Intrapleural analgesia after endoscopic thoracic sympathectomy
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ABSTRACT

PURPOSE: To compare analgesia traditionally used for thoracic sympathectomy to intrapleural ropivacaine injection in two different doses.

METHODS: Twenty-four patients were divided into three similar groups, and all of them received intravenous dipyron. Group A received intravenous tramadol and intrapleural injection of saline solution. Group B received intrapleural injection of 0.33% ropivacaine, and Group C 0.5% ropivacaine. The following aspects were analyzed: inspiratory capacity, respiratory rate and pain. Pain was evaluated in the immediate postoperative period by means of the visual analog scale and over a one-week period.

RESULTS: In Groups A and B, reduced inspiratory capacity was observed in the postoperative period. In the first postoperative 12 hours, only 12.5% of the patients in Groups B and C showed intense pain as compared to 25% in Group A. In the subsequent week, only one patient in Group A showed mild pain while the remainder reported intense pain. In Group B, half of the patients showed intense pain, and in Group C, only one presented intense pain.

CONCLUSION: Intrapleural analgesia with ropivacaine resulted in less pain in the late postoperative period with better analgesic outcomes in higher doses, providing a better ventilatory pattern.
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RESUMO

OBJETIVO: Comparar a analgesia tradicionalmente utilizada para simpatectomia videotoracoscópica à injeção intrapleural de ropivaína em duas doses diferentes. MÉTODOS: Vinte e quatro pacientes foram distribuídos em três grupos semelhantes, e todos eles receberam dipirona endovenosa. O grupo A recebeu tramadol endovenoso e injeção intrapleural de solução salina. O grupo B recebeu injeção intrapleural de ropivaína a 0,33%, e Grupo C ropivaína a 0,5%. Os aspectos analisados foram: capacidade inspiratória, frequência respiratória e dor. A dor foi avaliada no período pós-operatório por meio da escala visual analógica e durante o período de uma semana.

RESULTADOS: Nos grupos A e B, a redução da capacidade inspiratória foi observada no período pós-operatório. Nas primeiras 12 horas de pós-operatório, apenas 12,5% dos pacientes nos grupos B e C apresentaram dor intensa em comparação a 25% no Grupo A. Na semana seguinte, apenas um paciente do grupo A apresentou dor leve, enquanto o restante relatou dor intensa. No Grupo B, metade dos pacientes apresentou dor intensa, e no Grupo C, apenas um apresentou intensa dor.

CONCLUSÃO: A analgesia intrapleural com ropivaína resultou em menos dor no pós-operatório tardio com os melhores resultados analgésicos nas doses mais altas, proporcionando um melhor padrão ventilatório.

**Introduction**

Localized hyperhidrosis is manifested by excessive sweating due to disrupted sympathetic stimulation, and it has received greater attention from both medical professionals and laymen since the advent of thoracic sympathectomy. Its incidence is estimated for 1% of the general population, with a prevalence of 2.8%\(^9,14\); however, it has been more thoroughly discussed only in the past two decades. Endoscopic thoracic sympathectomy is a rapid, safe and effective procedure for localized hyperhidrosis treatment, and it is also very well accepted by physicians and patients. It enables the visualization of the thoracic sympathetic chain, which is divided and submitted to thermal ablation with minimum injury, thus making the procedure easy and quick\(^5\). Complications are rare\(^1\), but some patients experience, despite the simplicity and rapidness of the procedure, intense and sometimes persistent postoperative pain\(^6,7\). Postoperative pain thus becomes the major aggravation of sympathectomy\(^8\). It hinders breathing and coughing, thus increasing the incidence of pulmonary complications and requiring systemic administration of opioids, which increases the risk for respiratory depression and, at times, the extension of hospitalization.

