Detection of Epstein–Barr virus in different sources of materials from patients with oral lichen planus: a case–control study
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ABSTRACT

Aims To detect the presence of Epstein–Barr virus (EBV) DNA in different sources of materials from a matched group of patients with oral lichen planus (OLP) and a group of people without OLP lesions, and to correlate the presence of virus with epidemiological variables of the groups studied.

Methods Fresh tissue samples, saliva, exfoliated cells and plasma of 24 patients with OLP lesions (cases) and 17 patients without OLP lesions (controls) were collected. EBV was detected by nested PCR.

Results Viral positivity was obtained in 62.5% of tissue samples; in 70.8% of exfoliated cell samples; in 33.3% of blood plasma samples and in 75% of saliva samples in the cases; and in 35.3% of tissue samples; 82.4% of exfoliated cell samples; in 47.1% of blood plasma samples and in 64.7% of saliva samples in the controls. There was a predominance of women in both groups. Variables not atrophic-erosive were most affected by EBV.

Conclusions No relationship between EBV and OLP was found. However, all sources tested in this study were considered suitable for the detection of viruses.

INTRODUCTION

Oral lichen planus (OLP) is a chronic inflammatory autoimmune disease,1 which affects around 2–3% of the population,2 with middle-aged women being the most affected.3 Its aetiology is uncertain, but it is thought to be a multifactorial process involving genetic, psychological and infectious factors.4 Included in the group of infectious factors are hepatitis C virus,5 herpes simplex virus (type 1), human herpes virus (type 6),6,7 human papillomavirus and Epstein–Barr virus (EBV).8 OLP presents papular, atrophic, erosive and bullous types.9 Histopathological analysis of the specimen,10 which occurs after the initial infection, in which the EBV DNA is incorporated into the lymphocyte genome, and is replicated and transcribed in the nucleus; and latent infection, which occurs after the initial infection, in which the viral DNA in the nucleus remains episomal, and the lymphocyte DNA is circular.14 Stress and immune deficiency are factors leading to reactivation and replication of the virus.24

The correlation between EBV and OLP has been the focus of many studies, in which several techniques for EBV detection have been used, among them immunohistochemistry, in situ hybridisation, PCR and nested PCR (nPCR). PCR is one of the most commonly used methods in various areas of molecular diagnostics, owing to its great ability to detect small fragments of DNA. nPCR is a variation of the PCR technique, involving two stages of DNA synthesis. The material produced in the first step is used in the second step, with the aim of attaining specificity and efficiency of target DNA amplification.25

Cruz et al15 used PCR to investigate the correlation between EBV in patients with and without potentially malignant lesions. Correlation was found between EBV and the presence of potentially malignant lesions (77.8%); however, it was also found in 8.3% of controls. Sand et al26 using nPCR, analysed the presence of EBV in paraffin-embedded tissue samples from 23 patients with OLP, and 67 controls without OLP. The virus was found in 26.1% of samples from patients with OLP and in 7.3% of samples from the controls.

In view of the differing reported results for the existence of a correlation between EBV and OLP, the...
aim of this study was to investigate the relationship between this virus and OLP using nPCR, in different sources of materials (fresh tissue, blood plasma, saliva and oral exfoliated cells) of a matched group of patients with and without OLP lesions. In addition, the aim was to correlate the epidemiological data of the groups studied with the presence of virus, and verify whether the sources of material tested in this study are suitable for EBV detection. It is important to emphasise that we found no studies which had reported the presence of EBV in samples of tissue exfoliated cells, saliva and plasma of patients with OLP lesions. Therefore, this is the first case-control study, matched for sex and age, which involves the detection of EBV in different sources of materials from a group of patients with and without OLP lesions.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Inclusion criteria
As this was a case-control study with paired groups, it was necessary for all the patients in the two groups to be of the same sex, and similar age, with at most ≤3 years of difference. Based on this, 24 patients with a histological diagnosis of OLP obtained by the same pathologist (cases); and 17 patients without suspicion of OLP requiring distal wedge or pre-prosthetic surgery (controls) were selected and included in this study.

