Addition of Chlorhexidine Gluconate to a Glass Ionomer Cement: A Study on Mechanical, Physical and Antibacterial Properties

The objective of this work was to determine the effect of different concentrations of chlorhexidine digluconate (CHX) on setting time, surface hardness, maximum tensile bond strength and antibacterial activity of a glass ionomer cement (GIC). The material used as control was Ketac Molar Easymix GIC. CHX was incorporated into the GIC during its manipulation at concentrations of 0.5, 1.0 and 2.0%. Antimicrobial activity against S. mutans and L. acidophilus was evaluated by means of agar diffusion test. Tensile bond strength data were analyzed statistically using Analysis of variance and Tukey’s test. Setting time, Vickers hardness and agar diffusion test were analyzed using Kruskal-Wallis and Mann-Whitney tests at a significance level of 5%. It was observed that adding CHX at concentrations of 1% and 2% increased significantly the setting time of the material (p=0.012 and p=0.003, respectively). There was no significant difference between control and 0.5% CHX groups regarding the setting time. Addition of 2% CHX decreased significantly the surface hardness in relation to the control group (p=0.009), followed by the 1% CHX group (p=0.009). The tensile bond strength of the material also decreased significantly after adding CHX at a concentration of 2% (p=0.001). Addition of CHX promoted formation of an inhibition halo in both bacterial strains for all concentrations. The results showed that the best option for clinical use of GIC with CHX is at 0.5% concentration, since antibacterial activity increased and the physical-mechanical properties remained unchanged.
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Introduction

Despite the continuous advance of the preventive and technological methods, the caries disease still has a high prevalence and epidemiological studies show that populations at high social risk are the most affected by such an oral condition (1).

The atraumatic restorative treatment (ART) is an option of dental treatment providing assistance to the most vulnerable populations, thus having a high impact on public health, as prevention and treatment are offered to a large segment of the world’s population at low cost; (2). This technique is based on the philosophy of minimal intervention and consists of removing the infected dentin by manual instruments, followed by filling the cavity with adhesive restorative material, preferably the glass ionomer cement (GIC) (3). It is considered is a trusted and effective approach to the control of carious lesions (4).

The judicious application of the technique, respecting the physical-chemical properties of the restorative material and removing adequately the carious tissue, is fundamental for a successful treatment. Studies reported that the prevalence rates of secondary caries for 3 to 6 years were 1.5% and 2.4% (5,6), and that the percentage of restoration failures in permanent dentition ranged from 4 to 5%, which represents a good technical result (7).

New techniques have been tested in an attempt to decrease failures and unsuccessful treatments. Studies investigating the use of antimicrobial agents, more specifically the CHX digluconate, in combination with restorative materials for reducing the frequency and severity of secondary caries are being developed in vitro (8–10) and in vivo (11).

Despite the promising results regarding the antibacterial effect of the combination of CHX and GIC, a decrease in the mechanical properties of the cement has been reported (10,12,13).

Studies have revealed that the higher the concentration of CHX added to the GIC, the greater the decrease in its physical-mechanical properties (10,13). In view of this, the objective of the present work was to determine the effect of adding different concentrations of CHX to GIC on setting time, surface hardness, maximum tensile bond strength and antibacterial activity of the cement.

Material and Methods

For assessment of setting time, surface hardness,
maximum tensile bond strength and antibacterial activity, CHX digluconate (Sigma Aldrich, Steinheim, Germany) was added to GIC during its mixing at concentrations of 0.5%, 1.0%, and 2.0. The material used was Ketac Molar Easymix GIC (3M ESPE, Seefeld, Bavaria, Germany), prepared according to the manufacturer’s instructions at room temperature (23 ± 1 °C) and relative humidity of 50 ± 5%.

The number of specimens for each group was determined based on the similarity of values obtained in a pilot study and the steps are presented in the form of a flowchart (Fig. 1).

**Setting Time**

For preparation of the samples, the material was inserted into metallic matrices (10 mm diameter x 1 mm dep) by means of a Centrix syringe (DFL Indústria e Comércio S.A., Rio de Janeiro, RJ, Brazil). Next, the material was covered with a polyester tape and a 1-mm thick glass slide, on which a 100 g weight was placed for 10 s for accommodation and elimination of excess material.

A Gilmore needle weighing 400 ± 5 g was carefully placed on the surface of the material perpendicularly and left there for 5 s. The process was repeated at 10 s intervals and the circular marks left by the needle on the surface were examined. The setting time was determined when these circular marks were no longer observed (ISO 6876/2001).