Once a local anesthetic is injected into the pleural cavity, it makes direct contact with the exposed tissue, thus enabling long-lasting analgesia without risk for pneumothorax or intravascular anesthetic injection\(^9\). This technique, which is referred to as intrapleural block, was firstly published in 1986\(^10,11\) using bupivacaine as a local anesthetic for surgeries on the upper abdominal surgeries\(^12\), and it has also been recently used in thoracic sympathectomy\(^9,13\). However, central nervous system toxicity was observed when bupivacaine was used in patients with pleural inflammation due to recent pneumonia, thus showing greater anesthetic absorption by injured tissue\(^14\). In addition to neurotoxicity, the cardiotoxicity of bupivacaine has raised interest in the development of a less toxic local anesthetic with prolonged action. The result was the formulation of ropivacaine, whose absorption is slower, resulting in lower blood levels\(^15\). Ropivacaine is more lipophilic and, therefore, less toxic than other more potent local anesthetics, such as bupivacaine and levobupivacaine\(^16\). It is also less neurotoxic than bupivacaine\(^17\), and propensity to convulsion after levobupivacaine and ropivacaine administration is 1.5- and 2.5-fold lower, respectively, as compared to that of bupivacaine\(^18\). As regards cardiotoxicity, the plasma concentration of free ropivacaine must be 50% higher than that of levobupivacaine in order to induce cardiovascular collapse, and successful cardiac resuscitation is more frequent during ropivacaine intoxication\(^17,18\).

Therefore, ropivacaine represents the safest local anesthetic for performing pleural block.

This study aimed at evaluating ventilatory alterations and the efficacy of immediate and late postoperative analgesia by intrapleural ropivacaine as compared to venous analgesia in endoscopic thoracic sympathectomies.

**Methods**

Following approval by the Research Ethics Committee of Sao Paulo State University, 24 male and female patients with indication of sympathectomy for treatment of palmar hyperhidrosis, ASA I and II physical status, without previous pulmonary disease, who had signed a free-consent form and accepted to take part in the protocol, participated in this study. They were all submitted to simple intubation for endoscopic thoracic sympathectomy using the technique of R3/R4 division followed by electrocautery thermal ablation of the third ganglion bilaterally. The patients showing pleural adhesion requiring resection by an electric scalpel were excluded from the protocol due to the fact that they may present stronger pain resulting from manipulation.

The patients were divided into three random double-blind (surgeon and patient) groups. Group A (n=8) received intravenous dipyrone (30mg.kg\(^{-1}\)) and conventional analgesia with intravenous tramadol (1mg.kg\(^{-1}\)) and a bilateral intrapleural injection of placebo: saline solution (0.9% - 20ml). Group B (n=8) received intravenous dipyrone (30mg.kg\(^{-1}\)) and a bilateral intrapleural injection of ropivacaine (0.33% - 20ml); and Group C (n=8) received intravenous dipyrone (30mg.kg\(^{-1}\)) and a bilateral intrapleural injection of ropivacaine (0.5% - 20ml). The solutions were administered by the surgeon upon sympathectomy completion, one hemithorax at a time, by means of a 12 french nasogastric tube that was manually placed in the intrapleural space through a previous incision and removed after administration as preconized by Stori Jr et al.\(^5\).

General anesthesia with alfentanil (30μg.kg\(^{-1}\)), propofol (2mg.kg\(^{-1}\)) and atracurium (0.5mg.kg\(^{-1}\)) was performed in all patients. Maintenance was made by target-controlled propofol (1 to 3μg.ml\(^{-1}\)) and remifentanil (0.1 to 0.3μg.kg\(^{-1}\).min\(^{-1}\)) infusion. The patients were monitored by electrocardiogram (EKG), pulse oximetry (SpO2), systolic (SAP), diastolic (DAP) and mean arterial pressure (MAP) by a non-invasive method and capnometry (ETCO2). Prior to anesthesia induction and after recovery, respiratory rate (RR) and inspiratory capacity (IC) were evaluated, respectively by visual counting and a portable spirometer.

Pain was assessed by the visual analog scale (VAS; 0 =
without pain, 10 = more severe pain) at 30, 60 and 90 min after awake. Postoperative analgesia was evaluated over a one-week period as regards the need for supplementary analgesia orally, and dipyrone (500mg) was used for mild pain, ketoprofen (100mg) for moderate pain and tramadol (100mg) for intense pain.

