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ABSTRACT
Table tennis is a racket sport characterised by an intermittent movement profile, including short rallies interspersed with short breaks. In contrast to other racket sports, information is lacking regarding the: (i) physiological responses during table tennis matches and training; and (ii) practical recommendations for enhancing aerobic and anaerobic performance in table tennis by improving cardio-metabolic and neuro-muscular fitness, anthropometry and nutritional strategies. Therefore, this review article attempts to narratively provide an overview of the physiology of table tennis by describing the metabolic mechanisms underlying match play and outlining a framework for practical recommendations for improving cardio-metabolic and neuro-muscular fitness, anthropometry as well as nutritional strategies. A second aim was to stimulate future research on table tennis and to point out study limitations in this context. In general, the most important finding is that the rally duration is short at around 3.5s, with a longer rest time of around 8–20s, resulting in an effort-rest ratio ranging from 0.15 to 0.22 in official matches and energetic demands during match relatively low. Future studies should focus on the relationship between energetic demand and table tennis performance with a view to predicting performance in table tennis using physiological parameters.

Introduction
Table tennis is a racket sport characterised by an intermittent movement profile, including short rallies of approximately 3 s interspersed with short breaks (Zagatto, Morel, & Gobatto, 2010; Zagatto, Papoti, Leite, & Beneke, 2016). The main tactical goals in table tennis are: (i) to aggravate the opponent’s offensive stroke; and (ii) to complete a point as quickly as possible by combining both intense and repetitive efforts. These efforts include rapid leg movements with short accelerations and decelerations as well as the dominant arm performing a powerful stroke (Padulo, Di Giminiani et al., 2016; Padulo, Pizzolato et al., 2016) and fast blocking of the ball after the opponent’s counterattack.

From this perspective, success in table tennis requires the capability to perform high-intensity efforts, rapidly recover between rallies and matches and maintain cognitive function (Zagatto & Gobatto, 2012; Zagatto, Miranda, & Gobatto, 2011; Zagatto, Papoti, dos Reis, Beck, & Gobatto, 2014; Zagatto, Papoti et al., 2016). From a physiological point of view, table tennis integrates anaerobic and aerobic pathways for energy production (Sperlich, Koehler, Holmberg, Zinner, & Mester, 2011; Zagatto et al., 2011, 2010) with phosphocreatine re-synthesis during recovery periods. Three major physiological and anthropometric components directly influence aerobic and anaerobic performance in table tennis: (i) elevated cardio-metabolic and neuro-muscular fitness; (ii) favourable anthropometry; and (iii) optimal nutritional strategies.

In contrast to other racket sports like tennis and badminton, scientific knowledge is lacking regarding: (i) the physiological responses during table tennis matches and training; and (ii) practical recommendations for enhancing aerobic and anaerobic performance in table tennis by improving cardio-metabolic and neuro-muscular fitness, anthropometry as well as nutritional strategies.

Therefore, this review article attempts to narratively provide an overview of table tennis physiology by describing the metabolic mechanisms underlying match play and outlining a framework for practical recommendations for improving cardio-metabolic and neuro-muscular fitness, anthropometry as well as nutritional strategies. A second aim was to stimulate future research on table tennis and to point out study limitations in this context.

For the present narrative review, we performed a database searching process of relevant literature within the Medline, PubMed, SPORTDiscus, Web of Science and Cochrane databases along with articles published at ITTF Sports Science Congresses, including the International Journal of Table Tennis Sciences. The search terms included “tables tennis”, “physiological responses”, “testing”, “game analysis”, “racket sport”, “physiological responses”, “energetic demand”, “aerobic”, “anaerobic”, “cardio- respiratory”, “neuro-muscular”, “heart rate”, “oxygen uptake”, “lactate”, “metabolism”, “match”, and they were also combined. After the initial search for relevant literature, related articles arising from the references acquired from the database searches were...
scanned. The studies included were limited to the English language and those published before March 2017.

