Does pain in the masseter and anterior temporal muscles influence maximal bite force?
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ABSTRACT

Objective: The aim of this study was to evaluate changes in pain and muscle force, and the relationship between them, in patients with muscle pain and bruxism, prior to and after treatment.

Methods: Thirty women with bruxism and myofascial pain (Ia) were included in this study. Sleep bruxism diagnosis was made based on clinical diagnostic criteria, and awake bruxism diagnosis was made by patient questionnaires and the presence of tooth wear. The diagnosis of myofascial pain was established according to the Research Diagnostic Criteria for Temporomandibular Disorders (RDC-TMD). Dentulous or partially edentulous patients (rehabilitated with conventional fixed prostheses) were included in the study according to the inclusion and exclusion criteria. The pain treatment protocol included occlusal splints, patient education, and physiotherapy for 30 days. Bite force was measured using a dynamometer at the central incisor and the first molar regions on both sides. The exams were performed at baseline, after 7 days, and 30 days after treatment. The Wilcoxon test was used to compare patient pain level response among the periods analyzed in the study. Bite force data were submitted to two-way repeated-measures ANOVA, followed by the Tukey HSD test (p < 0.05). A simple regression analysis was performed to verify the relation between pain level and bite force.

Results: Results revealed that there was a statistical difference in pain level over time for both muscles and sides (p < 0.01). In the molar region, the bite force exhibited significantly higher values after 30 days of treatment, when compared with the baseline (p < 0.001). There was a correlation between pain level and bite force only for the temporal muscle in all periods analyzed (p < 0.05). There was no strong correlation in the response level points to support the association of pain and bite force.

Conclusions: Pain level decreased and bite force increased in the molar region after treatment. No strong correlation or dispersion in the relationship between pain levels and bite force was seen in women with myofascial pain and bruxism.

1. Introduction

Myogenous pain is frequently reported in patients with temporomandibular disorder (TMD) (de Leeuw & Klasser, 2013; Svensson, Burgaard, & Schlosser, 2001). Lobbezoo et al. (2013) defined bruxism as a repetitive activity of the jaw muscles characterized by clenching of the teeth, associated or not associated with bracing of the mandible. This condition has two circadian manifestations, since it can occur during sleep (sleep bruxism) or while awake (awake bruxism).

Bruxism diagnosis can be performed by using polysomnography, physical exams, and questionnaires (Ahlberg et al., 2008; Paesani et al., 2013). Polysomnography associated with an audio-video system is still the gold standard for this type of evaluation, but it requires time and is expensive (Lavigne et al., 2000). Generally, questionnaires are used for research and clinical exams are used to detect awake and sleep bruxism. Although questionnaires are subjective, their main advantage is that they can be applied to a large population (Lobbezoo et al., 2013).

Different treatment alternatives, such as physiotherapy, medication, therapies against emotional stress, and occlusal splints, have been indicated for patients with painful TMD and bruxism (Hamata, Zuim, & Garcia, 2005; Gomes, El Hage, Amaral, Politti, & Bissotot-Gonzalez, 2014; Wahlund, Nilsson, & Larsson, 2015). Some authors...
affirm the short-term effectiveness of the treatments mentioned to recover neuromuscular harmony in the masticatory system (Wahlund et al., 2015), as well as their role in tooth wear protection and a possible decrease in muscle activity during sleep (Klasser, Greene, & Lavigne, 2010). Gomes et al. (2014) demonstrated that the use of occlusal splints associated with physical therapy did not decrease the electrical activity in masseter or anterior temporal muscles, but did reduce the intensity of symptoms and signs among severe TMD patients with sleep bruxism.

In dentistry, bite force level has been used to analyze the performance of prosthetic rehabilitations, and to provide reference values for studies on masticatory muscles (Fernandes, Glantz, Svensson, & Bergmark, 2003; Mancuso, Goiato, Gennari Filho, & Gomes, 2008; Shimada, Baad-Hansen, & Svensson, 2015). English, Buschang, and Throckmorton (2002) and Abreu et al. (2014) affirmed that a sufficient bite force is an indicator of normal masticatory function. Bite force level is related to a number of variables, such as craniomandibular anatomy, facial dimensions (Gomes et al., 2014), gender (Koç, Doğan, & Bek, 2011; Palinkas et al., 2010), age (Palinkas et al., 2010), periodontal support of teeth (Okada et al., 2014), temporomandibular disorders, and pain (Pereira-Cenci, Pereira, Cenci, Bonachela, & Del Bel Cury, 2007). A study comparing patients with TMD and healthy individuals demonstrated a lower bite force in the TMD patients. However, no differences were found in maximal bite force results between the TMD and healthy (control) groups (Pereira-Cenci et al., 2007).

