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Effects of rumen-protected choline supplementation on metabolic and performance responses of transition dairy cows

T. Leiva,* R. F. Cooke,† A. P. Brandão,* R. S. Marques,† and J. L. M. Vasconcelos*2

ABSTRACT: The objective of this experiment was to compare metabolic and milk production parameters in dairy cows supplemented and nonsupplemented with rumen-protected choline (RPC) during the transition period. Twenty-three nonlactating, multiparous, pregnant Holstein cows were ranked by BW and BCS 21 d before expected date of calving and immediately were assigned to receive (n = 12) or not receive (control; n = 11) RPC until 45 d in milk (DIM). Cows supplemented with RPC received (as-fed basis) 50 and 100 g/d of RPC (18.8% choline) before and after calving, respectively. Before calving, cows were maintained in 2 drylot pens according to treatment with ad libitum access to corn silage, and individually they received (as-fed basis) 3 kg/cow daily of a concentrate. Upon calving, cows were moved to 2 adjacent drylot pens according to treatment, milked twice daily, offered (as-fed basis) 35 kg/cow daily of corn silage, and individually received a concentrate formulated to meet their nutritional requirements after milking. The RPC was individually offered to cows as a topdressing into the morning concentrate feeding. Before calving, cow BW and BCS were recorded weekly, and blood samples were collected every 5 d beginning on d -21 relative to expected calving date. Upon calving and until 45 DIM, BW and BCS were recorded weekly, individual milk production was recorded daily, and milk samples were collected once a week and analyzed for fat, protein, and total solids. Blood samples were collected every other day from 0 to 20 DIM and every 5 d from 20 to 45 DIM. Based on actual calving dates, cows receiving RPC or control began receiving treatments 16.8 ± 1.7 and 17.3 ± 2.0 d before calving, respectively. No treatment effects were detected (P ≥ 0.18) on postpartum concentrate intake, BW and BCS, or serum concentrations of cortisol, β-hydroxybutyrate, NEFA, glucose, and IGF-I. Cows supplemented with RPC had greater (P ≤ 0.01) mean serum haptoglobin and insulin concentrations compared with control. Cows supplemented with RPC had greater (P < 0.01) milk protein, total solids (P < 0.01), and milk fat concentrations (P = 0.09) compared with control. No treatment effects were detected (P ≥ 0.43) for milk yield parameters, such as fat-corrected or solids-corrected milk yield. In conclusion, supplementing RPC to transition dairy cows increased haptoglobin and insulin concentrations and benefited milk composition.
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INTRODUCTION

Fatty liver is known to affect and subsequently impact hepatic function in almost 50% of transition dairy cows (Jorritsma et al., 2000). Due to the critical role of hepatocytes on metabolic processes within the transition period (Strang et al., 1998a,b), fatty liver has been shown to impair health and performance of dairy cattle (Grummer, 1995). Hence, several efforts were conducted to develop strategies that mitigate this syndrome. One example is rumen-protected choline (RPC) supplementation, a key component of
lipoproteins responsible for hepatic lipid export (Yao and Vance, 1988). Accordingly, transition dairy cattle receiving RPC had reduced hepatic lipid accumulation (Zom et al., 2011) and improved health (Ardalan et al., 2010) and milk production (Chung et al., 2009) compared with nonsupplemented cohorts.

Transition dairy cows experience several physiological changes that impact immune and productive functions (Goff and Horst, 1997). One example is the acute-phase reaction, which is activated in response to stress, trauma, and injuries associated with parturition and onset of lactation, with the intent of restoring homeostasis (Trevisi and Bertoni, 2008). A major component of the acute-phase reaction is the hepatic synthesis of acute-phase proteins such as haptoglobin, a protein that prevents Fe loss and has bacteriostatic effects (Petersen et al., 2004). Therefore, the acute-phase reaction and its hepatic products appear to be required for proper homeostasis restoration following calving and beginning of lactation (Silvestre et al., 2011a,b). Based on this rationale, we hypothesized that RPC supplementation to dairy cows enhances hepatic haptoglobin synthesis during the transition period, which may help explain the health and production benefits associated with RPC. Hence, the objective was to compare metabolic and milk production parameters in dairy cows supplemented and nonsupplemented with RPC before calving and during early lactation.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

This experiment was conducted at the São Paulo State University Lageado Experimental Station, located in Botucatu, São Paulo, Brazil. All animals utilized were cared for in accordance with acceptable practices and experimental protocols reviewed and approved by the São Paulo State University Animal Ethics Committee.

