Hemodynamics and bispectral index (BIS) of dogs anesthetized with midazolam and ketamine associated with medetomidine or dexmedetomidine and submitted to ovariohysterectomy
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ABSTRACT

Purpose: To evaluate hemodynamics and bispectral index (BIS) in bitches anesthetized with ketamine and midazolam in combination with dexmedetomidine or medetomidine and submitted to ovariohysterectomy. Methods: Twenty bitches pretreated with levomedetomidine and buprenorphine were anesthetized with 5 mg.kg$^{-1}$ ketamine and 0.2 mg.kg$^{-1}$ midazolam i.v. Continuous infusion of 0.4 mg.kg$^{-1}$.h$^{-1}$ midazolam and 20 mg.kg$^{-1}$.h$^{-1}$ ketamine was initiated in combination with DEX (n=10): 20 µg.kg$^{-1}$.h$^{-1}$ dexmedetomidine or MED (n=10): 30 µg.kg$^{-1}$.h$^{-1}$ medetomidine over 30 minutes. A pharmacokinetic study provided dexmedetomidine plasma concentration, set to be 3.0 ng.mL$^{-1}$. Results: BIS decreased in both groups (P<0.05), but it was lower in DEX (P<0.05) as compared to MED. No differences were found in hemodynamic parameters (heart rate, systolic, diastolic and mean arterial pressure) between groups (P>0.05), but heart rate decreased in both groups, as compared to control values (P<0.05). Respiratory rate decreased (P<0.05) and expired end tidal CO$_2$ increased progressively (P<0.05) and similarly in both groups. Anesthetic recovery period was similar between groups (P<0.05) with no adverse effects. Conclusion: Continuous administration of dexmedetomidine with calculated plasma concentration equal to 3 ng.mL$^{-1}$ in combination with midazolam and ketamine provides suitable anesthesia for spay surgery in bitches, hemodynamic stability and calm awakening with no adverse effects.

Key words: Anesthesia. Dexmedetomidine. Medetomidine. Dogs.

RESUMO

Objetivo: Verificar o comportamento hemodinâmico e o índice bispectral de cadelas anestesiadas com cetamina e midazolam associados à dexmedetomidina ou medetomidina. Métodos: Vinte cadelas receberam pré-tratamento com levomepromazina e buprenorfinha e foram anestesiadas com cetamina, 5 mg.kg$^{-1}$ i.v., e midazolam, 0,2 mg.kg$^{-1}$ i.v., seguidos da administração contínua de midazolam, 0,4 mg.kg$^{-1}$.h$^{-1}$, e cetamina, 20 mg.kg$^{-1}$.h$^{-1}$, associados, conforme o grupo, à: DEX (n=10): dexmedetomidina 20 µg.kg$^{-1}$.h$^{-1}$ ou MED (n=10): medetomidina 30 µg.kg$^{-1}$.h$^{-1}$, mantidos por 30 minutos. A dose de dexmedetomidina foi obtida por meio do estudo farmacocinético planejando-se concentração plasmática de 3,0 ng.mL$^{-1}$. Resultados: Os valores do BIS diminuíram em ambos os grupos (P<0,05), mas foram menores no grupo DEX (P<0,05), em comparação com o grupo MED. Não houve diferença significativa nos atributos hemodinâmicos (frequência cardíaca, pressão arterial sistólica, diastólica e média) entre os grupos, mas a frequência cardíaca diminuiu em ambos os grupos em relação ao momento controle (P<0,05). A frequência respiratória diminuiu (P<0,05) e o CO$_2$ expirado aumentou progressivamente em ambos os grupos (P<0,05). O tempo de recuperação anestésica foi semelhante entre os grupos (P<0,05), sem a presença de efeitos adversos. Conclusão: A administração contínua de dexmedetomidina em concentração plasmática calculada de 3 ng.mL$^{-1}$, em combinação com midazolam e cetamina, resulta em plano anestésico adequado para castração de cadelas, estabilidade hemodinâmica e despertar tranquilo, sem efeitos adversos.


