Journal of the Marine Biological Association of the United Kingdom, 2018, 98(4), 829–831.
doi:10.1017/S0025315417000029

Cleaning interactions between the cleaner wrasse *Symphodus melanocercus* (Osteichthyes: Labridae) and brown meagre *Sciaena umbra* (Osteichthyes: Sciaenidae)

DANIELE VENTURA[1], LEONARDO FRANCISCO MACHADO[2], ANDREA BONIFAZI[3], MARIA FLAVIA GRAVINA[3] AND GIANDOMENICO ARDIZZONE[1]

[1]Department of Environmental Biology, University of Rome 'la Sapienza'-V. le dell'Università 32, 00185 Rome, Italy, [2]Departamento de Morfologia, Instituto de Biociências de Botucatu, Universidade Estadual Paulista – UNESP, 18618-000 Botucatu, SP, Brazil, [3]Laboratory of Experimental Ecology and Aquaculture, Tor Vergata University, Via della Ricerca Scientifica, 00133 Rome, Italy

Cleaning interactions between the wrasse Symphodus melanocercus *and brown meagre* Sciaena umbra *are documented from observations whilst free diving on a shallow rocky reef in the central Mediterranean Sea. Cleaning events occurred at cleaning stations mainly during the morning and gradually decreased in the evening. The body parts mostly cleaned were the opercular region and the fins, possibly as gnathiid isopods preferentially attach to these areas.*

Keywords: Cleaning interaction, central Mediterranean Sea, Giglio Island, labrid, sciaenid, ectoparasite, isopod, underwater observations, behaviour, microhabitats

Submitted 26 October 2016; accepted 11 January 2017; first published online 9 February 2017

The cleaning behaviours of small labrids (the cleaners) that remove ectoparasites, mucus and dead tissues from the body of generally larger client fishes, has been investigated widely (Gorlick *et al.*, 1987; Poulin & Grutter, 1996; Grutter, 1999). Cleaner fish may be specialized (ectoparasites representing most of their diet; Grutter, 1996) and obligate (cleaning throughout their lifespan; Grutter, 1997) or they may be non-specialized (feeding on benthic prey as well as the parasites, mucus and scales from the body surfaces of their clients; Sazima *et al.*, 1999) and facultative (cleaning only during specific stages of their life cycle; Brockmann & Hailman, 1976). Whilst there are numerous studies on the behaviour of tropical cleaner fishes, which are generally considered more specialized than temperate ones (Arnal & Morand, 2001a), there is less information on the cleaning behaviour of wrasse in more temperate seas (but see Henriques & Almada, 1997; Almada *et al.*, 1999). In Mediterranean waters, the cleaner wrasse *Symphodus melanocercus* shows a high degree of specialization, as confirmed by behavioural observations and dietary analyses (Arnal & Morand, 2001a) and is considered one of the main cleaner fish in the Mediterranean Sea (Arnal & Morand, 2001b). Where *S. melanocercus* is absent, such as in the Azores, *Thalassoma pavo* and *Coris julis* play important roles as cleaners (Narvaez *et al.*, 2015).

Brown meagre *Sciaena umbra* is a demersal species which is distributed in the eastern Atlantic from the English Channel to Senegal, included the Canary Islands and the Mediterranean Basin. It occurs from inshore waters down to 180 m depth, living mainly in structural habitats, including rocky areas and *Posidonia oceanica* meadows (Chao, 1986).

Our observations were carried out in Cape Marino (42.348 N 10.925 E), a rocky promontory located on the south-east coast of Giglio Island, Central Tyrrhenian Sea. Near continuous daily observations for periods of 2 or 3 h were made by free diving (33 ± 6 dives per hour, average bottom time 1.15 ± 0.3 min) over a total study period of 2 weeks, with observations ranging between 06:00 and 20:00 h. Stationary point counts (Bannerot & Bohnsack, 1986) were performed during May 2016, without using breathing apparatus (the diver remained stationary on the bottom just holding his breath and recording the number of cleaning interactions and the area of cleaning on client fish). This method ensured minimal disturbance of the fish and allowed a closer approach to individuals without interfering with cleaning events. All data were recorded on plastic sheets and photographic records were made with a digital camera (Sony Alpha 6000 with underwater housing).

