Muscle graft as a substitute for peripheral nerve graft in rats
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ABSTRACT

Purpose: To evaluate the applicability of the use of autogenous muscle treated in various ways, as a substitute of the nerve grafts.

Methods: Rats were divided into seven groups that received, as a treatment for a standard nerve injury, the following types of grafts: fresh muscle, muscle fixed with 10% formaldehyde, muscle frozen in a freezer, muscle frozen in refrigerator, nerveless muscle, peripheral nerve and a group was without any treatment. It assessed the histological appearance of the nerve fibers in the segment repaired.

Results: The evaluation of the segment nervous repaired showed nerve fibers through the graft in almost all groups, but the methodology employed has not adequately characterized the differences between the groups.

Conclusion: This study showed the migration of nerves fibers through all grafts used.


RESUMO

Objetivo: Avaliar a aplicabilidade do uso de músculo autógeno, tratado de diversas maneiras, em substituição aos enxertos de nervo.

Métodos: Os ratos foram separados em sete grupos que receberam, como tratamento a uma lesão nervosa padronizada, os seguintes tipos de enxertos: músculo fresco, músculo fixado com formol 10%, músculo congelado em freezer, músculo congelado em refrigerador, músculo denervado, nervo periférico e um grupo ficou sem qualquer tratamento. Foi avaliado o aspecto histológico das fibras nervosas no segmento reparado.

Resultados: A avaliação do segmento nervoso reparado mostrou que existiam axônios em quase todos os grupos, mas a metodologia empregada não possibilitou caracterizar adequadamente as diferenças entre os grupos.

Conclusão: Este estudo mostrou a migração de axônios por meio de todos os enxertos utilizados.


Introduction

Peripheral nerve surgery has undergone many advancements within the past hundred years; microsurgery was introduced, several suturing techniques were created and autogenous nerve grafts became popular.

Despite all these advancements, it has not been possible to completely resolve the situations in which the loss of a nerve segment prevents repair of the stumps by direct frontal approach.

The first repair proposal, in this case, would suggest bone shortening to enable suturing of the nerve, but soon several other less aggressive approaches were proposed in order to repair these injuries².

Nowadays, the autologous nerve graft is still the most frequently used method¹ except for some cases in which there is not sufficient nerve for grafting or where there is a great mismatch between the calipers of the nerve to be repaired and the nerve utilized for the graft.

Subsequent studies showed that within tissue culture, the axons grow particularly well when the substrates contain the basal membrane components, particularly laminin and fibronectin. It has become theoretically possible to create grafts that reconstruct nerves from tubes parallel of basal membrane with exactly the same structure as skeletal muscles³,⁹,¹⁰,¹¹.

Among the studies in this area we can cite are from: Fawcet and Keynes³, Glasby et al.⁷ and ⁸, Norris et al.¹², Gattuso et al.⁵,⁶, Feneley et al.⁴ and Rath and Green¹³,¹⁴.

We have thus decided to evaluate the use of skeletal muscle basal membrane as a substitute for autologous nerve grafts.

Our main concern was the complexity of the treatment required to obtain the basal membrane from autologous muscle before it could be utilized as a substitute for autologous nerve in nerve grafting and also the need for this procedure since the literature indicates that even with such procedures the cellular membrane elimination was not thorough and that in rabbits, about 50% of the cellular membrane was removed⁷.

Therefore, we decided to evaluate some simple and less expensive alternative methods.

The present study aimed to study grafts utilizing autogenous muscle, treated in different manners, instead of employing a nerve segment.
Methods

All the procedures performed on rats abided by the Ethical Principles for Animal Experimentation recommended by COBEA (Brazilian Council for Animal Experimentation).

After a 30-mg/kg dose of pentothal sodium via intra peritoneal anesthesia, the right posterior face of the rat’s thigh was shaved.

An incision of approximately seven centimeters was made at the posterior edge of the rat’s right thigh. The femoral biceps and gluteus maximus muscles were lifted, exposing the space through which the sciatic nerve and its branches pass. They were then identified and separated. The fibular nerve was isolated and a paper gauge was placed underneath it. From this moment on, the procedures started to diversify according to the group to which the rat belonged, as follows:

Group 1 – Fresh muscle: In this group of 20 rats, after identification and fibular nerve isolation, a segment of one centimeter was removed. This injury was repaired by using a fresh muscle graft removed from the ipsilateral femoral biceps muscle, compatible with the size and shape of the receptor nerve, and it was fixed with two 9.0 surgical nylon stitches on each of the stumps.