**Surface Hardness**

The samples were obtained from a Teflon matrix (7 mm diameter x 4 mm deep) with a central orifice through which the material was inserted using a Centrix syringe. Next, the same procedures described above were performed.

Vickers hardness (VNH) was analyzed with a digital micro-hardness tester (Micromet 2100; Buehler Ltd., Lake Bluff, IL, USA) using a 50-gf load for 30 s applied to four quadrants of the samples. Two impressions were made on the quadrants with a distance of 100 μm between them, totaling 8 values from each the mean value of hardness for each sample was calculated.

**Maximum Tensile bond Strength**

The samples obtained from a cylindrical polyester matrix (8 mm diameter x 6 mm high) were filled with two increments of GIC. The material was covered with a polyester tape and then submitted to digital pressure for 2 s in order to better accommodate the material.

After 1 h from the initial manipulation of the materials, the samples were removed from the matrix and stored in an oven for 24 h at 37 ± 1 °C and 90 ± 5% relative humidity.

The samples were attached to a wooden base with a low-fusing compound and then submitted to a cutting machine (Isomet 1000; Buehler Ltd.) equipped with a diamond disk 0.5 mm thick (Diamond Wafering Blade; Buehler Ltd.) operating with a 200-gf load at a speed of 250 rpm under constant cooling to produce 1-mm thick sections. After a 90-degree rotation of the sample, a new series of cuts was performed according to the same protocol. In the end, stick-shaped samples were obtained, and the quadrangular cross-sectional area measured approximately 1 mm².

Each stick was carefully inspected with a stereoscopic magnifying glass (Modelo SZX7; Olympus, São Paulo, SP, Brazil) and all samples presenting irregularities or air bubbles were discarded. The selected samples had their cross-sectional area measured by a digital calliper (Mod. 500-144B; Mytutoyo Sul Americana Ltda., São Paulo, SP, Brazil) with 0.01-mm resolution, for calculating the maximum tensile bond strength values.

For the micro-tensile bond test, cyanoacrylate adhesive (Super Bonder 7456; Henkel Loctite Ltda., São Paulo, SP, Brazil) was used to attach the sticks individually to a metallic device adapted to a universal testing machine (DL 2000; EMIC Equipamentos de Ensaio Ltda, Curitiba, PR, Brazil), previously adjusted with a 1 kN load cell at a cross-head speed of 0.5 mm/min. The tensile bond movements were initiated by a specific software (Tesc - Test Script; EMIC Equipamentos de Ensaio Ltda), and the values of maximum load were recorded after rupture of the samples. The maximum tensile bond strength values (MPa) were obtained by dividing the maximum load by the cross-sectional area of each sample.

**Antibacterial Activity**

The antibacterial activity was assessed by the agar diffusion test using the following microorganisms: Streptococcus mutans (ATCC 25175) and Lactobacillus acidophilus (ATCC 4356) from the Tropical Culture Collection of the Fundação Tropical de Pesquisas e Tecnologias Andre Tosello (Campinas, SP, Brazil), provided by the Araarquara School of Dentistry Microbiology Laboratory. All procedures...
were performed under aseptic conditions in a vertical laminar flow hood (PA-115; Pachane, Piracicaba, São Paulo, SP, Brazil).

Initially, the standard bacterial strains were reactivated with 5 mL sterile brain heart infusion broth (BHI; Difco Laboratories, Detroit, MI, USA). Next, both strains were selected from the culture gain and inserted into a test tube containing 5 mL of BHI broth. After gently shaking the test tube, it was placed in a bacteriological oven (FANEM Ltda., São Paulo, SP, Brazil) at 37 °C for 24 h under microaerophilic conditions using hermetically closed jars. After the growth of microorganisms, the suspension was centrifuged and washed twice with sterile phosphate-buffered saline (BPS) and the resulting solution had its turbidity adjusted with a spectrophotometer (Eppendorf AG, Hamburg, Germany) until reaching absorbency of 0.30 at 600 nm, which corresponds to a stock solution suspension of 1 x 10^7 CFU/mL.

