Alterations in PTEN, MDM2, TP53 and AR protein and gene expression are associated with canine prostate carcinogenesis
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A B S T R A C T

The PTEN, AR, MDM2 and p53 protein network plays a central role in the development of many human cancers, thus eliciting the development of targeted cancer therapeutics. Dogs spontaneously develop tumours, and they are considered a good model for comparative oncology initiatives. Due to the limited information on these proteins in canine tumours, this study aimed to investigate gene and protein alterations in PTEN, AR, MDM2 and p53 in canine prostate cancer (PC). Protein expression was evaluated by immunohistochemistry (15 normal, 22 proliferative inflammatory atrophy (PIA) and 19 PC samples) and Western blotting (2 normal prostate tissue, 2 BPH, 2 PIA samples and 2 PC samples) and gene expression by RT-qPCR (10 normal, 10 PIA and 15 PC samples) of formalin-fixed tissue. We identified nuclear and cytoplasmic expression of PTEN and p53 in all samples, with only nuclear staining found for MDM2 and AR. Our results revealed high expression of MDM2 in PC and PIA samples compared to normal samples, whereas PTEN, P53 and AR expression was down-regulated in PC compared to normal tissue. All tumour samples (n = 19) showed loss of nuclear PTEN expression, and all cancer mimickers showed positive nuclear staining. Therefore, nuclear PTEN staining could be a good diagnostic marker for differentiating between malignant lesions and mimickers. Canine prostate carcinogenesis involves increased expression of MDM2 in association with decreased expression of PTEN, p53 and AR, such as occurs in hormone refractory PC in men. Thus, dogs may be an important model for studying advanced stage PC.

© 2016 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Dogs are commonly affected by proliferative prostatic lesions that are related to advanced age and changes in the levels of androgen hormones (Fonseca-Alves et al., 2013). Dogs are the only non-primate species that spontaneously and naturally develops prostate carcinoma (PC) (Palmieri et al., 2014). Dogs and humans have some similarities in the clinical and pathologic aspects of PC, including the presence of preneoplastic lesions and bone metastasis (Leroy et al., 2006). In fact, dogs are indicated as a potential animal model for studying the biological behaviour of various proliferative prostatic diseases that affect humans (Palmieri et al., 2014).

There is evidence that inflammation contributes to prostate carcinogenesis (Palapattu et al., 2004), causing repeated damage to the genome and resulting in increased cell proliferation (Montironi et al., 2007). Prostatic inflammatory atrophy (PIA) is a lesion that may have an inflammatory origin, and PIA has been identified in both humans and dogs (De Marzo et al., 2007; Fonseca-Alves et al., 2014; Palmieri et al., 2014; Fonseca-Alves et al., 2015). De Marzo et al. (2007) proposed that areas of PIA are direct precursors of prostatic intraepithelial neoplasia (PIN) or PC.

In humans, the risk of PC increases with the presence of mutated genes, such as MDM2 and AR (Rubin and De Marzo, 2004), and tumour suppressor genes including phosphatase and tensin homolog (PTEN) and TP53 play an important role in the origin of this neoplasia (Uzoh et al., 2009; Wozney and Antonarakis, 2014). PTEN acts as an antagonist of the Phosphatidylinositol-3 (PI3K) signalling pathway through the regulation of murine double minute 2 (MDM2) activity (Mayo and Donner, 2002). Under normal conditions, MDM2 inhibits the transcriptional activity of p53 and regulates cell cycle. DNA repair, senescence, apoptosis and angiogenesis (Pant and Lozano, 2014). The perturbation of these processes allows mutations to accumulate in a cell, which can be transferred to future generations, thereby increasing the risk of developing cancer (Barbieri and Tomlins, 2015).

MDM2 is overexpressed in various canine neoplasias, including osteosarcomas, mast cell tumours, soft tissue sarcomas and hepatoid gland...
tumours [Nakano et al., 2005; Wu et al., 2006]. In human PC, MDM2 overexpression is correlated with a higher risk of metastasis, a reduced survival time for patients and increased hormonal refraction or resistance to antiandrogenic agents [Khors et al., 2009; Yu et al., 2014]. The close association between the PTEN-DM2-p53 pathway and the androgen receptor (AR) enables normal prostate function (Carver et al., 2011; Wang et al., 2011). PTEN controls AR levels and is required for the maturation and differentiation of prostatic tissue (Nicholson and Ricke, 2011). However, in human PC, mutated PTEN confers a favourable environment for the activation of certain anti-apoptotic proteins, such as BCL-2 and BCL-XL, which have been implicated in the conversion of androgen-dependent PC to androgen-refractory PC (Sun et al., 2008).