**Match analysis and temporal characteristics of table tennis**

The table tennis rules have been amended over the last 15 years. Changes include modifications of the ball size (from 38mm to 40mm), match punctuation (sets of 21 points to 11 points with a greater number of sets, five vs. seven), the banning of speed glues, boosters or other chemical solutions applied to the racket so as to alter ball flight properties, i.e. the friction, structure or surface of the racket's rubber in since 2001. Finally, the change in the ball material from cellulose to plastic (i.e. the poly ball) since 2014 generally modified the temporal structure of table tennis.

In an initial attempt to analyse the temporal characteristics of table tennis, Künstlinger, Preuss, and Schiefler (1998) reported that rallies on average lasted for 3.3 s, with a recovery period of approximately 8 s. Temporal analysis of the 2000 World Championship revealed a slower ball speed when playing with the new 40 mm diameter ball compared to the 38 mm ball and that simultaneously the rally time increased from 3.1 to 3.8 s (no standard deviation available) with the new 40 mm compared to the 38 mm ball (Takeuchi, Kobayashi, Hiruta, & Yuza, 2001). Similar analyses showed that the average rally time was 2%–4% longer for drive and chop shots with the 40 mm ball compared to the 38 mm one (Tang, Mizoguchi, & Toyoshima, 2002).

Zagatto, Papoti et al. (2016), Zagatto et al. (2010) reported the rally time in simulated matches of regional and national Brazilian table tennis players lasted about 3.2 ± 1.3 to 3.6 ± 1.9 s. Similarly, others (Leite, Barbieri, Miyagi, Malta, & Zagatto, 2017) analysed the 2009 and 2011 World Championships and the 2012 Olympic Games with the median (1st quartile–3rd quartile) rally times of 3.6 (2.9–4.9 s) and 3.3 (2.7–4.3 s) during the World Championships and 3.1 (2.5–4.0 s) during the Olympic Games. It is worth noting that the rally times decreased significantly at the finals [3.1 (2.5–4.1 s] compared to the semi-finals [3.2 (2.6–4.2 s] and quarterfinals [3.5 (2.8–4.6 s)], but without any change in the number of shots per rally [4.0 (3.0–6.0) shots per rally] (Leite et al., 2017).

In summary, despite the frequent changes made to the table tennis regulations in the last few years the duration of a rally averages out at 3.5 s on the elite and sub-elite level.

However, the association of rally time and rest time can result in relevant changes, mainly in the ratio between effort and rest time. In general, the effort-rest ratio (E:R ratio, i.e. rally time to rest time) observed during table tennis is approximately 0.5 (Zagatto, Papoti et al., 2016; Zagatto et al., 2010) in simulated matches. However, the magnitude of this ratio is lower when measured in official matches, with values ranging from 0.15 to 0.22 (Leite et al., 2017) attributable to a longer rest time (8 to 20 s of rest) (Leite et al., 2017). In addition, the rest time increases from 17.3 to 20.1 s within an ongoing tournament (i.e. quarterfinal matches vs. semi-finals vs. finals matches) (Leite et al., 2017).

Table 1 summarises those studies investigating the temporal structure of table tennis including match, rally and rest duration, the E:R ratio and number of rallies.

In summary, rally time among elite and sub-elite table tennis players lasts approximately 3.5 s, with a rest time of about 8 to 20 s depending on the tournament structure and amount of games, resulting in an E:R ratio of 0.12 to 0.5.

**Energetic and metabolic demands in a table tennis match**

Compared to other racket sports like tennis (Ferrauti, Bergeron, Pluim, & Weber, 2001; Seliger, Ejem, Pauer, & Safarik, 1973) or badminton (Faccini & Dai Monte, 1996; Faude et al., 2007), a paucity data is available regarding the cardio-metabolic and hormonal demands during match play in table tennis (Baron et al., 1992; Ma, Liu, & Liu, 2014; Martin et al., 2015; Zagatto & Gobatto, 2012; Zagatto et al., 2011, 2010; Zagatto, Papoti et al., 2016).

