Evolved gas analysis (TG-DSC-FTIR) and (Pyr-GC–MS) in the disposal of medicines (aceclofenac)
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A B S T R A C T

During the twentieth century the growth of industrialisation, urbanisation and populations culminated in huge areas of waste disposal worldwide. Consequently, the management of the disposal of medicines (expired or not) is important in order to minimise the possibility of environmental pollution. A sample of aceclofenac (purity = 99.77% and m.p. = 151.63 °C) was analysed by TG-DSC-FTIR in nitrogen atmosphere. The TG-DSC curves showed two main stages of decomposition, with loss of organic matter generating carbonaceous derivatives as final residue. The use of coupled FTIR suggested the loss of CO, CO 2 and/or 2-chloro-propanoic acid/2-chloro-butanolic acid. The use of fast pyrolysis (Pyr) coupled with GC–MS suggested that the main compounds that were released were 1-(2,6-dichlorophenyl)-2-indolinone and 2,6-dichloro-N-(2-methylphenyl)–benzenamine. The differences in the results between the techniques were due to the experimental conditions used for each instrument.

© 2016 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Thermal analysis techniques are widely used in studies of pharmaceutical compounds (active ingredients) as well as their excipients. These techniques are employed to check the thermal decomposition and thermal stability [1–7], polymorphism and pseudo-polymorphism [8–10], purity and melting point determination [11,12], interactions with excipients, and other properties of interest in the pharmaceutical industry [13–15].

Aceclofenac, whose chemical name is 2-[2-{2,6-dichlorophenyl}amino]phenyl[acetoxyacetic] acid is a white crystalline powder which is insoluble in water. It is a non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drug (NSAID) that is used mainly in the treatment of some rheumatic diseases. It has better gastric tolerance than other drugs from the same group. In chemical terms, aceclofenac is derived from aroylacetic acid; it is a non-selective cyclo-oxygenase (COX) reducing metabolites that provide anti-inflammatory and anti-arthritic effects, analgesic and antipyretic. It is prescribed mainly for diseases such as rheumatoid arthritis, ankylosing spondylitis and osteoarthritis [16–19].

Pharmaceutical drugs are chemical substances which are intended for use in the diagnosis, treatment, cure or prevention of diseases in humans and animals. There are several studies in the literature reporting many aspects of the pharmacological action of aceclofenac [20–22] but they are few studies regarding the thermal and structural characteristics of this drug, as well as the proper method of disposal after it has expired.

Population growth and consequent industrialisation cause problems related to waste disposal [23,24]. The present study was conducted in order to assess the thermal behaviour of aceclofenac. The main objective was to characterise aceclofenac using the following instrumental techniques: thermogravimetric analysis, differential scanning calorimetry and Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy (TG-DSC-FTIR), as well as coupled fast pyrolysis gas chromatography mass spectrometry (Pyr-GC–MS).

2. Materials and methods

The sample used in this investigation was aceclofenac, which was of pharmaceutical grade and which was acquired in the city of Ponta Grossa, Paraná, Brazil, lot n°: 11020326A.
2.1. Differential scanning calorimetry (DSC)

The DSC curves were obtained in a DSC-Q200 calorimeter (TA Instr. Co., USA), which was calibrated according to the manufacturer’s recommendation: standard indium 99.99% purity, m.p. 156.6 °C and ΔH 28.56 J g⁻¹. A mass sample was around 2 mg and the sample was heated from 130 °C to 180 °C using an aluminium crucible with perforated lid with 1.0 mm orifice, under N₂ atmosphere, with a flow rate of 50 mL min⁻¹ and a heating rate of 1 °C min⁻¹. Universal Analysis software was used to determine the purity of the sample.

2.2. Thermomicroscopy

The images were obtained by digital microscope coupled upon DSC cell. The microscope is equipped with color CMOS sensor and lens glass, 2M pixel resolution with increased 800×. The software AMCAP V9.016 was used for capturing images.

2.3. Evolved gas analysis (EGA)

EGA was performed using the simultaneous techniques of TG-DSC-FTIR (slow pyrolysis in nitrogen atmosphere) and Pyrolysis-GC–MS (fast pyrolysis in static atmosphere).