In a previous study performed by our research group, we identified numerous copy number abnormalities in fourteen canine PC samples using comparative genomic hybridization (CGH), including copy number losses in the TP53, PTEN and AR genes and copy number gains in the MDM2 gene (unpublished data). These previous results provided strong evidence that the PTEN-DM2-p53 network is associated with the development of PC in dogs, but no previous studies have evaluated the protein or gene expression of factors in this network in canine PC.

The objectives of this study were to evaluate PTEN-DM2-p53 and AR gene and protein expression in proliferative canine prostatic lesions and to compare these data with findings reported in humans.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Samples

A total of 56 formalin-fixed, paraffin-embedded (FFPE) prostate tissues from dogs (aged 1–15 years, of pure or mixed breeds) were selected from the archives of the Veterinary Pathology Service, São Paulo State University (UNESP), Botucatu, SP, Brazil. The samples were collected during necropsy on animals that had an interval between death and necropsy of ≤24 h. All owners agreed to the necropsy.

Haematoxylin and eosin (H&E) blocks were cut for histopathological diagnosis, which was performed by three pathologists using a microscope. The samples were classified as normal prostate, PIA or PC according to Palmieri et al. (2014) as part of the histopathological evaluation. From these slides, the most representative area for each diagnosis was selected and used to construct a TMA slide, as described by Bubendorf et al. (2001). The TMA slide consisted of 22 PIA, 19 PC and 15 normal prostate samples. Each lesion was collected from a different animal, and the sample tissues were placed on a TMA block in triplicate. After the TMA was constructed, the first and last slide sections were stained with H&E as an internal control for the TMA lesions.

We extracted mRNA from 35 FFPE samples, which were obtained from the archive (10 normal prostate, 10 PIA and 15 PC samples), not from the same animals used to generate the TMA. We used eight frozen tissues. The slides were deparaffinized in xylene and rehydrated in graded ethanol, and immunohistochemical staining was performed using a commercial RecoverAll™ Total Nucleic Acid Kit (Ambion, Life Technologies, MA, USA) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. The RNA concentration was determined with a spectrophotometer (NanoDrop™, ND-8000, Thermo Scientific, MA, USA), while the RNA integrity was evaluated with a Bioanalyzer 2100 and an Agilent RNA 6000 Nano Kit (Agilent Technologies, CA, USA). cDNA was synthesized in a final volume of 20 μL, and each reaction contained 1 μg of total RNA treated with DNase I (Life Technologies, Rockville, MD, USA), 200 U of SuperScript III reverse transcriptase (Life Technologies), 4 μL of 5X SuperScript First-Strand Buffer, 1 μL of each dNTP at 10 mM (Life Technologies), 1 μL of Oligo-(dT)18 (50 ng/μL) (Life Technologies), 1 μL of random hexamers (100 ng/μL) (Life Technologies), and 1 μL of 0.1 M DTT (Life Technologies). Reverse transcription was performed for 60 min at 50 °C, and the enzyme was subsequently inactivated for 15 min at 70 °C. cDNA was stored at –80 °C.

RT-qPCR for PTEN, MDM2, TP53, AR and the reference gene (Table 1) was conducted in a total volume of 10 μL containing Power SYBR Green PCR Master Mix (Applied Biosystems; Foster City, CA, USA), 1 μL of cDNA (1:10) and 0.3 μL of each primer. The reactions were performed in triplicate in 384-well plates using QuantStudio 12K Flex Thermal Cycler equipment (Applied Biosystems; Foster City, CA, USA). A dissociation curve was included in all experiments to determine the PCR product specificity. The most stable reference gene (HPRT) was chosen using geNorm software (Vandesompele et al., 2002) from a group of three genes (GAPDH, HPRT, and RPL5). Relative gene expression was quantified using the 2−ΔΔCT method (Livak and Schmittgen, 2001).