1Research performed at Laboratory of Veterinary Anesthesiology, Department of Veterinary Surgery and Anesthesiology, School of Veterinary Medicine and Animal Science, Sao Paulo State University (UNESP), Botucatú, Brazil.
Introduction

The combination of dissociative/sedative drugs in veterinary anesthesia has practical applications but its administration as a single dose may be inefficient. Based on this fact, a combination of different agents by continuous venous infusion has been suggested, especially drugs with a higher specificity for α₂-receptors. The administration of anesthetic agents by continuous venous infusion reduces oscillations in their plasma concentration, the risk of over or under dosing and decreases total anesthetic agents consumption. Some commonly used drugs, such as xylazine and medetomidine, may cause adverse hemodynamic effects when administered as a bolus, while the administration by continuous infusion provides good cardiovascular stability1,2.

Dexmedetomidine, the pharmacologically active isomer of medetomidine, has been used in continuous infusion during anesthetic and surgical procedures in humans. Its usage in veterinary needs further investigation, since it is predominantly anesthetic and surgical procedures in humans. Its usage in veterinary anesthesia has practical applications but its cardiovascular stability1,2.

Dexmedetomidine does not cause a decrease in heart rate and an increase in systemic vascular resistance3. Its sedative and analgesic effects are higher than those of levomedetomidine, the other optical enantiomer of medetomidine4. A reduction of more than 95% of minimal alveolar concentration (MAC) of halothane is observed following intravenous administration of dexmedetomidine, which shows this drug may induce anesthetic state if administered alone5.

The combination of medetomidine and ketamine with midazolam administered via continuous infusion was found to provide hypnosis and cardiovascular stability required for surgical procedures, similar to those reported in studies using medetomidine. Therefore, the objective of this study was to evaluate hemodynamics and bispectral index (BIS) values in female dogs pretreated with levomedetomidine and buprenorphine and anesthetized with ketamine and midazolam in combination with dexmedetomidine or medetomidine for ovariohysterectomy.

Methods

A total of 20 female dogs aged 1 to 3 years old, and weighing 7 to 15 kg were used in the experiment. For physiological homogeneity, animal selection was performed based on clinical and laboratorial evaluation (complete blood count, blood urea nitrogen and creatinine). The study was given the approval of the Institutional Ethical Committee and a signed written informed consent was obtained from all dog owners.

After 12 hours of fasting, animals were weighed and the following regions were shaved: fronto-temporal skull in order to apply the BIS monitor, left pelvic member to insert the venous catheter and the region of the surgical incision. The animals were then randomly allocated to groups, anesthetized and underwent ovariohysterectomy.

After a 20G catheter insertion into the cephalic vein and initial administration of lactated Ringer’s solution, 10 mL.kg⁻¹.h⁻¹ (LF 2001 infusion pump; Lifemed®, Sao Paulo, SP, Brazil), BIS electrodes were placed on the head of the animals. A cuff was then placed on the left thoracic limb for non-invasive arterial pressure measurement; electrodes for electrocardiography (DII) were placed on the thorax, and the anesthetic procedure, consisting of administration of preanesthetic medication intravenously with levomepromazine (1 mg.kg⁻¹) and buprenorphine (3 µg.kg⁻¹), was initiated. After 15 minutes, anesthesia was induced intravenously by bolus administration of 5 mg.kg⁻¹ ketamine in combination with 0.2 mg.kg⁻¹ midazolam during 120 seconds. Continuous infusion of 0.4 mg.kg⁻¹.h⁻¹ midazolam and 20 mg.kg⁻¹.h⁻¹ ketamine was then immediately initiated in combination with dexmedetomidine (20 µg.kg⁻¹.h⁻¹) (DEX group) or medetomidine (30 µg.kg⁻¹.h⁻¹) (MED group) (infusion pump Anne, Abbott, Abbott Park, IL, USA). Infusion was interrupted after 30 minutes. After the induction of anesthesia and with the animals in recumbent position, tracheal intubation was performed and the animals remained in spontaneous ventilation through a T tube with oxygen enriched air in a flow of 20 mL.kg⁻¹.min⁻¹.

