Cochlear implants: Insertion assessment by computed tomography☆
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Abstract

Background and objectives: Imaging exams play a key role in cochlear implants with regard to both planning implantation before surgery and quality control after surgery. The ability to visualize the three-dimensional location of implanted electrodes is useful in clinical routines for assessing patient outcome. The aim of this study was to evaluate linear and angular insertion depth measurements of cochlear implants based on conventional computed tomography.

Methods: Tools for linear and angular measurements of cochlear implants were used in computed tomography exams. The tools realized the insertion measurements in an image reconstruction of the CIs, based on image processing techniques. We comprehensively characterized two cochlear implant models while obviating possible changes that can be caused by different cochlea sizes by using the same human temporal bones to evaluate the implant models.

Results: The tools used herein were able to differentiate the insertion measurements between two cochlear implant models widely used in clinical practice. We observed significant differences between both insertion measurements because of their different design and construction characteristics (p = 0.004 and 0.003 for linear and angular measurements, respectively; t-test). The presented methodology showed to be a good tool to calculate insertion depth measurements, since it is easy to perform, produces high-resolution images, and is able to depict all the landmarks, thus enabling measurement of the angular and linear insertion depth of the most apical electrode contacts.

Conclusion: The present study demonstrates practical and useful tools for evaluating cochlear implant electrodes in clinical practice. Further studies should measure preoperative and postoperative benefits in terms of speech recognition and evaluate the preservation of residual hearing in the implanted ear. Such studies can also determine correlations between surgical factors, electrode positions, and performance. In addition to refined surgical techniques, the precise evaluation of cochlear length and correct choice of cochlear implant characteristics can play an important role in postoperative outcomes.
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ARTICLE INFO

A B S T R A C T

1. Introduction

Cochlear implants (CIs) functionally restore hearing in individuals with profound hearing impairment [1–4]. An array of electrodes is introduced into the cochlea, and electrical pulses are applied across the array to stimulate residual populations of dendritic and spiral ganglion nerve bodies. Most individuals are able to obtain 40–80% correct postoperative word recognition with their CI compared with zero or only modest scores preoperatively [5]. Although the implantation procedure is safe and reliable, complications occur in approximately 16% of patients [6]. Following cochlear implantation, there is the possibility that residual hearing can be lost because of the surgical procedure itself or progression of the underlying pathology [7,6]. A greater focus has been placed on minimizing insertion trauma and preserving preexisting hearing [9,10]. For electroacoustic stimulation to be the most effective, the patient’s residual hearing should be preserved during CI surgery [4,7,10–12]. Thus, selecting the optimal insertion depth should be patient-specific and based on the corresponding
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residual hearing [9,13].

Electroacoustic stimulation is an excellent option for people who have residual hearing at low frequencies but not at high frequencies and achieve insufficient benefits from hearing aids [4,7,10–12,14]. The auditory performance of CIs presents substantial interindividual variability, partly because of the quality of the interface between the electrode and auditory nerve endings [15]. The size of human cochlea also varies considerably [1,9,13]. Although the presence of interindividual variations in anatomy and the goal of preserving residual hearing, it is still not known if these variations of the size of the cochlea duct reflect in differing ability to stimulate the spiral ganglion. CI electrodes could be adapted to patients individually by objective measurements of individual variations of the cochlea [16]. Growing interest has been seen in precisely documenting the position of individual electrode contacts relative to cochlear structures, the insertion depth of the electrode array, and potential insertion trauma [17]. Some authors believe that individualized CI electrode arrays and adjusted insertion procedures that take into account the individual cochlear length are relevant for the hearing preservation [16]. The development of a tool to measure the insertion depth would be valuable in clinical routines [13].

Imaging exams play a key role in CIs with regard to both planning the implantation procedure and, in sometimes, for quality control (i.e., accurately confirming the electrode array position in the cochlear scala) after surgery, mainly in cases where results from neural response telemetry (NRT) are inaccurate to check placement of the electrode [1,2]. Detailed preoperative evaluations of intracochlear structures help surgeons plan the surgery, select the electrode model, design the surgical procedure, and avoid possible intraoperative complications. Postoperative evaluations could help surgeons determine whether the electrode array has migrated between the scalae, which is a direct measure of potential intracochlear trauma and can have a negative impact on the preservation of hearing. Postoperative evaluations are also used to identify possible electrode kinks, buckling, or tip folding and to take necessary countermeasures by switching off the contacts in that region to improve the performance of the CI [1,2]. Imaging exams are commonly used to evaluate these important interindividual cochlear differences and have the potential to guide cochlear implantation [1].