Since a table tennis competition induces a high level of emotional stress to a player, as evidenced by the ratio between norepinephrine and epinephrine hormones release (Baron et al., 1992), and the playing style influences the match

---

**Table 1.** Studies investigating the temporal structure of table tennis including match, rally and rest duration, E:R ratio and number of rally.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Players’ Level</th>
<th>Official or Simulated Match</th>
<th>Match Duration (min)</th>
<th>Rally Duration (s)</th>
<th>Rest time (s)</th>
<th>E:R Ratio</th>
<th>Number of shots per rally</th>
<th>Table Tennis Rules</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Zagatto et al. (2010)</td>
<td>Regional Brazilian Players (male)</td>
<td>Official Tournament</td>
<td>14.2 ± 4.7*</td>
<td>3.6 ± 1.9</td>
<td>7.0 ± 4.5</td>
<td>0.6 ± 0.2*</td>
<td>3.9 ± 2.0</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zagatto et al. (2010)</td>
<td>National Brazilian Players (male)</td>
<td>Official Tournament</td>
<td>19.0 ± 6.0*</td>
<td>3.2 ± 1.3</td>
<td>9.3 ± 5.5</td>
<td>0.5 ± 0.2***</td>
<td>0.4 ± 0.1*</td>
<td>0.3 ± 0.1**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leite et al. (2017)</td>
<td>World Elite Players (male)</td>
<td>World Championships and Olympic Games</td>
<td>45.1</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>18.6</td>
<td>0.19*</td>
<td>0.18**</td>
<td>4.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leite et al. (2017)</td>
<td>World Elite Players (male)</td>
<td>2009 World Championship</td>
<td>45.4</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>17.0</td>
<td>0.22*</td>
<td>0.21**</td>
<td>5.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leite et al. (2017)</td>
<td>World Elite Players (male)</td>
<td>2011 World Championship</td>
<td>44.1</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>20.2</td>
<td>0.16**</td>
<td>0.16**</td>
<td>4.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leite et al. (2017)</td>
<td>World Elite Players (male)</td>
<td>2012 Olympic Games</td>
<td>45.1</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>18.9</td>
<td>0.17*</td>
<td>0.15**</td>
<td>4.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zagatto, Papoti et al. (2016)</td>
<td>National Brazilian Players (male)</td>
<td>Simulated match</td>
<td>24.3 ± 8.7</td>
<td>3.5 ± 1.5</td>
<td>8.2 ± 3.9</td>
<td>0.44 ± 0.07**</td>
<td>4.0 ± 2.2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yuza et al. (1992)</td>
<td>Top Japanese Players (male)</td>
<td>Simulated match</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4.6 ± 2.8</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>6.0 ± 3.6</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*E:R ratio within a set and ** E:R ratio within a match; #; table tennis match of 5 sets; 1: Old rules with speed glue and solvents allowed, sets lasting 21 points and balls of 38 mm; 2: New rules of punctuation, speed glue and solvents banned and cellulose balls of 40 mm; Current rules with plastic ball.
characteristics and thus a player’s physiological responses (Martin et al., 2015), energetic and metabolic demands should be assessed during real scenario competition conditions.

Gas exchange measurements using portable devices are employed in sports science to estimate energetic and metabolic demands even when workloads change rapidly (Faude et al., 2007; Sperlich et al., 2011). As an initial estimate, Sperlich and co-workers (2011) analysed the oxygen uptake VO₂ kinetics of elite youth German table tennis players during exercise and competition. They found VO₂ mean values of approximately 20–25 mL/kg/min, with high fluctuations peaking to values of 45 mL/kg/min.

The VO₂ reported in elite youth table tennis players is lower than that reported for internationally ranked badminton players (43.8–63.4 mL/kg/min) (Faude et al., 2007) and similar to nationally ranked senior tennis players (25.6 mL/kg/min) (Ferrauti et al., 2001).

It is highly desirable to assess VO₂ during a competition due to its strong alignment with substrate utilisation, while portable gas analysers, given the additional mass of the device itself with a constricting facial mask and limited visibility, create additional stress for a player and may lead to biased values.

