Interindividual variations in fruit preferences of the yellow-shouldered bat *Sturnira lilium* (Chiroptera: Phyllostomidae) in a cafeteria experiment

Abstract: In studies on frugivory and seed dispersal, it is frequently assumed that individual frugivores of the same population behave as equivalents. However, there is growing evidence from dietary studies pointing out that, in many natural populations, individuals use different subsets of the total resource pool. As heterogeneity in foraging behavior and food selection may affect the outcome of the seed dispersal process, we tested whether yellow-shouldered bats *Sturnira lilium* (E. Geoffroy St.-Hilaire, 1810), the key neotropical seed dispersers, show interindividual variations in fruit preferences. Thirty individuals were submitted to cafeteria trials in a flight tent, when they were offered fruits of *Solanum variabile* Mart. (Solanaceae) *Cecropia pachystachya* Trec. (Urticaceae), and *Piper aduncum* L. (Piperaceae), which belong to the favorite genera consumed by *S. lilium*. Although *S. variabile* had the highest consumption rates on average, there were variations among individuals in the fruits consumed in the second and third places. These findings, together with interindividual differences in foraging areas observed in the same population, may be interpreted as preliminary evidence of individual specialization. As a possible consequence, frugivorous bats of the same population, despite being all legitimate dispersers, may differ in their efficiency.
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Introduction

Seed dispersal is a vital ecosystem function (Isbell et al. 2011), especially in the tropics, where approximately 90% of the tree species depend on animals for their sexual reproduction (Muscarella and Fleming 2007). The first stage of seed dispersal by animals is fruit choice, which follows a hierarchy of mechanisms at different scales, from the plant species to the fruits of an individual plant (Sallabanks 1993). Therefore, decisions made by frugivores at this stage determine the outcome of the whole seed dispersal process, and we need to understand some key aspects, such as which fruit species are preferred by a given frugivore, where are most seeds carried to, and how many of them establish and reproduce (Wang and Smith 2002). It is also important to understand fruit choice at different organization scales, from the whole frugivore community to the individuals within a population (Mello et al. 2011).

However, most of what is known about seed dispersal is based on the community and population levels, as many studies in Ecology assume that individuals of the same population are ecologically equivalent (Bolnick et al. 2003). Growing evidence points out, though, that many natural populations are composed of individuals that use different subsets of the resource pool used by the population as a whole (Bolnick et al. 2002), and this may be true for seed dispersers, too. An individual whose niche is substantially narrower than the population’s niche, due to factors that are not related to sex, age, size, or morphotype, is an individual specialist (Bolnick et al. 2003). Evidences of individual specialization have been found in populations of several animals, such as mollusks (West 1986), birds (Smith 1990), otters (Estes et al. 2003), and fish (Araújo et al. 2008). Direct and indirect evidence of interindividual variations in foraging, roosting, and diet has been also obtained in populations of insectivorous bats (Cryan et al. 2012), bird-eating bats (Fortuna et al. 2009), and fishing bats (Barclay 1985). Nevertheless, only one
single study, so far, assessed individual specialization in a population of frugivorous bats (Rogeri 2011). Individual specialization has important implications for ecological interactions and evolutionary processes because, when individuals of the same population make different sets of interactions, this may result in polymorphism (Werner and Sherry 1986, Araújo et al. 2008) or, ultimately, in sympatric speciation (Bolnick et al. 2003).