Research showed that the variation in the intensity of bite force could be due to different factors such as gender, age, periodontal aspect, and health of the masticatory system (Okada et al., 2014; Pereira et al., 2007). Although there are published studies about bite force in TMD patients, results are still unclear since these studies did not evaluate patients that only complained about muscle pain.

The aim of this study was to evaluate the changes in pain and muscle force, and the relationship between them, in patients with muscle pain and bruxism, prior to and after 30 days of treatment with occlusal splints, patient education, and physiotherapy. The research null hypotheses were that the pain level and bite force do not vary over time, and that there is no relationship between pain level and bite force at the baseline and after treatment.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Selection of patients and muscle palpation

The research protocol was approved by the Human Research Ethics Committee (Process CEP/286.401), in accordance with the principles of the Helsinki Declaration. Patients were informed about the study and signed an informed consent form.

Anamnesis and clinical exams of 102 patients requiring TMD treatment were performed at the Aracatuba Dental School between April 2013 and June 2013. The sample size was defined considering the following levels (ANOVA statistical test): a 0.05 level of significance, 80% power, and a medium effect size. In addition, an intra-individual correlation coefficient of 0.75 was considered (Faul, Erdfelder, Lang, & Buchner, 2007). The results of the calculation showed that 21 individuals were required to achieve 80% power for the explanatory variables. Fifty-five patients were diagnosed with muscular TMD and bruxism. Therefore, 30 participants met the inclusion criteria, which was enough to achieve 95% power and carry out the study.

The inclusion criteria were the presence of myofascial pain, awake and/or sleep bruxism, as well as Angle class I molar relationship and dentate or partially dentate rehabilitated patients with conventional fixed prostheses (absence of no more than 3 teeth in each arch).

Myofascial pain was identified according to the Research Diagnostic Criteria for Temporomandibular Disorders (RDC-TMD) (Dworkin, & LeResche, 1992). The “probable” awake bruxism was considered based on patient questionnaires and the presence of tooth wear at the clinical exam (Lobbezoo et al., 2013). Sleep bruxism diagnosis was made based on clinical diagnostic criteria (Pintado, Anderson, DeLong, & Douglas, 1997) proposed by the American Academy of Sleep Medicine (AAMS).

The following exclusion criteria were adopted: a) presence of any systemic pathology; b) use of illegal drugs, antidepressant medications, or sedatives; c) use of removable prostheses; d) patients scheduled for dental procedures that could alter the occlusion during therapy; and e) TMD of articular origin.

Thirty 30 patients were included in the study after meeting the criteria cited above. The palpation of masseter and anterior temporal muscles was assessed according to the TMD Clinical Examination Form, prior to and after 30 days of treatment. For the analyses, the regions of greatest pain intensity in each muscle (anterior temporal and masseter) were considered, and the palpation pain on muscle palpation was classified according to this scale: 0 = No Pain/Pressure Only; 1 = Mild Pain; 2 = Moderate Pain; 3 = Severe Pain.

2.2. Occlusal splints and physiotherapy

Maxillary stabilization occlusal splints were fabricated with heat-polymerized acrylic resin (Artigos Odontológicos Clássico Ltd., Sao Paulo, Brazil), after diagnostic impressions of the maxillary and mandibular arches were taken with irreversible hydrocolloid (Hydrogon, Zhermack, Badia Polesine, Rovigo, Italy), and then poured in type IV dental stone (Durone, Sao Paulo, Brazil). The intermaxillary relationship was established in habitual maximum intercuspation (HMI) by mounting the mandibular cast in the articulator by tactile and visual intercuspation (Hamata et al., 2009).

Patients were informed to wear the splints at night. All occlusal splints fully covered the maxillary dental arch and had an approximate 2-mm thickness in the molar regions, as well as bilateral and simultaneous occlusal contacts, and immediate disocclusion of the posterior teeth during excursive mandibular movements (Hamata et al., 2009).

All patients received instructions about how to use the heat therapy treatment program at home. (Poinexter, Wright, & Murchison, 2002). They also received guidelines about sleep and awake bruxism, as well as instructions concerning oral habits such as biting lips, objects, and nails.

2.3. Bite force measurements

Bite force was measured with a dynamometer at baseline (initial), after 7 days, and after 30 days of treatment. The IDDK dynamometer (Kratos – Equipamentos Industriais Ltd, Cotia, Sao Paulo, Brazil) was used with 15 mm in thickness and 1000N, and adapted to the oral conditions. Training and familiarization with the method was conducted with all patients before the measurements. The evaluation was conducted at the central incisor (anterior) and first molar (posterior) regions on both sides. The patient was asked to bite the device with maximum force 3 times in each region. The records were obtained during 15 ± 2 s in a single session with a 2-min interval between the measurements. Three measurements of each area were randomly collected in the morning. The highest value among the three measurements was selected as the maximum bite force (Tortopidis, Lyons, Baxendale, & Gilmour, 1998). The method error for bite force measurements was determined in 10 patients prior to the experiment. The records were obtained twice a week.