Two different habitats were recorded as cleaning stations, one near a boulder crevice surrounded by coarse sand and covered by semi-sciaphilous and sciaphilous algal communities (Figure 1A); and the other at the edge of *P. oceanica* meadow (Figure 1B). The observations also included two different cleaning behaviours. The first was characterized by the client *S. umbra* occurring close to the bottom (40–50 cm) in established cleaning stations. In the other cases, the cleaner, one or two female *S. melanocercus*, did not operate in cleaning stations, but followed the client fish for 3–8 min. No more than two clients were cleaned at the same time, and the duration of cleaning events ranged from 3–25 s.

Corresponding author:
D. Ventura
Email: daniele.ventura@uniroma1.it



Fig. 1. Cleaning activity involving the cleaner wrasse *Symphodus melanocercus* and brown meagre *Sciaena umbra*. (A) Large boulder crevice with sciaphilous communities identifies the first cleaning station. (B) Two juvenile *S. umbra* are cleaned by a *S. melanocercus* female in the second cleaning station at the edge of *Posidonia oceanica* meadow. Photograph: D. Ventura.

To test differences in intensity of cleaning interactions (mean number of inspections per 300 min observation) between time of the day non-parametric Kruskal–Wallis tests were performed for each area of cleaning (body region, fins, opercular region and mouth). When the non-parametric test showed significant differences, a *post-hoc* analysis (Dunn test) was used to determine which levels of the independent variable differ from each other level. The body regions of *S. umbra* in which the cleaner acted more frequently were the opercular region (33%), followed by fins (29%) and the dorso-ventral region (27%). Mouths were seldom (11%) cleaned (Figure 2A, B). The intensity of cleaning interactions significantly decreased towards the evening (Kruskal–Wallis $\chi^2 = 26.49$, d.f. $= 2$, $P < 0.001$). In fact, average intensities of cleaning events showed significant differences from 6.00–10.00 am to 16.00–20.00 pm (Dunn test, $P < 0.05$ in all cases, Figure 3). The decrease in cleaning interactions towards the hours with poor light conditions is a predictable outcome since, generally, the cleaning activity takes place during the day, as this type of association largely depends on visual signals (Helfman, 1986).

Symphodus melanocercus has been observed to clean many species (Arnal & Morand, 2001a) including sparids (*Diplodus sargus, D. puntazzo, D. annularis, D. vulgaris, Boops boops, Oblada melanura, Sarpa salpa*), labrids (*Symphodus mediterraneus, S. ocellatus, S. tinca, Labrus merula, Coris julis, Crenilabrus rupestris*), serranids (*Serranus cabrilla, Epinephelus marginatus*), *Apogon imberbis, Mullus surmuletus, Chromis chromis* and *Chelon labrosus*. However, this is the first published study documenting cleaning interactions between *S. melanocercus* and brown meagre. The importance of the cleaning behaviour of *S. melanocercus* is also supported by analyses of gut contents that have shown a high proportion of gnathiid isopod larvae and caligid copepods in the diet (see Arnal & Morand, 2001a, b), with *S. umbra* reported to have high infestation by *Gnathia* sp. in Turkish waters (Alaş *et al.*, 2009). Such high prevalence values may be related to the host–parasite habitat use. In fact, gnathiids show three larval stages with each stage having two forms, namely praniza and zuphea. The praniza is usually a replete, haematophagous phase while the zuphea is an unfed benthic dweller phase (Hadfield *et al.*, 2008). Larval gnathiids utilize the benthic habitats as resting and moulting places after their ectoparasitism on the host (Tanaka, 2007). After feeding, praniza larvae detached from their fish hosts and sought protection in sponges, tunicates and worm tubes (Tanaka, 2007). *Sciaena umbra* inhabits sheltered cavities near the sea bottom, where the aforementioned sessile organisms are abundant and for this reason it would be more easily infected.

That *S. melanocercus* did not exclusively operate at cleaning stations, but would also accompany large fish, was also a notable observation. Such a behaviour has been reported



Fig. 2. (A, B) Two phases of the cleaning activity of *S. melanocercus* focused on opercular region. Notice damaged tissue on the snout. Photograph: D. Ventura.



Fig. 3. Mean number of inspections per 300 min observation period according to cleaning region by cleaner wrasse *S. melanocercus* toward *S. umbra*. Data pooled on several days over a 2 week period. Error bars show SE.

rarely in the Mediterranean, although Fischer *et al.* (2007) did report this type of behaviour when cleaning adult *Epinephelus marginatus* in waters off Ibiza.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We are indebted to Dr J. Ellis and two other anonymous referees for their valuable comments and suggestions that considerably improved the paper. We are also grateful to V. Lopetuso for providing advice and encouragement throughout this study and for her assistance in field sampling.

