Denture stomatitis treated with photodynamic therapy: five cases
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Objective. Photodynamic therapy (PDT) is an effective method for Candida spp. inactivation in vitro and in vivo, but as yet, no clinical trial has been conducted. This report describes 5 cases of denture stomatitis (DS) treated with PDT.

Study design. Five subjects with clinical and microbiologic diagnosis of DS were submitted to 6 sessions of PDT 3 times a week for 15 days. In each session, patients’ dentures and palates were sprayed with 500 mg/L Photogem, and, after 30 minutes of incubation, irradiated by light-emitting diode light source at 455 nm (37.5 and 122 J/cm², respectively). Cultures of Candida spp. from dentures and palates and standard photographs of the palates were taken at baseline (day 0), at the end of the treatment (day 15), and at follow-up time intervals (days 30 and 60).

Results. Four patients showed clinical resolution of DS (no inflammation) after PDT sessions, and only 1 subject demonstrated reduction in palatal inflammation. Recurrence of DS was observed in 2 patients during the follow-up period.

Conclusions. PDT appears to be an alternative treatment for DS. (Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol Endod 2011;112:602-608)

Denture stomatitis (DS) is an inflammatory lesion of the palatal mucosa under complete or partial removable dentures, which may affect up to 65% of denture wearers.1 According to Newton,2 DS may be classified as localized simple inflammation (type I), generalized simple inflammation (type II), or inflammatory papillary hyperplasia (type III). Although the etiology of DS appears to be multifactorial, the presence of Candida spp. in denture biofilm is considered to be an important factor in the development of this infection.3 Candida albicans is the most prevalent and virulent species found in DS, but other species have been shown to cause infection, with C. glabrata, C. dubliniensis, C. parapsilosis, C. krusei, and C. tropicalis being the most commonly described.4 The emergence of non-albicans species is significant, because they are frequently resistant to commonly used antifungal agents.5,6

Treatment of DS includes good oral hygiene, denture cleaning procedures, topical or systemic antifungal agents, discontinuation of nocturnal denture wearing habit, and eventually denture replacement.7,8 Although antifungal agents, such as nystatin and fluconazole, commonly used to treat DS are effective in alleviating the clinical signs and symptoms of Candida infection, the recurrence of infection after treatment has frequently been reported.9,10 Moreover, the widespread use of antifungal agents has resulted in the development of resistant species.11,12

Therefore, it is necessary to develop alternative therapies for treating DS. One potential alternative is photodynamic therapy (PDT), which combines a photosensitizing agent and an appropriate wavelength of light in the presence of oxygen producing cytotoxic reactive species.13,14 Although several in vitro investigations have demonstrated the photoactivation of Candida spp.,15-20 including resistant strains,21 in vivo studies

Supported by the São Paulo Council of Research (FAPESP; grant nos. 2005/02193-4 and 2005/03226-3).

aAssistant Professor, Dentistry School, São Francisco University.
bAdjunct Professor, Department of Dental Materials and Prosthodontics, Araraquara Dental School, UNESP-Univ Estadual Paulista.
cProfessor of Prosthetic Dentistry, Camilo Castelo Branco University.
dAssistant Professor, Department of Dentistry, Ponta Grossa State University.
eProfessor, Physics Institute, University of São Paulo. Received for publication Feb 1, 2011; returned for revision Apr 19, 2011; accepted for publication May 6, 2011. 1079-2104/$ - see front matter © 2011 Mosby, Inc. All rights reserved. doi:10.1016/j.tripleo.2011.05.019
are scarce.\textsuperscript{22,23} A previous study showed that PDT was effective in reducing \textit{C. albicans} counts in a murine model of oral candidosis when a porphyrin was associated with light from a light-emitting diode (LED).\textsuperscript{24} Nonetheless, the effect of PDT against DS is still not known. The present report describes 5 cases of DS treated with PDT for 15 days and follow-up of each patient at the time intervals of 30 and 60 days.

SUBJECTS AND METHODS

Five edentulous denture-wearing patients with clinical signs of DS who attended the Araraquara Dental School for prosthetic treatment were followed. This study was approved by the Ethics Committee of the Araraquara Dental School, São Paulo State University, and each subject signed an informed consent form. The guidelines of the Helsinki Declaration were followed. DS was classified according to the criteria proposed by Newton.\textsuperscript{2}

Diagnosis of \textit{Candida} infection and recovery of \textit{Candida} spp.