**Animals and Diets**

Twenty-three nonlactating, multiparous, pregnant Holstein cows (initial mean ± SE; BW = 619 ± 18 kg, BCS = 3.11 ± 0.07) were ranked by BW and BCS (Wildman et al., 1982) 21 d before the expected date of calving and immediately were assigned to receive (n = 12) or not receive (control; n = 11) RPC (CholiPearl, 18.8% of choline from choline Cl; Kemin Agrifoods South America, Indaiatuba, São Paulo, Brazil). The RPC source utilized herein is based on choline Cl treated with a patented spray-freezing procedure that reduces ruminal degradation and increases circulating availability of organic compounds (Brake et al., 2013). Treatment administration began 21 d before the expected date of calving and ended when each cow reached 45 d in milk (DIM). Cows supplemented with RPC received (as-fed basis) 50 and 100 g/d of RPC before and after calving, respectively.

Before calving, cows were maintained in 2 drylot pens according to treatment (1 pen/treatment) with ad libitum access to corn silage (1.5 m of linear bunk space/cow), water, and a commercial prepartum mineral mix (25% Ca, 4.7% S, 4.5% Mg, 3.0% Cl, 0.001% Se, 422,000 IU/kg of vitamin A, 21,200 IU/kg of vitamin D3, and 0.211% of vitamin E; Milk Ionic, M. Cassab Tecnologia Animal, São Paulo, Brazil). Cows individually received 3 kg/cow daily of a concentrate through self-locking head gates once daily (0800 h), and concentrate composition was (as-fed basis) 45.5% of soybean meal, 45.5% of ground corn, and 9.0% of the aforementioned commercial prepartum mineral mix. All cows completely consumed their concentrate allocation within 30 min after feeding.

Upon calving, cows were moved to adjacent drylot pens according to treatment (1 pen/treatment), with ad libitum access to water and a commercial lactation mineral mix (22% Ca, 7.5% P, 6.5% Na, 1.0% K, 3.6% Mg, 2.0% S, 0.003% Co, 0.115% Cu, 0.004% I, 0.220% Mn, 0.003% Se, 0.400% Zn, 400,000 IU/kg of vitamin A, 100,000 IU/kg of vitamin D3, and 0.150% of vitamin E; Milk MAC, M. Cassab Tecnologia Animal). Cows were milked twice daily in a side-by-side milking system (0600 and 1700 h). Cows were group-fed (as-fed basis) 35 kg/cow daily of corn silage (1.5 m of linear bunk space/cow), and they individually received a concentrate through self-locking head gates immediately after milking. Concentrate composition was (as-fed basis) 40.5% of soybean meal, 56.8% of ground corn, and 2.7% of the aforementioned commercial lactation mineral mix. Concentrate intake was adjusted weekly throughout the experimental period using the Spartan Dairy Ration Evaluator/Balancer (version 3.0; Michigan State University, East Lansing, MI) according to DIM, BW, BCS, and milk yield with fat and protein concentrations set at 3.5% and 3.2%, respectively. Concentrate intake during the initial 3 d of lactation was adjusted as previously reported, but with milk yield of 20 kg/cow daily. All cows completely consumed their concentrate allocation within 30 min after feeding.

Before and after calving, cows were rotated among drylot pens every 5 d to account for any potential effects of pen on the variables evaluated herein. The RPC was offered (50 and 100 g/d of RPC to yield 9.4 and 18.8 g/d of choline cation, respectively) in the amounts recommended by the manufacturer (Kemin Agrifoods South America) and previous research (Hartwell et al., 2000; Zahra et al., 2006; Cooke et al., 2007), and topdressed daily into the morning concentrate feeding of each cow receiving RPC. Samples of the offered corn silage, prepartum, and lactation concentrates were collected every
2 wk, pooled into one sample, and analyzed for nutrient content via wet chemistry procedures by a bromatological laboratory (3rlab, Belo Horizonte, Brazil). Calculations of ME, NE⁎, and NEM used the equation proposed by the NRC (2001). Concentration of DM was 39.2% in corn silage, 89.3% in prepartum concentrate, and 89.0% in lactation concentrate. Nutritive value (DM basis) was 53% NDF, 33% NFC, 2.24 Mcal/kg of ME, 1.39 Mcal/kg of NEL, 1.39 Mcal/kg of NEM, and 8.1% CP for corn silage; 12% NDF, 58% NFC, 2.76 Mcal/kg of ME, 1.80 Mcal/kg of NEL, 1.91 Mcal/kg of NEM, and 24.2% CP for prepartum concentrate; and 13% NDF, 58% NFC, 2.99 Mcal/kg of ME, 1.92 Mcal/kg of NEL, 2.04 Mcal/kg of NEM, and 23.1% CP for postpartum concentrate.

