Cardiovascular Assessment of Patients with Ullrich-Turner’s Syndrome on Doppler Echocardiography and Magnetic Resonance Imaging


Botucatu, SP - Brazil

Objective - To assess the cardiovascular features of Ullrich-Turner’s syndrome using echocardiography and magnetic resonance imaging, and to correlate them with the phenotype and karyotype of the patients. The diagnostic concordance between the 2 methods was also assessed.

Methods - Fifteen patients with the syndrome were assessed by echocardiography and magnetic resonance imaging (cardiac chambers, valves, and aorta). Their ages ranged from 10 to 28 (mean of 16.7) years. The karyotype was analyzed in 11 or 25 metaphases of peripheral blood lymphocytes, or both.

Results - The most common phenotypic changes were short stature and spontaneous absence of puberal development (100%); 1 patient had a cardiac murmur. The karyotypes detected were as follows: 45,X (n=7), mosaics (n=5), and deletions (n=3). No echocardiographic changes were observed. In regard to magnetic resonance imaging, coarctation and dilation of the aorta were found in 1 patient, and isolated dilation of the aorta was found in 4 patients.

Conclusion - The frequencies of coarctation and dilation of the aorta detected on magnetic resonance imaging were similar to those reported in the literature (5.5% to 20%, and 6.3% to 29%, respectively). This confirmed the adjuvant role of magnetic resonance imaging to Doppler echocardiography for diagnosing cardiovascular alterations in patients with Ullrich-Turner’s syndrome.

Keywords: Doppler echocardiography, magnetic resonance imaging, Turner’s syndrome

Cardiovascular abnormalities were reported in 55% of patients with Ullrich-Turner’s syndrome, mainly coarctation of the aorta (5.5 to 20%), aortic dilation (12.5 to 29%), aortic aneurysm, and bicuspid aortic valve. Due to the great morbidity and mortality of the cardiovascular changes associated with Ullrich-Turner’s syndrome, their early diagnosis is necessary. Doppler echocardiography has been the most used complementary method for detecting them. However, the limitation of this method in assessing the thoracic aorta is well known. In this regard, magnetic resonance imaging has the advantage of providing integral visualization of the thoracic aorta.

The etiology of these abnormalities is unknown. Most of the time, the associations between karyotype and phenotypic characteristics, including cardiovascular alterations, are questionable.

The objective of the present study was to assess cardiovascular alterations in patients with Ullrich-Turner’s syndrome using Doppler echocardiography and thoracic magnetic resonance imaging, and to correlate their phenotypic and karyotypic characteristics, assessing concordance and discordance of the results with the 2 methods.

Methods

Fifteen patients with Ullrich-Turner’s syndrome were assessed. Their ages ranged from 10 to 28 (mean of 16.7±4.0) years. Six patients were being treated with growth hormone and 6 with estrogen. Written informed consent was obtained.

The karyotype was analyzed in 11 or 25 metaphases of peripheral lymphocytes (G chromosome banding).

Doppler echocardiography was performed and analyzed by the same observer using the SONOS 2000 device (Hewlett-Packard Medical Systems, Andover, MA, USA). To measure the cardiovascular structures (left ventricular diastolic and systolic diameters, left ventricular wall thickness, and aortic root and left atrial diameters), a routine
Doppler echocardiographic study was performed according to the recommendations of the American Society of Echocardiography. We obtained images in the one-dimensional mode with the ultrasound beam oriented by the in vivo 2-dimensional mode, using the transducer of 3.5 MHz in the short axis parasternal position. The 2-dimensional examination was also used for detecting congenital abnormalities of the heart. For flow analysis, we used the same transducer, operating at 2.7 MHz of frequency.

Magnetic resonance imaging was performed with the General Electric-CGR device (MR MAX of 0.5 Tesla, France). Imaging of the cardiovascular structures was obtained coupled with the electrocardiogram using spin-echo imaging sequences weighted in T1 with an echo time of 20ms and repetition time determined by heart rate. To assess cardiac dimensions and ventricular wall thickness, we obtained images in the transverse and short cardiac axis planes, the latter perpendicular to the long cardiac axis. We also performed a sequence in the oblique sagittal plane, containing the ascending aorta, the aortic arch, and the descending aorta. The sections were 7-mm thick by 10-mm increment, and the measurements of the ascending and descending aorta were taken in the same plane in the axial sections, 1 cm above the aortic root, close to the emergence of the right pulmonary artery. The aortic dimensions were compared with the normal values for age. The expected values for the measurements of the ascending and descending segments were calculated based on the regression function established by Fitzgerald et al. using cardiac computerized tomography. In the comparative analyses, in addition to the patient’s chronologic age, we also considered the patient’s statural age, which is the age corresponding to the patient’s chronologic age, we also considered the patient’s statural age, which is the age corresponding to the patient’s height assessed according to the method of Marcondes et al. 4

According to the results of magnetic resonance imaging, the patients were divided into the following groups: normal, aortic dilation, and coarctation of the aorta.

