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Background: Limitations of endovascular thoracic aneurysm treatment include small, tortuous, or severely calcified iliac arteries. We present our experience with a total laparoscopic access to deploy thoracic endografts.

Methods: A total laparoscopic left retrocolic approach was used in all cases. A Dacron conduit was laparoscopically sutured to either the iliac artery or to the aorta directly. The endograft was inserted through this conduit. After graft deployment, the Dacron prosthesis was tunneled to the groin and anastomosed with the femoral artery.

Results: The laparoscopic procedure could successfully be performed in 11 patients. In six cases, the aorta was used as an access and in five patients, the iliac arteries were preferred. In one of these cases, the right iliac artery was used for deployment of the endograft. After successful aorto- or ilio-femoral bypass grafting, all patients had an improvement of their ankle brachial index postoperatively. The mean operative time was almost four hours, including laparoscopy, laparoscopic anastomosis, endograft deployment, and femoral artery anastomosis or profundaplasty.

Conclusion: Totally laparoscopic assisted graft implantation in aorta or iliac arteries provides a safe and effective access for the endovascular delivery system. However, further evaluation and long follow-up are necessary to ensure the potential advantages of this technique. It is a less invasive option to overcome access-related problems with thoracic endograft deployment, giving the patient the advantage of a totally minimal invasive procedure. (J Vasc Surg 2010;51:504-8.)

Thoracic aortic aneurysms (TAAs) are successfully treated by endovascular techniques (TEVAR),1-4 with the benefit of aneurysm exclusion without the invasiveness of prolonged proximal aortic clamping and direct surgical exposure. However, not all patients with TAAs are eligible for these less invasive endovascular procedures.2,3,5 Limitations include the anatomic morphology of the aneurysm and tortuous or stenotic access arteries.5-7 The femoral artery is the most commonly used access vessel, but the common iliac artery or abdominal aorta can be used in case of anatomic problems.5 The 21-22 F introducer sheaths make deployment in patients with aorto-iliac occlusive disease often difficult or impossible.2,8 An extraanatomic exposure of the aorta or iliac arteries significantly increases the invasiveness of the procedure.6

In the following, we describe our experience with a laparoscopic technique to deploy thoracic endografts. The video endoscopic technique is presented in detail.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Using a regular bypass graft as a conduit, we wanted to obtain a safe and durable access to the iliac arteries or to the aorta as well as a bypass graft to treat the occlusive disease. This could easily be accomplished by tunneling the Dacron graft to the groin and subsequently performing an anastomosis with the femoral artery after introducing the stent graft.

Laparoscopic exposure. For all procedures involving the left iliac artery and the infrarenal aorta, the patient was positioned on a vacuum bag and could be tilted at a 70° slope (Fig 1). As previously described, we prefer a transperitoneal left retrocolic approach similar to the one originally described by Dion as the “Apron technique.”9 Mobilization of the left hemicolon, as originally described by Mattox, was modified by Coggia to facilitate laparoscopic exposure of the aorta.10 Mobilization of the left hemicolon was initiated using the line of Toldt as a landmark.

A retractor was inserted from the right side to retract the small bowel and the left kidney medially (Fig 2). The surgeon, first assistant, and second assistant, holding the
camera, all stood on the right side of the patient during the laparoscopic portion of the procedure (small diagram in Fig 3). A maximum number of six ports were required to expose the aorta or the iliac artery (Fig 3). As can be seen in Fig 1, the Dacron graft was exteriorized through one of the lower ports (F or A). Tunneling to the groin, particularly on the left side, is associated with gas loss and compromised exposure. Therefore, we preferred the technique described though the angle of introduction of the graft into the artery is steeper.

In all cases, an end-to-side anastomosis with a 10 mm preclotted Dacron Graft was performed (Fig 4). Two running sutures secured at the end with a felt pledged as described by Coggia were used to perform the anastomosis. At the end of the procedure after graft deployment, the Dacron graft was tunneled to the groin and anastomosed with the femoral artery. For thoracic endograft deployment, the Dacron graft was accessed with a 5F sheath after direct puncture and a super stiff wire was placed in the ascending aorta. The patient was repositioned and C-Arm fluoroscopy was used to obtain multiple oblique angles of the proximal landing zone. Without removing the trocars, the endograft was inserted through the Dacron graft and deployed. Simultaneously, passage of the graft through the anastomosis was controlled with the laparoscopic camera (Fig 5). After deployment of the thoracic endograft, the Dacron prosthesis was cut to length and after tunneling to the groin, the distal anastomosis with the femoral artery was performed.

RESULTS

The laparoscopic procedure could successfully be performed in all 11 patients. In six cases, the aorta was used as an access and in five patients, the iliac arteries were preferred (Fig 6). In one of these cases, the right iliac artery was used for deployment of the endograft. In four patients, a transbrachial wire was placed and pulled out through the prosthesis to facilitate passage of the endograft through a
kinked aorta and iliac arteries. After successful aorto-or ilio-femoral bypass grafting, all patients had an improvement of their ankle brachial index postoperatively (Table I).

The mean operative time was almost four hours including laparoscopy, laparoscopic anastomosis, endograft deployment, and femoral artery anastomosis or profunda-plasty. Laparoscopy time was dependent on the access site. The mean period of time to expose the aorta laparoscopically was 136.8 minutes (range, 70-195 minutes) compared with 62.6 minutes (range, 48.0-85.0 minutes) when the anastomosis had to be performed with the external or common iliac artery (Table II).