**Sampling**

Cows were monitored daily during the entire experimental period for incidence of health disorders such as retained placenta or mastitis, as well as incidence of morbidity or mortality (Lima et al., 2012).

Before calving, cow BW and BCS were scheduled to be recorded once weekly (d -21, -14, and -7 relative to expected calving date), whereas BCS was assessed (Wildman et al., 1982) by 2 evaluators that were blinded to distribution of cows across treatments. Blood samples were also scheduled to be collected every 5 d beginning on d -21 relative to expected calving date (d -21, -16, -11, -6, and -1), immediately before concentrate feeding (0800 h). Based on actual calving dates, cows receiving RPC or control began receiving treatments 16.8 ± 1.7 and 17.3 ± 2.0 d before calving, respectively. Hence, the day of BW and BCS assessment or blood collection relative to actual calving date was rounded into the nearest prescheduled sampling date (d -21, -16, -11, -6, or -1).

After calving (d 0), cows were evaluated for BW and BCS (Wildman et al., 1982; same 2 blinded evaluators as before calving). Beginning the day after calving, BW and BCS (Wildman et al., 1982; same 2 blinded evaluators as before calving) were recorded weekly, while individual milk production was recorded daily until d 45 of lactation. Milk samples were collected once a week from each cow during both milkings of the day, combined into each cow during both milkings of the day, combined into a daily sample (50 mL from each milking), which was analyzed for fat, protein, and total solid content using infrared spectrometry (method 972.16; AOAC, 1999) by a commercial laboratory (Clinica do Leite; Universidade de São Paulo, Piracicaba, Brazil). Blood samples were collected every other day from d 0 to 20 of lactation and every 5 d from d 20 to 45 of lactation, immediately before morning concentrate feeding (0600 h).

All blood samples were obtained from either the coccygeal vein or artery into commercial blood collection tubes (Vacutainer, 10 mL; Becton Dickinson, Franklin Lakes, NJ), placed immediately on ice, centrifuged at 3,000 × g at 4°C for 30 min for serum collection, and stored at -20°C on the same day of collection. All samples were analyzed for serum concentrations of glucose (colorimetric kit #G7521; Pointe Scientific, Inc., Canton, MI), β-hydroxybutyrate (BHBA; colorimetric kit #H7587; Pointe Scientific, Inc.), NEFA (colorimetric kit HR Series NEFA- 2; Wako Pure Chemical Industries Ltd. USA, Richmond, VA), haptoglobin (colorimetric assay; Cooke and Arthington, 2013), IGF-I (human-specific ELISA kit SG100; R&D Systems, Inc., Minneapolis, MN; validated by Cooke et al., 2012), cortisol and insulin (chemiluminescent enzyme immunoassay, Immulite 1000; Siemens Medical Solutions Diagnostics, Los Angeles, CA). Insulin to glucose ratio (I:G) was determined by dividing insulin and glucose concentrations within each sampling time (Bernhard et al., 2012). Concentrations of glucose, NEFA, and insulin were used to determine preprandial revised quantitative insulin sensitivity check index (RQUICKI). This methodology has been used to estimate insulin sensitivity in dairy cows (Holtenius and Holtenius, 2007; Gross et al., 2011; Grünberg et al., 2011), which is an approach to assess insulin resistance according to the equation proposed by Perseghin et al. (2001): RQUICKI = 1/[log(glucose) + log(insulin) + log(NEFA)]. The intra- and interassay CV were, respectively, 1.2% and 3.1% for glucose, 6.9% and 5.0% for BHBA, 1.7% and 2.0% for NEFA, 4.6% and 8.2% for haptoglobin, 3.1% and 4.5% for IGF-I, 1.6% and 1.4% for cortisol, and 0.5% and 3.1% for insulin.

