Matrix metalloproteinases 2 and 9 expression in canine normal prostate and with proliferative disorders

Expressão de metaloproteinases de matriz 2 e 9 na próstata canina normal e com lesões proliferativas
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ABSTRACT

In this study the expression of metalloproteinases 2 (MMP-2) and 9 (MMP-9) in canine normal prostates and with proliferative disorders was evaluated to verify the role of these enzymes in extracellular matrix remodeling (ECM) and in the tissue invasion process. A total of 355 prostatic samples were obtained, from which 36 (10.1%) were normal prostates, 46 (13.0%) with benign prostatic hyperplasia (BPH), 128 (36.1%) with proliferative inflammatory atrophy (PIA), 74 (20.8%) with prostatic intraepithelial neoplasia (PIN), and 71 (20.0%) with prostatic carcinoma (PC). Difference in cytoplasmic immunohistochemical staining of MMP-2 and MMP-9 between acinar epithelium and periacinar stroma was found regarding the different diagnosis. The correlation between MMP-2 and MMP-9 expression in relation to the number of labeled cells in acinar epithelium and periacinar stroma, as well as to the staining intensity in the periacinar stromal cells was evidenced in canine prostates with PIA. In conclusion, MMP-2 and MMP-9 expression has a variation in canine prostate according to the lesion, with lower expression in normal tissue and with BPH, and higher expression in those with PIA, PIN and PC. Moreover, the inflammatory microenvironment of the PIA has influence in the activity of both enzymes.
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INTRODUCTION

The canine prostate have been studied due to its similarities to the human prostate regarding the natural occurrence of diseases and the hormonal...
influence in their development, for instance, benign prostatic hyperplasia (BPH) and prostatic carcinoma (PC) (LEROY & NORTHRUP, 2009). Some dysplastic lesions of man’s prostate are considered premalignant, as the prostatic intraepithelial neoplasia (PIN), because they show morphological similarities to cancer or involve potentially carcinogenic factors (DE MARZO et al., 2006). The proliferative inflammatory atrophy (PIA) is another lesion that has been investigated to determine its premalignant potential (WANG et al., 2009).

In dogs, PIN has been considered a premalignant lesion and can be observed in cases of PC (WATERS et al., 1997; MADEWELL et al., 2004; MATSUZAKI et al., 2010). Also, RODRIGUES et al. (2010) mentioned PIA in canine prostate and TOLEDO et al. (2010) described it histological aspects in the gland of dogs.

The tumor invasion processes involves hydrolytic destruction of extracellular matrix (ECM) components by proteolytic enzymes and migration of neoplastic cells through the altered extracellular environment (AMBIRU et al., 1997). The matrix metalloproteinases (MMP) are proteolytic enzymes involved in tumor invasion (QUARANTA, 2000) and the expression of MMP-2 (gelatinase A) and MMP-9 (gelatinase B) has been studied in benign and malignant human prostatic tumors (WILSON et al., 2002). LOUKOPOULOS et al. (2003) demonstrated for the first time the involvement of MMP-2 and MMP-9 in canine tumors as well as the relation of such proteins with the different tumoral levels. Previous studies have demonstrated the presence of these proteins in canine tumors and normal tissues, being detected in higher levels in dogs with osteosarcoma (LANA et al., 2000) and cutaneous mast cell tumors (LEIBMAN et al., 2000) than in unaffected stromal tissues. Nevertheless, reports relative to the involvement of MMP in benign and malignant tumors of the canine prostate have not been located.

In this study the expression of MMP-2 and MMP-9 in both normal canine prostatic tissue and with proliferative disorders, including BPH, PIN, PIA and PC, was verified to evaluate the role of these enzymes in ECM remodeling and in the tissue invasion process.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The evaluated samples were derived from archives of two Veterinary Pathology Laboratories. Three-µm-sections were obtained from formalin-fixed-paraffin-embedded (FFPE) tissue blocks and stained with hematoxylin and eosin (HE) for microscopic examination. Histomorphological evaluation included normal prostates and with BHP (LEA V et at., 2001), PIA (TOLEDO et al., 2010), PIN (BOSTWICK 1995), and PC (VASTO, 2008). All histological slides were examined by three investigators. All prostatic samples were from adult dogs and normal prostatic tissues were from dogs with no lesions in the gland.

The prostate tissue microarray (TMA) was carried out according to criteria described by KONONEN et al. (1998) and BUBENDORF et al. (2001). From the previous defined areas in histomorphological evaluation, tissue cores with a dimension of 1.0mm were taken from FFPE tissue samples and arrayed on a recipient paraffin block using the Tissue Microarrayer (Beencher Instruments® Silver Spring, USA). Three-µm-sections were obtained of the recipient block and distended on silanized slides for HE staining and immunohistochemistry.