The stenodermatine bat *Sturnira lilium* (E. Geoffroy St.-Hilaire, 1810) has a broad geographic distribution. In South America, it is found in a variety of habitats (Gannon et al. 1989) and feeds on at least 80 plant species of 20 families, although it shows a preference for Solanaceae, observed in studies based on fecal analysis and direct observation (Uieda and Vasconcellos-Neto 1985, Marinho-Filho 1991, Giannini 1999, Passos et al. 2003, Mello et al. 2008a, Lobova et al. 2009). In general, bats of the subfamily Stenodermatinae (Chiroptera: Phyllostomidae), specialized frugivores (Rojas et al. 2011), are good models to study individual specialization because many species in this group have a broad diet, but the set of items consumed by a given species varies among local populations (Lobova et al. 2009). Considering this feeding plasticity, it is reasonable to assume variations also within a population. These characteristics make *S. lilium* a good model for studying interindividual variations. Furthermore, these bats are considered as legitimate and efficient seed dispersers, as they do not harm seeds and transport them over several hectares in a single night (Mello et al. 2008b). They can feed almost entirely on fruits (Herrera et al. 2001), although most previous studies were based only on fecal analysis, and it remains unclear if fruits of Solanaceae are the most frequently observed in the natural diet of *S. lilium* due to preference or opportunism (Mello et al. 2008a). In one of the few experimental studies with this species carried out in a Costa Rican rainforest, Bonaccorso and Gush (1987) offered fruits of the genera *Piper* and *Cecropia* to *S. lilium*, which did not show any selectivity; however, fruits of Solanaceae were not included in their experiment.

Evidence of individual specialization in the use of foraging areas in the same population of *Sturnira lilium* analyzed in the present study was obtained by Rogeri (2011), who observed that different individuals flew more frequently within some habitat types than expected based on the relative area occupied by these habitat types in our study area. These differences among individuals in the use of space may be a consequence of differences in fruit preferences and uneven distribution of food-plants. Therefore, in the present study, we made the first test of the hypothesis of individual specialization in fruit preferences in a *S. lilium* population. If this hypothesis is correct, we expected that different individuals should have different rankings of preference for fruits of the main plant genera consumed by *S. lilium* in the study area, if offered equal amounts of these fruits. We also expected to find Solanaceae fruits always at the first place in the ranking, as currently assumed based on fecal analysis.

### Materials and methods

#### Study area

Our study was carried out in a cerrado remnant in a reserve within the campus of the Federal University of São Carlos (UFSCar), São Carlos, state of São Paulo, southeastern Brazil (21°58′S, 47°52′W). The cerrado biome, also known as Brazilian savanna, is considered as one of the world’s biodiversity hotspots (Myers et al. 2000). The study area is composed of a mosaic of cerrados, gallery forests, plantations of *Pinus* and *Eucalyptus*, anthropogenic areas such as dams, trails, and abandoned fields, and semi-deciduous forests around the reserve (Paese 1997). The climate is classified as Cwa (tropical of altitude) according to the Köppen system (Center of weather research CEPAGRI – UNICAMP).

#### Bat capture and handling

We captured wild individuals of *Sturnira lilium* in gallery forest and forest edges within the reserve. These bats were used in cafeteria trials inside a flight tent. In the capture and handling of bats, we followed the guidelines for the care and use of mammals in research suggested by the American Society of Mammalogists (Sikes et al. 2011). Fieldwork was carried out under research permits granted by the Chico Mendes Institute for Conservation and Biodiversity (SISBIO #11093-2, #19335-1). Our study was also approved by the Committee for Ethics in Animal Research of UFSCar (#013/2007), and access to the reserve was granted by the Campus Administration of UFSCar (#022/07 DISG/PU).

Captures were made with mist nets (model 716/7P; 7×2, 5 m; denier 70/2, mesh 16×16 mm; Ecotone Inc., Gdynia, Poland) set up for 6 h from sunset, as neotropical frugivorous bats tend to concentrate their activity in the first hours of the night (Aguiar and Marinho-Filho 2004, Esbérard and Bergallo 2005). Therefore, all bats submitted to the experiment were captured before 22:00 h, and the experiment was always started before midnight.
Bats were identified using a combination of taxonomic keys (Vizotto and Taddei 1973, Gannon et al. 1989, Emmons and Feer 1997, Gardner 2007). Voucher specimens were deposited in the mammal collection of the Zoology Museum of São Paulo University. The two *Sturnira* species that occur in the area, *Sturnira lilium* and *Sturnira tildae*, were distinguished through tooth morphology, muzzle shape, and forearm length (following Simmons and Voss 1998). To create adequate conditions for bats in captivity, even considering short stays of 2 nights at most, we followed recommendations given in specialized publications (Barnard 1995) and by the specialists, Susan Barnard and Ariovaldo Cruz-Neto.