2.2. Real-time reverse transcription PCR

The FFPE samples were manually macrodissected with needles (16 and 18 gauge) according to the procedure described by Pires et al. (2006), which enabled RNA extraction from only parts of tissue that met the pathological conditions under study. The processes of deparaffinization, protease and nuclease digestion, and nucleic acid isolation and purification were performed using a commercial RecoverAll™ Total Nucleic Acid Kit (Ambion, Life Technologies, MA, USA) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. The RNA concentration was determined with a spectrophotometer (NanoDrop™, ND-8000, Thermo Scientific, MA, USA), while the RNA integrity was evaluated with a Bioanalyzer 2100 and an Agilent RNA 6000 Nano Kit (Agilent Technologies, CA, USA).
produced (Dako Corp., Carpinteria, CA). The following positive control tissues and samples were used: PTEF, normal canine mammary tissue; p53, canine squamous cell carcinoma; MDM2, mast cell tumour; and AR, normal canine prostatic tissue.

The immunohistochemistry results were evaluated according to the percentage of positive cells (0 – absence of staining; score 1: 1 to 25% of positive cells; score 2: 26 to 50% of positive cells; score 3: 51 to 75% of positive cells and score 4: more than 76% of positive cells).

2.5. Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis was performed using GraphPad Prism 5 v.5.0 (GraphPad Software Inc., La Jolla, CA). The Kruskal-Wallis or Mann-Whitney U test was applied to compare the levels of PTEN, MDM2, TP53 and AR transcripts among the samples. Due to the low number of PC samples subjected to qRT-PCR, we used measures of central tendency and quartiles for analysis. The Chi-square test or Fisher’s exact test was used to determine the association between the categorical variables for immunohistochemistry. Statistical significance was set at \( p < 0.05 \).

3. Results

3.1. Histology

Among the samples, we identified 15 normal prostate tissue samples, 22 samples with PIA, and 19 with carcinoma. Ten tumours displayed an acinar pattern (10/19), four tumours showed cribriform pattern (4/19), three showed mixed pattern (ductal and cribriform – 3/19) and two revealed small acinar pattern (2/19). It was possible to note two cases showing lymph vessels with neoplastic emboli.

3.2. Real-time reverse transcription PCR

The median of the relative quantification (RQ) of PTEN was 3.64 in normal prostate tissue, 0.88 in PIA and 0.41 in PC (Supplementary Table 1). The first quartile (Q1) in the normal prostate and PIA samples was 0.80. Significant difference in PTEN levels was observed between prostatic lesions and normal prostatic tissue (\( p = 0.002 \); Fig. 1). It was possible to identify PTEN down-expression in PC samples compared to normal samples (\( p = 0.0005 \) (Supplementary Table 1; Fig. 1). PIA samples showed low levels of PTEN transcripts compared to normal samples (\( p = 0.0001 \) (Supplementary Table 1; Fig. 1). There was a significant difference in PTEN expression between PIA and PC, the median of the relative quantification (RQ) of PTEN was 3.64 in normal prostate tissue, 0.942 in PIA and 0.604 in PC (Supplementary Table 1; Fig. 1). This significant difference was observed in PIA and AR compared to normal prostate (\( p = 0.0261 \); Fig. 1). There was a significant difference in AR expression between PC and normal prostate tissue (\( p = 0.0001 \) (Supplementary Table 1 and Fig. 1).

3.3. Western blotting

We performed Western blot analysis of PTEN, MDM2, P53 and AR to validate the cross-reactivity of antibodies with canine tissue, and the results are shown in Fig. 2. MDM2 showed one specific band with 55 kDa and p53 showed two specific bands (with 53 kDa and 50 kDa respectively) in all prostate samples. It was possible to identify one specific band with 98 kDa for AR antibody and one specific band with 47 kDa to PTEN antibody. Our western blotting results demonstrated the specificity of primary antibodies against canine tissue.

3.4. Immunohistochemistry analysis

Immunohistochemical scores for each antibody are summarized in Table 3. PTEN and p53 immunolocalization in canine prostatic tissue was both nuclear and cytoplasmic. Samples that were positive for MDM2 or AR exhibited nuclear staining. We found high scores of nuclear PTEN (scores 3 or 4), nuclear p53 (scores 3 or 4), AR (score 3 or 4) and low score to MDM2 (score 1 or 2) in normal prostatic tissue. PC samples had low scores of nuclear PTEN (score 3 or 4), nuclear p53 (score 1), AR (score 3 or 4) and high score of MDM2 (3 or 4).