Electrode insertion measurements (i.e., the length of insertion and insertion angle) are relevant for estimating the percentage of cochlear coverage with electrical stimulation. This measure can be used as an indicator of the performance of the profoundly deaf patient postoperatively [1,12,18]. Studies have shown that specifying the electrode position in terms of angle might be more useful than specifying the length. Because cochlea differ in diameter, equal insertion lengths result in different insertion angles and thus different frequency characteristics. Furthermore, the insertion angle depends on the intracochlear trajectory of the electrode [12].

The ability to visualize cochlear anatomy and the three-dimensional (3D) location of implanted electrodes relative to landmarks in the ear is useful in clinical routines for assessing patient outcome [19]. Conventional tomography (CT) is particularly useful because it provides 3D positional information, offers excellent contrast for different types of tissue, and can be utilized even with the implant device in plane [2,16,19]. High-resolution CT scans and novel postprocessing algorithms, such as multiplanar reconstruction (MPR) images, provide valuable information about the electrode array position [15,19]. However, specific relationships between array positions, electrophysiological parameters, and auditory performance remain to be established. This issue is critical because it can directly influence the array design and implantation technique [15].

The aim of the present study was to evaluate linear insertion depth (LID) and insertion depth angle (IDA) using two tools based on CT exams. We comprehensively characterized two CI models by evaluating linear and angular depth. The same human temporal bones were used to evaluate both implant models while keeping the cochlea size constant.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Compliance with ethical standards

All of the experiments conformed with the requirements of the Ethics Committee of Universidade Estadual de Campinas for the ethical use of human material, under protocol number CAAE41305414.0.0000.5404.

2.2. Electrodes

The modiolar research array (MRA; Cochlear Implant A; Cochlear®, Australia) is a thin prototype precurved array with an apical diameter of 0.3 mm and basal diameter of 0.5 mm. This device has 22 half-band platinum electrodes that are surrounded by an external polymer sheath (0.65 mm diameter), which is removed after full insertion of the array. The removal of the silicone sheath reduces the electrode volume and results in a flexible electrode with dimensions that are equivalent to lateral wall electrodes that are designed to preserve residual hearing. The surrounding silicone has a soft tip to prevent trauma and a stopper that limits the insertion depth of the sheath for an Advance Off-Stylet style of insertion. The MRA is 17 mm long with an approximate angular insertion depth of 390–450° [20].

The Contour Advance device (CA; Cochlear Implant B; Cochlear®, Australia) also contains 22 half-banded electrodes that are designed to face the modiolar side. The precurved electrode array diameter tapers from 0.8 mm at the basal end to 0.5 mm at the tip, with platinum electrodes embedded along the initial 15.5 mm of a silicone carrier. A malleable platinum stylet is placed into a lumen in the silicone carrier to hold the electrode straight for insertion. After removing the stylet, the electrode array assumes its curved perimodiolar shape. A mark at 22 mm in the silicone carrier guides the depth of insertion of the electrode array [21].

2.3. Temporal bone

Five formaldehyde-preserved human temporal bones were implanted with these electrodes in the Hospital de Clínicas of the Universidade Estadual de Campinas (HC-UNICAMP) through the round window membrane (RWM) without surgical problems or complications. The round window niche was exposed by posterior tympanotomy, most of the anterior bony overhang of the round window niche was removed and an extended round window technique was performed. A transverse incision was made across the RWM, and a defined electrode was carefully inserted into the scala tympani through the incised RWM.

The human temporal bones were first implanted with Cochlear Implant A electrodes and then imaged by CT. These electrodes were then removed, and Cochlear Implant B electrodes were implanted in the same temporal bones and imaged by CT. Therefore, our evaluation excluded possible variations that are related to cochlea size. These procedures were carefully performed to preserve the implanted cochlea.

2.4. Computed tomography

The CT scans were acquired using a Toshiba Aquilion 64 (Toshiba America Medical Systems, Tustin, CA, USA) with the following parameters: 0.22 ± 0.05 × 0.22 ± 0.05 pixel size, 512 × 512 pixel matrix, and 0.50 mm slice width. Postoperative CT images were reconstructed to provide a cross-sectional view of the implanted electrode, parallel to the basal turn of the cochlea and perpendicular to the modiolar, corresponding to the plane of the electrode array [17]. Three-dimensional reconstruction of the CIs was performed using itkSNAP software. These reconstructions allowed measurements of the insertion depth [1,9,13,22].
2.5. Measurements

Expert radiologists with substantial experience in identifying both normal and abnormal cranial structures supervised the CT exams, manipulated the image projection, and made the measurements.