**Cafeteria trials**

For the cafeteria trials, we built a flight tent (a 2×2×3 m wooden structure, covered with shading material with a density of 50%) in the experimental garden of the Botanical Department of UFSCar. We offered, one individual at a time, the fruits of the species *Cecropia pachystachya* Trec. (Urticaceae), *Piper aduncum* L. (Piperaceae), and *Solanum variabile* Mart. (Solanaceae), which belong to the main plant genera recorded in the diet of *Sturnira lilium* all over its geographic range (Mello et al. 2008a). We chose these species for the experiment because they are the most abundant of their respective genera in the study area (Rogeri 2011) and have already been recorded in the diet of *S. lilium* (Lobova et al. 2009). Furthermore, these plants follow a steady-state fruiting strategy (sensu Gentry 1974), as typical zoochoric plants offering a reduced number of ripe fruits per day in several months. So all three species were available throughout the year. It would not have been feasible to carry out the cafeteria trials with additional plant species, as each species has a different timing of fructification in the area, which makes it virtually impossible to have enough amounts of ripe fruits of several species on all experimental rounds.

We sliced each fruit or infructescence in pieces and placed them on separate dishes on the floor inside the flight tent. In preliminary experiments carried out in the same area with the species *Artibeus lituratus*, *Carollia perspicillata*, and *Sturnira lilium*, we observed that *S. lilium* bats respond better to cafeteria trials, when fruits are offered sliced and on dishes, instead of in their original shape in a set up simulating natural conditions. For instance, Andrade (2008) used 13 *S. lilium* in his cafeteria trials simulating natural conditions (whole fruits hung on tree branches inside the flight tent), but only one individual fed on the fruits. The reasons for that are unclear, but the percentage of bats that reacted to our experiment was much higher. Better responses, using sliced fruits in flight tent experiments with small phyllostomids, have also been obtained by Thies (1998). Furthermore, we wanted to make the fruits of different species more comparable, by controlling form and presentation, as these two factors affect fruit choice (Kalko and Condon 1998). Fruits were offered in equal amounts per night, according to their availability in the field. The amount of fruits varied for some individuals: for 26 individuals, we offered at least 7 g of each fruit species, and for only four individuals, we offered between 3 and 7 g; the total amount varied from 3 to 25 g of each fruit species (mean=12.51±1.49). We used only fresh and ripe fruits in the experiment, collected on the same day when they were used; fruit ripeness was assessed visually through color and texture.

To start the experiment, we released one bat inside the tent, hanging it on the top, opposite to the entrance, 1.8 m distant from the dishes. There were no perches inside the flight tent, and the bats could easily hang on its top. The dishes with fruits were placed on the ground, each kind of fruit on a separate dish at fixed positions aligned 10 cm distant from each other, were always *Cecropia pachystachya*, *Piper aduncum*, and *Solanum variabile*. Temperature and humidity in the flight tent were not controlled, and experiments with different individuals were made on different days and in different months, from January 2010 to April 2011, during all seasons. Bats stayed in the flight tent for 1 whole day and were marked and released on the following night. After each experimental round (1 night), we weighed the amount of fruits remaining and subtracted it later from the amount offered in each dish, in order to calculate the consumption rate (amount consumed/amount offered in g). The activity of each individual was filmed in infrared for 1 h with a handheld Sony mini-DV camcorder (model DCR-HC26 with nightshot function), in order to record additional details of feeding behavior.

Thirty bats were subjected to a single round of the experiment (1 night), in order to test our main working hypothesis. Additionally, 11 bats were subjected to a second round of the experiment in the following night, in order to test whether fruit choice was consistent for each individual.

