Slaughter weight is an indicator of carcass yield in animals; therefore, heavier animals usually have greater HCW and CCW. Carcass yield depends directly on carcass weight, but indirectly on the BW of the animal. Feed type may cause changes in the size of organs and the gastrointestinal tract, which affects the animal's BW and carcass yield (Lage *et al.*, 2012).

Loin eye area is indicative of the muscle development of animals, especially when expressed as cm²/100 kg of the carcass. As this index increases, the edible portion of the carcass also increases. In this way, it can be inferred that the fat sources utilized did not affect the animals' muscle development. The similarities found in the current study for LEA, expressed as cm² (76.8 cm²) and as 100 kg of cold carcass (27.1 cm²/100 kg cold

carcass) are in agreement with Aferri *et al.* (2005), who also found no differences in LEA and LEA percent (average 68.4 and 28.3, respectively) of feedlot finished animals fed cottonseed and protected fatty acids.

The subcutaneous fat tissue acts as a thermal insulator and is an important quality factor, directly affecting the chilling time and conversion of muscle to meat (Savell *et al.*, 2005; Zuin *et al.*, 2012). For all the treatments, SFT met the guidelines of the Brazilian market, which requires that the meat has between 3 and 6 mm of fat (Eiras *et al.*, 2014) to prevent muscle fibres from shortening due to the cold.

The differences found among the treatments for SFT might have influenced shortening of the sarcomeres in the *longissimus* muscle. The SO and RPF diets presented greater SLs than the SB treatment, and thus lower WBSF values were expected for

Table 4. Hot carcass weight (HCW), hot carcass yield (HCY), cold carcass weight (CCW), cold carcass yield (CCY), shrink loss (SL) and loin-eye area (LEA) expressed as cm² and cm²/100 kg of cold carcass, subcutaneous fat thickness (SFT) and final pH of feedlot-finished young Nellore bulls fed with diets containing different forms of soybean lipids (*n* = 10)

| | Diets | | | | S.E.M. | P value |
|-----------------------------------|-------|------|------|------|--------|---------|
| | NF | SO | SB | RPF | | |
| HCW (kg) | 281 | 304 | 286 | 294 | 5.5 | <0.001 |
| HCY (kg/100 kg) | 54.8 | 55.8 | 56.0 | 56.8 | 0.42 | 0.040 |
| CCW (kg) | 274 | 298 | 280 | 287 | 5.4 | <0.001 |
| CCY (kg/100 kg) | 53.5 | 54.7 | 54.8 | 55.6 | 0.42 | 0.020 |
| SL (kg/100 kg) | 2.4 | 2.1 | 2.1 | 2.2 | 0.10 | 0.170 |
| LEA (cm ²) | 77 | 77 | 77 | 77 | 2.6 | 0.970 |
| LEA (cm ² /100 kg CCW) | 28.2 | 25.8 | 27.6 | 26.7 | 0.93 | 0.320 |
| SFT (mm) | 3.6 | 5.7 | 4.0 | 4.3 | 0.56 | 0.040 |
| Final pH | 6.1 | 5.7 | 6.0 | 5.7 | 0.12 | 0.080 |

NF, no additional fat; SO, soybean oil; SB, soy beans; RPF, rumen-protected fat.

Table 5. Warner–Bratzler Shear Force (WBSF), cooking loss (CL), sarcomere length (SAL), thiobarbituric acid reactive substances (TBARS) and chemical composition of the longissimus muscle, and colour of meat and fat from the tenderloin of feedlot-finished young Nellore bulls fed with diets containing different forms of soybean lipids (*n* = 10)