Group 2 – Muscle fixed by formaldehyde: Also with 20 rats; at the moment of the first surgery, a segment of the femoral biceps muscle was removed and fixed in a 10% formaldehyde solution. This muscular tissue was approximately 50% larger than the injury to be treated. After 24 hours the rat was submitted to a second surgery and an injury of one centimeter was produced on the fibular nerve, and it was immediately repaired using part of the muscle that had been fixed by formal the day before.

Before its implantation, the fixed muscular tissue was washed with 100 ml of saline, in which it was left immersed for approximately 60 minutes. For the nerve repair we used the same suture type as in the prior group, removing the excessive tissue in order to obtain a good match between the nerve size and the implanted muscle.

Group 3 – Freezer-frozen muscle: In this group, 20 rats were operated on using the same technique described previously, except that the muscle was fixed by freezing it in a domestic freezer and removal of the tissues for histological exams.

For all groups the rats were observed for an average time of 275 days, with 229 and 328 days being the shortest and longest periods, respectively. This period included the time between the repair procedure, fibular nerve lesion and the date of rats’ sacrifice and removal of the tissues for histological exams.

The rats were sacrificed by a lethal intra-peritoneal anesthetic dose immediately after removal of the tissues to be studied.

In order to perform histological studies, some fragments from the sutured fibular nerve were collected. These fragments were then transversely sliced and EH-dyed and were used to evaluate the nerve’s structural fibers.

The slides were randomized and analyzed in an Olympus CH 40 microscope at objectives of 20, 40, and 100 X; the original magnification was between 200 and 1,000 X.

In order to compare the achieved results, we established a standard for assigning organization grades from 1 through 4 for the nerve cuts such that:

Score # 1: This value was assigned to the cuts that did not show any organization. In these, the axons were dispersed and with little formation of micro-fascicles (Figure 1).

Score # 2: We bestowed this score on cuts with a slightly higher degree of organization. Furthermore, the fascicles were grouped into micro- or small fascicles (Figure 2).

Score # 3: The structural organization in cuts with this score had more definition, with 1 to 3 larger fascicles; the number of axons or micro-fascicles is small (Figure 3).

Score # 4: The histological cuts receiving this score presented a structure similar to the typical nerve. A large fascicle, coated by perineurium and surrounded by axons (Figure 4).

Group 7 – No treatment: Also done with ten rats; although we sectioned the fibular nerve at two different spots, one centimeter apart, to create the same standard injury, this group differed from Group 6 in that no treatment was performed. The nerve stumps were fixed to the adjoining muscle tissue with a single 9.0 surgical nylon stitch.

For all groups the rats were observed for an average time of 275 days, with 229 and 328 days being the shortest and longest periods, respectively. This period included the time between the repair procedure, fibular nerve lesion and the date of rats’ sacrifice and removal of the tissues for histological exams.

The rats were sacrificed by a lethal intra-peritoneal anesthetic dose immediately after removal of the tissues to be studied.

In order to perform histological studies, some fragments from the sutured fibular nerve were collected. These fragments were then transversely sliced and EH-dyed and were used to evaluate the nerve’s structural fibers.

The slides were randomized and analyzed in an Olympus CH 40 microscope at objectives of 20, 40, and 100 X; the original magnification was between 200 and 1,000 X.

In order to compare the achieved results, we established a standard for assigning organization grades from 1 through 4 for the nerve cuts such that:

Score # 1: This value was assigned to the cuts that did not show any organization. In these, the axons were dispersed and with little formation of micro-fascicles (Figure 1).

Score # 2: We bestowed this score on cuts with a slightly higher degree of organization. Furthermore, the fascicles were grouped into micro- or small fascicles (Figure 2).

Score # 3: The structural organization in cuts with this score had more definition, with 1 to 3 larger fascicles; the number of axons or micro-fascicles is small (Figure 3).

Score # 4: The histological cuts receiving this score presented a structure similar to the typical nerve. A large fascicle, coated by perineurium and surrounded by axons (Figure 4).
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Structural analysis – Employed techniques

The objective of the performed analysis was to determine the differences in the organizational structure among the different study groups.

Before initiating the data analysis we tested the type of distribution in our sample based on the principle that the parametric statistical tests evaluate the intra-group differences presuming a normal distribution for each variant tested. It became clear that for at least one group, the “normality” hypothesis should be rejected. Based on this result and the variability of sample size (the number varied between 6 and 18 since there had been rat mortality and technical mishaps at the laboratory that hindered the analysis of all the slides), we chose to analyze differences by the non-parametric Kruskal-Wallis test.