**Statistical Analysis**

All analyses were performed with the MIXED procedure of SAS (SAS Inst. Inc., Cary, NC; version 9.3) and Satterthwaite approximation to determine the denominator df for the tests of fixed effects, using cow as the experimental unit and cow(treatment) as a random variable. Data were analyzed using values obtained from the sampling before the beginning of treatment administration and days receiving treatment before calving as independent covariates. The model statement used for analysis of BW and BCS change, as well as initial (no covariate included), postcalving, and final BCS and BW during the experiment contained the effects of treatment. The model statement used for analysis of weekly BW and BCS contained the effects of treatment, week, and the resultant interaction. The model statement used for analysis of daily milk production, daily concentrate intake, and serum variables contained the effects of treatment, day, and the resultant interaction. The specified term for the repeated statements was week for BCS and BW and day for the remaining analysis, with cow(treatment) as subject. The
covariance structure utilized for all repeated statements was autoregressive, which provided the best fit for these analyses according to the Akaike information criterion. Significance was set at $P \leq 0.05$, and tendencies were determined if $P > 0.05$ and $\leq 0.10$. Results were separated using LS means and are reported as covariately adjusted least squares means according to treatment effects if no interactions were significant or according to the highest-order interaction detected.

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

No treatment × day or week interactions were detected ($P \geq 0.35$) for any of the variables evaluated herein. Nevertheless, Fig. 1 and 2 report day effects ($P < 0.01$) to demonstrate that cows utilized in this experiment experienced the BW, BCS, and physiological changes associated with calving and beginning of lactation (Vazquez-Añon et al., 1994; Drackley, 1999; Jorritsma et al., 2003). Furthermore, no health disorders, morbidity, or mortality were detected during the experiment.

No treatment effects were detected on BW ($P \geq 0.58$) and BCS ($P \geq 0.18$) parameters on calving (Table 1) or throughout the experimental period (data not shown). Previous research has also reported similar BW and BCS parameters in transition cows supplemented or nonsupplemented with RPC and attributed this outcome to similar DMI treatment groups (Piepenbrink and Overton, 2003; Elek et al., 2008). Conversely, Zom et al. (2011) reported increased DMI in cows supplemented with RPC, but without a similar outcome in BW and BCS. In the present experiment, corn silage intake was not evaluated but was provided at the same limited daily rate to pens housing lactating cows supplemented or nonsupplemented with RPC. In addition, concentrate was provided equally to RPC-supplemented and control cows before calving, whereas postpartum concentrate DMI was similar ($P = 0.27$) among treatments (9.14 vs. 9.89 kg/d on as-fed basis for cows supplemented or nonsupplemented with RPC; SEM = 0.55). Hence, although the present experiment did not fully evaluate treatment effects on DMI, it also demonstrates that RPC supplementation does not impact BW and BCS changes associated with calving and beginning of lactation (Fig. 1).

No treatment effects were detected ($P = 0.77$) for serum cortisol concentrations (Table 2), indicating that both treatment groups experienced a similar corticosteroid reaction on calving and beginning of lactation (Fig. 1; Hudson et al., 1976). To our knowledge, no other research has evaluated the impact of RPC supplementation on neuroendocrine stress reactions in transition dairy cows.
cows. However, cows receiving RPC had greater \((P = 0.01)\) mean serum haptoglobin concentration compared with control cows (Table 2), suggesting that RPC supplementation increased the acute-phase response elicited by stress, trauma, injuries, and inflammation associated with parturition and onset of lactation (Fig. 1; Trevisi and Bertoni, 2008; Cray et al., 2009). We speculate that this outcome is associated with a potential decrease in hepatic lipid accumulation and enhanced hepatic function in cows supplemented with RPC. Supporting our rationale, previous research documented that RPC supplementation alleviated hepatic lipidosis (Elek et al., 2008; Zom et al., 2011) and enhanced hepatic activity (Goselink et al., 2013) during the transition period, whereas synthesis and subsequent circulating concentrations of haptoglobin are dependent on hepatic function (Williams et al., 1961; Imbert-Bismut et al., 2001). Silvestre et al. (2011a,b) reported that supplementing safflower oil, a proinflammatory nutraceutical, to transition dairy cows increased the periparturient acute-phase response required for coping with the stressful and highly contaminated postpartum period and enhanced productive and reproductive responses. Conversely, others have reported a positive association among circulating haptoglobin concentrations and fatty liver in dairy cattle (Murata et al., 2004; Ametaj et al., 2005). Therefore, treatment effects detected herein on serum haptoglobin cannot be fully elucidated and deserve further investigation, given that the present experiment did not evaluate liver parameters but is first to evaluate serum haptoglobin in transition cows receiving supplemental RPC.