PC had low PTEN scores (scores 1 or 2) with a heterogeneous distribution (Fig. 2) compared to normal prostatic (\( p = 0.0096 \)) tissue. There was no significant difference in PTEN expression between PIA and PC, but there was a significant difference in PTEN expression among all groups (\( p = 0.0147 \)).

We observed nuclear MDM2 overexpression in PC compared to normal prostate (\( p = 0.0001 \) (Table 3 and Fig. 1). More than 85% of PC cases (17/19) had a score ≥3 (Fig. 2), whereas fewer than 13% of normal prostatic tissue samples had a score ≥3 (2/15).

p53 nuclear expression was significantly different among all groups (\( p < 0.0001 \)). PC exhibited a loss of nuclear p53 expression compared to normal canine prostate (Fig. 1). Furthermore, p53 was down-regulated in PC compared to PIA (\( p < 0.0001 \); Fig. 1).

Nuclear AR immunostaining was observed in more than 85% of normal epithelial cells (Fig. 1) (score: 4); in contrast, 81.8% of PC cases (Fig. 2) exhibited low AR protein expression (score: 1) (\( p < 0.0001 \)). More than 65% (\( n = 14 \)) of the PIA samples received a high immunostaining score (score: 4), and a significant difference was observed in nuclear AR expression among all groups (\( p < 0.0001 \)).

There was a correlation between gene and protein expression in canine prostatic lesion, with PTEN, p53 and AR down expression and MDM2 over expression, in comparison to normal canine prostatic tissue.

4. Discussion

PTEN is a central negative regulator of the PI3K/AKT signalling cascade that influences multiple cellular functions. In dogs, PTEN is localized on chromosome 26, and PTEN expression is frequently lost in different neoplasias, including canine mammary tumours, osteosarcoma, haemangiosarcoma and melanoma (Koenig et al., 2002; Levine
Fig. 1. Immunohistochemistry and gene expression of canine prostatic tissue. a: Nuclear immunohistochemical expression of AR in normal prostate epithelial cells. b: Nuclear immunohistochemical expression of AR in PIA lesion. c: Loss of AR expression in PC with solid pattern. d: gene expression of AR gene. It is possible to note high transcript levels of AR in normal samples compared to PIA and PC samples. e: moderate nuclear expression of MDM2 in canine normal prostatic tissue. f: high cytoplasmic and nuclear expression of MDM2 in PIA lesion. g: strong nuclear MDM2 expression in PC sample with cribriform pattern. h: it is possible to note a tendency of increased MDM2 transcript levels in PC compared to normal prostatic tissue. i: strong nuclear and moderate cytoplasmic expression of p53 in canine prostate tissue. j: moderate nuclear and cytoplasmic p53 expression in PIA samples. k: Loss of p53 expression in a canine PC with cribriform pattern. l: low levels of TP53 transcript in PC samples compared to normal samples. m: strong nuclear and weak cytoplasmic PTEN in canine normal prostatic tissue. n: Loss of nuclear and cytoplasmic PTEN in a PIA sample. o: Negative nuclear and moderate cytoplasmic PTEN in a canine PC. p: Lower transcript levels of PTEN in PIA and PC compared to normal prostatic tissue.

Fig. 2. Western blotting analysis of MDM2 (A), P53 (B), AR (C) and PTEN (D) in canine prostatic tissue to validate across reactivity in canine tissue. A: MDM2 showed one specific band with 55 kDa. B: P53 expression showing two bands with 53 and 50 kDa respectively. C: AR western blotting analysis showing one specific band with 98 kDa. D: PTEN analysis showing one specific band with 47 kDa.
pression and decreased cytoplasmic p53 expression in all tumours of these patients (Millon et al., 2001). MDM2 is associated with tumour grade and a shorter survival time in humans with PC (Ferraldeschi et al., 2015). Unfortunately, we did not have clinical information on our patients; however, we did observe two specific bands (50 and 53 kDa) when validating the cross-reactivity of this antibody with canine tissue in the Western blot analysis. We believe that the 50 kDa band corresponds to nuclear staining and that the 53 kDa band corresponds to cytoplasmic staining. In the Western blot analysis, the PC samples showed a significant loss of the 50 kDa band compared to the 53 kDa band (Fig. 2). As identified by array CGH, loss of p53 immunoreexpression was observed in PC samples compared to normal tissue samples. In human prostatic cancer cells, p53 expression is associated with resistance to docetaxel treatment; low p53 expression is associated with a good response to docetaxel, and high levels are associated with resistance to treatment (Liu et al., 2013).