**DISCUSSION**

Unobstructed transluminal arterial access to the thoracic aorta remains an important necessity for endoluminal repair of thoracic aneurysms and accounts for a substantial amount of technical failures. Extensive iliac artery calcification and occlusive disease often precludes femoral access for TEVAR. In an international survey of thoracic aortic stent-grafting, primary access other than the common femoral artery was required in approximately 15% of TEVAR cases. A recent retrospective study showed that 26% of TAAs were unsuitable for endovascular repair because of anatomic limitations and, in 33%, access limitations were a major reason.

Inadequate iliofemoral access can be overcome by using an appropriately sized Dacron conduit. Retroperitoneal dissection and placement of a prosthetic iliac conduit is the most commonly used option to overcome the limitations of iliac disease, but this requires major surgical exposure associated with significant trauma and side effects. As a consequence, the patient cannot fully benefit from the reduced invasiveness of the endovascular procedure.

Retroperitoneal dissection accounts for a significant morbidity. Lee et al found a 2.6-fold greater blood loss, 82% longer procedure time, 1.5-day longer hospital length of stay, and 1.8-fold higher risk of perioperative complications when retroperitoneal procedures were performed rather than standard femoral exposure. Data on incisional

---

**Table I. Patient demographics**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Minimum</th>
<th>Maximum</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mean age (yrs)</td>
<td>70.9</td>
<td>59-81</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diameter AA (cm)</td>
<td>6.1</td>
<td>5.0-8.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iliac access</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aortic access</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brachial wire</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ABI preop.</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>0.5-0.9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ABI postop.</td>
<td>0.84</td>
<td>0.7-1.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table II. Perioperative data**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Mean value</th>
<th>Minimum</th>
<th>Maximum</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total operative time (min)</td>
<td>236.1</td>
<td>190</td>
<td>298</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Laparoscopy time (min)</td>
<td>120.3</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>180</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>x. clamp time (min)</td>
<td>55.6</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>110</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ICU stay (days)</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hospital stay (days)</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>9.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Fig 5.** Insertion of stent graft into Dacron conduit.

**Fig 6.** Different sites of end-site anastomosis with the Dacron graft.
pain, lumbosacral neuritic pain, incisional hernia, and deforming abdominal bulge after retroperitoneal exposure have been published. In a retrospective study, Honig et al reported a 2.8% incidence of abdominal bulge, a 7% incidence of incisional hernia, and, more importantly, a 37% incidence of prolonged disabling pain. 11 In a more recent paper, the authors could show that retroperitoneal incisions were associated with severe rectus abdominis muscle atrophy. 12

A less invasive alternative can be percutaneous transluminal angioplasty of the iliac arteries or serial dilatation of the iliac arteries with hydrophilic dilators. 1 These often allow passage of delivery sheaths used during TEVAR, but an aggressive angioplasty is often needed for these large sheaths to pass, which can lead to iliac artery dissection or even iliac artery rupture. 1, 7

As a minimally invasive technique, laparoscopic aortic surgery was shown to be safe and effective in abdominal aortic reconstructions. 13-19 Aortic and iliac artery exposure and control are safe and effective during the entire procedure when dedicated laparoscopic vascular instruments are used, preventing any inadvertent bleeding. 5, 16 The learning curve of a laparoscopic anastomosis with the iliac artery is less steep compared with a total laparoscopic aneurysm resection or with the anastomosis of a bifurcated graft with the infrarenal aorta. 14, 17 Exposure of the aorta where necessary can be performed in a more limited way; in some cases, the laparoscopic anastomosis can be performed just proximal to the aortic bifurcation without the need for further extensive dissection and mobilization of the left kidney. 9, 10 Preoperative angiography, computed tomography or magnetic resonance angiography determined in combination with the clinical examination whether the aorta or the iliac artery were chosen as an access for TEVAR. When in doubt the aorta was preferred. There was a short learning curve of two cases with this laparoscopic procedure until the laparoscopic equipment as well as the C-arm and the angio operating table were positioned in an optimal way. Similar to a conventional approach to the right iliac artery from the left side, laparoscopic exposure of the right iliac artery was more challenging and took longer compared with the left iliac artery. In cases where the left iliac artery is unsuitable, we now prefer the aorta close to the bifurcation, which, in our experience, permits more expeditious exposure and allows for a faster laparoscopic anastomosis.

The use of a special conduit as described by Fearn et al would greatly facilitate the procedure. 20 In a porcine model, they used a curved hollow needle, a partially stented Dacron conduit, an airtight laparoscopic port, and a sealing sheath and valve specifically developed for percutaneous access through the abdominal wall. The conduit was inserted over the guide wire after needle removal and deployed under fluoroscopy. The distal end of the conduit was secured by the sealing sheath and valve, enabling wire and catheter exchange thereafter. Yet this elegant and simple solution requires a strong interest from industry to manufacture such a device before wider use can be anticipated.

The operating time in our series is still significantly longer compared with the time required for an extraperitoneal approach and an anastomosis under direct vision. 13, 14, 19 In this subset of patients, the laparoscopic approach described seemed to be a more straightforward procedure than performing femoral and iliac artery thrombendarterectomy or a hybrid open/endovascular procedure.

Totally laparoscopic-assisted graft implantation in aorta or iliac arteries provides a safe and effective access for the endovascular delivery system. However, further evaluation and long follow-up are necessary to ensure the potential advantages of this technique. A prospective comparison of laparoscopic assisted TEVAR versus a standard retroperitoneal access will be required to assess the role of this technique as an adjunct to endovascular aneurysm exclusion, though we know from several studies that the immunological consequences and late sequelae of multiple ports are significantly less compared with a conventional often muscle splitting incision. The retroperitoneal approach as such does not necessarily offer advantages over a transperitoneal exposure. 21-23

Laparoscopic-assisted TEVAR is a less invasive option to overcome access related problems with thoracic endograft deployment, giving the patient the advantage of a totally minimal invasive procedure.
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