2.4. Thermogravimetric analysis, differential scanning calorimetry and fourier transform infrared spectroscopy (TG-DSC-FTIR)

The TG-DSC curves were obtained using a TGA 1 thermal analysis system (Mettler, Switzerland). A quantity of 10 mg of sample was used in an open α-alumina crucible in N₂ atmosphere with a flow rate of 50 mL min⁻¹. The samples were heated from 30 °C to 850 °C with a heating rate of 10 °C min⁻¹. The equipment was preliminarily calibrated with standard weight and the calibration was checked with calcium oxalate monohydrate. Staré Evolution software was used to analyse the results. A Nicolet FTIR coupled spectrophotometer with gas cell and DTG S KBr detector was used. For the coupling, we used a stainless steel transfer line of 120 cm in length and 3 mm in diameter, which was heated to 225 °C. The gas cell was maintained at 250 °C and the spectra were obtained with a resolution of 4 cm⁻¹. Omnic software, fitted with spectra from 46 different libraries, was used for data analysis.
2.5. Pyrolysis-GC–MS

Pyrolysis was carried out at 700 °C for 10 s using a CDS 5000 pyroprobe; the coil heater was set at 10 °C ms⁻¹ (8.0 mg). The other conditions for the pyroprobe were: -75 °C to 290 °C at 100 °C min⁻¹ then held for 120 s, oven 290 °C, and transfer line 290 °C. The pyrolysed compounds were analysed using a Focus GC gas chromatography in tandem with a Polaris Q ion trap mass spectrometer (Thermo), equipped with a BPX5 capillary column (60 m x 0.25 mm, 25 μm film thickness). The compounds were introduced via the pyroprobe transfer line into a split/splitless injector (split 1:50, 290 °C). A GC oven was programmed from 40 °C (held for 5 min) to 300 °C at 7 °C min⁻¹ and held at 300 °C for 5 min. The carrier gas was helium, at a constant flow of 1.0 mL min⁻¹. The GC–MS interface and ion source temperatures were 300 °C and 200 °C, respectively. The ion trap mass spectrometer was operated in the positive impact electronic mode at 70 eV, scanning was in the range of m/z 50–650 in a 0.58 total scan time, and the emission current was 250 mA.

3. Results and discussion

The DSC curve (Fig. 1) shows an endothermic event near to 152 °C, this event was attributed to melting of aceclofenac, as can be seen in thermomicroscopy (Supplementary material). The melting point was used to calculate the purity of the aceclofenac, according to the Van’t Hoff equation.

The DSC curve was performed according to the procedure described by American Society for Testing and Materials [28], and showed that aceclofenac had a purity of 99.77% and a melting point of 151.63 °C.

The TG-DSC curve (Fig. 2) showed the decomposition of aceclofenac in two main stages of weight loss between 160–280 °C and 280–550 °C, with losses of 93.20% and 6.70%, respectively. The thermal decomposition residue was 0.1% of the initial mass.

Two endothermic events were observed in the DSC curve. The first peak was at 159 °C and was attributed to the melting of the aceclofenac; the second peak was at 401 °C and was associated with the two steps of weight loss attributed to the pyrolysis of the compound.

The FTIR spectra of the released gases are shown in Fig. 2. Comparison of the spectra obtained from libraries present in the FTIR software [25,26] suggests that the decomposition of the drug released CO, CO2, 2-chloro-propanoic acid (C3H5ClO2) and/or 2-chloro-butanolic acid (C4H7ClO2), Fig. 3.

Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ID</th>
<th>Compounds</th>
<th>RRT</th>
<th>MM</th>
<th>ML</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>toluene</td>
<td>3.35</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>C₈H₇ClNO₄</td>
<td>0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>benzoic acid</td>
<td>2.26</td>
<td>119</td>
<td>C₈H₇ClO₃</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>1,3-dichlorobenzene</td>
<td>2.10</td>
<td>146</td>
<td>C₈H₇ClNO₂</td>
<td>0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>2,4-dichlorotoluene</td>
<td>1.82</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>C₈H₇NO₄</td>
<td>0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>2,6-dichloroaniline</td>
<td>1.62</td>
<td>161</td>
<td>C₈H₇O₄</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>2,6-dichlorophenyl isocyanate</td>
<td>1.55</td>
<td>187</td>
<td>C₈H₇O₃</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>acridine</td>
<td>1.14</td>
<td>179</td>
<td>C₈H₇ClO₄</td>
<td>0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>2,6-dichloro-N-phenylalanine</td>
<td>1.13</td>
<td>237</td>
<td>C₈H₇O₄</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>2,6-dichloro-N-(2-methylphenyl)-benzenamine</td>
<td>1.11</td>
<td>251</td>
<td>C₈H₇O₄</td>
<td>1.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>2,6-dichloro-N-2-ethanal-phenylalanine</td>
<td>1.06</td>
<td>279</td>
<td>C₈H₇O₃</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>chloroacridine</td>
<td>1.06</td>
<td>213</td>
<td>C₈H₇ClO₄</td>
<td>0.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>2,6-dichloro-N-2-acetoxy-phenylalanine</td>
<td>1.03</td>
<td>261</td>
<td>C₈H₇ClO₂</td>
<td>0.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>4-chloroacridine</td>
<td>1.03</td>
<td>213</td>
<td>C₈H₇ClO₄</td>
<td>1.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>1-(2,6-dichlorophenyl)-2-indolinone</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>277</td>
<td>C₈H₇O₁</td>
<td>94.91</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a 4-Chloroacridine isomer—the position of chloride substitute was not identified.
b Relative retention time against the major pyrolysis-derived compound, 14.
c Molecular mass.
d Molecular loss.
e Integrated TIC areas were normalized and an individual compounds was expressed as a relative amount of total (%).
The Pyr-GC–MS analysis at 700 °C showed 14 compounds, which were identified by their mass spectra (Table 1). The main pyrolysis-derived compound was 1-(2,6-dichlorophenyl)-2-indolinone, which accounted for 94.91% of the total compounds (Fig. 4).

Other compounds detected are: 2,6-dichloro-N-(2-methylphenyl)-benzenamine and 4-chloroacridine, albeit in much lower amounts (1%). The other 11 components were observed in trace amounts (<1%) of the total detected compounds (Table 1).

The major component was formed due to the cleavage of the ester bond and the migration of hydrogen from the secondary amine to form a cyclic amide. The hydroxyacetic acid (C₂H₄O₃) leaving group was not detected in the Py-GC–MS analysis (Fig. 5). It is well known that organic acid groups are not easily detected by pyrolysis [27], thus decarboxylation of the leaving group was to be expected, given the presence of undetectable compounds. Of the three major compounds, only the 4-chloroacridine provided a chloride-substitution leaving group (C₃H₅ClO₄), which was interpreted as 2-chloroformic ester acetic acid. As mentioned above in relation to hydroxyacetic acid, this chloride leaving group was not detected in the analysis.

The thermal decomposition mechanism of the two main compounds is suggested (Fig. 4):

The discrepancies between the results of TG-DSC-FTIR and Pyr-GC–MS were attributed to differences between the parameters of the pyrolysis of aceclofenac.

4. Conclusion

The thermomicroscopy allows the melting attribution of compound and the DSC technique was important in determining the
purity of the active ingredient, and when coupled with the other instruments it provided important information about these compounds.

The TG-DSC techniques were used to determine the thermal decomposition of the compound, which occurred in two consecutive stages of mass loss. The DSC analysis made it possible to verify the endothermic events, which were attributed to the melting and the pyrolysis of the compound.

The EGA by TG-DSC-FITIR suggested that the gases released during decomposition were possibly CO, CO2, 2-chloro-propanoic acid (C3H2ClCO2). The EGA by Pyr–GC–MS suggested that the gases released during decomposition were possibly 1-(2,6-dichlorophenyl)-2-indolinone (94.91%) and 2,6-dichloro-N-(2-methylphenyl)-benzenamine (1.21%).

The EGA analysis allowed the observation that the pyrolysis releases acetylphenac chlorinated compounds into the atmosphere, thus the process of disposing of this drug should be better planned to avoid contamination of the environment.
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