Dexmedetomidine dose was determined based on a series of pharmacokinetic studies using the Stanpump® program (Figure 1). The program simulates plasma concentration profiles of the drug related to pharmacokinetic parameters previously studied according to the species7. The target plasma concentration of dexmedetomidine at the time of evisceration was set to be approximately 3.0 ng.mL⁻¹. This value was estimated according to studies in humans8.
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Parameters were registered before venous catheterization and administration of preanesthetic medication (control: M0’), 15 minutes after administration of preanesthetic medication, i.e. immediately before anesthetic induction (M15’) and every 10 minutes until the end of the drug administration (M25’, M35’ and M45’, consecutively). Traction, clamping, and evisceration were performed between M25’ and M35’ time periods of observation.

The following parameters were monitored and registered: hemodynamic parameters – heart rate (HR), non invasive systolic arterial pressure (SAP), mean arterial pressure (MAP), and diastolic arterial pressure (DAP) (Ultracare SLP Monitor, SpaceLabs Medical, Washington, USA); Anesthetic depth parameters – bispectral index (BIS) and electromyography (EMG) (A-2000 BIS Monitoring System, Norwood, USA); Respiratory and oxygenation parameters- respiratory rate (RR), tidal volume (Vt), minute volume (Vm), expired end tidal CO2 (ETCO2), pulse oximetry (SpO2) (Capnomac Ultima, Datex-Ohmeda, Helsinki, Finland); and rectal temperature (Ultracare SLP Monitor, SpaceLabs Medical). Recovery period extended from the end of continuous infusion to resumption of quadruped position spontaneously.

Animals received a single dose of benzathine penicillin (40.000 I.U. kg⁻¹) and analgesia with meloxicam (0.2 mg.kg⁻¹) postoperatively. The latter was also prescribed during the 3 following days. The owners were advised to contact the researcher in case of adverse behavior or clinical signs of apathy.

Analysis of variance (ANOVA) was used to compare means of each variable at different observation times, followed by Tukey test for multiple comparisons. Student’s t-test for independent samples was used to compare times of anesthetic recovery. Significance level was 5%.

Results

Hemodynamic parameters (HR, SAP, MAP, DAP)

Heart rate reduced significantly in both groups (P<0.05) after administration of preanesthetic medication. Values were lower than those of control until the end of the procedure with no significant differences between groups (P>0.05) (Table 1). One animal from MED group had a second degree atrioventricular block after induction of anesthesia, which was an isolated event and disappeared spontaneously. No significant difference was observed in SAP, MAP and DAP at the observation times, neither between groups (P>0.05) (Table 1).
We present the results of hemodynamic parameters measured in two groups: dexmedetomidine (DEX) and medetomidine (MED) at various time points.

### Table 1: Hemodynamic Parameters

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Control</th>
<th>15 min after premedication</th>
<th>10 min</th>
<th>20 min</th>
<th>30 min</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Respiratory Rate (incursions.min(^{-1}))</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DEX</td>
<td>27±7</td>
<td>23±9*</td>
<td>11±6*</td>
<td>12±6*</td>
<td>13±6*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MED</td>
<td>27±9</td>
<td>17±7*</td>
<td>18±10*</td>
<td>21±12</td>
<td>20±9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Tidal Volume (mL.kg(^{-1}))</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DEX</td>
<td>17.3±7.0</td>
<td>11.2±3.3*</td>
<td>12.9±5.6</td>
<td>9.1±4.0*</td>
<td>9.9±3.4*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MED</td>
<td>19.0±4.4</td>
<td>18.6±5.2</td>
<td>14.0±6.4</td>
<td>15.0±4.3</td>
<td>11.2±2.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Minute Volume (mL.kg(^{-1}.min(^{-1}))</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DEX</td>
<td>470±236</td>
<td>234±67</td>
<td>132±86*</td>
<td>100±46*</td>
<td>121±43*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MED</td>
<td>545±138</td>
<td>311±89</td>
<td>290±257*</td>
<td>332±172</td>
<td>214±88*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Expired End Tidal CO(_2) (ETCO(_2)) (mmHg)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DEX</td>
<td>26.1±2.7</td>
<td>21.4±5.0*</td>
<td>39.2±13.5*</td>
<td>44.0±13.5*</td>
<td>49.3±13.5*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MED</td>
<td>30.3±4.9</td>
<td>30.9±3.7</td>
<td>37.4±7.4</td>
<td>42.3±7.3*</td>
<td>42.0±3.3*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Pulse Oximetry (Sp(_O_2)) (%)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DEX</td>
<td>97.7±0.7</td>
<td>97.2±0.8</td>
<td>98.3±1.1</td>
<td>98.7±0.7</td>
<td>98.8±0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MED</td>
<td>97.6±1.2</td>
<td>97.7±0.8</td>
<td>97.6±1.9</td>
<td>97.2±2.2</td>
<td>97.2±2.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*P<0.05: versus control at the same group; values as mean ± standard deviation.