Immunohistochemistry was performed in two TMA slides, which were deparaffinized, rehydratated and washed in distilled water. For anti-MMP-2 mouse monoclonal antibody, clone A-Gel VC2 (DBS - Mob 312), was used 1:25 dilution and antigen retrieval, in water bath at 96ºC, for 30min, with 10mM of pre-heated citrate buffer, pH 6.0. For anti-MMP-9 rabbit polyclonal antibody (Dako A0150), was used 1:200 dilution and antigen retrieval in water bath at 96ºC, for 20min, with pre-heated TRIS-EDTA buffer, pH 9.0. Endogenous peroxidase activity was blocked and incubation with both primary antibodies was carried out in a wet chamber, at 4ºC, for 18h. Advance HRP signal amplification system (Dako K 4068) was used and the reaction was visualized by the use of DAB (Diaminobenzidine, Dako, K3468-1). Sections were counterstained with Mayer's hematoxylin, washed, dehydrated, cleared, mounted, and examined by light microscopy.

Human placenta was used as the positive tissue control for both MMP-2 and MMP-9. The primary antibody was replaced by TRIS buffer, pH 7.4, on canine prostate for the negative antibody control. The intensity of cytoplasmic reactivity of the antibodies within acinar epithelium and periacinar stromal cells was subjectively scored as: 0=negative, 1=discrete, 2=moderate and 3=intense. Regarding the number of both acinar epithelium and periacinar stromal stained cells, the scores were: 0=negative, 1=1-25%, 2=26-50%, 3=51-75% and 4=76-100%.
Kruskal-Wallis and Mann Whitney tests as well as descriptive data were used to compare the scores of percentage of positive cells and their intensity. Association between MMP2, MMP9 expression in normal prostatic tissue and with the different lesions studied was achieved by Spearman test. All values were considered with 5% of significance level.

RESULTS

From the TMA 355 diagnoses were obtained, from which 36 (10.1%) were normal tissues, 46 (13.0%) BPH, 128 (36.1%) PIA, 74 (20.8%) PIN, and 71 (20.0%) PC. Concerning PIA (n=128), it was observed that 71 (55.5 %) were discrete (PIA-D), 39 (30.5%) moderate (PIA-M) and 18 (14.0%) intense (PIA-I).

Immunohistochemistry staining for MMP-2 and MMP-9 was cytoplasmic (Figure 1). Acinar and periacinar MMP-2 expression in normal prostatic tissue was different from those with BPH, PIA, PIN and PC, as well as it was different in prostatic tissues with BPH from those with PIA, PIN and PC, regarding the number and intensity of positive cells (P<0.05). MMP-9 expression showed difference between normal from PIA, PIN and PC tissues for the same variants (P<0.05). Also, there was a difference to MMP-9 regarding number and intensity of stained periacinar cells between normal and BPH tissue (P<0.05). A difference in the number of stained acinar cells to MMP-9 was observed between BPH and PIA, PIN and CP tissues (P<0.05). Still about MMP-9, there was a difference in the intensity staining of acinar cells between BPH and PC as well as between PIN and PC tissues (P<0.05).

Regarding the types of PIA, there was a significant difference in the number of stained cells and the intensity of staining of periacinar cells between PIA-D and PIA-M, as well as in the number of stained periacinar cells between PIA-D and PIA-I (P<0.05) to MMP-9, but no significant difference to MMP-2 was observed (Table 1).

![Figure 1 - Photomicrography of canine prostates. A) MMP-2 in prostatic carcinoma. Epithelial (filled arrow) and stromal cells (hollow arrow) with score two for labelling intensity and three for number of labelled cells. B) Absence of labelling for MMP-2 in normal prostate tissue. C) MMP-9 in prostatic carcinoma. Epithelial (filled arrow) and stromal cells (hollow arrow) with score three for intensity of labelling and four for the number of labelled cells. D) MMP-9 in PIA D. Epithelial (filled arrow) and stromal cells (hollow arrow) with score three for intensity and score three for the number of cells. All images: IHC, obj. 40x.](image-url)
There was correlation among the number of stained cells in acinar epithelium, number of stained cells in the periacinar and intensity of staining of periacinar cells between MMP-2 and MMP-9 antibodies only in canine prostates with PIA (P<0.05) (Table 1).

**DISCUSSION**

LANA et al. (2000), BREHMER et al. (2003), LOUKOPOULOS et al. (2003), DELELLA et al. (2010) have studied gelatinases MMP-2 and MMP-9 in both normal tissues and the ones with benign
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Matrix metalloproteinases 2 and 9 expression in canine normal prostate and with proliferative disorders.ival and malignant lesions in different species, including dogs, since MMPs are proteolytic enzymes capable of degrading components of connective tissue, such as the ECM, during tissue remodeling, which occurs in physiologic and pathologic conditions (EGEBLAD & WERB, 2002; MOOK et al., 2004). However, no previous MMP evaluation of metalloproteinases in normal canine prostate and with benign, dysplastic and malignant lesions has been carried out, as it was done in this study.

According to APARICIO et al. (1999), MMP expression occurs in tumor cells and stromal cells adjacent to the invasive tumor, providing an efficient mechanism for the degradation of ECM. It is likely that both cellular components contribute in different ways to the metastatic cascade (APARICIO et al., 1999). Moreover, MMP-positive tumor cells can contribute to tumor growth and invasion, while the stromal component collaborates with the remodeling process that occurs in the tumoral neighboring tissue.