**Statistical analysis**

First, we considered, in our experimental design, the main factors assumed to cause differences among individuals (Bolnick et al. 2003): age, sex, and morphotype. In
a preselection of individuals, we checked their sex, age, reproductive status, forearm length, and weight (following Kunz 1988). We controlled for age in the experiment by using only adults (bats with all wing epiphyses ossified, following Kunz 1988); reproductive individuals were also excluded. Another factor suggested by Bolnick et al. (2003), morphotype, does not vary in *Sturnira lilium*, as all *S. lilium* have the same morphology, and there is also no marked sexual dimorphism in this species (Gannon et al. 1989).

To test the hypothesis of individual specialization, we used a two-step procedure. First, to test whether bats consumed different amounts of the three fruit species, we calculated a repeated measures general linear mixed-effects model with bootstrapping (10,000 iterations). We chose a repeated measures model, in order to consider the consumption rates of *Cecropia*, *Piper*, and *Solanum* of each individual as non-independent treatments. In our mixed model, the response variable was fruit consumption rate (consumption), the fixed variables were fruit species (fruit) and sex of the bat (sex), and the random variables were body mass (mass) and amount of fruits offered (amount). Therefore, we assumed that fruit consumption rate depended mainly on fruit species, but could also differ between sexes; the amount of fruits consumed by a given individual could be also affected at a minor degree by its body mass (larger bats eat supposedly a higher amount of fruits) and by the amount of fruits offered. In order to test for the consistency of fruit choice by individual bats, we analyzed data for 11 bats that underwent the experiment twice, using an additional repeated measures general linear mixed-effects model with bootstrapping (10,000 iterations), in which the response variable was fruit consumption rate (consumption), and the fixed variables were fruit species (fruit) and experimental round (round). On average, the 30 *Sturnira lilium* bats used in the experiment weighed 21±8 g (Table 1).

Second, to test for interindividual variations in consumption rates of the three fruit species, we used an analysis of complementary specialization based on network theory: the *H*′ index of Blüthgen et al. (2006). This index measures, in a bipartite network, how much the vertices differ from each other in their weighed pattern of connections. Values of *H*′ range from zero (all vertices are marked sexual dimorphism in this species (Gannon et al. 1989).

Individual bats were drawn in the package bipartite 1.17 for R (Dormann et al. 2008), to calculate the index *H*′. The significance of *H*′ was estimated with an online software written by Nils Blüthgen and Nico Blüthgen (http://rxc.sys-bio.net/).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Individual Sex</th>
<th>Weight (g)</th>
<th>Number of experimental rounds</th>
<th>Amount of fruits offered (g)</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Month</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 F</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>2011</td>
<td>January</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 M</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>2011</td>
<td>January</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 M</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>2010</td>
<td>April</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 M</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>2010</td>
<td>May</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 M</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>2011</td>
<td>January</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 M</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>2010</td>
<td>August</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 M</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>2010</td>
<td>August</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 F</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>2010</td>
<td>July</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9 F</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>2010</td>
<td>November</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 M</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>2010</td>
<td>May</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11 M</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>2010</td>
<td>November</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12 M</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>2010</td>
<td>October</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13 F</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>2011</td>
<td>January</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14 F</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>2010</td>
<td>June</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15 F</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>2010</td>
<td>July</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16 M</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>2011</td>
<td>January</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17 F</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>2011</td>
<td>January</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18 M</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>2010</td>
<td>October</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19 M</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>2010</td>
<td>November</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20 M</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>2011</td>
<td>January</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21 M</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>2011</td>
<td>January</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22 M</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>2011</td>
<td>January</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23 M</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>2011</td>
<td>February</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24 F</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>2011</td>
<td>February</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25 M</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>2011</td>
<td>February</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26 M</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>2011</td>
<td>February</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27 F</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>2011</td>
<td>January</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28 M</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>2010</td>
<td>November</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29 M</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>2011</td>
<td>February</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30 F</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>2011</td>
<td>March</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
using a Monte Carlo procedure with 10,000 randomizations based on the null model Patefield (the weight of a link is proportional to its row and column totals). We analyzed $H_{2}'$ for the complete network with all three fruit species and also for the network without *Solanum variabile*, in case the mixed model showed significant differences in consumption rates among fruit species. We did it so because it was possible that *S. variabile*, supposedly the preferred species (Mello et al. 2008a), could mask interindividual variations in consumption rates of the other two fruit species.