No treatment effects were detected \((P ≥ 0.68)\) for serum concentrations of BHBA, NEFA, glucose, and IGF-I (Table 2), suggesting that cows from both treatments experienced a similar nutritional and metabolic challenge on calving and beginning of lactation (Fig. 2; Vazquez-Añon et al., 1994; Jorritsma et al., 2003). Others have also reported similar serum concentrations of these serum variables between transition dairy cows supplemented or nonsupplemented with RPC (Chung et al., 2009; Janovick Guretzky et al., 2006; Zahra et al., 2006), despite reduced hepatic lipid content in RPC-supplemented cows (Zom et al., 2011). Conversely, Cooke et al. (2007) reported reduced NEFA in cows supplemented with RPC and associated this effect with a concurrent decrease in hepatic lipid accumulation. Nevertheless, Cooke et al. (2007) evaluated dry Holstein cows during the far-off period exposed to nutrient restriction, which did not account for parturition and lactation effects on NEFA metabolism (Bell, 1995).

Cows supplemented with RPC had greater \((P < 0.01)\) mean serum insulin concentrations during the experiment compared with control cows (Table 2). Circulating insulin concentrations are primarily mod-
Table 1. Body weight and BCS of dairy cows supplemented with rumen-protected choline (RPC; n = 12) or nonsupplemented (control; n = 11) before and for 45 d after calving.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Choline</th>
<th>Control</th>
<th>SEM</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Initial BW (d -21), kg</td>
<td>620</td>
<td>624</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>0.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Postcalving BW (d 0), kg</td>
<td>558</td>
<td>566</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>0.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BW change (d -21 to 0), kg</td>
<td>-62</td>
<td>-58</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Final BW (d 46), kg</td>
<td>567</td>
<td>565</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>0.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BW change (d 0 to 46), kg</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>0.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BCS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Initial BCS (d -21)</td>
<td>3.02</td>
<td>3.15</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Postcalving BCS (d 0)</td>
<td>2.84</td>
<td>2.84</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BCS change (d -21 to 0), kg</td>
<td>-0.18</td>
<td>-0.30</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Final BCS (d 46)</td>
<td>2.92</td>
<td>2.82</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BCS W change (d 0 to 46), kg</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>-0.02</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.26</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1 Before calving, cows received corn silage for ad libitum consumption and were offered 3 kg/cow daily (as-fed-basis) concentrate based on corn, soybean meal, and commercial mineral mix (45.5:45.5:9.0 ratio; as-fed basis). Based on actual calving dates, cows receiving RPC or control began receiving treatments 16.8 ± 1.7 and 17.3 ± 2.0 d before calving, respectively. After calving, cows received 35 kg/cow daily (as-fed basis) of corn silage and were offered a concentrate based on corn, soybean meal, and commercial mineral mix (56.8:40.5:2.7 ratio; as-fed basis). Concentrate intake during lactation was adjusted weekly using the Spartan Dairy Ration Evaluator/Balancer (version 3.0; Michigan State University, East Lansing, MI), according to days in milk, BW, BCS, and milk yield with fat and protein concentrations set at 3.5% and 3.2%, respectively. Cows receiving treatments 16.8 ± 1.7 and 17.3 ± 2.0 d before calving, respectively, which was mixed with 50 g of finely ground corn and topdressed daily into the morning concentrate feeding of each RPC-supplemented cow. Finely ground corn (50 g/cow) was also topdressed into the morning concentrate feeding of control cows, but without the addition of the RPC.

2 Before calving, BW and BCS were scheduled to be recorded weekly beginning on d -21 relative to expected calving date (d -21, -16, -11, -6, and -1) before concentrate feeding (0800 h). According to actual calving dates, BW and BCS were rounded into the nearest prescheduled sampling date. Upon calving, BW and BCS were recorded weekly until d 45 of lactation.

3 According to Wildman et al. (1982) and assessed by 2 evaluators that were blinded to distribution of cows across treatments.