| | Diets | | | | S.E.M. | P value |
|------------------------------------------------------|-------|-----|-----|-----|--------|---------|
| | NF | SO | SB | RPF | | |
| WBSF (kg/cm ²) | 2.9 | 3.4 | 3.1 | 3.1 | 0.22 | 0.431 |
| SAL (µm) | 1.4 | 1.5 | 1.3 | 1.5 | 0.04 | 0.020 |
| CL (g/100 g) | 14 | 18 | 13 | 26 | 4.4 | 0.193 |
| TBARS (mg malonaldehyde/kg meat) | 0.6 | 0.9 | 0.8 | 1.0 | 0.17 | 0.334 |
| Chemical composition of longissimus muscle (g/100 g) | | | | | | |
| Moisture | 72 | 72 | 71 | 72 | 0.4 | 0.882 |
| Protein | 22 | 21 | 22 | 21 | 0.2 | 0.052 |
| Ash | 1.1 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 0.02 | 0.891 |
| Fat | 5.0 | 5.6 | 5.1 | 5.5 | 0.23 | 0.220 |
| Longissimus muscle | | | | | | |
| <i>L</i> * | 32 | 35 | 34 | 31 | 1.9 | 0.420 |
| <i>a</i> * | 15 | 17 | 16 | 16 | 0.6 | 0.390 |
| <i>b</i> * | 7.5 | 8.0 | 7.9 | 8.0 | 0.73 | 0.951 |
| Fat | | | | | | |
| <i>L</i> * | 74 | 71 | 75 | 76 | 1.0 | 0.032 |
| <i>a</i> * | 5.9 | 6.3 | 4.6 | 6.0 | 0.96 | 0.620 |
| <i>b</i> * | 7.2 | 8.5 | 8.1 | 8.1 | 0.50 | 0.351 |

NF, no additional fat; SO, soybean oil; SB, soy beans; RPF, rumen-protected fat; *L** = lightness; *a** = red colour intensity; *b** = yellow colour intensity.

SO and RPF, given that shear force decreases exponentially as SL increases for lengths shorter than 2.00 µm (Wheeler *et al.*, 2000), such as the average of 1.44 µm in the present study. However, the difference found in the current study for SL did not influence meat tenderness.

The association of glycerin and fat in diets did not affect the WBSF. According to Belew *et al.* (2003), meat can be considered very tender when the shear force is below 3.20 kg/cm²; in the

current study, all treatments showed meat with highly satisfactory average results for WBSF (3.13 kg/cm²). The higher frequency of problems related to meat toughness usually coincides with the final pH of the muscle being near 5.8–6.0 (Wulf *et al.*, 2002), but this was not observed in the present study, where the final meat pH (not affected by the fat inclusion in the diets) averaged 5.9.

Colour is the parameter most affected by the slaughter technique, feeding type and management (Dunne *et al.*, 2011), but

Table 6. Fatty acid profile of the *longissimus* muscle of feedlot-finished young Nellore bulls fed with diets containing different forms of soybean lipids ($n=10$)

| | Diets | | | | S.E.M. | P value |
|-------------------------------------|-------|------|------|------|--------|---------|
| | NF | SO | SB | RPF | | |
| Fatty acid profile (g/100 g of fat) | | | | | | |
| Lauric (C12:0) | 0.07 | 0.08 | 0.07 | 0.07 | 0.006 | 0.940 |
| Myristic (C14:0) | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.4 | 3.4 | 0.18 | 0.980 |
| Pentadecanoic (C15:0) | 0.43 | 0.42 | 0.41 | 0.41 | 0.026 | 0.920 |
| Palmitic (C16:0) | 28 | 28 | 28 | 28 | 0.6 | 0.962 |
| Margaric (C17:0) | 1.0 | 1.0 | 0.98 | 0.93 | 0.049 | 0.524 |
| Stearic (C18:0) | 15 | 14 | 14 | 14 | 0.6 | 0.264 |
| Myristoleic (C14:1) | 0.80 | 0.80 | 0.81 | 0.87 | 0.069 | 0.873 |
| Palmitoleic (C16:1) | 3.3 | 3.5 | 3.1 | 3.4 | 0.20 | 0.657 |
| Heptadecenoic (C17:1) | 0.88 | 0.96 | 0.88 | 0.84 | 0.043 | 0.214 |
| Cis-vaccenic (C18:1 n-7) | 1.9 | 1.9 | 1.8 | 2.1 | 0.10 | 0.120 |
| Oleic (C18:1 n-9) | 39 | 41 | 40 | 41 | 0.9 | 0.272 |
| Linoleic (C18:2 n-6) | 4.3 | 3.3 | 4.7 | 3.9 | 0.56 | 0.372 |
| CLA (C18:2 c9, t11) | 0.30 | 0.39 | 0.41 | 0.40 | 0.033 | 0.113 |
| α -linolenic (C18:3 n-3) | 0.19 | 0.15 | 0.20 | 0.16 | 0.016 | 0.092 |
| Eicosenoic (C20:1 n-9) | 0.15 | 0.16 | 0.18 | 0.17 | 0.011 | 0.263 |
| Eicosatrienoic (C20:3 n-6) | 0.21 | 0.14 | 0.19 | 0.15 | 0.039 | 0.561 |
| Arachidonic (C20:4 n-6) | 0.60 | 0.38 | 0.69 | 0.42 | 0.153 | 0.450 |
| Docosatetraenoic (C22:4 n-6) | 0.08 | 0.05 | 1.00 | 0.05 | 0.022 | 0.482 |
| SFA | 48 | 47 | 47 | 47 | 0.9 | 0.672 |
| UFA | 52 | 53 | 53 | 53 | 0.9 | 0.671 |
| MUFA | 46 | 48 | 47 | 48 | 0.9 | 0.190 |
| PUFA | 5.8 | 4.5 | 6.4 | 5.2 | 0.80 | 0.402 |
| UFA:SFA | 1.1 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 0.04 | 0.692 |
| PUFA:SFA | 0.12 | 0.11 | 0.14 | 0.11 | 0.020 | 0.551 |
| n-6 | 5.3 | 3.9 | 5.7 | 4.6 | 0.77 | 0.392 |
| n-3 | 0.22 | 0.17 | 0.23 | 0.17 | 0.025 | 0.150 |
| n-6:n-3 | 23 | 23 | 25 | 27 | 2.0 | 0.461 |