For each patient, recovery of \textit{Candida} spp. was performed by rubbing oral swabs along the palatal mucosa and the tissue surface of the upper denture. Each swab was placed into a test tube containing 5 mL 0.9\% sterile saline solution and vortexed for 1 minute to suspend the organisms from the swab. An aliquot of 50 \(\mu\)L from this suspension was spread plated on Chromagar Candida (Probac do Brasil Produtos Bacteriológicos, São Paulo, Brazil) and incubated at 30°C for 5 days. Serial 10-fold dilutions from \(10^0\) to \(10^{-3}\) were plated onto Sabouraud Dextrose Agar (SDA; Acumedia Manufacturers, Baltimore, MD) with 5 \(\mu\)g/mL chloramphenicol. SDA plates were incubated at 37°C for 48 hours. Colonies on SDA were quantified using a digital colony counter (CP 600 Plus; Phoenix Ind. Com. Equipamentos Científicos, Araraquara, Brazil) and the number of colony-forming units per milliliter (cfu/mL) determined. Swabs were collected before treatment (day 0), at the end of the treatment (day 15), and at the follow-up time intervals of days 30 and 60. Colonies on Chromagar Candida were presumptively identified by colony color and submitted to biochemical tests to confirm all identifications. For this, 1 colony of each color type on Chromagar Candida was transferred onto fresh SDA for purity. After 48 hours at 37°C, yeast isolates were identified using the following biochemical tests: carbohydrate assimilation pattern using the ID32C system (Biomérieux, Marcy-L’etoile, France) and morphologic characteristics produced on corn meal agar with Tween-80. Only colonies identified as \textit{Candida} spp. were considered.

Photosensitizer and light sources

The photosensitizer (PS) used in this study was a hematoporphyrin derivative produced in Moscow (Photogem; Photogem, Moscow, Russia). Solutions of 500 mg/L Photogem were prepared by dissolving the powder in sterile saline solution and were immediately kept in the dark until the moment before use (pH 6.6).

Two LED devices (LXHL-PR09 and Luxeon III Emitter; Lumileds Lighting, San Jose, CA) were designed by the Instituto de Física de São Carlos (Physics Institute, University of São Paulo, São Carlos, Brazil). They covered the wavelength range from 440 to 460 nm, with maximum emission at 455 nm (royal blue). One device, used to illuminate the dentures, was composed of 24 LEDs uniformly distributed throughout the device, resulting in a light intensity of 24 mW/cm\(^2\), and 3 air coolers to prevent the denture from heating (Fig. 1). The other device, designed to irradiate the patients’ palates, was composed of 10 LEDs uniformly distributed on a circular platform with a power output of 260
mW (Fig. 2). The intensity of light delivered was 102 mW/cm², with a distance of 2 cm from the platform inside the mouth to the deepest area of the palate. Joined to this platform, a semiconducting chip known as Peltier was used to dissipate the heat generated by the LED light. This chip and an air cooler were used to prevent the device from heating (Fig. 2). For illumination, the platform remained in the patient’s mouth with the LED facing toward the palate.

**PDT and clinical procedures**

Each subject was instructed to brush their dentures with coconut soap followed by toothpaste after every meal and before going to sleep. Each patient received a toothbrush at the beginning of the treatment. They also received instructions to immerse the dentures in filtered water overnight. During the 15-day duration of the treatment and follow-up period (days 30 and 60) time intervals, each subject was repeatedly given these instructions. Every patient had his/her maxillary denture and palate individually submitted to PDT. The denture was sprayed with PS, placed in a transparent plastic bag, and left in the dark for 30 minutes (preirradiation time [PIT]). For illumination, the denture was placed inside the LED device and irradiated for 26 minutes (37.5 J/cm²). The palate was also sprayed with PS and illuminated after 30 minutes’ PIT. For palate irradiation, the other LED device was handled by the investigator: The platform with LEDs was placed in the patient’s mouth and the palate illuminated for 20 minutes (122 J/cm²). PDT was performed 3 times a week for 15 days (6 sessions) in each patient. To document the clinical response to the treatment, standard photographs of the palate of all patients were taken before treatment (day 0), at the end of the treatment (day 15), and at the follow-up time intervals (30 and 60 days).