For this reason, indirect measurements of energetic and metabolic demands using heart rate analyses have previously been performed to estimate energetic demands during competition and training in table tennis, averaging out at 60%–65% of peak heart rate in elite youth table tennis players (Sperlich et al., 2011) and 81.2 ± 7.4% of the predicted maximum heart rate in regionally to internationally experienced table tennis players during competition (Zagatto et al., 2010).

However, since heart rate is also affected by numerous factors including psychological stress (Baron et al., 1992) as well as fluid loss (Noakes, 1993), estimating energetic and metabolic demands using a heart rate measurement may therefore be inaccurate. To resolve this issue, wearable sensors have been applied to quantify the energetic demands during training and competition, showing a high correlation with indirect calorimetry with an average energy expenditure of 4.8 ± 1.4 METs during elite youth table tennis competition and 7.8 ± 2.0 METs during high-intensity training drills (Sperlich et al., 2011).

Finally, dense measurements of blood lactate values before, during and after a match have been taken to estimate the metabolic and energetic demands of table tennis play. With this approach, blood lactate values of 1.1 ± 0.2 mmol/L during competition and 1.5 ± 1.0 mmol/L during high-intensity training drills have been reported in elite youth table tennis players (Sperlich et al., 2011). Similar values, i.e. 1.8 ± 0.8 mmol/L (peak lactate values: 2.2 ± 0.8 mmol/L) during official tournaments of regionally to internationally experienced table tennis players have been reported previously (Zagatto et al., 2010).

Since blood lactate levels during table tennis competitions are generally low (< 2 mmol/L) and based on the aforementioned data involving available gas exchange data, heart rate and multi-sensor measurements, we may conclude that the energetic and metabolic demands of a table tennis match heavily involve aerobic, with little contribution of anaerobic-lactic, energy pathways. Since during elite and sub-elite table tennis the rally times are approximately 3.5 s, with fairly long rest times of 8 to 20 s, we may also conclude that the anaerobic a-lactic pathway is dominant in table tennis.

Some evidence shows that aerobic metabolism is responsible for the fast reestablishment of phosphocreatine stores during recovery (Bishop, Edge, Davis, & Goodman, 2004; Tomlin & Wenger, 2001) and would allow a player to perform the next rally more recovered. However, Zagatto, Papoti et al. (2016) found no significant correlation between peak oxygen uptake with estimated anaerobic a-lactic ($r = -0.31; P = 0.28$) and glycolytic ($r = 0.53; P = 0.10$) energetic contribution during a match.

To summarise, in table tennis the aerobic [approximately 96% (Zagatto, Papoti et al., 2016)] and anaerobic a-lactic pathways [4% (Zagatto, Papoti et al., 2016)] dominate in energy production.

**Physical characteristics of table tennis players**

**Cardio-respiratory data**

The peak oxygen uptake of nationally ranked Brazilian players determined on a treadmill (43.9 ± 1.5 mL/kg/min) and a cycling ergometer were similar (41.3 ± 1.4 mL/kg/min) but higher than when measured during a specific test (36.9 ± 1.5 mL/kg/min) and arm cranking (26.6 ± 1.6 mL/kg/min), while the VO₂ at VT₂ was 37.7 ± 1.7, 33.8 ± 1.5, 35.2 ± 2.2 and 18.0 ± 1.1 mL/kg/min, respectively (Zagatto et al., 2014). Using a specific table tennis test, the same group of authors (Zagatto et al., 2011) reported a maximal oxygen uptake VO₂max of 49.8 ± 1.1 mL/kg/min, while the VT₂ corresponded to 47.4 ± 3.4 balls/min, representing 81.9 ± 3.4% of the peak intensity obtained during the test.

VO₂max measured in a table-tennis-specific test corresponded to 44.0 ± 4.5 mL/kg/min (Zagatto, Papoti et al., 2016). The test was performed using a robot to launch balls, with the ball-launch frequency increasing after every 2 minutes of effort. The maximal frequency (i.e. maximal intensity) obtained in this test was 59.1 ± 6.9 balls/min, with aerobic endurance determined by the VT₂ method corresponding to an intensity of 44.0 ± 3.7 balls/min and an oxygen uptake of 35.2 ± 4.7 mL/kg/min.