Exclusion criteria
Excluded from this study were people who had any type of infection, fever, kidney disease, diabetes, autoimmune diseases, were pregnant, and those who had been treated for any malignancy, or who were using the following drugs: anti-inflammatory drugs (past 3 months), antibiotics (past 6 months), contraceptives, antidepressants, immunosuppressants and chemotherapeutic agents.

Ethical considerations
This study was approved by the research ethics committee of the institution at which the study was conducted. All patients in this study provided free and informed written consent.

Data collection and materials
Cases
Biopsies were performed for diagnostic purposes and the material obtained was divided into two parts. One part was preserved in 10% buffered formalin and sent for histopathological examination by haematoxylin and eosin staining. The other part was stored at −80°C and used for biomolecular EBV DNA detection tests.

For saliva and exfoliated cell collection patients were instructed not to consume any food or drink for 30 min before the collection. To obtain saliva samples, patients were asked to spit into a 15 mL Falcon tube for a period of 5–10 min in order to obtain at least 5 mL of saliva.

Exfoliated cells were collected by means of a cytological brush, which was firmly rubbed and rotated in the lesion 5–10 times. After this the OLP specimens were individually stored in 2 mL polypropylene tubes containing 300 μL of Mili-Q water or tris (hydroxymethyl)aminomethane and ethylenediamine tetra-acetic acid (EDTA). The samples of saliva and exfoliated cells were distributed in 2 mL polypropylene tubes, identified and stored at −80°C for later laboratory procedures.

Blood (10 mL) was collected in a 50 mL Falcon tube, containing 0.2 mL of 10% EDTA trisodium. After collection, the samples were immediately centrifuged to obtain plasma, and then placed in 2 mL polypropylene tubes, identified and stored at −80°C.

Controls
Material collection from controls was carried out by the same techniques as used for the cases, except for biopsies, which were performed as a treatment modality, and collection of exfoliated cell samples, which was performed in a normal region of the controls.

PCR for control human gene
To perform the PCR for β-globin gene amplification we used the PCO3 and PCO4 oligonucleotides with 110 bp.[22] The reaction mix comprised 2.5 μL of 10× PCR buffer (Tris-HCl 10 mM, pH 8; KCl 50 mM) (Invitrogen Life Technologies, Brazil), 0.75 μL MgCl2 (Invitrogen, Life Technologies, Brazil), 1.5 μL deoxyribonucleoside 5′-triphosphate (dNTP) mix—deoxyadenosine triphosphate (dATP), deoxycytidine triphosphate (dCTP), deoxyguanosine triphosphate (dGTP), deoxythymidine triphosphate (dTTP) (Healthcare, USA), 1.5 μL Taq DNA polymerase (Invitrogen, Life Technologies, Brazil), 1.5 μL of each oligonucleotide (Invitrogen, Life Technologies, Brazil), 5 μL of
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**Figure 1** Prevalence of affected regions in the studied groups.
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**Figure 2** Result of β-globin amplification (110 bp) in tissue samples from cases (A) and controls (B). MW, molecular weight (50 bp); NC, negative control (without DNA); PC, positive control (DNA from human blood).
Figure 3  Amplification of Epstein–Barr virus (EBV) (100 bp) by nested PCR in saliva samples of 12 cases. MW, molecular weight (50 bp); NC, negative control (without DNA); PC, positive control (DNA extracted from Hodgkin’s lymphoma).

Figure 4  Amplification of Epstein–Barr virus (EBV) (100 bp) by nested PCR in saliva samples of 16 controls. MW, molecular weight (50 bp); NC, negative control (without DNA); PC, positive control (DNA extracted from Hodgkin’s lymphoma).

genomic DNA sample and ultrapure water (Invitrogen, Life Technologies, USA) to give a final volume of 25 μL.

The amplification reactions were carried out in a thermocycler (Peltier Effect Cycling PTC model—100, MJ Research, USA) under the following conditions: initial denaturation at 95°C for 10 min, 35 cycles of denaturation at 95°C for 1 min, annealing at 55°C for 1 min and extension at 72°C for 2 min, with final extension at 72°C for 8 min. For tissue and plasma samples, the annealing temperature was changed to 53°C and 50°C, respectively.