**RESULTS**

The cfu/mL values recovered from dentures and palate of each subject before (day 0) and after (day 15) treatment and at the follow-up time intervals (days 30 and 60) are presented in Table I. During clinical sessions, no patients complained of discomfort, pain or other symptoms. Only subjects who attended all sessions were considered in this survey. Species of *Candida* identified during these periods are described in Table II.

**Patient 1**

This patient, a 65-year-old European woman, was a nonsmoker and had taken antihypertensive, diuretic, and antiinflammatory medications. She had worn her denture for 6 years continuously, without removing it for sleeping. Her palate showed DS type II on day 0, and after treatment (day 15) petechiae were still present in the palatal mucosa (type I). At the follow-up period (day 30), DS was classified as 0 (healthy mucosa) and I, respectively.

**Patient 2**

This patient, a 56-year-old European woman, was a smoker and had used antihypertensive medication. She had worn her denture for 11 years, without removing it for sleeping. Her palate showed DS type II on day 0, and after PDT sessions (day 15) clinical resolution of DS (no inflammation) was achieved. On days 30 and 60 after treatment (follow-up period), DS was classified as 0 (healthy mucosa) and I, respectively.
Table I. Cfu/mL values of Candida spp. recovered from dentures and palate of each patient before (day 0) and after (day 15) treatment and at follow-up time intervals (days 30 and 60)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient</th>
<th>Day 0</th>
<th>Day 15</th>
<th>Day 30</th>
<th>Day 60</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>5.24 × 10⁴</td>
<td>4.72 × 10³</td>
<td>1.28 × 10⁴</td>
<td>5.60 × 10⁴</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td>4 × 10</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patient 2</td>
<td>4.64 × 10³</td>
<td>1.4 × 10³</td>
<td>2.00 × 10⁴</td>
<td>5.04 × 10³</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td>4 × 10</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4 × 10</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patient 3</td>
<td>1.15 × 10⁴</td>
<td>1.72 × 10³</td>
<td>8.16 × 10⁴</td>
<td>1.12 × 10⁴</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td>1.2 × 10²</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4 × 10</td>
<td>8 × 10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patient 4</td>
<td>7.6 × 10⁴</td>
<td>8 × 10</td>
<td>3.4 × 10³</td>
<td>3.28 × 10³</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td>4 × 10</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2.68 × 10³</td>
<td>2.00 × 10²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patient 5</td>
<td>7.68 × 10⁴</td>
<td>4.08 × 10³</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

D. Denture; P, palate.

Table II. Species of Candida identified from denture and palate of each patient before (day 0) and after (day 15) treatment and at follow-up time intervals (days 30 and 60)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient</th>
<th>Day 0</th>
<th>Day 15</th>
<th>Day 30</th>
<th>Day 60</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Patient 1</td>
<td>C. albicans</td>
<td>C. albicans</td>
<td>C. albicans</td>
<td>C. albicans</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patient 2</td>
<td>C. albicans</td>
<td>C. albicans</td>
<td>C. albicans</td>
<td>C. albicans</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patient 3</td>
<td>C. albicans</td>
<td>C. albicans</td>
<td>C. albicans</td>
<td>C. albicans</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>C. glabrata</td>
<td>C. glabrata</td>
<td>C. glabrata</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patient 4</td>
<td>C. albicans</td>
<td>C. albicans</td>
<td>C. albicans</td>
<td>C. albicans</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>C. glabrata</td>
<td>C. glabrata</td>
<td>C. glabrata</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patient 5</td>
<td>C. albicans</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>C. albicans</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

She had worn her denture for 15 years continuously. On her palate, DS type II was observed on day 0. After treatment (day 15) and at the follow-up time intervals (days 30 and 60), clinical resolution of palatal inflammation had been achieved.

Patient 5

This patient, a 67-year-old European man, was a nonsmoker and had taken anticoagulant and antihypertensive medications. He had worn his denture for 7 years, and on day 0 DS was classified as type II. On day 15 after treatment and at the follow-up time intervals (days 30 and 60), clinical resolution of palatal inflammation was observed.