**Bispectral Index (BIS) and Electromyography (EMG)**

There was a slight reduction in BIS values after pre-anesthetic medication in both groups. After induction of anesthesia, significant lower BIS values were observed as compared to control in both groups (P<0.05). This reduction was progressive and similar in both groups. Significant lower values were observed in DEX group as compared to those in MED group after preanesthetic medication and 10 minutes after induction of anesthesia (P<0.05) (Figure 2). Values of EMG reduced significantly (P<0.05) and progressively from the induction of anesthesia to the end of the study, similarly in both groups. Only in the second time of observation, values of DEX group were significantly lower than those of MED group (P<0.05) (Figure 3).
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FIGURE 2 – Bispectral Index (BIS) of groups treated with dexmedetomidine (DEX) or medetomidine (MED) at the five time points

*P<0.05: versus control at the same group; ^P<0.05: DEX versus MED at the same time of observation; values as mean ± standard deviation; pre = preanesthetic medication.

FIGURE 3 – Electromyography (EMG) of groups treated with dexmedetomidine (DEX) or medetomidine (MED) at the 5 times points

*P<0.05: versus control at the same group; ^P<0.05: DEX versus MED at the same time of observation; values as mean ± standard deviation; pre = preanesthetic medication.
Respiratory and oxygenation parameters (RR, \(V_r\), \(V_{et}\), ETCO\(_2\), SpO\(_2\))

There was a significant reduction in respiratory rate values as compared to control values (P<0.05) in both groups after preanesthetic medication. After induction of anesthesia, an additional reduction of respiratory rate was observed only in DEX group, and only after 20 minutes of induction of anesthesia, values of DEX group were significantly lower as compared to those of MED group (P<0.05) (Table 2). Tidal volume decreased in both groups after preanesthetic medication and induction of anesthesia. A significant decrease in values of tidal volume was observed in the DEX group as compared to control values only in the last two time points (P<0.05). There was a statically significant difference between groups (P<0.05) after preanesthetic medication and 20 minutes after the induction of anesthesia, in which the values of DEX group were lower as compared to those of MED group (Table 2). Minute volume values were lower in both groups as compared to those of controls after preanesthetic medication and during anesthesia. This difference was statistically significant (P<0.05) at all time points during anesthesia in DEX group, and at 10 and 30 minutes after induction of anesthesia in MED group (Table 2). Values of ETCO\(_2\) increased progressively in both groups and this difference was statistically significant as compared to control values during all period of anesthesia. After preanesthetic medication, DEX group values were significantly higher than those observed in MED group (P<0.05) (Table 2). No significant difference in SpO\(_2\) between groups was found at any time point (Table 2).

### TABLE 2 – Respiratory and Oxygenation Parameters of dexmedetomidine group (DEX) and medetomidine group (MED) at the times of observation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Control</th>
<th>15 min after premedication</th>
<th>After induction of anesthesia</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Heart Rate (beats.min(^{-1}))</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DEX</td>
<td>133 ± 15</td>
<td>106 ± 24*</td>
<td>90 ± 25*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MED</td>
<td>121 ± 23</td>
<td>107± 27*</td>
<td>99 ± 25*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Systolic Arterial Pressure (mmHg)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DEX</td>
<td>114.8 ± 14.7</td>
<td>110.4 ± 23.5</td>
<td>111.3 ± 24.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MED</td>
<td>125.6 ± 20.3</td>
<td>114.5 ± 14.5</td>
<td>119.3 ± 12.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Mean Arterial Pressure (mmHg)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DEX</td>
<td>97.5 ± 8.9</td>
<td>84.3 ± 18.3</td>
<td>98.6 ± 25.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MED</td>
<td>105.6 ± 18.1</td>
<td>93.0 ± 11.6</td>
<td>100.7 ± 15.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Diastolic Arterial Pressure (mmHg)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DEX</td>
<td>84.7 ± 7.6</td>
<td>70.4 ± 14.8</td>
<td>85.0 ± 24.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MED</td>
<td>91.6 ± 17.6</td>
<td>74.8 ± 11.2</td>
<td>91.3 ± 16.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*P<0.05: versus control at the same group; **P<0.05: DEX versus MED at the same time; values as mean ± standard deviation; pre = preanesthetic medication.
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Body temperature