Table 2. Serum parameters and revised quantitative insulin sensitivity check index (RQUICKI) of dairy cows supplemented with rumen-protected choline (RPC; n = 12) or nonsupplemented (control; n = 11) before and for 45 d after calving.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Choline</th>
<th>Control</th>
<th>SEM</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Beta-hydroxybutyrate, mg/dL</td>
<td>5.59</td>
<td>5.37</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>0.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cortisol, ng/mL</td>
<td>11.1</td>
<td>11.6</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>0.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glucose, mg/dL</td>
<td>58.6</td>
<td>58.2</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>0.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Haptoglobin, μg/mL</td>
<td>242</td>
<td>158</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IGF-I, mg/mL</td>
<td>52.8</td>
<td>54.6</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>0.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insulin to glucose ratio</td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insulin, pmol/l</td>
<td>37.3</td>
<td>25.0</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>&lt; 0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NEFA, μEq/L</td>
<td>0.363</td>
<td>0.352</td>
<td>0.045</td>
<td>0.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RQUICKI</td>
<td>1.11</td>
<td>1.47</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>0.54</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1 Before calving, cows received corn silage for ad libitum consumption and were offered 3 kg/cow daily (as-fed-basis) concentrate based on corn, soybean meal, and commercial mineral mix (45.5:45.5:9.0 ratio; as-fed basis). Based on actual calving dates, cows receiving RPC or control began receiving treatments 16.8 ± 1.7 and 17.3 ± 2.0 d before calving, respectively. After calving, cows received 35 kg/cow daily (as-fed basis) of corn silage and were offered a concentrate based on corn, soybean meal, and commercial mineral mix (56.8:40.5:2.7 ratio; as-fed basis). Concentrate intake during lactation was adjusted weekly using the Spartan Dairy Ration Evaluator/Balancer (version 3.0; Michigan State University, East Lansing, MI), according to days in milk, BW, BCS, and milk yield with fat and protein concentrations set at 3.5% and 3.2%, respectively. Cows supplemented with RPC received (as-fed basis) 50 and 100 g/d of RPC (CholiPearl, 18.8% of choline from choline Cl; Kemin Agrifoods South America, Indaiatuba, São Paulo, Brazil) before and after calving, respectively, which was mixed with 50 g of finely ground corn and topdressed daily into the morning concentrate feeding of each RPC-supplemented cow. Finely ground corn (50 g/cow) was also topdressed into the morning concentrate feeding of control cows, but without the addition of the RPC.

2 Before calving, blood samples were scheduled to be collected every 5 d beginning on d -21 relative to expected calving date (d -21, -16, -11, -6, and -1) before concentrate feeding (0800 h). According to actual calving dates, samples collected were rounded into the nearest prescheduled sampling date. Upon calving, collected every other day from d 0 to 20 of lactation and every 5 d from d 20 to 45 of lactation, immediately before morning concentrate feeding (0600 h).

3 According to Perseghin et al. (2001).
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Table 3. Milk production parameters of dairy cows supplemented with rumen-protected choline (RPC; \( n = 12 \)) or nonsupplemented (control; \( n = 11 \)) before and for 45 d after calving\(^{1,2} \)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Choline</th>
<th>Control</th>
<th>SEM</th>
<th>( P )-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Milk yield, kg/d</td>
<td>29.1</td>
<td>30.6</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>0.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Milk protein, %</td>
<td>3.32</td>
<td>3.17</td>
<td>0.03 &lt; 0.01</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Milk fat, %</td>
<td>3.51</td>
<td>3.29</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Milk total solids, %</td>
<td>12.4</td>
<td>11.9</td>
<td>0.1  &lt; 0.01</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.5% fat-corrected milk, kg/d</td>
<td>29.4</td>
<td>28.6</td>
<td>1.6  0.70</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12% solids-corrected milk, kg/d</td>
<td>29.9</td>
<td>30.2</td>
<td>1.3  0.87</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^{1}\)Before calving, cows received corn silage for ad libitum consumption and were offered 3 kg/cow daily (as-fed-basis) concentrate based on corn, soybean meal, and commercial mineral mix (45.5:45.5:9.0 ratio; as-fed basis). Based on actual calving dates, cows receiving RPC or control began receiving treatments 16.8 ± 1.7 and 17.3 ± 2.0 d before calving, respectively. After calving, cows received 35 kg/cow daily (as-fed basis) of corn silage and were offered a concentrate based on corn, soybean meal, and commercial mineral mix (56.8:40.5:2.7 ratio; as-fed basis). Concentrate intake during lactation was adjusted weekly using the Spartan Dairy Ration Evaluator/Balancer (version 3.0; Michigan State University, East Lansing, MI), according to days in milk, BW, BCS, and milk yield with treatment effects detected for milk fat and protein concentrations set at 3.5 and 3.2%, respectively. Cows supplemented with RPC received (as-fed basis) 50 and 100 g/d of RPC (CholiPearl, 18.8% of choline from choline Cl; Kemin Agrifoods South America, Indiautuba, São Paulo, Brazil) before and after calving, respectively, which was mixed with 50 g of finely ground corn and topdressed daily into the morning concentrate feeding of each RPC-supplemented cow. Finely ground corn (50 g/cow) was also topdressed into the morning concentrate feeding of control cows, but without the addition of the RPC.