Percentages (e.g., fruit consumption rates) were arcsine transformed prior to analysis. All statistical analyses followed those of Zar (1996) and Manly (2007) and were made in SPSS 20.0 for Mac.

## Results

Between January 2010 and April 2011, we used 30 *Sturnira lilium* bats in the cafeteria trials (20 males and 10 females). All the bats responded positively to the cafeteria trials. As the experimental rounds were started, the bats stayed near the dishes where they had been placed, before starting to fly in circles ca. 1.5 m above the ground. They waited for only 15–40 min to start feeding. After a bat first landed on the ground, it limited its activity to short flights at lower heights, inspecting the dishes. Then, it landed on the dish or reached the dish crawling and, then, started to feed, usually eating right on the ground or picking a piece of fruit and eating it at the cage's top. Bats did not necessarily land more frequently near the dish with their preferred fruit. This pattern was observed in all the individuals. Bats fed on 58±32% (mean±s.d.) of the *Cecropia pachystachya* fruits, 46±30% of the *Piper aduncum* fruits, and 80±30% of the *S. variabile* fruits. The first mixed model (N=30, $r^2$=0.22, p=0.005, Power=0.94) pointed out that *Solanum variabile* were the preferred fruits on average (fruit: $F$=8.75, $\eta^2$=0.18, p<0.001) and that sex (sex: $F$=1.20, $\eta^2$=0.01, p=0.28), body mass (mass: $F$=0.60, $\eta^2$=0.01, p=0.44), and amount of fruits offered (amount: $F$=1.50, $\eta^2$=0.02, p=0.22) played no role in fruit selection (Figure 1). The second mixed model (N=11, $r^2$=0.11, p=0.05, Power=0.75) pointed out that there were no differences in fruit choice between the first and the second experimental rounds (round: $F$=1.84, $\eta^2$=0.03, p=0.18) for the 11 bats tested twice, with *S. variabile* being always the preferred fruit species (fruit: $F$=5.05, $\eta^2$=0.15, p=0.009).

The complete network with all 30 individual bats and three fruit species showed a low and nonsignificant level of specialization ($H_{2}'=0.05$, p=0.27) (Figure 2). However, the network without *Solanum variabile*, the preferred species according to the mixed model, showed a higher and significant level of specialization ($H_{2}'=0.09$, p=0.04), evidencing interindividual differences in the consumption of *Cecropia pachystachya* and *Piper aduncum*.

## Discussion

Results of our experiment carried out with bats from a wild population of *Sturnira lilium* provided the first evidence of interindividual variations in fruit preferences among the phyllostomid bats. Although most individuals tested in the cafeteria trials fed on at least two fruit species and, on average, preferred fruits of *Solanum variabile* in the first place, they differed in their secondary preferences for fruits of the species *Cecropia pachystachya* and *Piper aduncum*. Probably, individuals of this population do also differ in how they complement their natural diets, what may have great importance in times of scarcity of their preferred fruits. Therefore, our findings may be interpreted as preliminary evidence of individual specialization in fruit preferences in *S. lilium*.

The observed preference of *Sturnira lilium* for a fruit to the detriment of other fruit species does not corroborate the experimental results obtained by Bonaccorso and...
Gush (1987), who observed no selectivity in this species when offered fruits of *Ficus* and *Muntingia*. Moreover, Bonaccorso and Gush (1987) suggested that *S. lilium* could be an extreme generalist among obligate frugivores. However, in their study, no *Solanum* fruits were offered at all, and only one individual was tested. In turn, we observed a strong preference of *S. lilium* for the fruits of *Solanum*, what has been observed in several dietary studies under natural conditions based on fecal analysis (e.g., Marinho-Filho 1991, Giannini 1999, Mello et al. 2008a, Lobova et al. 2009, Sánchez et al. 2012). It is interesting to notice that the preference for *Solanum* observed in the present study was as marked as the previously cited studies based on the fecal samples collected in the natural habitat, even though we offered sliced fruits to the bats, which evidences the strong association between *S. lilium* and *Solanum*. Furthermore, the results obtained were consistent not only for one experimental round, but for two consecutive rounds, which increases their reliability.