NF, no additional fat; SO, soybean oil; SB, soy beans; RPF, rumen-protected fat; SFA, total saturated fatty acids; UFA, total unsaturated fatty acids; MUFA, total monounsaturated fatty acids; PUFA, total polyunsaturated fatty acids; UFA:SFA, ratio between UFA and SFA; PUFA:SFA, ratio between PUFA and SFA; n-6, fatty acids of the omega-6 series; n-3, fatty acids of the omega-3 series; n-6:n-3, ratio between n-6 and n-3.

it is well reported that fat supplementation does not have an influence on the meat colour (Fiorentini *et al.*, 2012; Moloney *et al.*, 2012; Oliveira *et al.*, 2012), as also observed in the present study.

Although the diets with soybean had different forms of protection, there was no modification in the fatty acid composition of meat, which can be explained by the similar profile of all saturated and UFA fed to animals. Of the commonly present SFA in ruminant meat, myristic and palmitic acids have a high hypercholesterolemic potential (Daley *et al.*, 2010); myristic acid has the greatest potential (Scollan *et al.*, 2006) but is present at a lower concentration than palmitic acid.

Despite constant criticism about the level of SFA in beef, they account for <0.50 of the total fatty acids; 0.30 consists of stearic acid (C18:0), which although saturated, because of its long chain and absence of a double bond, does not behave as

such; it is therefore not related to heart disease and does not affect the level of circulating cholesterol (Scollan *et al.*, 2006). The addition of fat did not change the proportion of stearic acid (14.3 g/100 g fatty acid) in the *longissimus* muscle: this result is in line with Fiorentini *et al.* (2012) and Oliveira *et al.* (2012), who found no differences between the concentrations of stearic acid in the meat from zebu (Nellore) cattle and crossbred heifers with the inclusion of fat in their feedlot-finishing diets, obtaining mean values of 14.3 and 14.5 g/100 g fatty acid, respectively.

In conclusion, supplemental soybean oil at <0.02 of DM diet does not modify the emission of enteric methane and meat quality of young Nellore bulls. However, soybean oil diets have been demonstrated to be more beneficial for animal performance than diets without supplemental fat.

Financial support. The authors thank the Sao Paulo Research Foundation (FAPESP, grants #2011/00060-8; 2011/03757-0; 2013/02418-2) for providing financial support.

Conflicts of interest. None.

Ethical standards. The protocol used in the current experiment was in accordance with the Brazilian College of Animal Experimentation (COBEA – Colégio Brasileiro de Experimentação Animal). A guideline was approved by the Ethics, Bioethics and Animal Welfare Committee (CEBEA – Comissão de Ética e Bem Estar Animal) of FCAV-UNESP – Jaboticabal campus (protocol number 012799). Pre-harvest handling was in accordance with good animal welfare practices, and slaughtering procedures followed the Sanitary and Industrial Inspection Regulation for Animal Origin Products (Brasil, 1997).