2.4. Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis was performed with the SPSS (Statistical Package for the Social Sciences), version 19.0 (IBM SPSS, Chicago, USA). Descriptive statistics (including frequency distributions and percentages) were performed for demographic characteristics of the patients. The Wilcoxon signed-rank non-parametric test was applied to assess the paired difference between the pain levels when the population cannot
be assumed to be normally distributed. A two-way repeated-measures analysis of variance (ANOVA) was performed for bite force values to verify any significant difference between regions and periods. Differences revealed by those tests were compared through the Tukey HSD test. Additional analysis related pain level and bite force using scatter plots and linear regression analysis. Statistical significance was set at an alpha level of 0.05.

3. Results

Table 1 shows the distribution of the demographic characteristics of the patients. Table 2 shows the frequency of answers in regard to pain level for each muscle and side, with statistically significant difference using the Wilcoxon signed-rank test ($p < 0.05$ at baseline vs. after 30 days). According to Table 2, around 80% of the patients showed a pain level of 1 or 2 at baseline, and 100% of the patients showed a pain level of 0 or 1 after 30 days. The results indicated that pain level after treatment was significantly lower than at baseline ($p < 0.01$) for both muscles and sides (Table 2).

ANOVA revealed a significant influence of period and region ($p < 0.001$) on the bite force (Table 3). Table 4 shows mean values and standard deviation of bite force. The bite force values were significantly lower in the incisor region when compared with the molar region (both sides), prior to and after treatment ($p < 0.001$). However, there was no significant difference in bite force when comparing right and left sides in the molar area ($p = 1.00$). In the molar region, both sides exhibited significantly higher bite force values at 30 days, when compared to baseline ($p < 0.001$) (Table 4). In addition, there was a significant difference in bite force values on the left side between 7 and 30 days after treatment ($p < 0.001$).

Scatter plots and linear regression show the relationship between pain levels and bite force at baseline, and change in the period (Figs. 1 and 2). These graphs show that most patients reported pain on only two levels: at a level of 1 and 2 at baseline, and 0 and 1 during the treatment period. Although some graphs and regression suggest that changes in bite level were associated with alterations in bite force only in the temporal muscle (Fig. 1- B), there was no strong correlation or dispersion in the response level points to support that this association would remain true. Therefore, it was not possible to explain the variation in bite force values through the level of pain, despite the significance in some cases.
Table 3
Results of 2-way repeated-measures ANOVA of bite force.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>df</th>
<th>SS</th>
<th>MS</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Period</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>228449.192</td>
<td>114224.596</td>
<td>91.168</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Erro (Period)</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>72668.446</td>
<td>1252.904</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Region</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>878287.435</td>
<td>439143.718</td>
<td>66.108</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Erro (Region)</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>385280.947</td>
<td>6642.775</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Period region</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>38703.005</td>
<td>9675.751</td>
<td>12.194</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Erro (Period Region)</td>
<td>116</td>
<td>92046.506</td>
<td>793.504</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* P < 0.05 denotes a statistically significant difference.

Table 4
Mean values and standard deviation of bite force (N) (n = 30).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region</th>
<th>Period</th>
<th>Baseline</th>
<th>After 7 days</th>
<th>After 30 days</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Anterior</td>
<td></td>
<td>89.3 (34.7) Aa</td>
<td>105.4 (29.3) Aa</td>
<td>119.3 (27.8) Aa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right Posterior</td>
<td></td>
<td>179.9 (62.7) Ba</td>
<td>224.7 (88.50) Bab</td>
<td>272.0 (93.6) Bb</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left Posterior</td>
<td></td>
<td>182.2 (60.6) Ba</td>
<td>221.3 (73.0) Ba</td>
<td>273.7 (68.7) Bb</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Different uppercase letters denote statistically significant difference among different regions (p < 0.05) and different lowercase letters denote statistically significant difference among different periods (p < 0.05) by Tukey’s HSD test.

4. Discussion

In this study, a significant increase in the bite force was observed in the molar region after 30 days of treatment, and it remained similar in the incisor region for all periods of analysis. The pain level change was observed over time, however, there was no strong relationship between pain level and bite force.