We observed loss of AR gene expression in PIA and PC samples compared to normal canine prostate tissue. In humans, 20–30% of cases of castration-resistant PC have amplification of the AR gene (Linja et al., 2001; Edwards et al., 2003). Trinucleotide (CAG) repeat polymorphisms in AR have been observed in human PC, but its correlation with prostate carcinogenesis remains unclear (Mao et al., 2014). We showed that fewer than 25% of the neoplastic cells in all the canine PC samples had positive nuclear AR staining, perhaps due to androgen-independence. Alterations in nuclear AR function might be observed via MDM2 overexpression or amplification; the oncoprotein MDM2 promotes the ubiquitination and proteasomal degradation of AR (Lin et al., 2002). Additionally, loss or mutation of Pten and TP53 directly impacts the androgen-independent activation of AR (Lin et al., 2002) and the emergence of hormone-refractory PC in humans (Mao et al., 2014). Our results indicate that the described changes in the Pten-Mdm2-p53 network might affect nuclear AR function in canine PC, which is similar to the changes in this pathway that are observed in human PC (Lin et al., 2002). However, further studies on a larger number of canine prostatic lesions and clinical parameters are needed to better understand this protein network.

### Table 3

Immunostaining scores for PTEN, MDM2, p53 and AR of each prostatic proliferative lesions and canine prostatic normal tissue.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>N (%)</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td>74</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PTEN⁺</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5 (33.3)</td>
<td>10 (66.6)</td>
<td>0.0147</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PIA</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>1 (4.5)</td>
<td>9 (40.9)</td>
<td>6 (27.2)</td>
<td>6 (27.2)</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>10 (52.6)</td>
<td>9 (47.4)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0037</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MDM2⁺</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>5 (33.3)</td>
<td>8 (53.3)</td>
<td>1 (6.6)</td>
<td>1 (6.6)</td>
<td>0.0001</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PIA</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>3 (13.6)</td>
<td>7 (31.8)</td>
<td>3 (15.9)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0147</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2 (10.5)</td>
<td>5 (26.3)</td>
<td>12 (63.1)</td>
<td>0.0001</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p53⁺</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2 (13.3)</td>
<td>10 (66.6)</td>
<td>3 (20)</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PIA</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>6 (27.2)</td>
<td>6 (27.2)</td>
<td>10 (45.4)</td>
<td>0.0037</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>19 (100)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p53⁻</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>5 (33.3)</td>
<td>5 (33.3)</td>
<td>5 (33.3)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>&gt;0.05</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PIA</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>6 (27.3)</td>
<td>6 (27.3)</td>
<td>10 (45.6)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0001</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>9 (47.3)</td>
<td>9 (47.3)</td>
<td>1 (5.4)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0001</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AR</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1 (6.6)</td>
<td>14 (93.3)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PIA</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3 (13.6)</td>
<td>5 (22.7)</td>
<td>14 (63.3)</td>
<td>0.0037</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>9 (81.8)</td>
<td>1 (9)</td>
<td>1 (9)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

⁺Nuclear expression; *Cytoplasmic expression; BHP – Benign Prostatic Hyperplasia; PIA – Prostatic Inflammatory Atrophy; PC – Prostate Cancer; N – number of cases. 1: ~25% of positive cells; 2: 26–50% of positive cells; 3: 51–75% of positive cells; 4: 76% of positive cells.

et al., 2002; Dickerson et al., 2005). Our previous array CGH study showed PTEN copy number loss in 21.4% (3/14) of canine PC samples, and we used immunohistochemistry and RT-qPCR to demonstrate decreased PTEN gene expression in canine PC compared to normal prostatic tissue. Qiu et al. (2008) observed loss of PTEN protein expression in canine mammary carcinomas compared to benign mammary tumours and normal canine mammary glands. As in the human carcinogenic process, PTEN down-regulation in dogs plays an important role in cancer development, and we suggest that canine PC shows loss of PTEN due to copy number losses on chromosome 26.