These findings revealed that table tennis players possess quite a low aerobic capacity VO₂max = approximately mL/kg/min (Zagatto et al., 2011, 2014; Zagatto, Papoti et al., 2016), which is lower than observed in other racket sports players such tennis [approximately 58 mL/kg⁻¹·min⁻¹ (Girard, Chevalier, Leveque, Micallef, & Millet, 2006; Smekal et al., 2001)], squash [approximately 64 mL/kg⁻¹·min⁻¹ (Girard et al., 2005)] and badminton players (approximately 60 mL/kg⁻¹·min⁻¹ (Carlson, Tyrrell, Naughton, Laussen, & Portier, 1985)).

Zagatto et al. (2014) also evaluated the respiratory compensation point in treadmill running and found that the compensation point occurred at 11.4 ± 0.4 km/h, namely lower than the 4.0 mmol/L blood lactate observed in tennis players (12.6 ± 1.9 km/h) (Smekal et al., 2001). Despite of table tennis
has a higher aerobic contribution during match, the table tennis players have a lower aerobic capacity compared to other racket sports and thus they must to give more attention to this physical component, mainly for elite players and defensive players who play longer points and try to be more regular.

**Anaerobic indices**

The anaerobic metabolisms are the main energy pathways active during the table tennis efforts, and therefore, the a-lactic and lactic metabolism have a relevant role for table tennis performance. Traditionally, the anaerobic power of the leg muscles is estimated by a short 30-s cycle test such as the Wingate test. Elite male (n = 4) Slovenian national team players showed a peak power of 9.60 ± 0.79 W/kg and a mean power of 7.95 ± 0.88 W/kg, while elite Slovenian female players (n = 4) had a peak power of 8.03 ± 0.78 W/kg and a mean power of 6.55 ± 0.54 W/kg (Kondrič, Milić, & Furjan-Mandić, 2007). These values are lower than those for national Brazilian players (peak power: 11.6 ± 0.76 W/kg; mean power 9.06 ± 0.76 W/kg) (Zagatto et al., 2004), but higher than for youth elite tennis players (peak power: 8.6 W/kg; mean power: 7.4 W/kg) (Bencke et al., 2002). Zagatto et al. (2004) also applied the Wingate test on an arm crank ergometer to evaluate upper body power, and the results for peak and mean power were 5.7 ± 0.7 W/kg and 4.1 ± 0.5 W/kg.

Zagatto and Gobatto (2012) adapted the maximal accumulated oxygen deficit test (MAOD), which is the generally accepted test for estimating anaerobic capacity for table tennis, by using a robot to launch balls while the players performed forehand offensive strokes. The MAOD corresponded to 4.23 ± 1.53 L (71.6 ± 29.8 mL/kg). In general, the MAOD values found in table tennis players are similar to those observed in moderately active men (de Poli, Miyagi, Nakamura, & Zagatto, 2016; Zagatto, Bertuzzi, Miyagi, Padulo, & Papoti, 2016), but lower than for athletes from sports with a high anaerobic demand such as rugby players (Moore & Murphy, 2003) and sprinters (Gastin & Lawson, 1994).

Zagatto, Papoti et al. (2016) showed that the MAOD (i.e. anaerobic capacity) determined in a specific table tennis procedure was moderate and significantly correlated with the rate of shots in a simulated match ($r = 0.58; P < 0.05$), showing that greater anaerobic capacity enables rallies to be played at a higher intensity.

**Strength indices**

Preliminary analyses showed that male table tennis players compared to female ones have better handgrip isometric strength (Picabea, Cámara, & Yanci, 2017).

