Normative Nasalance Scores for Brazilian Portuguese Using New Speech Stimuli
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Abstract

Objective: Normative data were established for newly developed speech materials for nasalance assessment in Brazilian Portuguese. Materials and Methods: Nasalance scores of preexisting passages (oral ZOO-BR, low-pressure oral ZOO-BR2 and NASAL-BR), new nasalance passages (oral \textit{Dudu no zoológico}, oral \textit{Dudu no bosque}, oral-nasal \textit{O cãozinho Totó} and nasal \textit{O nenê}) and Brasilcleft articulation screening sentences were collected from 245 speakers of Brazilian Portuguese, including 121 males and 124 females, divided into 4 groups: children (5–9 years), adolescents (10–19 years), young adults (20–24 years) and adults (25–35 years). Results: Across all nasalance passages, adult females scored on average 2 percentage points higher than males. Children scored 2–4 percentage points lower than older groups for the preexisting nasalance passages ZOO-BR and ZOO-BR2. Nasalance scores for the new nasalance passages were not significantly different from the preexisting passages. Scores for high-pressure sentences did not differ significantly from the oral nasalance passage \textit{Dudu no bosque}. Conclusion: The nasalance scores for the new nasalance passages were equivalent to the preexisting materials. The new shortened and simplified nasalance passages will be useful for assessing young children. Normative scores for the Brasilcleft high-pressure sentences were equivalent to the new oral passage \textit{Dudu no bosque}.

Introduction

Cleft palate can affect the patient’s speech in different ways. On the one hand, it may affect velopharyngeal closure, resulting in hypernasality and other disorders of oral-nasal balance \cite{1} and, on the other hand, many patients with cleft palate also develop articulation errors. These can be passive (i.e., related to structural deficits such as palatal fistulae) or active (i.e., resulting from compensatory learning such as glottal stops) \cite{2}. The clinician evaluates oral-nasal balance and articulation, using auditory-perceptual and instrumental assessments.

A speaker’s oral-nasal balance is assessed perceptually and documented on rating scales \cite{3,4}. While a thorough auditory-perceptual assessment is indispensable and supersedes any instrumental measures \cite{1}, quantitative in-
In order to record nasalance scores, the participant reads or repeats specific speech stimuli. For North American English, the Zoo Passage (only oral speech sounds) is used to assess hypernasality and the Nasal Sentences (loaded with nasal consonants) are used to assess hyponasality. The Rainbow Passage by Fairbanks [14] is a stimulus with a normal distribution of oral and nasal speech sounds. Perceptually, high nasalance scores for oral passages usually correlate with hypernasality, while low nasalance scores for nasal sentences usually correlate with hyponasality [6]. Similar speech stimuli have been developed for different languages around the world, including Brazilian Portuguese [15]. Trindade et al. [15] developed 4 passages and established norms for speakers of Brazilian Portuguese. These passages are nasalized speech stimuli. The alternative oral text passage ZOO-BR2 with only low-pressure consonants, the nasal text passage NASAL-BR (loaded with nasal consonants) and the alternative nasal text passage NASAL2-BR without pressure consonants. A stimulus representing the normal distribution of oral and nasal speech sounds for Brazilian Portuguese was not provided by Trindade et al. [15].

The original nasalance stimuli by Fletcher [16] and the Brazilian Portuguese stimuli by Trindade et al. [15] work well for older and literate speakers. However, many patients with cleft palate are younger and often preliterate, so the text passages may be difficult to record. For American English, a number of shorter and simplified stimuli have been developed for children [17–19]. It would be desirable to have similar shortened and simplified assessment materials for Brazilian Portuguese. Di Ninno et al. [20] suggested using the single word 'papai', but this word could only be used to assess hypernasality, and a short stimulus may have low criterion validity [19]. It was one goal of the present study to develop new simplified nasalance stimuli for Brazilian Portuguese.

Another important aspect of cleft palate speech is the patient’s articulation. In recent years, there has been a push to develop standardized materials so that outcomes between cleft centers can be better compared [21, 22]. Henningsson et al. [4] defined specific criteria for articulation screening stimuli to ensure that speakers of different languages can be compared in international studies. Based on these recommendations, a group of speech-language pathologists [23] developed 18 sentences (12 high-pressure, 3 low-pressure and 3 nasal sentences) to evaluate the consonants of Brazilian Portuguese. The screening sentences will allow Brazilian cleft centers to collect speech data for national and international outcome studies.

Not all cleft centers in Brazil have nasometers, and it is expected that many centers will base their auditory-perceptual assessments exclusively on the articulation screening sentences. Ideally, the same stimuli should be used for the auditory-perceptual and the nasometric assessment [24]. However, the existing Brazilian nasalance stimuli [15] and the new stimuli proposed here were not designed for articulation screening, nor were the articulation screening sentences designed to measure nasalance. It was therefore necessary to assess whether the nasalance scores for the new nasalance stimuli were equivalent to the nasalance scores for the articulation screening sentences.

There are other variables such as the age and gender of the speaker that may influence nasalance values. Some studies have found lower nasalance values for children compared to adults [15, 25–27]. While some previous studies have found no effect of gender [15, 24, 25, 28–30], others have found slightly higher scores for female speakers [6, 31–33].

The first goal of this study was to establish normative values for a new set of simplified stimuli for nasalance measurement in Brazilian Portuguese. A second goal was to characterize the stimuli in terms of possible age and gender effects and establish orienting normative values in different age ranges. It was a third goal to ensure the equivalence of the new materials with the established stimuli by Trindade et al. [15]. The final goal of this study was to ensure that the nasalance scores for the articulation screening sentences were equivalent to the new nasalance stimuli, in order to ensure that clinicians can base their auditory-perceptual assessments of oral-nasal balance on the articulation screening sentences.