The loss of nuclear PTEN expression is associated with a shorter survival time in humans with PC (Ferraldeschi et al., 2015). Unfortunately, we did not have clinical information on our patients; however, we did identify nuclear PTEN expression in normal tissue and benign and preneoplastic lesions as well as loss of PTEN expression in all prostatic carcinomas. We believe that PTEN nuclear staining may be a good diagnostic marker for differentiating between malignant lesions and benign mimickers, such as in human PC. PIA lesions have an increasing association with the cytoplasmic atrophy of epithelial cells, and nuleolus and mitotic figures were evident in many cells. However, the canine prostate has no continuous basal cell layer (Leav et al., 2001; Grieco et al., 2003; Fonseca-Alves et al., 2013; Akter et al., 2015), which makes it difficult to determine whether a benign growth (cancer mimickers) has invaded the stroma (personal observation). Our results suggest that nuclear PTEN expression could be a good marker for differentiating between malignant lesions and benign mimickers.

Previously, we found copy number losses of p53 (3/14; 21.4%) and copy number gains of MDM2 (3/14; 21.4%) in canine PC. One case showed both loss of p53 and gain of MDM2 (1/3; 33.3%). In one case, we found concomitant loss of p53 and copy number variation in PTEN, yet none of the cases showed concomitant loss of PTEN and gain of MDM2 (unpublished data). Our results suggest higher protein expression of MDM2 in PC samples and loss of nuclear p53 expression. In contrast, we found low transcript levels of MDM2 in cancer samples compared to normal and preneoplastic tissues. There is a high frequency of human head and neck carcinoma with decreased expression of MDM2 associated with p53 mutation. Indeed, decreased expression of MDM2 is associated with tumour grade and a shorter survival time in these patients (Millon et al., 2001).

Our immunohistochemical results showed loss of nuclear p53 expression and decreased cytoplasmic p53 expression in all tumours (n = 19) compared to normal samples. We identified lower transcript levels of p53 in tumour samples compared to normal samples. We observed two specific bands (50 and 53 kDa) when validating the cross-reactivity of this antibody with canine tissue in the Western blot analysis. We believe that the 50 kDa band corresponds to nuclear staining and that the 53 kDa band corresponds to cytoplasmic staining. In the Western blot analysis, the PC samples showed a significant loss of the 50 kDa band compared to the 53 kDa band (Fig. 2). As identified by array CGH, loss of p53 immunoreexpression was observed in PC samples compared to normal tissue samples. In human prostatic cancer cells, p53 expression is associated with resistance to docetaxel treatment; low p53 expression is associated with a good response to docetaxel, and high levels are associated with resistance to treatment (Liu et al., 2013).

We observed loss of AR gene expression in PIA and PC samples compared to normal canine prostate tissue. In humans, 20–30% of cases of castration-resistant PC have amplification of the AR gene (Linja et al., 2001; Edwards et al., 2003). Trinucleotide (CAG) repeat polymorphisms in AR have been observed in human PC, but its correlation with prostate carcinogenesis remains unclear (Mao et al., 2014). We showed that fewer than 25% of the neoplastic cells in all the canine PC samples had positive nuclear AR staining, perhaps due to androgen-independence. Alterations in nuclear AR function might be observed via MDM2 overexpression or amplification; the oncoprotein MDM2 promotes the ubiquitination and proteasomal degradation of AR (Lin et al., 2002). Additionally, loss or mutation of Pten and TP53 directly impacts the androgen-independent activation of AR (Lin et al., 2002) and the emergence of hormone-refractory PC in humans (Mao et al., 2014). Our results indicate that the described changes in the Pten-Mdm2-p53 network might affect nuclear AR function in canine PC, which is similar to the changes in this pathway that are observed in human PC (Lin et al., 2002). However, further studies on a larger number of canine prostatic lesions and clinical parameters are needed to better understand this protein network.

### 5. Conclusions

In canine carcinogenic process there is higher expression of MDM2 and down regulation of AR, p53 and PTEN, making the canine prostatic cancer a good model for independent hormonal cancer in men. Our data suggest that nuclear PTEN immunoreexpression is associated with canine PC; this marker could be used to differentiate malignant lesions from benign mimickers.

Supplementary data to this article can be found online at [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.rvsc.2016.03.008](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.rvsc.2016.03.008).
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