In this study, MMP-2 and MMP-9 protein expression was observed in both epithelial and stromal cells in canine prostate tissue, regardless of the histomorphological condition, as it occurs in human (BREHMER et al., 2003) and rats prostates (DELELLA et al., 2010). LANA et al. (2000), LEIBMAN et al. (2000), LOUKOPOULOS et al. (2003), KAWAI et al. (2006) and VINOTHINI et al. (2009) evaluated MMP-2 and 9 protein expression in non-prostatic neoplastic canine tissues, and reported higher immune staining of these enzymes in tumor cells than in adjacent stromal cells, as it was observed in the prostatic tumors in this study.

The difference observed regarding the comparison of positive and intensity of MMP-2 and MMP-9 stained cells in acinar epithelial cells and stromal periacinar cells, related to the different lesions studied, indicated that these enzymes exhibit variable expression in canine prostate tissue according to the lesion. There was higher expression of epithelial and stromal MMP-2 in glands with PIA, PIN and PC in comparison to normal glands and with BPH. In the same manner, BREHMER et al. (2003), ZHONG et al. (2008) and ESCAFF et al. (2011) observed higher expression of MMP-2 and MMP-9 in neoplastic human prostate than in normal ones or with BPH, respectively. Besides, ESCAFF et al. (2010) found higher expression of different types of MMP and TIMP in human prostates with carcinoma than in glands with benign changes, concluding that such enzymes have an important role in the molecular biology of prostatic carcinomas.

The comparison of the MMP-2 expression between premalignant lesions (PIA and PIN) and PC, as well as among the types of PIA did not show immunostaining variation, since it was high in all of them. In humans, malignant tissues exhibit overexpression of MMP and underexpression of its inhibitors (TIMP), resulting in increased proteolytic activity (BÖHLER & KALTHOFF, 1999; JOHNSEN et al., 1998), which expands the capacity of cell invasion across the ECM and dissemination of the neoplasia (MCCAWLY & MATRISIAN, 2001).

MMP overexpression is mediated by growth factors and cytokines secreted by neoplastic, stromal and inflammatory cells (BÖHLER & KALTHOFF, 1999). Thus, it is likely that the increased expression of MMP-2 and MMP-9 in canine PIA is mediated by inflammatory cells located in the periacinar stroma, intensifying the premalignant potential of the lesion, considering that in higher MMP concentration there is higher ECM lysis activity. Therefore, both dysplastic epithelial cells of PIA and inflammatory cells in the stroma can be involved in neoplastic transformation and tumor invasion. MOOK et al. (2004) also suggested that the increase of MMP-9 expression in tumors surrounded by inflammation results in local proteolysis and contributes to tumor invasion.

As was observed for MMP-2, the expression of MMP-9 in canine prostates is higher in tissues with premalignant lesions and PC than in normal tissues and the ones with BPH. Furthermore, the intensity of MMP-9 staining in carcinoma epithelial cells was higher than in PIN, suggesting increased proteolytic activity and consequently greater potential for invasion of the malignant canine prostate tissue than the premalignant tissue without neighboring inflammation. This hypothesis is based on the reports that MMP-9 is expressed mainly by malignant cells (MASSOVA et al., 1998; NAGAOKA & HIROTA, 2000).

Moreover, as there was no difference in the variables of MMP-9 expression between PIA and PC, it can be concluded that these lesions have the same expression profile for MMP-9, and probably carcinoma cells are responsible for the increase of MMP-9 expression as described by ZHONG et al. (2008), whereas in PIA this increase appears to be related to inflammatory cells found within the stroma. The higher stromal expression of MMP-9 in both moderate and intense PIA supports this idea.

MMP-2 and MMP-9 correlation was found in prostates with PIA. In other words, in the inflammatory microenvironment, MMP-2 and MMP-9 showed concomitant and equivalent expression increase, particularly in stromal cells, suggesting initially higher ECM proteolysis potential making
easier the invasion of malignant glandular epithelial cells. However, this is just a possibility, considering that the expression of metalloproteinase inhibitors (TIMP) was not evaluated. According to BREHMER et al. (2003), the balanced activity of MMP and TIMP is the main responsible factor for the ECM proteolytic degradation control, while the imbalance of these enzymes contributes to tumor progression (LICHTTINGHAGEN et al., 2002). Besides, other proteins may be involved in this cascade, which results in degradation of biological barriers, such as TGF-β that regulates the expression of MMP-2 and MMP-9 in prostate tissue (WILSON et al., 2002). It means that there is an increase of these enzymes in the presence of TGF-β, which may explain MMP higher expression in canine prostates with PIA (RODRIGUES et al., 2010).

**CONCLUSION**

There is variation in the expression of MMP-2 and MMP-9 in canine prostate according to the lesion. The lower expression in normal prostates and with BHP indicates the involvement of these enzymes in ECM remodeling while the higher expression in prostates with premalignant and malignant lesions suggests the action of these in the tissue invasion process. More, the inflammation (PIA) increases simultaneously the activity of both enzymes.
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