The presence of human DNA was checked by electrophoresis on 2% agarose gel in 1× tris-borate-EDTA buffer (Source EBV+, 10 mM, pH 8; KCl 50 mM) (Invitrogen, Life Technologies, USA), 1.3 μL of each dNTP (dATP, dCTP, dGTP, dTTP) (Amershan Biosciences, USA), 0.5 μL of each oligonucleotide amplify a 100 bp fragment within the sequence of the amplified fragment in the first step. For this purpose, we used a 1 μL sample of the DNA product obtained in the first step. The amplification mix and cycling conditions were the same as those used in the first step, except for substitution of external oligonucleotide by the internal oligonucleotide.

DNA extracted from the Hodgkin’s lymphoma sample and the mix of components used for DNA amplification, were used as positive and negative controls, respectively.

The nPCR products were subjected to electrophoresis in 8% polyacrylamide gel for 2 h under constant voltage of 100 V. The bands were visualised by staining with silver nitrate solution and were then documented. All samples in this study were tested in duplicate.

**ANALYSIS OF RESULTS**

Data from each group were analysed with the software EPI ANALYSIS OF RESULTS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Cases</th>
<th>Controls</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tissue</td>
<td>EBV+ (%)</td>
<td>EBV− (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>15 (62.5)</td>
<td>9 (37.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saliva</td>
<td>18 (75)</td>
<td>6 (25)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exfoliated cells</td>
<td>17 (70.8)</td>
<td>7 (29.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blood plasma</td>
<td>8 (33.3)</td>
<td>16 (66.7)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

χ²—Mantel–Haenszel test (significance level of 5%).

EBV, Epstein–Barr virus.
verify the correlation between the groups for the status of EBV in each source material, the $\chi^2$—Mantel–Haenszel, and the Fisher exact tests were applied at a 5% level of significance.

**RESULTS**

In this study, 24 patients with OLP (cases) and 17 patients without OLP (controls), matched for sex and age, with at most $\pm 3$ years of difference, were included.

The mean age of cases was 56.3 years (range 43–72; SD 7.8) and of controls 57.6 years (range 42–69; SD 9.1). At the level of 5%, no significant difference was found between the ages of groups ($p=0.6171$). Female subjects were most prevalent in both groups (83.3% in the cases and 76.5% in the controls).

In the cases, the area most affected by OLP lesions was the buccal mucosa region (83.3%), followed by the side of the tongue (12.5%) and the dorsum of the tongue (4.2%). In the controls, the most affected region was the alveolar ridge (52.9%), followed by the buccal mucosa (23.5%), dorsum of the tongue (17.7%) and side of the tongue (5.9%) (figure 1).

All samples of the cases and the controls were extracted and tested for the gene controlling the human $\beta$-globin gene, confirming the presence and integrity of the DNA (figure 2).

After this, the procedures for the detection of EBV by nPCR in samples of the cases and controls were performed (figures 3 and 4).

The presence of EBV was detected in 62.5% (15/24) and 35.3% (6/17) of fresh tissue samples in the cases and controls, respectively ($p=0.0899$). In saliva samples, 75% (18/24) of samples from the cases and controls, respectively ($p=0.4808$). In the exfoliated cell samples, EBV was found in 70.8% (17/24) and 82.4% (14/17) of samples from the cases and controls, respectively, for plasma, 33.3% (8/24) and 47.1% (8/17) of samples from the cases and controls, respectively, for plasma ($p=0.0067$) (table 1).

Test of proportion showed no statistically significant differences in viral positivity between the cases and controls for the studied material sources (table 2).

Analysis of the prevalence of EBV between different material sources in patients with OLP showed a significant value for the variables: plasma versus saliva ($p=0.0039$), plasma versus exfoliated cells ($p=0.0067$) and plasma versus tissue ($p=0.0196$). However, for the comparison of saliva with exfoliated cells, saliva with tissue, and exfoliated cells with tissue the difference was not significant (table 3).