A decrease of about one degree Celsius in body temperature was found at the observation times in both groups, but with no statistically significant difference (P>0.05). No statistically significant difference in body temperature was observed between groups (P>0.05) (Table 3).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Control</th>
<th>After induction of anesthesia</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Temperature (ºC)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DEX</td>
<td>38.8 ± 0.4</td>
<td>38.0 ± 0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MED</td>
<td>37.4 ± 0.5</td>
<td>36.7 ± 0.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values as mean ± standard deviation.

Anesthetic recovery

Average time (mean ± standard deviation) for extubation was 16.7 ± 2.1 minutes for DEX group and 15.0 ± 1.9 for MED group (P<0.05). Also, average time (mean ± standard deviation) for animals to come back to quadruped position was similar between groups, 51.4 ± 5.5 minutes and 48.3 ± 5.1 minutes for DEX group and MED group, respectively (P<0.05). No other adverse effects (tremor, vocalization or salivation) were observed and no dog owner made contact with the responsible for the research after hospital discharge.

Discussion

Dexmedetomidine, a drug that only recently has been used as veterinary anesthetic, was compared to medetomidine, which proved to be an effective anesthetic when combined with midazolam for spaying of female dogs. In this research, hemodynamic variables of female dogs anesthetized with ketamine and midazolam combined with dexmedetomidine or medetomidine after preanesthetic medication (levomepromazine and buprenorphine) for ovariohysterectomy were studied. Bispectral index and electromyography as well as respiratory parameters were evaluated. In order to adjust plasma concentration of dexmedetomidine according to the surgical procedure, a pharmacokinetic study was developed using the Stanpump program, so that the plasma concentration of dexmedetomidine at the moment of traction and evisceration was about 3 ng.mL⁻¹. This concentration causes a significant decrease in pain scores as well as deep sedation in humans when used as a sole agent.

The hypothesis of this study was that dexmedetomidine, combined with ketamine and midazolam and administered by continuous infusion in female dogs pretreated with levomepromazine and buprenorphine, would provide conditions of hypnosis and cardiovascular stability required for laparotomy and spay surgery. The typical hemodynamic response with the use of α₂-agonists includes increased arterial pressure in different degrees and decreased heart rate mediated mainly by baroreflex. Decreased heart rate is also due to a decrease in sympathetic activity by inhibition of noradrenaline release from sympathetic nerves and noradrenergic neurons in the CNS. The intensity of cardiovascular manifestations after the administration of dexmedetomidine depends on the dose, via of administration, the combination of drugs, surgical procedure, and distribution of α₂ receptors, according to the animal species. After administration of dexmedetomidine, a characteristic biphasic response of arterial pressure is also expected, that is an initial increase followed by a decrease, partly due to activity of α₁ receptors and partly to post-junctional α₂ receptors. Increasing doses of dexmedetomidine lead to an increase in arterial pressure.

In the present research, dexmedetomidine decreased heart rate to clinically acceptable values without any other alterations in cardiac rhythm. Dexmedetomidine led to a small increase in arterial pressure as compared to control values, however such increase was not statistically significant and was considered clinically acceptable. Lin et al., in a study on hemodynamic effects and tissue perfusion of dexmedetomidine administered over 24 hours in dogs, reported a higher reduction in heart rate and a significant increase in mean arterial pressure with plasma values of dexmedetomidine lower than those used in the present research. As there are many possible anesthetic drug combinations, it may be difficult to compare the results of the present study with others from the literature. The use of both dexmedetomidine and medetomidine combined with midazolam and ketamine resulted in good control of hemodynamic parameters, which are similar to those reported by other authors when such drugs were administered intramuscularly. However, the administration of levomepromazine before dexmedetomidine may worsen bradycardia caused by dexmedetomidine and the administration of atropine before
dexametomidine prevents bradycardia, but leads to arterial hypertension.