References

- Aferri G, Leme PR, Luz e Silva S, Putrino SM and Pereira ASC (2005) Desempenho e características de carcaça de novilhos alimentados com dietas contendo diferentes fontes de lipídios. *Revista Brasileira de Zootecnia* **34**, 1651–1658.
- AMSA (1995) *Research Guidelines for Cookery, Sensory Evaluation and Tenderness Measurements of Fresh Meat*. Chicago, USA: American Meat Science Association.
- AOAC (1990) *Official Methods of Analysis*. 15th Edn. Arlington, VA, USA: Association of Official Analytical Chemistry.
- Barletta RV, Rennó FP, Gandra JR, Freitas Júnior JE, Verdurico LC, Mingoti RD and Vilela FG (2012) Blood parameters and performance of dairy cows fed with whole raw soybean. *Archivos de Zootecnia* **61**, 483–492.
- Belew JB, Brooks JC, McKenna DR and Savell JW (2003) Warner-Bratzler shear evaluations of 40 bovine muscles. *Meat Science* **64**, 507–512.
- Bligh EG and Dyer WJ (1959) A rapid method of total lipid extraction and purification. *Canadian Journal of Biochemistry and Physiology* **37**, 911–917.
- Brasil (1997) *Ministério da agricultura, Pecuária e Abastecimento, Regulamento da Inspeção Industrial e Sanitária de Produtos de Origem Animal [Food of Animal Origin Sanitary and Industry Inspection]*. Brasília, Brasil: Ministério da Agricultura, Pecuária e Abastecimento (in Portuguese).
- Carvalho IPC, Fiorentini G, Berndt A, Castagnino PS, Messana JD, Frighetto RTS, Reis RA and Berchielli TT (2016) Performance and methane emissions of Nellore steers grazing tropical pasture supplemented with lipid sources. *Revista Brasileira de Zootecnia* **45**, 760–767.
- Casali AO, Detmann E, Valadares Filho SC, Pereira JC, Henriques LT, de Freitas SG and Paulino MF (2008) Influência do tempo de incubação e do tamanho de partículas sobre os teores de compostos indigestíveis em alimentos e fezes bovinas obtidos por procedimentos *in situ*. *Revista Brasileira de Zootecnia* **37**, 335–342.
- Castagnino PS, Messana JD, Fiorentini G, de Jesus RB, San Vito E, Carvalho IPC and Berchielli TT (2015) Glycerol combined with oils did not limit biohydrogenation of unsaturated fatty acid but reduced methane production *in vitro*. *Animal Feed Science and Technology* **201**, 14–24.
- Cochran RC, Adams DC, Wallace JD and Galyean M (1986) Predicting digestibility of different diets with internal markers: evaluation of four potential markers. *Journal of Animal Science* **63**, 1476–1483.
- Cottle DJ, Nolan JV and Wiedemann SG (2011) Ruminant enteric methane mitigation: a review. *Animal Production Science* **51**, 491–514.
- Cross HR, West RL and Dutson TR (1981) Comparison of methods for measuring sarcomere length in beef semitendinosus muscle. *Meat Science* **5**, 261–266.
- Daley CA, Abbott A, Doyle PS, Nader GA and Larson S (2010) A review of fatty acid profiles and antioxidant content in grass-fed and grain-fed beef. *Nutrition Journal* **9**, 10.
- Doreau M and Ferlay A (1995) Effect of dietary lipids on nitrogen metabolism in the lumen: a review. *Livestock Production Science* **43**, 97–110.
- Dunne PG, Monahan FJ and Moloney AP (2011) Current perspectives on the darker beef often reported from extensively-managed cattle: does physical activity play a significant role? *Livestock Science* **142**, 1–22.
- Edwards HD, Anderson RC, Miller RK, Taylor TM, Hardin MD, Smith SB, Krueger NA and Nisbet DJ (2012) Glycerol inhibition of ruminal lipolysis *in vitro*. *Journal of Dairy Science* **95**, 5176–5181.
- Eiras CE, Marques JA, do Prado RM, Valero MV, Bonafé EG, Zawadzki F, Perotto D and do Prado IN (2014) Glycerine levels in the diets of crossbred bulls finished in feedlot: carcass characteristics and meat quality. *Meat Science* **96**, 930–936.