The present study evaluated the maximum bite force of women with muscular pain and bruxism, prior to and after treatment. The maximum bite force was measured at the central incisor and first molar regions. A significant increase in bite force was observed in the molar region after 30 days of treatment, and it remained similar in the incisor region for all periods of analysis. It is important to consider that several studies reported that men have greater muscular potential than women (Koç et al., 2011; Takaki, Vieira, & Bommartio, 2014). For this reason, only women were selected to participate in the study, aiming to avoid a heterogeneous and unbalanced sample.

An occlusal splint was indicated to stabilize dental occlusion, protect teeth, and increase the awareness of parafunction, which is possible through the theory of cognitive perception. When occlusal surfaces are covered by the splint, tooth contact on the splint, associated with a change in tongue position, seems to be an important factor that increases the patient’s awareness of clenching (Roark, Glarios, & O’Mahony, 2003).

The significant difference observed between regions and periods (Table 2) is in accordance with previous studies (Regalo et al., 2008; Tortopidis et al., 1998). Although it was expected that there would be differences between molar and incisor regions, it was hypothesized that the bite force would increase in all regions analyzed after treatment, but this was not verified in the incisor region. As shown in Table 2, bite force was statistically similar prior to and after treatment in the incisor region, even after pain relief, suggesting that the treatment did not increase the bite force, or that the presence of pain did not affect the strength in this region. Bite force in the incisor region was approximately 43% of the force measured in the molar region after treatment and pain relief, which is in accordance with Ferrario, Sforza, Serraio, Dellavia, and Tartaglia (2004), which found values varying from 40% to 48% in healthy young adults. Although this study did not use the same bite force measuring method of previous studies, the bite force values (Table 4) were lower than the mean values reported for healthy patients (from 100.8 to 653.0 N) (Cosme, Baldisserotto, Canabarro, & Shinkai, 2005; Kogawa, Calderon, Lauris, Araujo, & Conti, 2006) and individuals with bruxism without TMD (from 395.6 to 827 N) (Alkan, Bulut, Arici, & Sato, 2008; Cosme et al., 2005). Another study (Pereira, Steenks, de Wijer, Speksnijder, & van der Bilt, 2009) verified a significant increase in bite force after treatment of patients with subacute TMD. However, the values remained lower than those found in asymptomatic patients.

In the present study, the bite force increased over time, which corroborates with another study (Kogawa et al., 2006) that compared the bite force of a control group to myogenic, articular, and mixed TMD groups. In that study, the assessments were only in the regions of the first right and left molars, whereas in the present study the bite force was also measured in the incisor region. Yet, the treatment was effective in increasing bite force in the molar region, corroborating with previous results showing that the molar region concentrates greater force than the anterior region during biting in healthy individuals. It is possible that the significant increase in bite force in the molar region results from the fact that the pain was greater in the masseter (37.29%) than in the anterior temporal during muscle palpation. It is possible that the presence of pain affected the strength in the molar region more significantly, since masseter muscle power is more evident in this region. With the reduction of muscle pain, there was a significant recovery of bite force in this region.

Although some graphs and regression suggest that changes in pain level were associated with alterations in bite force only in the temporal muscle (Figs. 1-B), there was no strong correlation or dispersion in the response level points to support that conclusion. Therefore, it is not possible to explain the variation in bite force values through the level of pain, despite the significance in some cases. Previous research evaluated patient bite force in the presence of experimental pain, and did not verify a reduction in the maximum bite force of the subjects when compared with the baseline (Kumar, Castrillon, & Svensson, 2015). The data obtained by Kumar et al. (2015) suggests that not only the presence of pain affects the bite force, but that the ability of the individual to cope with the pain is also important. In addition to the behavioral...
Factors against pain, the consistently low levels of pain intensity reported by these patients may have suppressed the level of correlation between pain and bite force as a result of truncation of range. Another important point to be discussed is that the masseter pain could originate from the deep or superficial (head) portion. However, in the present study, researchers evaluated the masseter pain without identifying the affected portion (superficial or deep), which represents a limitation of the study since the pain of the superficial or deep masseter must be investigated separately. Du Brul (1980) divided the masseter muscle in two portions, being the superficial and deep portions, with the deep portion not covered by the superficial portion in an area immediately anterior to the TMJs. This portion can be seen as a triangular muscle field. Researchers verified small differences in mandibular activities due to the difference in the inclination of the muscle fibers in each portion. The deep portion of the masseter muscle pulls the mandible posteriorly with greater force than the superficial masseter (Belser & Hannam, 1986). A recent study demonstrated, through magnetic resonance, that the deep masseter is likely influenced by changes in anteroposterior bite position (Okada, Yamaguchi, Watanabe, Glantz, P. O., Svensson, S. A., & Bergmark, A. (2003). A novel sensor for masticatory performance? The Angle Orthodontist, 73, 175–191.
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