The patients were monitored as regards possible mediate postoperative collateral effects, such as breathing difficulty, signs of systemic toxicity, prolonged awake periods and excessive sedation.

The results were expressed as mean ± standard error of the mean (SEM). Student Newman-Keuls’ t test was used for comparison between the groups. They were considered to be significant when p<0.05.

**Results**

No statistical difference was observed between the groups as regards age, weight or height (Table 1).

**TABLE 1** - Sample features. Age, weight and high (mean ± standard deviation).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Group A</th>
<th>Group B</th>
<th>Group C</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>27.5±3.3</td>
<td>23.0±1.8</td>
<td>25.5±2.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight (kilograms)</td>
<td>65.0±4.34</td>
<td>60.3±3.6</td>
<td>69.7±4.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High (meters)</td>
<td>1.63±0.03</td>
<td>1.64±0.02</td>
<td>1.67±0.04</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Respiratory rates did not show alteration in relation to their control or between the groups (Figure 1).

**FIGURE 1** - Effect on respiratory rate in groups A, B and C in control, 30, 60 and 90 minutes after awakening. The points represent the mean ± standard deviation. No significant difference between groups.

For Groups A and B, inspiratory capacity in the postoperative period (0.9±0.1 and 0.95±0.1 liters) was reduced as compared to that in the preoperative period (1.76±0.2 and 2.0±0.3 liters) (Figure 2), but it was not statistically significant in Group C. By using VAS for pain, no differences were observed between Groups A and C in the first 90 min (p>0.05), but Group C showed lower pain values.

**FIGURE 2** - Inspiratory capacity in pre-and postoperatively in groups A, B and C. The points represent the mean ± standard deviation. * P<0.05 postoperative versus preoperative.

At 30 min of the postoperative period, the patients in Group B showed a higher pain level (5.4±1.1) as compared to the patients in Group A (3.0±0.8) and C (1.1±0.5) (Figure 3).
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Discussion

Although endoscopic thoracic sympathectomy is a rapid surgical procedure with low morbidity, pain becomes a very important symptom, particularly when it is precipitated by deep aspiration, thus being the major reason for complaint in the postoperative period. For this reason, we opted to study intrapleural analgesia since it is supposedly effective and presents fewer collateral effects, considering the fact that opioids mainly cause nausea, vomiting and sleepiness.

In this study, the intrapleural block by ropivacaine showed improvement in pain levels and reduced consumption of analgesics especially in the late postoperative period as compared to endovenous analgesia. The maintenance of similar inspiratory capacity values to those found for controls showed its benefit expressed by the small alteration in normal ventilatory mechanics.

Intrapleural block has often been used in surgeries such as open cholecystectomy\cite{11,19,21}, showing reduced need for opioids and antiemetics in the postoperative period as well as fast recovery of everyday functions\cite{22}. Its efficacy has also been proven in analgesia for pancreatitis\cite{23}, multiple costal fractures\cite{24}, chronic pain\cite{25}, biopsies and breast surgery\cite{26}.

In thoracic surgery, its indications are questionable, particularly as regards post-thoracotomy pain for lobectomy and pneumonectomy\cite{27,28}. Scheneider et al.\cite{29} did not show significance in this technique as compared to placebo. On the other hand, it was satisfactory in the post-operative period following thoracotomy for esophagectomy\cite{30,31}, with thoracic pain relief without alteration of abdominal pain.

Some reasons for controversial results include: loss of up to 30% of the do local analgesic through the thoracic drain, dilution in pleural effusion, bonding to blood proteins, bad distribution in the pleural cavity from where the lung or part of it has been removed. However, the development of minimally invasive approaches combined with thoracoscopy has enabled the effective and safe preservation of the local anesthetic within the thoracic cavity for a long time due to the absence of a drain and pleural effusion.