Results

Statistical analysis

The statistical analysis was based upon the group data displayed in the table below (Tables 1 to 4):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>GROUP</th>
<th>#</th>
<th>Average</th>
<th>Standard D.</th>
<th>Minimum</th>
<th>Maximum</th>
<th>CI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>0.676</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1.826; 2.574</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.00; 2.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>0.583</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1.827; 2.401</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>0.323</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.728; 2.050</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.600</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1.087; 1.691</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>3.00</td>
<td>0.707</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2.159; 3.341</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1.50</td>
<td>0.548</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.925; 2.075</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CI = Confidence Interval
TABLE 2 - Kruskal-Wallis analysis of the studied variant

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group Combination</th>
<th>p-value - KW</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>G1/G2 M Seg</td>
<td>&gt; 0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G1/G3 M Seg</td>
<td>&gt; 0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G1/G4 M Seg</td>
<td>&gt; 0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G1/G5 M Seg</td>
<td>&gt; 0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G1/G6 M Seg</td>
<td>&gt; 0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G1/G7 M Seg</td>
<td>&gt; 0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G2/G3 M Seg</td>
<td>&gt; 0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G2/G4 M Seg</td>
<td>&gt; 0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G2/G5 M Seg</td>
<td>&gt; 0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G2/G6 M Seg</td>
<td>&gt; 0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G2/G7 M Seg</td>
<td>&gt; 0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G3/G4 M Seg</td>
<td>&gt; 0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G3/G5 M Seg</td>
<td>&gt; 0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G3/G6 M Seg</td>
<td>&gt; 0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G3/G7 M Seg</td>
<td>&gt; 0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G4/G5 M Seg</td>
<td>&gt; 0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G4/G6 M Seg</td>
<td>&gt; 0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G4/G7 M Seg</td>
<td>&gt; 0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G5/G6 M Seg</td>
<td>&gt; 0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G5/G7 M Seg</td>
<td>&gt; 0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G6/G7 M Seg</td>
<td>&gt; 0.05</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The cells marked in gray refer to the pairs of groups in which a significant difference was observed (p-value < 0.05).

TABLE 3 - KW test produced graduated sequence for the studied variant

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variant</th>
<th>Groups</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>M Seg</td>
<td>G6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>G1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>G3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>G2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>G4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>G7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>G5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

M Seg = Medial Segment. Location of sample removal.

TABLE 4 - Relation between the groups according to KW test

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>p-value - KW</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>G6 ≠ G5</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G6 ≠ G7</td>
<td>&lt; 0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G1 ≠ G5</td>
<td>&lt; 0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G3 ≠ G5</td>
<td>&lt; 0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G6 = G1 = G3 = G2 = G4</td>
<td>&gt; 0.05</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

KW = Kruskal-Wallis

Discussion

The rat was chosen as the experimentation animal for its endurance, ease of treatment and handling and for its frequent use in prior nerve regeneration studies.

Similarly, the sciatic nerve was chosen due to its ease of anatomical positioning and access, as well as the suitability of its length in application of the techniques we aimed to study.

The range of rat observation times, from 229 days up to 328 days, was due to the project’s design, which determined that for Group 5, the treatment would be done with autologous nerve previously removed. Thus, in this group the rats were operated on, initially, to remove the nerve from the left tibial cranial muscle and, after a period that varied from 42 days to 58 days, they received nerveless muscle grafts.

In analyzing the performance of the different nerve repair methods utilized, we noted that for Group 6, in which nerve graft was performed, our “Gold Standard” technique had the best performance.

Given that we could demonstrate significant difference
only between this group and the groups 5, that utilized nerveless muscle, and 7, in which we did not perform any treatment, the propensity shown by the statistical study confirms our expectation of achieving better results in the group submitted to the “Gold Standard” technique.

Although nerve suture is the technique with the best clinical results, the fact that nerve grafts produce regeneration is widely known5,15.

Another important aspect was Group 5’s performance, in which we utilized nerveless muscle to repair the nerve injury. In this group, we initially expected that the muscle degeneration due to nerve removal would facilitate axon migration through the tubules of the basal membrane from the muscle fibers, producing a nerve of good structural organization. This did not happen. Possibly, the degenerative processes to which the grafted muscle was submitted contributed to the disorganization of the basal membrane tubules or to the volume reduction, thus decreasing the organization of the regenerated nerve.

The methodology utilized for the semi-quantitative study of the structure of the EH-dyed nerve fibers in the nerve segment, repaired with muscle, was unable to demonstrate any conclusive differences between the studied groups.

This task shows new possibilities for the study of peripheral nerve substitutes, and, although our study does not speculate about the efficiency of the utilized methods, we understand that this field deserves further investigation in the future.

Conclusion

There has been nerve fiber growth throughout the muscle segment among all the studied groups.
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