DISCUSSION

Dentures produce ecologic changes in the oral mucosa that facilitate the proliferation and the colonization of microorganisms, especially yeast. Therefore, DS is a common lesion in denture wearers. Because frequent recurrence of infection causes different from that of antifungal agents. Although most antifungal agents inhibit the biosynthesis of ergosterol, the main sterol in the membranes of fungi, the reactive oxygen species generated by light excitation induce photodamage...
to internal cell organelles and cell death. Therefore, development of resistance to PDT seems to be unlikely.

In addition to the PDT sessions performed, patients’ compliance with the denture and oral care instructions they were given may have contributed to achieving improvement of DS. Although denture and oral hygiene alone are not sufficient to treat DS, these procedures are essential to maintain a low level of microorganisms on dentures. In addition, application of the intraoral light may affect patient compliance with the treatment. Furthermore, in patient 2, the poorer response to the treatment could be attributed to smoking, which is considered to be a risk factor for DS.

During the follow-up period, patients 4 and 5 showed the best response to the treatment, with no inflammation verified until day 60. Subjects 2 and 3 developed recurrence of the initial inflammation (DS type II) on day 60. Recurrence of DS is often reported because the tissue surface of the acrylic resin denture acts as a reservoir that harbors microorganisms and is therefore a potential source of reinfection of patients. In the present investigation, although no growth of Candida spp. from the palate was observed after treatment, most of the patients showed growth of Candida spp. from the denture on day 15 (Table I). When dentures are very old, the indication would be to provide new dentures as adjunctive therapy for complete resolution of DS. In the present report, most of the patients had worn the same denture for >5 years. Although the age of a denture is related to DS, patient 3 had been wearing his denture for only 2 years. Therefore, other predisposing factors should be considered when treating DS.

Advancing age is also a risk factor for DS in the elderly, because cell-mediated immunity, which provides protection against candidal infection declines with age. According to Ryu et al., some oral environmental factors, such as unstimulated salivary flow rate and age of subjects, are associated with higher numbers of microbes in saliva of complete denture wearers. Those authors suggest that a reduction in the salivary flow rate with aging induces an increase in concentration of microbes in saliva. Another predisposing factor associated with DS is medication use. Four patients were taking antihypertensive medications which induce xerostomia. Therefore, although an improvement in palatal inflammation was verified after the treatment (day 15), the recurrence that occurred during the follow-up period may be associated with these predisposing factors to DS. Because DS is a multifactorial disease, a suitable treatment should con-
sider all of the host factors that predispose to Candida infection.

In the present report, although improvement of palatal inflammation and reduction of cfu/mL values were verified after PDT, Candida spp. were recovered after treatment in most of the patients (Table II). This was an expected finding, because Candida spp. are normally isolated from oral mucosa, mainly from dentures, even in denture wearers without DS.\(^9\)

In summary, in 4 patients, clinical resolution of DS was achieved after 6 sessions of PDT, and improvement was observed in 1 patient. These data suggest that PDT may be an alternative treatment for DS. However, because only type II DS patients were followed in this investigation, it is uncertain what treatment response might be seen in more severe cases. As an alternative treatment approach, 6 sessions of 20 minutes each for palatal PDT may be not clinically feasible. Nevertheless, this is a preliminary clinical study, and improvements of the PDT parameters are necessary for further investigations aiming at clinical applications. Moreover, recurrence of DS occurred in 3 of the 5 cases by 30 days, indicating a short-term effect of the therapy. Longer treatment or other therapeutic regimens (for example, treatment every 2 weeks) could be assessed in future investigations. Other types and concentrations of PS and light source could also be evaluated to achieve complete inactivation of Candida spp. in vivo and to properly implement such therapy in the context of a dental setting.

As other limitations of this study, only 5 cases were followed, and the majority of them (3 of 5) showed recurrence of DS. Furthermore, investigators were not blinded to the therapy, no control treatment was provided, and there were no objective criteria to discriminate case resolution, improvement, and regression (only clinical observation of palatal inflammation). Randomized clinical trials that overcome these limitations should be conducted to evaluate the potential of PDT in inactivating Candida spp. on dentures and the oral mucosa, as well as the correlation between clinical and microbiological data.
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