Assalia et al.\cite{9} studied 50 patients submitted to thoracic sympathectomy by using 20mL of 0.5% intrapleural bupivacaine bilaterally with reduction in the pain score and in opioid consumption. A similar result was observed by Lieou et al.\cite{13} in the first 6 hours after thoracic sympathectomy in 60 patients to whom 10mL of 0.5% intrapleural bupivacaine was administrated bilaterally. In both studies, bupivacaine was compared to saline solution and, in face of the evident intrapleural analgesia in this...
procedure, we opted to compare intrapleural ropivacaine to the venous opioid. It was observed that in the immediate postoperative period, 0.5% ropivacaine and endovenous tramadol showed the same analgesic effect whereas in the concentration of 0.33%, ropivacaine determined a weaker analgesic effect. However, in the late postoperative period, in both concentrations, ropivacaine determined smaller consumption of analgesics, thus showing that such block is also stronger than conventional analgesia.

The mechanism by which the local anesthetic injected in the intrapleural space produces analgesia has not been fully explained, but it is known that volumes such as 20mL can extend subpleurally in up to five adjacent intercostal spaces. Some studies compared volumes of 20mL and 40mL of bupivacaine and little difference was observed in relation to analgesia duration.

As regards concentration, 0.25% bupivacaine showed to be effective in cholecystectomies when compared to a similar volume in concentrations of 0.375% and 0.5%. Badawi used ketamine and ropivacaine in the concentration of 0.5% with a dose of 0.3mL.kg⁻¹, injected into both the pleural and the paravertebral cavities, showing that even in such concentration, paravertebral ropivacaine showed better analgesia than did intrapleural in patients submitted to scoliosis surgery.

Based on those studies, we used 20mL of ropivacaine in two different concentrations, and a concentration of 0.5% ensured satisfactory analgesia in the immediate and late postoperative periods. On the other hand, a concentration of 0.33% showed worse analgesia levels in the immediate post-operative period, thus reaffirming the need for larger concentrations.

Most studies show lesser worsening in respiratory function after the intrapleural block; others also affirm that the ventilatory parameters cannot be used as predictors for postoperative complications. What is known is that, clinically, the intrapleural block does not determine adverse effects in the respiratory muscle function and, contrarily, it becomes beneficial due to reduced postoperative pain, thus resulting in decreased use of respiration-depressing opioids. Nevertheless, its use in sympathectomy could be worrisome due to its bilateral action on the intercostal nerves and potential block of the phrenic nerve. Previous studies on the bilateral block for sympathectomy did not evaluate ventilatory parameters, but in our study, inspiratory capacity maintenance was observed when compared to the preoperative period, even when ropivacaine was used in its largest concentration. These data allows for reaffirming that tramadol worsens the respiratory pattern and contributes to respiratory depression despite the similar pain levels. On the other hand, ropivacaine, even when used bilaterally in a concentration of 0.5%, does not deteriorate muscle function, which is probably due to the fact that it does not have central action like opioids, thus being a local anesthetic that produces lesser motor block, as well as to the fact that it shows better pain control, allowing for greater thoracic expansibility.

**Conclusion**

Intrapleural analgesia by ropivacaine, in relation to conventional analgesia, reduces pain in the late postoperative period of patients submitted to thoracic sympathectomy, and when used in its higher concentration, it determines better global analgesic results and less alteration in ventilatory mechanics, thus producing a better respiratory outcome.

**References**

Intrapleural analgesia after endoscopic thoracic sympathectomy


21. Strômskag KE, Minor BG, Lindeberg A. Comparison of 40 milliliters of 0.25% intrapleural bupivacaine with epinephrine with 20 milliliters of 0.5% intrapleural bupivacaine with epinephrine after cholecystectomy. Anesth Analg. 1991;73:397-400.


Correspondence:
Daniele Cristina Cataneo
Departamento de Cirurgia e Ortopedia
Disciplina de Cirurgia Torácica
Faculdade de Medicina de Botucatu - UNESP
18.618-970 Botucatu - SP Brasil
Tel.: (55 14)3811-6091
Fax: (55 14)3815-7615
dcataneo@fmb.unesp.br

Received: April 14, 2011
Review: June 15, 2011
Accepted: July 18, 2011
Conflict of interest: none
Financial source: none

1Research performed at Bauru State Hospital, Sao Paulo State University (UNESP), Bauru-SP, Brazil. The article is part of PhD thesis degree.