For the status of EBV in relation to the sex of patients with OLP in each source studied, statistically significant differences were found only in saliva samples ($p=0.0353$) (table 4).

The clinical variations of the lesions found in the OLP cases were classified into two groups: (1) atrophic-erosive lesions, which include the following variables: atrophic, erosive, bullous and mixed types; and (2) non-atrophic-erosive lesions, which include lesions of the reticular, plaque and mixed types. The non-atrophic-erosive variables were the most prevalent in the cases (54.2%) and they were also the most affected by the EBV virus. However, there were no significant differences between the clinical variations of OLP in each source material (table 5).

**DISCUSSION**

In this study, no statistically significant relationship was found in the positivity for EBV in tissue samples of the studied groups ($p=0.0899$). However, the prevalence rates for the virus in these samples were higher than those found in the studies conducted by Sand et al.\textsuperscript{16} and by Kis et al.\textsuperscript{19} which detected the presence of EBV in 26.1% and 46.6% of paraffin-embedded tissue samples from patients with OLP and in 7.3% and 19.1% of patients without OLP respectively, using the nPCR technique. The rate was also higher than that found by Yildirim et al.\textsuperscript{20} who found the prevalence of EBV in 35% of tissue samples from patients with OLP using immunohistochemistry.

The high viral rate found in our study, both in the cases and controls, in comparison with these studies, is believed to be due to the fact that fresh tissue was used instead of paraffinised tissues, since the use of the latter material may result in degradation of DNA due to its fixation in formalin. Another explanation might be that we used the nPCR technique, which is now known to be one of the most sensitive techniques used in molecular biology.\textsuperscript{23}

According to our research in the Pubmed database, no published studies have reported the presence of EBV in samples of tissue, exfoliated cells, saliva and plasma of patients with OLP. This is the first case-control study, with patients matched for sex and age, which involves the detection of EBV in different sources of materials from groups of patients with and without OLP.

We found a high prevalence of virus in saliva and exfoliated cell samples in both the cases and controls. However, the case-

---

**Table 2** Comparison of the proportions of EBV positivity in the cases and controls in each of the sources studied

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sources</th>
<th>Cases (%)</th>
<th>Controls (%)</th>
<th>p Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tissue</td>
<td>62.5</td>
<td>35.3</td>
<td>0.0859</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saliva</td>
<td>75.0</td>
<td>64.7</td>
<td>0.7940</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exfoliated cells</td>
<td>70.8</td>
<td>82.4</td>
<td>0.9652</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blood plasma</td>
<td>33.3</td>
<td>47.1</td>
<td>0.3748</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Significance level of 5%, EBV, Epstein–Barr virus.

**Table 3** Comparison of the presence of EBV in the cases between different material sources

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sources</th>
<th>p Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Saliva vs exfoliated cells</td>
<td>0.6547</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saliva vs tissue</td>
<td>0.3657</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exfoliated cells vs tissue</td>
<td>0.5271</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plasma vs tissue</td>
<td>0.0196*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plasma vs saliva</td>
<td>0.0039*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plasma vs exfoliated cells</td>
<td>0.0067*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Significant at the 5% level. EBV, Epstein–Barr virus.

**Table 4** Relationship between the sex of the cases and the presence or absence of EBV in each of the source materials

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sources</th>
<th>Female (Female)</th>
<th>Male (Male)</th>
<th>p Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tissue</td>
<td>12 (60)</td>
<td>3 (75)</td>
<td>0.5138</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saliva</td>
<td>17 (85)</td>
<td>1 (25)</td>
<td>0.0035*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exfoliated cells</td>
<td>15 (75)</td>
<td>2 (50)</td>
<td>0.3281</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blood plasma</td>
<td>6 (30)</td>
<td>2 (50)</td>
<td>0.4071</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Fisher’s exact test, significant at the 5% level. EBV, Epstein–Barr virus.
control analysis for EBV status was not significant for any of these sources of materials. Comparison of the EBV detection rates in patients with OLP without lesions found in this study with the rates found in the literature, showed that they were consistent with those of Saygun et al., who reported that the rates of EBV infection in healthy individuals may vary from 0% to 100%. These rates were higher than those found by Ammatuna et al., who obtained positivity for EBV in 15% of saliva samples and in 30% of exfoliated cell samples from healthy individuals.

