Comparative study of the ovarian morphology in the order Polydesmida (Diplopoda) and description of unusual structures in the female reproductive system
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Abstract

Polydesmida is the largest order in the class Diplopoda in terms of the number of species, genera and families, but there are few studies of the ovarian morphology of species in this order. This study aimed to perform a comparative study of the ovarian morphology of three species from this order to increase the understanding of the morphological evolution of this system in Polydesmida. Adults females of two of these species, *Poratia salvator* and *Myrmecodesmus hastatus*, belonging to the family Pyrgodesmidae, had a unpaired ovary that formed a tubular organ containing oocytes, with *P. salvator* present grouping of oocytes into a structure similar to ovisacs. This condition appeared to be apomorphic and was associated with the small size of these species (< 10 mm) and their short life cycle. The third species, *Telonychopus klossae* (Chelodesmidae), had a large body and an ovary with paired ovisacs, which was symplesiomorphic with other Polydesmida families.
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1. Introduction

The ovarian morphology of the Diplopoda has been used to support discussions of the phylogenetic relationships within this group, comparisons between two subclasses of millipedes (Chilognatha and Penicillata) and comparisons with other classes within Arthropoda (Yahata and Makioka, 1994, 1997; Freitas et al., 2003). However, there have only been a few morphological studies of the female reproductive system of species in this group, which were restricted mostly to Asian species (Freitas et al., 2003).

This shortage of studies is particularly pronounced in the order Polydesmida. This is the most diverse order among the Diplopoda; however, there have only been five reports on the ovarian morphology of species in this order (Miley, 1930; Seifert, 1932; Kubrakiewicz, 1987; Nair, 1981).

Millipede females have reproductive organs located between the digestive tract and central nervous cord, which opens into the third body segment just behind the second pair of legs (Hopkin and Read, 1992). Unlike in other Myriapoda, where the ovary is a single tubular or-
gan, the ovaries of millipedes are described as unpaired structures (Newport, 1841; Nadarajalingam and Subramonian, 1984; Kubrakiewicz, 1987, 1991a, b; Yahata and Makioka, 1994, 1997; Freitas et al., 2003) and as paired structures (Miley, 1930; Seifert, 1932; Fontanetti et al., 2010). In some species, the oocytes are distributed in two paired strands in the immature ovary, but gradually lose their symmetry due to the developing of the oocytes (Nair, 1981; Fontanetti and Cunha, 1993; Gealekman et al., 1996; Warburg and Gealekman 2000).

The order Polydesmida contains 5,480 species, 1,437 genera and 30 families (Hoffman et al., 2002; Shelley, 2003). The adults range from 2 to 130 mm in length and many families have a wide variety of colours and shapes. They mostly inhabit the soil surface and litter, but a few are strictly arboreal (Hoffman et al., 1996, 2002). Many of the species have a short life cycle, which is completed within one year (Adis et al., 2000; Bergholz, 2007; Battirola et al., 2009; Pinheiro et al., 2009). An important reproductive characteristic of many species in this order is the construction of nests for egg protection, mainly against variations in humidity and temperature (Bano and Krishnamoorthy, 1985; Vohland and Adis, 1999; Voigtlander, 2000; Pinheiro et al., 2009).

There is a lack of information available on the ovarian morphology of neotropical species of millipedes and the different configurations of the reproductive organs in this class. Thus, this study aimed to conduct a comparative study of the ovarian morphology of three species in this order: Poratia salvator Golovatch & Sierwald, 2000, Myrmecodesmus hastatus (Schubart, 1945) (both Pyrsgodesmidae) and Telonychopus klossae Hoffman, 1965 (Cheledodesmidae) to facilitate discussions on the morphological evolution of this system in Polydesmida.

2. Material and Methods

Poratia salvator and M. hastatus were collected in Brazil from the Base Avançada de Pesquisas do Pantanal, which belongs to the Universidade Federal de Mato Grosso, and SESC Baia das Pedras, a unit of the Estância Ecológica SESC Pantanal in the municipalities of Barão de Melgaço and Poconé in the north of the Pantanal, Mato Grosso, Brazil. Telonychopus klossae was collected in the city of Cuiabá-MT at the Universidade Federal de Mato Grosso, Brazil.

All species were collected in 2011 and maintained in plastic containers covered by lids and containing a small amount of organic matter. The specimens were identified and separated based on species, sex and development time. They were transported in a polystyrene insulated box to the Department of Biology, Universidade Estadual Paulis “Júlio de Mesquita Filho” UNESP, Campus Rio Claro, São Paulo state, Brazil.

Females were anaesthetised with ethyl ether and dissected in physiological solution to remove their ovaries. For ultramorphological analysis, samples were fixed with glutaraldehyde solution in a 2.5% solution of 0.1 M sodium cacodylate buffer (pH 7.2) at 4°C for at least 2 h. Samples were then dehydrated using an ascending series of acetone solutions with concentrations of 50%, 75%, 90% and 95% for 10 min each, followed by three 10 min immersions in 100% acetone. Samples were subjected to critical point drying and sputter-coated. Images were acquired using a TM-3000 (Hitachi High Technologies) low vacuum bench scanning electron microscope (SEM).

To complement and correct establishment of ovarian morphology, the ovaries were processed for histological examination with the material being fixed in alcoholic Bouin solution, dehydrated in ethanol series and stained with hematoxylin and eosin. The slides were analysed under a light microscope, and the images were captured using Leica IM50 software, version 5 Release 220, in order to record the results.