The forehand and backhand attack actions (i.e. topspin and counterattacking strokes) during table tennis involve 53% of all stroke types (Lanzoni, Di Michele, & Merni, 2014). Therefore, arm speed and acceleration, are the most important components in performing a powerful stroke. Bankosz and Winiarski (2017) reported that the maximum racket speed occurring at the moment of ball impact is probably the one of the key biomechanical requirement for a successful playing technique which relies on the mastered ability to accelerate the arm during the repetitive tennis table strokes. Several decisive actions (i.e. offensive strokes) during a table tennis game involve the power of the arm to yield velocity and arm acceleration and consequently that of the ball.
responses of different training methods to improve aerobic and anaerobic components in table tennis players.

Recently, in endurance athletes the combination of low-intensity exercise and high-intensity exercise at a training time ratio of approximately 4:1 ratio stimulated greater improvements in most key variables of endurance performance (including \( \text{VO}_{2\text{max}} \)) compared to high-volume, threshold or high-intensity exercise (Stoggl & Sperlich, 2014). Whether the combined strategy of high-volume, low-intensity and low-volume, high-intensity exercise is also beneficial for improving the aerobic and anaerobic components in table tennis players is a question for further research. However, since low and moderate actions represented an important proportion (84.3 ± 4.7%) of the actions performed by table tennis players, whereas high-intensity actions represented 15.7 ± 4.7% (Le Mansec, Seve, & Jubeau, 2017) a combined training strategy (i.e. high-volume, low-intensity and low-volume, high-intensity training) may be reasonable for improving the aerobic and anaerobic components in table tennis.

### Anthropometric characteristics and body composition of table tennis players

Monitoring anthropometric characteristics and body composition in table tennis might help coaches to identify talented players and optimise training programmes. The anthropometric characteristics widely collected in previous table tennis studies were body mass, height and body mass index (BMI) (Munivrana, Paušić, & Kondrić, 2011a, 2011b; Pradas de la Fuente, González Jurado, Molina Sotomayor, & Castellar Otín, 2013; Zagatto, Milioni, Freitas, Arcangelo, & Padulo, 2016). In addition to the abovementioned characteristics, human physique might be classified using somatotype with a popular assessment method being that of Health-Carter (Sepúlveda, Barraza, Soto, Báez, & Tuesta, 2015). Briefly, this method consists of a three-level scale reflecting endomorphy, i.e. relative fatness, mesomorphy, i.e. relative musculoskeletal robustness, and ectomorphy, i.e. relative linearity or slenderness (Heath & Carter, 1967).

The knowledge regarding table tennis players’ anthropometric profile is based on rare research that compared players of different levels and resulted in conflicting results (Sepúlveda et al., 2015; Zagatto, Milioni et al., 2016). For instance, a study on Brazilian table tennis players showed that international players were shorter than national and regional players in men, but not in women (Zagatto, Milioni et al., 2016). Male Spanish table tennis players are balanced mesomorphs, whereas female were mesomorphic endomorphs (Pradas de la Fuente et al., 2013). Male Chilean table tennis players were endomorphic mesomorphs (Sepúlveda et al., 2015).

Body composition has been a major component of health- and sport-related physical fitness and a five-level model has been routinely used to study this component (Wang, Pierson, & Heymsfield, 1992). The fourth level (tissues-systems) of this model was applied in previous table tennis studies (Sepúlveda et al., 2015; Zagatto, Milioni et al., 2016), where two components of the human body were considered, fat mass and fat-free mass which can be estimated by proxy measures such as skinfold thickness (Garrido-Chamorro, Sirvent-Belando, González-Lorenzo, Blasco-Lafarga, & Roche, 2012; Sepúlveda et al., 2015) or assessed directly (e.g. Dual energy X-ray absorptiometry) (Zagatto, Milioni et al., 2016). No difference in muscle tissue, adipose tissue and skinfold thickness was observed among Chilean national team and university table tennis players (Sepúlveda et al., 2015). In agreement with this finding, no difference in either fat mass or fat-free mass was shown among international-, national- and regional-level table tennis Brazilian players (Zagatto, Milioni et al., 2016). Moreover, a study comparing skinfold thickness among different sports indicated that table tennis was among those sports with greater fat mass (Garrido-Chamorro et al., 2012).