Indeed, as expected, the highest EBV detection rates were found in exfoliated cell samples, and especially in saliva samples from both groups. One explanation for the high rate of EBV detection in saliva is that saliva is considered a major source of spreading the virus. However, the high viral positivity found in the exfoliated cell samples suggests that the virus may be in its replicative cycle, which may be detected in the surface layers of the epithelium. 

The use of plasma for EBV detection has been studied over the past decade, in which the EBV DNA has been shown to be a valuable diagnostic and prognostic marker for many EBV-associated malignancies, particularly nasopharyngeal carcinoma and lymphoma. The prevalence of EBV in blood plasma samples derived from oropharyngeal carcinomas ranges between 69% and 96%, However, in individuals without malignancy this rate is lower, and ranges between 7% and 12.2%. In our study no significant results were found at the 5% level, on the status of EBV in blood plasma of patients in both groups. However, the detection rate of EBV in blood plasma was noted to be the lowest in the cases group, and the second lowest in the control group in comparison with the rates found in material from other sources.

Table 5 Relationship between OLP clinical variables and the presence or absence of EBV in each of the source materials

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sources</th>
<th>Variables AE</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th>Variables not AE</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th>p Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>EBV+ (%)</td>
<td>EBV− (%)</td>
<td>Total (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td>EBV+ (%)</td>
<td>EBV− (%)</td>
<td>Total (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tissue</td>
<td>9 (81.8)</td>
<td>2 (18.2)</td>
<td>11 (100)</td>
<td></td>
<td>6 (46.2)</td>
<td>7 (53.8)</td>
<td>13 (100)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saliva</td>
<td>7 (63.6)</td>
<td>4 (36.4)</td>
<td>11 (100)</td>
<td></td>
<td>11 (84.6)</td>
<td>2 (15.4)</td>
<td>13 (100)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exfoliated cells</td>
<td>8 (72.7)</td>
<td>3 (27.3)</td>
<td>11 (100)</td>
<td></td>
<td>9 (69.2)</td>
<td>4 (30.8)</td>
<td>13 (100)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blood plasma</td>
<td>4 (36.4)</td>
<td>7 (63.6)</td>
<td>11 (100)</td>
<td></td>
<td>4 (30.8)</td>
<td>9 (69.2)</td>
<td>13 (100)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fisher exact test (significance level of 5%). AE, atrophic-erosive; EBV, Epstein-Barr virus; OLP, oral lichen planus.

Regardless of the source material used or the group studied, a high prevalence of EBV DNA was found in this study because the herpesvirus family is part of the human microbiota and establishes infection throughout the life of the host. This persistent state is maintained by latent genome persistence within the host cell nucleus.

Of the patients studied, we found a predominance of women in both groups, which was expected for the cases, because it is in accordance with the classic characterist of patients with OLP. These data are in agreement with the study by Sand et al., who reported no differences in the prevalence of EBV between the sexes, and are in conflict with the study by Kis et al., who found a prevalence of EBV in men. The relationship between the sex of patients with OLP and the prevalence of EBV in each of the sources studied was significant only in saliva samples (p=0.0353). No statistically significant relationship was found for the clinical variables of OLP lesions and the presence of EBV in each of the source materials, and this result is consistent with the study by Yildirim et al.

From the results of this study, it can be concluded that all materials tested were suitable sources for EBV detection in the two groups. Despite finding a high prevalence of EBV among the material sources tested in the two groups, no correlation was established between OLP and the EBV, and no correlation was found between the epidemiological data of the studied groups and the presence or absence of EBV.

**Take home messages**

- Epstein–Barr virus (EBV) detection varies according the material chosen.
- Fresh tissue, blood plasma, exfoliated cells and saliva are suitable sources for EBV detection.
- Despite the high rate of viral positivity, no relationship was found between EBV and oral lichen planus.