Statistical analysis was performed using Student t test for unpaired sample, and Fisher exact test for comparing frequencies, considering p<0.05 as significant.

## Results

The patients had the following karyotypes: monosomy: 45,X−7 (46.7%) patients; mosaicism: 45,X/46,XX−3 (20%) patients; 45,X/46,X,r(X)−1 (6.7%) patient; 45,X/46,X,i(Xq)−1 (6.7%) patient; deletions: 46,Xi(Xq)−2 (13.2%) patients; deletions: 46,Xi(Xq)−2 (13.2%) patients; 45,X/46,XX−3 (20%) patients; 46,X,Xp9qh−1 (6.7%) patient.

The most common phenotypic characteristics were low height and absence of spontaneous puberal development (100%). The other phenotypic alterations according to the karyotype are shown in table I.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>Normal (n:10)</th>
<th>Dilation (n:4)</th>
<th>Dilation/ CoAo (n:1)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age in years (mean±SD)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight in kg (mean±SD)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height in cm (mean±SD)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height Z-score</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body surface area (m²)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nevi (n)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ogival palate (n)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Breast hypertelorism (n)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low posterior hairline (n)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Short neck (n)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Webbed neck (n)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cardiac murmur (n)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arterial hypertension (n)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hydronephrosis (n)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proteinuria (n)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CoAo: coarctation of the aorta; n: number of patients.

In regard to chronologic age, we observed that the measurements of the ascending and descending aortic segments were considered, no significant differences were detected between the vascular measurements reported (tab. V).

According to the diagnostic criterion of aortic dilation on magnetic resonance imaging, and according to Fitzgerald et al., were lower when compared with those of healthy children of the same age. However, when the statural ages of these children were considered, no significant differences were detected between the vascular measurements reported (tab. V).

The remaining patients, are shown in tables I, II, and III. Clinically, this patient with coarctation of the aorta had a greater statural deviation as compared with the height expected for her age (z score of −6.1 versus −3.4±1.0) and a systolic murmur detected in the aortic area. The vascular alterations according to the karyotype are shown in table IV.

No patient had cardiovascular disease on Doppler echocardiography (tab. II).

In a patient with 45,X karyotype and a normal echocardiogram, coarctation of the aorta with poststenotic dilation was detected on magnetic resonance imaging (fig. 1). Her clinical and imaging characteristics, compared with those of

### Table I - Phenotypic characteristics distribution according to the aortic exam by magnetic resonance imaging

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables (cm)</th>
<th>Normal (n:10)</th>
<th>Dilation (n:4)</th>
<th>Dilation/ CoAo (n:1)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Aortic root diameter</td>
<td>2.4±0.3</td>
<td>2.4±0.3</td>
<td>2.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LV (diastole)</td>
<td>3.9±0.3</td>
<td>4.2±0.2</td>
<td>4.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LV thickness (diastole)</td>
<td>0.7±0.1</td>
<td>0.7±0.1</td>
<td>0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left atrium (systole)</td>
<td>3.0±0.2</td>
<td>3.2±0.4</td>
<td>3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LV ejection fraction</td>
<td>0.79±0.03</td>
<td>0.79±0.07</td>
<td>0.85</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CoAo: coarctation of the aorta; LV: left ventricle; Values: normal.
have this alteration (tab. III). This was because the measure-
ment was taken at the level of the coarctation in the prox-
imal portion of the descending aorta. However, below this
area of narrowing, the descending aorta was dilated as
compared with the measurements of the other patients due to
the phenomenon of poststenotic dilation (fig. 1).

The measures of the cardiac structures obtained on
echocardiography are shown in table II.

In regard to the measurements performed with the aid of
echocardiography and magnetic resonance imaging, we
observed the following: no difference between the measures
of the ascending aorta; no difference between the patients
with 45,X karyotype and those with other karyotypes
(mosaicisms and deletions); and no difference between the
patients being treated or not with GH or estrogen, or both.