\(^{2}\)Individual milk production was recorded daily until d 45 of lactation. Milk samples were collected once a week from each cow during the first milking of the day (0600 h) and analyzed for fat, protein, and total solid content using infrared spectrometry (method 972.16; AOAC, 1999; Clínica do Leite; Universidade de São Paulo, Piracicaba, Brazil).

No treatment effects were detected (\( P = 0.43 \)) for milk yield, whereas RPC-supplemented cows had greater (\( P < 0.01 \)) milk protein concentration and total solids concentration and tended (\( P = 0.09 \)) to have greater milk fat concentration compared with control cows (Table 3). Nevertheless, fat-corrected and solids-corrected milk yield were similar (\( P \geq 0.70 \)) among treatments. The effects of RPC on milk production parameters have been variable (Sales et al., 2010), with research studies reporting increased (Zahrä et al., 2006; Chung et al., 2009; Elek et al., 2008) or similar (Hartwell et al., 2000; Janovich Guretzky et al., 2006; Zom et al., 2011) milk yield when RPC is supplemented to transition dairy cows. Furthermore, research studies reporting greater milk production in RPC-supplemented cows reported increased (Zahra et al., 2006) or similar DMI between treatment groups (Chung et al., 2009; Elek et al., 2008), suggesting that benefits of RPC on milk production are not entirely associated with a potential increase in DMI (Sales et al., 2010).

Milk composition in dairy cattle is directly impacted by nutrient intake (NRC, 2001), whereas postpartum corn silage and concentrate intake were similar between treatments in the present experiment. Hence, RPC supplementation impacted milk concentrations of protein, fat, and total solids despite similar nutrient intake between treatments. The increased milk protein concentration in RPC-supplemented cows detected herein has also been reported by other research studies (Elek et al., 2008; Zom et al., 2011) and by the meta-analysis compiled by Sales et al. (2010). This outcome has been attributed to the fact that RPC acts as a methyl donor and allows more Met to be available for protein synthesis in the mammary gland (Pinotti et al., 2002; Brusemeister and Sudekum, 2006). Milk protein synthesis is also stimulated by circulating insulin (McGuire et al., 1995; Griinari et al., 1997; Mackle et al., 1999); therefore, treatment effects detected for milk protein concentration can also be associated with the greater serum insulin concentrations in RPC-supplemented cows (Table 2).

The effects of RPC supplementation on milk fat have also been variable (Sales et al., 2010), with research studies reporting increased (Sharma and Erdman, 1989; Emanuele et al., 2007; Ondarza et al., 2007) or similar (Hartwell et al., 2000; Janovich Guretzky et al., 2006; Zahra et al., 2006) milk fat concentrations in RPC-supplemented cows compared with control cohorts. Supporting our results, Erdman et al. (1984) suggested that RPC aids the transport of FFA mobilized from adipose tissue during the periparturient period from adipose tissues through the liver and into the mammary gland, and hence increased the availability of lipids for milk fat synthesis. On the other hand, RPC supplementation has not been positively associated with milk concentrations of lactose and other components (Hartwell et al., 2000; Janovich Guretzky et al., 2006; Sales et al., 2010). Hence, the increase in milk total solids concentration of RPC-supplemented cows detected herein should be attributed to treatment effects detected for milk fat and protein concentrations (Table 3).

In conclusion, supplementing RPC to transition dairy cows enhanced the serum haptoglobin response associated with calving and beginning of lactation, increased serum insulin concentrations independently of insulin resistance parameters, and benefited milk fat, protein, and total solids concentrations without improving milk yield. Hence, results from this experiment suggest that enhanced periparturient acute-phase protein response is one of the mechanisms by which RPC supplementation benefits health and production parameters of transition dairy cows. Nevertheless, research is still warranted to fully comprehend the role of RPC on acute-phase and inflammatory responses in prepartum and postpartum dairy cattle.
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