In summary: (i) table tennis players possess short-to-average body height and “normal” (compared to body height) body mass; (ii) fat mass does not discriminate table tennis players by performance level; and (iii) the existing knowledge on anthropometric characteristics and body composition relied on a limited number of references. Therefore, the above observations should be treated with caution and further research in this area is warranted, especially on the anthropometric characteristics of table tennis players from countries dominating this sport such as China.

### Nutritional aspects of table tennis performance

Nutrition plays a key role in racket sports as it might influence both training and match performance, e.g. by providing adequate replenishment of energy stores between training sessions (MacLaren, 1998). Little is known about nutrition in table tennis, but some evidence may be extrapolated from research in tennis (Brink-Elefegoun et al., 2014; Gomes, Moreira, Coutts, Capitani, & Aoki, 2014; Ranchordas, Rogerson, Ruddock, Killer, & Winter, 2013). To determine the nutritional demands of table tennis, a major aspect might be the caloric demands of a match, which can routinely be evaluated using indirect calorimetry (McNaughton & Cahn, 1970; Zagatto, Papoti et al., 2016). One of the early studies on table tennis and nutrition (McNaughton & Cahn, 1970) reported a caloric cost of playing table tennis (4.6 kcal/min) higher than laboratory work (1.4–2.3 kcal/min), but lower than cycling (3.6–6.0 kcal/ min) and running (5.2–7.5 kcal/min). Compared to other racket sports, table tennis has similar caloric demands (29–42 kJ/min) as badminton (29–46 kJ/min) and tennis (29–46 kJ/min) (Ranchordas et al., 2013), but lower than squash (42–76 kJ/ min) (MacLaren, 1998).

Racket sports athletes are recommended to consume a minimum of 55% of the total energy intake in the form of carbohydrates (MacLaren, 1998) equal to 6–10 g per kg body mass per day (Ranchordas et al., 2013). Carbohydrate ingestion before playing table tennis might have a positive effect on performance since, at least in badminton, carbohydrate ingestion improved serving accuracy (Bottoms, Sinclair, Taylor, Polman, & Fewtrell, 2012). Although match play in table tennis is shorter than in tennis, carbohydrate ingestion helps to maintain glycaemia (Gomes et al., 2014).

Since table tennis training and matches are practised indoors where temperature and humidity are more controlled than outdoors, table tennis players might also be affected by the combined effect of dehydration and elevated temperature. The
important role of hydration, especially in the presence of hot temperature, was highlighted in a study (Therminarias, Dansou, Chipz, Eterradossi, & Favre-Juvin, 1995) where a limited fluid intake combined with playing tennis in a hot environment resulted in increased heart rate, rectal temperature, fluid loss, cramps and heat stroke. At least for tennis players, adequate hydration and the ingestion of balanced meals between matches assists in maintaining physical performance even during consecutive competitive matches (Brink-Elfegoun et al., 2014). With regard to nutritional habits, it was observed that the majority of competitive table tennis players were not informed by their coaches and physicians about nutritional supplements and, moreover, their risk for doping increased by performance level (Kondric, Sekulic, & Mandic, 2010).

Final considerations

In this review, we presented information about temporal analyses and energetic demands during a table tennis match, the physical characteristics of elite table tennis players, strategies to improve aerobic and anaerobic components in table tennis, and nutritional aspects of table tennis performance. In general, we showed that the duration of a rally is short at around 3.5 s, with a longer rest time of around 8–20 s, resulting in an effort-ratio ranging from 0.15 to 0.22 in official elite matches. However, the energetic demands during a table tennis match are relatively low. Despite some relevant studies about physiological responses in table tennis and measuring physical conditioning in table tennis players, additional aspects such as strength, flexibility, arm power, speed and change of direction and others still need to be investigated, as well as future studies should investigate the role of the “accuracy” of the strokes (i.e., forehand, backhand, service and others) to predict the performance during table tennis. In addition, the role of all these parameters in table tennis performance must be examined. Future studies need to focus on the relationship between energetic demand and table tennis performance with a view to predicting performance in table tennis using physiological parameter.
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