Discussion

Cardiovascular malformations are more frequently
found in patients with Ullrich-Turner’s syndrome than in the
general population 9. Factors linked to the X chromosome
were considered involved in the pathogenesis of these mal-
formations 9. Patients with monosomy of the X chromosome
usually have more severe cardiovascular alterations and more
significant signs of dysmorphism 9,10. However, according to
other author’s and our observations (tab. IV), the association
of the severity of the chromosomal elimination and the pre-
sence of significant dysmorphisms, such as the presence of
cardiovascular malformations, does not occur in all patients
with Ullrich-Turner’s syndrome 5. This discrepancy between
the observations may partially be due to the presence of
hidden mosaicisms that are not diagnosed on usual karyoty-
pic analyses in some patients with 45,X karyotype 5.

Of the phenotypic characteristics, the webbed neck
was more frequently associated with the presence of
cardiovascular malformations, mainly coarctation of the
aorta 1,5,11. A pathogenic relation between them was sug-
gested: the webbed neck resulting from cystic hygroma se-
condary to lymphatic obstruction would cause hemodyna-
mic disarrangement and cardiovascular morphological
alterations 11,12. However, in our case series, this association
was not observed, suggesting that other pathogenic me-
chanisms may be involved in the development of cardio-
vascular malformations in patients with Ullrich-Turner’s
syndrome. However, the analysis of a greater number of
patients is required for definitive conclusions.

The diagnostic method frequently used for detecting
cardiovascular malformations is Doppler echocardiography 2.
However, magnetic resonance imaging provides advanta-
ges in assessing the thoracic aorta 2-4. A prospective study
comparing results of Doppler echocardiography and mag-
netic resonance imaging revealed that 3/5 of the patients
with coarctation of the aorta and 4/5 of the patients with
dilation of the ascending aorta were detected only on mag-
netic resonance imaging 2. Confirming these results, in our
study, the most frequently observed cardiovascular alter-
ation was dilation of the aorta (6/15 patients), isolated or
associated with coarctation of the aorta (1/6 patients), and it
was detected only on magnetic resonance imaging.
Little is known about the natural evolution of dilation of the aorta in patients with Ullrich-Turner’s syndrome. In these patients, an association with the risk factors for dissection of the aorta, such as arterial hypertension, bicuspid aortic valve, and coarctation of the aorta, has been frequently observed, and suggests their lethal potential. However, their detection depends on the criteria and diagnostic methods used.

According to the criteria of Rogé et al., aortic root measurements greater than the 90th percentile in relation to body surface are diagnostic of dilation of that aortic segment. Using this criterion, the aortic root measurements obtained in this study are within the expected values (tab. II).

The references for interpreting the measures of the aortic segments using magnetic resonance imaging are the same as those used in cardiac computerized tomography, and they vary according to chronologic age. However, in children in the growth phase, the best variable to correlate with aortic dilation is height. If only the chronologic age was considered for determining the reference values, short-statured individuals, such as those with Ullrich-Turner’s syndrome, would have overestimated reference measures of the aorta (tab. V), because the aortic measure also depends on the patient’s height. However, when we considered the stature age instead of the chronologic age, ie, age corresponding to height, these values were corrected and no alteration in the aortic diameter was observed (tab. V).

In another study, using thoracic magnetic resonance imaging in patients with Ullrich-Turner’s syndrome, the authors considered the presence of aortic dilatation when the ratio between the aortic root and the ascending aorta was greater than 1.5. According to this criterion, we observed that 4 (26.7%) patients had dilatation of the aorta, corroborating the frequency reported in the literature (6.3 to 29%).

In a European study, the prevalence of coarctation of the aorta was 0.32/1000 in live newborn infants. In regard to the patients with Ullrich-Turner’s syndrome, the frequency of coarctation of the aorta reported ranged from 5% to 20%. In our case series, we detected only 1 patient (1/15 patient) with coarctation of the aorta. The variability of the frequency of coarctation of the aorta found in the literature depended on the diagnostic methods used and on how the patients with Ullrich-Turner’s syndrome were selected. Lin et al. reported a 42% frequency in this alteration in a study resulting from information provided by members of the Turner’s Syndrome Society about cardiac abnormalities in patients with this syndrome. The presence of coarctation of the aorta was more frequently detected on magnetic resonance imaging (12.5%) than on Doppler echocardiography (5.5%), in accordance with our findings, and it occurred among the patients referred due to heart disease, and not only for screening of cardiovascular malformations, as in the present study.

In conclusion, considering the great morbidity and mortality of the cardiovascular alterations, mainly aortic, associated with Ullrich-Turner’s syndrome, and the superiority of magnetic resonance imaging for detecting these alterations, this method should be used as an adjuvant to Doppler echocardiography in assessing these patients. However, criteria for assessing aortic measures and their reference values (site, section plane and thickness, phase of the cardiac cycle assessed) should be established for using these imaging methods, to better evaluate cardiovascular alterations in patients with Ullrich-Turner’s syndrome.
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