In each step of the diffusion test, six Petri plates (150 mm x 15 mm) were used for each organism. In each plate were poured 25 mL of BHI agar at 50 °C plus 240 mL of bacterial inoculate (1 x 10^7 CFU/mL) until reaching a 5-mm thickness. After solidification (approximately 30 min), four pools (4 mm diameter and 5 mm depth) were made in each plate using the tip of a sterilized Pasteur pipette so that each plate could receive the material with different concentrations of CHX. The pools were 3–4 cm distant from each other and about 2.5 cm from the plate border. The materials were manipulated under aseptic conditions and then fully inserted into pools made in the Petri plates using a Centrix syringe.

For pre-diffusion of the materials, the plates were kept at room temperature for 1 h and then incubated at 37 ± 1°C for 48 h in anaerobiosis jars under microaerophilic conditions. Next, the diameter of the inhibition halos around the samples was measured by using a digital caliper (Mytutoyo Sul Americana Ltda.) based on two opposite points located at the most external limits. In order to ensure absence of bacterial growth in the region corresponding to the halos, the plates were assessed with a light microscope (Model VT-II; Olympus, Tokyo, Japan) at 20x magnification.

### Statistical Analysis

Data on maximum tensile bond strength had normal distribution and variance homogeneity, thus analysis of variance and Tukey’s test were applied to them. Data on setting time, Vickers hardness and inhibition halo showed normal distribution, so Kruskal-Wallis and Mann-Whitney tests were applied to them. Significance level was set at 5%.

### Results

The values of setting time, Vickers hardness, and maximum tensile bond strength for each concentration of chlorhexidine digluconate are in Table 1.

Addition of CHX at concentrations of 1% and 2% resulted in a statistically significant increase for the setting time (p=0.012 and p=0.003, respectively) of Ketac Molar Easymix GIC. There was no significant difference between the control and 0.5% CHX groups regarding the setting time (p=0.072).

The 2% CHX group had a statistically significant decrease in surface hardness compared to control group (p=0.009), followed by the 1% CHX group (p=0.009). The Ketac Molar Easymix GIC mixed with 0.5% CLX had no significant difference in Vickers hardness compared to the control group (p=0.754).

Only the Ketac Molar Easymix GIC containing 2% CHX had a statistically significant decrease in the tensile bond strength compared to control group (p=0.001).

Table 2 displays the median values, as well as the
minimum and maximum values of the inhibition halos for each studied group, according to the bacterial strain. Ketac Molar Easymix without CHX (control) had no antibacterial activity for both microorganisms. The action of chlorhexidine digluconate promoted the formation of inhibition halos for both bacterial strains, statistically greater for L. casei than for S. mutans \( p<0.05 \) for all CHX concentrations (Table 2).

Increasing the CHX concentration in the GIC from 0.5% to 2.0% resulted in no significant increase in the diameter of the inhibition halo for L. casei and S. mutans \( p=0.16 \) and \( p=0.46 \), respectively.

Discussion

GICs are mainstream bioactive restorative materials and have a wide range of uses such as luting, bonding or restoring a tooth. It is important to recognize that although the GICs share the same general properties, subtle differences among commercial products may occur (14).

High-viscosity GIC is the material of choice for the ART technique, since it adheres chemically to the dental structures and releases fluoride, which not only contributes to the reduction in the amount of residual bacteria in restored cavities (15), but also favors re-mineralization of the affected dentin (10).

GICs release approximately 10 ppm of fluoride during the first 48 h following insertion into the cavity (16), but this figure is still regarded low for achieving the desired antibacterial effects (10). In order to improve the antibacterial characteristics of this material, chlorhexidine in the form of powder (chlorhexidine digluconate) has been added to it (13,17,18).

This study used the agar diffusion test to assess the antibacterial action of the GIC containing CHX at different concentrations. It was found that adding CHX to GIC promoted inhibition of bacterial growth, being more effective for L. Casei than S. mutans, a finding corroborating previous studies (9,10,12,13).

Similarly to the findings of previous investigations (9,10), no dose-dependent effect was observed in the present study, that is, the inhibition halos were not dependent on the concentration of CHX added to GIC, differently from the studies by Botelho (17), Ribeiro, Ericson (19) and de Castilho (20).

It is known that a large number and variety of bacteria is related to caries development. According to Yap et al. (21), GIC does not promote an efficient antibacterial effect despite the presence of fluoride, and the addition of 0.5% CHX improves the antimicrobial properties of the material (12). However, addition of chlorhexidine digluconate at different concentrations can interfere with physical and mechanical properties of the GIC (9).