- Ferreira MA, Valadares Filho SM, Marcondes MI, Paixão ML, Paulino MF and Valadares RFD (2009) Evaluation of markers in ruminant trials: digestibility. *Revista Brasileira de Zootecnia* **38**, 1568–1573.
- Fiorentini G, Berchielli TT, Santana MCA, Dian PHM, Reis RA, Sampaio AAM and Biehl MV (2012) Qualitative characteristics of meat from confined crossbred heifers fed with lipid sources. *Scientia Agricola* **69**, 336–344.
- Fiorentini G, Carvalho IPC, Messana JD, Castagnino PS, Berndt A, Canesin RC, Frighetto RTS and Berchielli TT (2014) Effect of lipid sources with different fatty acid profiles on the intake, performance, and methane emissions of feedlot Nellore steers. *Journal of Animal Science* **92**, 1613–1620.
- Fiorentini G, Lage JF, Carvalho IPC, Messana JD, Canesin RC, Reis RA and Berchielli TT (2015a) Lipid sources with different fatty acid profile alters the fatty acid profile and quality of beef from confined Nellore steers. *Asian Australasian Journal of Animal Science* **28**, 976–986.
- Fiorentini G, Carvalho IPC, Messana JD, Canesin RC, Castagnino PS, Lage JF, Arcuri PB and Berchielli TT (2015b) Effect of lipid sources with different fatty acid profiles on intake, nutrient digestion and ruminal fermentation of feedlot Nellore steers. *Asian Australasian Journal of Animal Science* **28**, 1583–1591.
- Folch J, Lees M and Sloane Stanley GH (1957) A simple method for the isolation and purification of total lipids from animal tissues. *Journal of Biological Chemistry* **226**, 497–509.
- Grainger C and Beauchemin KA (2011) Can enteric methane emissions from ruminants be lowered without lowering their production? *Animal Feed Science and Technology* **166–167**, 308–320.
- Harvatine KJ and Allen MS (2006) Effects of fatty acid supplements on ruminal and total tract nutrient digestion in lactating dairy cows. *Journal of Dairy Science* **89**, 1092–1103.
- Hess BW, Moss GE and Rule DC (2008) A decade of developments in the area of fat supplementation research with beef cattle and sheep. *Journal of Animal Science* **86**, Supp. E188–E204.
- Houben JH, van Dijk A, Eikelenboom G and Hoving-Bolink AH (2000) Effect of dietary vitamin E supplementation, fat level and packaging on colour stability and lipid oxidation in minced beef. *Meat Science* **55**, 331–336.
- ISO (1978) *Animal and Vegetable Fats and Oils Preparation of Methyl Esters of Fatty Acids (ISO 5509–2000)*. Geneva, Switzerland: International Organization for Standardization.
- Jenkins TC and McGuire MA (2006) Major advances in nutrition: impact on milk composition. *Journal of Dairy Science* **89**, 1302–1310.
- Johnson K, Huyler M, Westberg H, Lamb B and Zimmerman P (1994) Measurement of methane emissions from ruminant livestock using a sulfur hexafluoride tracer technique. *Environmental Science and Technology* **28**, 359–362.
- Jordan E, Kenny D, Hawkins M, Malone R, Lovett DK and O'Mara FP (2006) Effect of refined soy oil or whole soybeans on intake, methane output, and performance of young bulls. *Journal of Animal Science* **84**, 2418–2425.
- Jose Neto A, Messana JD, Ribeiro AF, San Vito E, Rossi LG and Berchielli TT (2015) Effect of starch-based supplementation level combined with oil on intake, performance, and methane emissions of growing Nellore bulls on pasture. *Journal of Animal Science* **93**, 2275–2284.
- Kramer JKG, Fellner V, Dugan MER, Sauner FD and Mossoba MM (1997) Evaluating acid and base catalysts in the methylation of milk and rumen fatty acids with special emphasis conjugated dieno and total trans fatty acids. *Lipids* **32**, 1219–1228.
- Ladeira MM, Santarosa LC, Chizzotti ML, Ramos EM, Machado Neto O, Oliveira DM, Carvalho JRR, Lopes LS and Ribeiro JS (2014) Fatty acid profile, color and lipid oxidation of meat from young bulls fed ground