In the present study, dexametomidine resulted in cardiovascular similarity similar to that from medetomidine, as previously observed by the same research group. One patient had a first degree atrioventricular block following administration of medetomidine, with no hemodynamic compromise. In a study on cardiovascular effects of dexametomidine (doses of 10 and 20 μg.kg⁻¹) in comparison with medetomidine (40 μg.kg⁻¹), Kuusela et al. reported similar cardiovascular responses and a slightly deeper sedation with the administration of dexametomidine.

The doses of both α₂-agonists used in this study along with the continuous administration technique did not result in a significant increase in arterial pressure expected due to a possible synergetic effect of ketamine. High arterial pressure values have been observed in pigs with higher doses of α₂-agonists via bolus and in combination with ketamine. However, the combination of dexametomidine and ketamine has been shown to be hemodynamically safe and efficient in different animal species.

After the beginning of continuous infusion of either dexametomidine or medetomidine, a substantial and continuous decrease in BIS was observed. This finding is similar to that observed with the administration of xylazine and medetomidine. Dexametomidine administered by continuous infusion or even epidurally leads to a decrease in the BIS and has the ability to provide sedation similar to that of midazolam. Similar findings in electromyography were observed in the present study: a significant and progressive decrease of electromyography values at observation times and lower values in DEX group at the beginning of the procedure.

Nociceptive stimulation may lead to the wakening of a hypnotic state and provide a significant increase in arterial pressure. In the present study, no alterations in BIS were observed at any time during surgery. Campagnol et al. reported an increase in BIS with the nociceptive stimulation after epidural administration of dexametomidine. Thus, the negative response to surgical stimulus may be related to the strategy used in this study, that is, the pharmacokinetic simulation to obtain plasma concentrations about 3 ng.mL⁻¹ at the moment of traction, clamping, and evisceration. Then, this value of dexametomidine plasma concentration along with the combination of drugs used in this study seems to be suitable for ovariohysterectomy.

Similarly to what was reported in rats, the electromyography decreased substantially and progressively right after the administration of medetomidine and dexametomidine, suppressing the cataleptoid state induced by ketamine. Such myorelaxation was also observed in a study with dogs, which compared the combination of dexametomidine and buprenorphine with butorphanol and diazepam. In the present study, the reduction of EMG values was more prominent than that of BIS values. This finding has already been reported previously.

The animals had good blood oxygenation in both groups, as verified by pulse oximetry. Respiratory rate decreased significantly in both groups, and values of DEX group were significantly lower than those of MED group during clamping and evisceration. Thus, a significant increase in ETCO₂ was observed in both groups.

According to Groeben et al., dexametomidine does not significantly decrease respiratory rate or spontaneous ventilation, differently from what was found in the present study and also reported by Gómez-Villamandos et al. in a study on the effects of the combination of propofol and desflurane in dogs pretreated with different doses of dexametomidine. The ventilation with oxygen-enriched air during the administration of α₂-agonists drugs greatly decreases the probability of hypoxia and cyanosis. Thus, patients sedated with dexametomidine must receive oxygen and undergo constant monitoring mainly during spontaneous ventilation.

Body temperature had a slight decrease in both groups, but with no significant difference or clinical relevance, similar to what was observed in another study on these drugs.

In the present study, both the anesthetic recovery period and its characteristics were similar in both groups and also similar to what has been reported in the literature.

One of the limitations of this study is the short infusion period of the proposed combinations, since the surgical procedure was performed in a short period of time. The continuous intravenous administration of anesthetic drugs with stable plasma concentrations would be very advantageous when their administration is required for a long time and mainly when plasma concentrations, as performed in this study, can be estimated by simulation. The pharmacokinetic study helped to avoid too many pilot studies.

Conclusion

Continuous administration of dexametomidine with target plasma concentration calculated from a pharmacokinetic simulation (3 ng.mL⁻¹) in combination with midazolam and ketamine provides suitable anesthesia for ovariohysterectomy in dogs, verified by the adequate decrease in BIS. This technique of anesthesia also results in good hemodynamic stability as well as calm awakening without any adverse effects.
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