3. Results

The ovary of P. salvator was an unpaired structure (see Figures 1A, D), but it was noteworthy that oocytes at different stages of development appeared to be grouped, independently of each other, into a structure that was similar to an ovisac, which is an unprecedented feature in millipede species with unpaired ovaries. However, the ovary of M. hastatus was also an unpaired structure (see Figures 2A, D), but there was no clustering of oocytes into ovisacs (see Figures 2A, D). The organ was immersed in the fat body, which was well developed in this species and it was highly developed in this species (see Figure 1A). The corium of the oocytes lacked ornamentation (see Figures 1B, C).

The ovary of M. hastatus was also an unpaired structure (see Figures 2A, D), but there was no clustering of oocytes into ovisacs (see Figures 2A, D). The organ was immersed in the fat body, which was well developed in this species (see Figures 2A, B). The surface of the oocytes had an irregular appearance (see Figure 2C). Numerous tracheoles were also associated with the ovisac in this species (see Figures 2B, C).

Telonychopus klossae had paired ovaries (see Figures 3A, B) and the oocytes at different stages of development were grouped into ovisacs (see Figures 3B, C, D). The fat body was well developed in this species and it was in close contact with the ovaries (see Figures 3A, B). The oocytes were clustered within a membrane, which gave the appearance of trusses (see Figures 3B, D). For the first time, the presence of micropyles was observed in Diplopoda (arrows and detail in Figure 3C). The surface of the oocytes was uniform and it lacked ornamentation (see Figures 3C, D).

4. Discussion

Poratia salvator and M. hastatus are both very small species (< 10 mm) and their ovaries formed an unpaired structure. However, P. salvator possessed a structure that was similar to an ovisac, which is an unprecedented feature in millipede species with unpaired ovaries.

The presence of a unpaired ovary and the absence of ovisacs in M. hastatus has also been described for the following species: in the order Polyxenida (Polyxenidae), Eudigraphis nigricans (Miyosi, 1947) (Yahata and
Makioka, 1994) and Polyxenus lagurus (Linnaeus, 1758) (Kubrakiewcz, 1991b); in the order Glomerida (Doderiidae) Hyleoglomeris japonica Verhoeff, 1936 (Yahata and Makioka, 1997); in the order Spirostreptida "Spirostreptus" asthenes Pocock, 1892 (Spirostreptidae) (Nadarajalingam and Subramonian, 1984), Pseudonannolene tocaiensis Fontanetti, 1996 and P. tri-

color Brölemann, 1901 (Pseudonannolenidae) (Freitas et al., 2003); and in the order Julida (Julidae), Julus terrestris Linnaeus, 1758 (Newport, 1841) and Ophiulus pilorus (Newport, 1842) (Kubrakiewcz, 1991a).

In the order Polydesmida, the unpaired ovary is known only in one species in the Paradoxosomatidae,
Oxidus gracilis (C.L. Koch, 1847) (=Orthomorpha gracilis) (Kubrakiewicz, 1987). Another Paradoxosomatidae species, Anoplodesmus splendidus (Verhoeff, 1936) (=Jonespeltis splendidus), has one paired ovary in newly moulted females that loses the ovary distribution. em: external membrane; fb: fat body; oo: oocytes; ov: ovary; tr: tracheoles.

Figure 2 - A-C: Myrmecodesmus hastatus ovary; D: histological section of M. hastatus. (A) Ovarian positioning and general structure; (B) detail of the ovary in close contact with the fat body; (C) detail of the irregular surface of oocytes; (D) oocyte distribution. em: external membrane; fb: fat body; oo: oocytes; ov: ovary; tr: tracheoles.
symmetry conforming advances the oocytes development, becoming into an unpaired structure (Nair, 1981).

This might suggest that the possession of ovaries with an unpaired structure by species in the family Pyrgodesmidae is an apomorphic condition, which probably reflects the small size of individuals in this family (< 10 mm) and their short lifespan of about 3 months (Adis et al., 2000; Pinheiro et al., 2009). An apomorphic condition with an unpaired ovary was also suggested by Freitas et al. (2003) for Pseudonannolene spp., which have unpaired ovaries without pairing of the germarium, and this is considered by other authors to be the most derived model among the millipedes. According to Sareen and Adiyodi (1983) and Kubrakiewicz (1987), this condition may be an evolutionary specialisation because it appears in several independent Myriapoda groups.

**Figure 3** - A, C and D: Telonychopus klossae ovary; B: histological section of T. klossae ovary. (A) Ovarian general structure and oocytes in different development stages, clustered by a membrane; (B) distribution of paired ovaries; (C) intact ovisac and micropyle features; (D) oocytes in different development stages, grouped by a membrane. em: external membrane; fb: fat body; oo: oocytes; os: ovisac; ovi: oviduct; tr: tracheoles; arrows in C: micropyle.
However, the pairing of the mature ovary observed in *T. klossae* has also been observed in other species in the order Polydesmida, i.e., *Euryurus erythropygus* (Brandt, 1841) (= *Euryurus carolinensis*) (*Euryuridae*) (Miley, 1930), *Strongylosoma pallipes* (Olivier, 1792) (Paradoxosomatidae) (Seifert, 1932).

Based on this information, it may be suggested that, in contrast to the claim of Fontanetti and Cunha (1993), the morphology of the female millipede reproductive system is not constant among families, and follows the same trends that are found in the male reproductive system, which are also highly diverse (Kanaka and Chadowaiah, 1974; Freitas et al., 2003; Fontanetti, 1991; Freitas and Fontanetti, 2005).
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