2.5.1. Linear insertion depth

To determine the linear insertion depth (LID), the distance between the round window and apical end of the array electrode was measured (in millimeters) using MPR images. This measurement was made carefully tracing the implant contour using the linear measurement function tool of the CT scanner workstation. Fig. 1 shows an example of the LID measurement [1,13].

2.5.2. Insertion depth angle

The insertion depth angle (IDA) was measured in a 3D reconstruction of the CIs using itk-SNAP software (Fig. 2A). The reconstruction is made using image processing techniques. In the first step, the original image is thresholded to remove biological structures, highlighting the array electrode regions, which are the regions of interest (ROIs). Then, the user chooses seed points in the ROIs. These seed points are used in an active contour technique, which segments all the array electrode areas present in the slice. The 3D reconstruction is obtained from the ROIs segmentation of all slices of CT exams. The same procedure is realized to obtain the landmark for the round window (gray dot in Fig. 2A).

Fig. 2B represents the IDA. The center of the angle measurement was defined as the center of the modiolus. As a reference, the 0° angle (white line) coincides with the center of the round window (gray dot). The IDA is the angle between the 0° reference angle and the end of the CI (black dashed line) [1,12,13].

2.6. Statistical analysis

To compare the linear and angular measurements of Cochlear Implants A and B, an independent t-test was performed using MiniTab 14 software. Values of $p < 0.05$ were considered statistically significant.

3. Results

Figs. 3 and 4 show the LID and IDA for the CI surgeries, respectively. Table 1 presents comparisons of the insertion depth (linear and angular) between Cochlear Implants A and B.

4. Discussion

The study evaluated insertion depth measurements (LID and IDA) by conventional CT exam using two practical tools. These measurements may be used to compare different electrode types, to assess the placement of electrodes postoperatively or to compare different insertion techniques [23]. In this study, two CI electrodes (Cochlear Implants A and B) were implanted in the same human temporal bones to exclude possible variations related to cochlea size.

Literature shows that imaging evaluation of postoperative CI
placement was initially performed with plain radiographs in the Stenvers projection [24]. Radiographs are, in many cases, adequate to acquire immediate clinical information [23]. However, methods that are based only on two-dimensional data critically depend on the orientation of the patient’s head during imaging [12]. Therefore, non-standardized acquisition of the skull radiograph may be a disadvantage when measuring angular depth of insertion and position of electrode [23].

Conventional CT replaced planar radiographs method and has been the reference standard imaging technique for assessing the electrode array location relative to intracochlear scalae, the electrode-modiolar interval, and the proximity of the electrode to the fallopian canal. CT offers the ability to provide 3D positional information and excellent contrast for different tissue types [24]. Therefore, 3D images reconstructed from CT scans results in higher accuracy because reference landmarks may be defined more precisely [12]. Furthermore, 3D images do not rely on the orientation of the patient’s head during the scanning procedure. Nonetheless, the 3D images require the definition of reference points, but more landmarks can be selected compared with two-dimensional images [12]. One caveat of CT, however, is the possible presence of metallic artifacts that can limit visualization [12,24,25]. This limitation did not affect the insertion measurements carried out by the assessed methodology herein, since the reconstructed CT exam accurately shows the 3D position of all used landmarks.

Other 3D image modalities (cone beam CT, micro CT and flat-panel CT) carry several advantages over conventional CT, such as to create less metallic artifacts and to offer higher spatial resolution and accuracy [13,24,26–29]. However, these modalities have their use limited by cost and restricted accessibility, impairing the applicability in clinical practice. Thus, it is of great interest to use a methodology applicable in any center with conventional CT available.

Literature shows studies to localize CI electrodes in clinical post-implantation in CT exams [31]. A large number of image-guided CI programming methods are reported from simple manual localization of electrodes and complex computer tools such as snake-based methods [31,32] and weighted active shape model [33–36]. LID and IDA measurements may be estimated using those approaches to segment IC electrodes. Our methodology uses a free available software named ITK-snap to analyze CT images.

In conclusion, the present study evaluated two insertion depth measurements for two different CIs based on CT, a medical imaging technique that is commonly used in clinical practice. The used tools were able to comprehensively characterize Cochlear Implants A and B with regard to linear and angular depth while obviating possible variations that are caused by cochlea size. This was possible since we used the same human temporal bone for both implant models. Future studies should measure preoperative and postoperative benefits in terms of speech recognition and evaluate the preservation of residual hearing in the implanted ear. Postoperative CT imaging can also be performed to determine possible correlations between surgical factors, electrode positions, and performance. In addition to refined surgical techniques, the precise evaluation of cochlear length and correct choice of CI characteristics can play an important role in postoperative outcomes.
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