As there was no effect of the amount of fruits offered on their consumption rates, probably, when the fruits are offered in a standardized way (sliced and in equal amounts), the energetic costs of different fruit species become similar, and *S. lilium* bats feel free to focus on their favorite fruits, although they still consume other fruits. Therefore, as *lilium* bats can feed almost exclusively on fruits in some localities (Thomas 1984, Mello et al. 2008a), they probably need to diversify the plant species included in their natural diet, in order to meet their nutritional requirements. Diet complementation has already been observed in other stenodermatine bats, which, for instance, need to drink muddy water frequently to obtain important minerals that are not abundant in fruits (Bravo et al. 2010).

Like all stenodermatines, *Sturnira lilium* is a specialized frugivore (Mello et al. 2008a). The species of *Sturnira* seem to have a strong preference for *Solanum* and to be the main seed dispersers of some species of this genus (Lobova et al. 2009), although there are *Solanum* species that are dispersed mainly by birds or by different vectors combined (Jacomassa and Pizo 2010). In addition, although the toxicity of *Solanum variabile* is low (Antonio et al. 2004), future studies could investigate which morphological and physiological adaptations or exaptations allow *Sturnira* bats to explore other species of *Solanum* that contain toxic secondary compounds, so that these fruits can be the core of their diet.

Interindividual differences in the preference for secondary and tertiary fruits, although small, probably mean that fruits of the genera *Cecropia* and *Piper* may be equally important to the population as a whole, but differences occur at the individual level. We suggest that these differences in fruit consumption be explained by differences in

---

**Figure 2** Most individual bats of the *Sturnira lilium* population preferred to feed on fruits of *Solanum variabile*, when offered together with two other fruit species in cafeteria trials (A). However, there were some interindividual variations in the preference for secondary and tertiary fruits of *Cecropia pachystachya* and *Piper aduncum* (B). Each line represents an individual bat used in the experimental rounds.
the efficiency of obtaining or digesting each kind of fruit and may be even a mechanism of competition relaxation, but these mechanisms have not been investigated in the present study. Learning could also play an important role, as mothers teach their young how to find fruits, and so they may influence their future preferences as adults (Altringham 1996). The consistency of those differences as well as the mechanisms behind them remains to be studied in the future. In addition, considering the interindividual differences observed (Figure 2) and the positive responses to cafeteria trials, it would be interesting for future studies to investigate nestedness in individual diets. In other words, in some natural populations, individuals may not only feed on different subsets of the total resource pool. Sometimes, individuals with a narrower diet feed on a subset of the items consumed by individuals with a broader diet, what could be interpreted as evidence of an optimal diet (Howell and Hartl 1980, Pyke 1984), as the items in the population’s diet could be ranked according to their cost-benefit relationship from preferred to occasional. The most important items would be present in the diets of most individuals, while the least consumed items would appear only in the diet of the most generalistic individuals (see, for instance, Pires et al. 2011).

Finally, we conclude that interindividual variations in diet may be one of the mechanisms behind differences in foraging areas observed in the same population (Rogeri 2011). Different habitats can be safe or unsafe for seed germination and seedling establishment, and the density of the three plant species used in the experiment varies largely among habitat types in the study area (Rogeri 2011). If different individuals have different fruit preference, and these differences lead them to forage in different habitats, where their preferred fruits are more abundant, ultimately, individual specialization in diet and use of foraging areas can lead to differences among individuals in dispersal efficiency (Schupp et al. 2010). The consequences for the seed dispersal service rendered by these bats probably depend on how strong these interindividual variations are and on the proportion of safe and unsafe habitats where the seeds are carried to.
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