The ability of a restorative material to resist masticatory forces is an important aspect for its long-term clinical performance. According to Sanders et al. (12), addition of CHX to GIC should produce better antimicrobial activity as well as physical and mechanical properties comparable to the original material.

An important factor influencing the mechanical properties of the GIC is the powder/liquid ratio of the mixture and addition of CHX may alter this proportion and consequently the mechanical and physical resistance of the material (9,12,13).

The micro-tensile bond test is indicated for materials with low tensile bond resistance (10) as the GIC, because it allows samples with small sectional area to be adequately tested and the risk of concentration of structural defects is reduced. This risk can affect the distribution of tensional forces acting on the sample, causing failures resulting from a force smaller than the actual intrinsic resistance of the material (22,23).

In the present experiment, CHX promoted a statistically significant decrease in tensile bond strength only at the 2% concentration \( p=0.001 \). Sanders et al. (18) and Türkün et al. (13) showed that the decrease in the physical properties of GICs modified by CHX digluconate (liquid) is related to the fact that CHX is solubilized faster into the external environment than in the form of CHX powder or diacetate. However, in another study (10), CHX salts hamper the reaction between the acid and glass particles, thus increasing the setting time proportionally to the concentration of CHX. As observed by Prosser et al. (24), the amines present in the CHX molecule neutralize the polycacid during salt formation and consequently may interfere with the setting time. This occurs because the basic compounds neutralize the capacity of polycacids to release ions from glass particles. However, the increase in setting time is caused by the formation of base/polyacid complexes that block cross-reactions between cationic ions and poly-acrylic chains. The duration of the setting time depends on the formation of base/polyacid complexes that block cross-reactions between cationic ions and poly-acrylic chains (24).

In this study, no significant difference in setting time was observed between the control group and that of 0.5% CHX digluconate. Addition of CHX digluconate at concentrations of 1% and 2% resulted in an increased setting time of the GIC, which may be attributed to the low water solubility of the CHX digluconate (12), as well as to the presence of cationic salts, since they block the glass particles to react with poly-acrylic acids (10).

The hardness is also related to the wear resistance of the material to the wear resulting from masticatory efforts, eating and tooth brushing, among others (25). In this...
study, it was found that the decrease in surface hardness is proportional to the increase in the CHX concentration, because of the changes in the powder/liquid ratio (17). However, the addition of CHX at low concentrations, such as 0.5%, had no interference with setting time, maximum tensile bond resistance and surface hardness of the material (9,10,12,13). In conclusion, for clinical use of GIC with CHX, the best option is the addition of CHX at a concentration of 0.5%, since this combination increased the antibacterial activity without changing the physical-mechanical properties of the material.

Resumo

O objetivo deste trabalho foi determinar o efeito de diferentes concentrações de glúconato de clorexidina (CLX) sobre o tempo de presa, dureza superficial, resistência máxima à tração e atividade antibacteriana de um cimento de ionômero de vidro (CIV). O material utilizado como controle foi o CIV Ketac Molar Easymix. O glúconato de CHX foi incorporado no CIV durante a sua manipulação, em concentrações de 0,5, 1,0 e 2,0%. Atividade antimicrobiana contra S. mutans e L. acidophilus foi avaliada por meio de teste de difusão em ágar. Análise de variância (ANOVA) e teste de Tukey foram usadas para avaliar a resistência à tração do material. Para avaliar o tempo de presa, dureza Vickers e teste de difusão em ágar foram usados os testes de Mann-Whitney e Kruskal-Wallis ao nível de significância de 5%. Observou-se que a adição de CHX, em concentrações de 1% e 2%, aumentou significativamente o tempo de presa do material (p = 0,012 e p = 0,003, respectivamente). Não houve diferença significativa entre os grupos controle e CHX 0,5% em relação ao tempo de presa. A adição de 2% de CHX diminuiu significativamente a dureza superficial, em relação ao grupo controle (p = 0,009), seguido pelo grupo 1% de CHX (p = 0,009). A resistência à tração do material também diminuiu significativamente após a adição de CHX a uma concentração de 2% (p = 0,001). A adição de CHX promoveu formação de halo de inibição em ambas as linhagens bacterianas para todas as concentrações. Os resultados mostraram que a melhor opção para o uso clínico de CIV com CHX está numa concentração de 0,5%, uma vez que a atividade antibacteriana aumentada e as propriedades físico-mecânicas permaneceram inalteradas.
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