REFERENCES

Alaş A., Öktener A. and Yilmaz M. (2009) *Gnathia* sp. (Gnathiidae) infestations on marine fish species from Turkey. *Kafkas Üniversitesi Veteriner Fakültesi Dergisi* 15, 201–204.

Almada V.C., Henriques M. and Gonçalves E.J. (1999) Ecology and behaviour of reef fishes in the temperate north-eastern Atlantic and adjacent waters. In Almada V.C., Oliveira R.F. and Gonçalves E.J. (eds) *Behaviour and conservation of littoral fishes*. Lisbon: ISPA, pp. 33–69.

Arnal C. and Morand S. (2001a) Importance of ectoparasites and mucus in cleaning interactions in the Mediterranean cleaner wrasse *Symphodus melanocercus*. *Marine Biology* 138, 777–784.

Arnal C. and Morand S. (2001b) Cleaning behaviour in the teleost, *Symphodus melanocercus*: females are more specialized than males. *Journal of the Marine Biological Association of the United Kingdom* 81, 317–323.

Bannerot S.P. and Bohnsack J.A. (1986) A stationary visual census technique for quantitatively assessing community structure of coral reef fishes. *NOAA Technical Report National Marine Fisheries Service* 41, 1–15.

Brockmann H.J. and Hailman J.P. (1976) Fish cleaning symbiosis: notes on juvenile angelfishes (*Pomacanthus*, Chaetodontidae) and comparisons with other species. *Zeitschrift für Tierpsychologie* 42, 129–138.

Chao L.N. (1986) Sciaenidae. In Whitehead P.J.P., Bauchot M.L., Hureau J.C., Nielsen J. and Tortonese E. (eds) *Fishes of the North Eastern Atlantic and Mediterranean*. Paris: Unesco, pp. 865–874.

Fischer S.T., Patzner R.A., Müller C.H. and Winkler H.M. (2007) Studies on the ichthyofauna of the coastal waters of Ibiza (Balearic Islands, Spain). *Rostocker Meeresbiologische Beiträge* 18, 30–62.

Gorlick D.L., Atkins P.D. and Losey G.S. (1987) Effect of cleaning by *Labroides dimidiatus* (Labridae) on an ectoparasite population infecting *Pomacentrus vaiuli* (Pomacentridae) at Enewetak Atoll. *Copeia* 1, 41–45.

Grutter A. (1996) Parasite removal rates by the cleaner wrasse *Labroides dimidiatus*. *Marine Ecology Progress Series* 130, 61–70.

Grutter A.S. (1997) Effect of the removal of cleaner fish on the abundance and species composition of reef fish. *Oecologia* 111, 137–143.

Grutter A.S. (1999) Fish cleaning behaviour in Noumea, New Caledonia. *Marine and Freshwater Research* 50, 209–212.

Hadfield K.A., Smit N.J. and Avenant-Oldewage A. (2008) *Gnathia pilosus* sp. nov. (Crustacea, Isopoda, Gnathiidae) from the east coast of South Africa. *Zootaxa* 1894, 23–41.

Helfman G.S. (1986) Fish behaviour by day, night and twilight. In Pitcher T.J. (ed.) *The behaviour of teleost fishes*. London: Croom Helm, pp. 366–387.

Henriques M. and Almada V.C. (1997) Relative importance of cleaning behaviour in *Centrolabrus exoletus*, and other wrasse at Arrábida, Portugal. *Journal of the Marine Biological Association of the United Kingdom* 77, 891–898.

Narvaez P., Furtado M., Neto A.I., Moniz I., Azevedo J.M. and Soares M.C. (2015) Temperate facultative cleaner wrasses selectively remove ectoparasites from their client-fish in the Azores. *Marine Ecology Progress Series* 540, 217–226.

Poulin R. and Grutter A.S. (1996) Cleaning symbioses: proximate and adaptive explanations. *Bioscience* 46, 512–517.

Sazima I., Moura R.L. and Sazima C. (1999) Cleaning activity of juvenile angelfish, *Pomacanthus paru*, on the reefs of the Abrolhos Archipelago, western South Atlantic. *Environmental Biology of Fishes* 56, 399–407.

and

Tanaka K. (2007) Life history of gnathiid isopods – current knowledge and future directions. *Plankton and Benthos Research* 2, 1–11.

Correspondence should be addressed to:
D. Ventura Department of Environmental Biology,
University of Rome 'la Sapienza'-V. le dell'Università 32,
00185 Rome, Italy
email: daniele.ventura@uniroma1.it