- soybean or rumen protected fat with or without monensin. *Meat Science* **96**, 597–605.
- Lage JF, Paulino PVR, Valadares Filho SC, Souza EJO, Duarte MS, Benedeti PDB, Souza NKP and Cox RB** (2012) Influence of genetic type and level of concentrate in the finishing diet on carcass and meat quality traits in beef heifers. *Meat Science* **90**, 770–774.
- Lee SY, Lee SM, Cho YB, Kam DK, Lee SC, Kim CH and Seo S** (2011) Glycerol as a feed supplement for ruminants: *in vitro* fermentation characteristics and methane production. *Animal Feed Science and Technology* **166–167**, 269–274.
- Leng RA** (1993) Quantitative ruminant nutrition – a green science. *Australian Journal of Agricultural Research* **44**, 363–380.
- Martin C, Morgavi DP and Doreau M** (2010) Methane mitigation in ruminants: from microbe to the farm scale. *Animal* **4**, 351–365.
- Moloney AP, Kennedy C, Noci F, Monahan FJ and Kerry JP** (2012) Lipid and colour stability of *M. longissimus* muscle from lambs fed camelina or linseed as oil or seeds. *Meat Science* **92**, 1–7.
- Nagaraja TG, Newbold CJ, Van Nevel CJ and Demeyer DI** (1997) Manipulation of ruminal fermentation. In Hobson PN and Stewart CS (eds), *The Rumen Microbial Ecosystem*. Dordrecht, the Netherlands: Springer, pp. 523–632.
- NRC (National Research Council)** (2001) *Nutrient Requirements of Dairy Cattle*, 7th rev.edn. Washington, DC, USA: National Academies Press.
- Oliveira EA, Sampaio AAM, Henrique W, Pivaro TM, Rosa BL, Fernandes ARM and Andrade AT** (2012) Quality traits and lipid composition of meat from Nelore young bulls fed with different oils either protected or unprotected from rumen degradation. *Meat Science* **90**, 28–35.
- Patra AK** (2013) The effect of dietary fats on methane emissions, and its other effects on digestibility, rumen fermentation and lactation performance in cattle: a meta-analysis. *Livestock Production Science* **155**, 244–254.
- Rossi LG, Fiorentini G, Vieira BR, José Neto A, Messana JD, Malheiros EB and Berchielli TT** (2017) Effect of ground soybean and starch on intake, digestibility, performance, and methane production of Nelore bulls. *Animal Feed Science and Technology* **226**, 39–47.
- Savell JW, Mueller SL and Baird BE** (2005) The chilling of carcasses. *Meat Science* **70**, 449–459.
- Scollan N, Hocquette JF, Nuernberg K, Dannenberger D, Richardson I and Moloney AP** (2006) Innovations in beef production systems that enhance the nutritional and health value of beef lipids and their relationship with meat quality. *Meat Science* **74**, 17–33.
- Steiner R, Vote DJ, Belk KE, Scanga JA, Wise JW, Tatum JD and Smith GC** (2003) Accuracy and repeatability of beef carcass longissimus muscle area measurements. *Journal of Animal Science* **81**, 1980–1988.
- Sullivan HM, Bernard JK, Amos HE and Jenkins TC** (2004) Performance of lactating dairy cows fed whole cottonseed with elevated concentrations of free fatty acids in the oil. *Journal of Dairy Science* **87**, 665–671.
- USDA (United States Department of Agriculture)** (2018) *World Agricultural Production*. Washington DC: USDA. Circular Series WAP 3–18. Available at <https://apps.fas.usda.gov/PSDOnline/CircularDownloader.ashx?year=2018&month=03&commodity=production> (Accessed 05 April 18).
- Valadares Filho SC, Paulino PVR and Magalhães KA** (2006) *Exigências Nutricionais de Zebuínos e Tabelas de Composição de Alimentos-BR CORTE*, 1st Edn. Viçosa, Minas Gerais, Brazil: Suprema Grafica Ltda.
- Van Soest PJ, Robertson JB and Lewis BA** (1991) Methods for dietary fiber, neutral detergent fiber, and nonstarch polysaccharides in relation to animal nutrition. *Journal of Dairy Science* **74**, 3583–3597.
- Westberg HH, Johnson KA, Cossalman MW and Michal J. J** (1998) *A SF6 Tracer Technique: Methane Measurement from Ruminants*, 2nd Edn. Pullman, Washington, USA: Washington State University.
- Wheeler TL, Shackelford SD and Koohmaraie M** (2000) Variation in proteolysis, sarcomere length, collagen content, and tenderness among major pork muscles. *Journal of Animal Science* **78**, 958–965.
- Wulf DM, Emmett RS, Leheska JM and Moeller SJ** (2002) Relationships among glycolytic potential, dark cutting (dark, firm, and dry) beef, and cooked beef palatability. *Journal of Animal Science* **80**, 1895–1903.
- Zinn RA, Gulati SK, Plascencia A and Salinas J** (2000) Influence of ruminal biohydrogenation on the feeding value of fat in finishing diets for feedlot cattle. *Journal of Animal Science* **78**, 1738–1746.
- Zuin RG, Buzanskas ME, Caetano SL, Venturini GC, Guidolin DGF, Grossi DA, Chud TCS, Paz CCP, Lobo RB and Munari DP** (2012) Genetic analysis on growth and carcass traits in Nelore cattle. *Meat Science* **91**, 352–357.