Based on these goals, there were a number of expectations for the research. It was expected that: (1) oral stimuli would have low nasalance scores, nasal stimuli would have high scores, and oronasal stimuli would be in between; (2) the nasalance scores across age groups and gender would be equivalent; (3) the scores for the new nasalance stimuli would be equivalent to the previous materi-
The stimuli included the oral ZOO-BR, low-pressure oral ZOO-BR2 and NASAL-BR [15], the 4 new stimuli as well as the Brasilcleft articulation screening sentences [23]. The new speech stimuli consisted of 4 reading passages. The oral passage *Dudu no zoológico* (Dudu at the zoo, 82% oral pressure consonants) is comparable to the English Zoo Passage (Appendix 1). The simplified oral passage *Dudu no bosque* (Dudu at the park, 82% oral pressure consonants) is syntactically and semantically less complex than *Dudu no zoológico* (Appendix 2). The oral-nasal passage *O cãozinho Totó* (Toto the puppy) contained 15.9% nasal phonemes (Appendix 3), while the *co* in *coisa* is syntactically and semantically less complex than *Dudu no bosque*.

The Brasilcleft articulation screening sentences [23] consisted of 18 sentences, each with a single target consonant (12 high-pressure, 3 low-pressure and 3 nasal sentences).

Recording Procedures

Nasalance scores were obtained using Nasometer II 6400 (Kay-Pentax, Lincoln Park, N.J., USA) in a sound-treated laboratory. The Nasometer was calibrated prior to each day’s data collection. The first author checked the separator plate for all participants to ensure level positioning throughout the assessment. Participants read the stimuli once at a comfortable pitch and loudness. Partici-
pants ≤ 7 years old repeated the stimuli after the examiner. The order of the stimuli was held constant with the Brasilcleft sentences first, followed by the new nasalance stimuli (*Dudu no zoo, Dudu no bosque, O cãozinho Totó* and *O nenê*) and then the traditional speech stimuli (ZOO-BR, ZOO-BR2 and NASAL-BR). The Brasilcleft sentences were recorded in 3 separate blocks of 12 sentences with pressure consonants, 3 sentences with low-pressure consonants and 3 sentences with nasal consonants. Mean nasalance scores were recorded for the blocks of Brasilcleft sentences and the text passages.

The stimuli included the oral ZOO-BR, low-pressure oral ZOO-BR2 and NASAL-BR [15], the 4 new stimuli as well as the Brasilcleft articulation screening sentences [23]. The new speech stimuli consisted of 4 reading passages. The oral passage *Dudu no zoológico* (Dudu at the zoo, 82% oral pressure consonants) is comparable to the English Zoo Passage (Appendix 1). The simplified oral passage *Dudu no bosque* (Dudu at the park, 82% oral pressure consonants) is syntactically and semantically less complex than *Dudu no zoológico* (Appendix 2). The oral-nasal passage *O cãozinho Totó* (Toto the puppy) contained 15.9% nasal phonemes (Appendix 3), while the nasal passage *O nenê* (The baby) contained 47.5% nasal consonants (Appendix 4). Based on previous corpus research [35], Brazilian Portuguese contains about 16% of nasal consonants, so the nasal text passage *O nenê* had 3 times more nasal phonemes. Simplified alternatives for the low-pressure oral ZOO-BR2 and NASAL-BR2 passages [15] were not developed in the present study.

The Brasilcleft articulation screening sentences [23] consisted of 18 sentences, each with a single target consonant (12 high-pressure, 3 low-pressure and 3 nasal sentences).

**Methods**

**Participants**

The study was reviewed and approved by the Institutional Review Board at the Universidade Estadual Paulista 'Júlio de Mesquita Filho' in Marilia, SP, Brazil. Initially, 296 participants were recruited from local schools, universities and the community at large. Exclusion criteria were nasal congestion, hoarseness, articulation errors and the inability to read or, for the youngest participants, repeat the speech samples accurately. Based on these criteria, 51 subjects were excluded. The remaining 245 participants (121 males, 124 females) spoke the Brazilian Portuguese dialect typical of the Midwest region of São Paulo State as their first and only language. The participants were divided into 4 age groups (table 1) according to the World Health Organization classification [34].

**Speech Stimuli**

The first author checked the separator plate for all participants to ensure level positioning throughout the assessment. Participants read the stimuli once at a comfortable pitch and loudness. Partici-
pants ≤ 7 years old repeated the stimuli after the examiner. The order of the stimuli was held constant with the Brasilcleft sentences first, followed by the new nasalance stimuli (*Dudu no zoo, Dudu no bosque, O cãozinho Totó* and *O nenê*) and then the traditional speech stimuli (ZOO-BR, ZOO-BR2 and NASAL-BR). The Brasilcleft sentences were recorded in 3 separate blocks of 12 sentences with pressure consonants, 3 sentences with low-pressure consonants and 3 sentences with nasal consonants. Mean nasalance scores were recorded for the blocks of Brasilcleft sentences and the text passages.

**Results**

The mean nasalance scores, standard deviations and ranges for the different stimuli are shown in table 2. Data were obtained from all 245 participants for all speech stimuli with the exception of *Dudu no bosque*, which had 7 missing values from the young adult group (6 females, 1 male) and 1 missing value from a male adult.

A repeated-measures ANOVA of the nasalance scores was run for the 4 age groups, gender and the 7 stimuli. There was a main effect for gender [F(1, 237) = 20.12; p < 0.001] and stimulus [F(6, 237) = 10,643.34; p < 0.001], and an interaction effect for age by gender [F(3, 237) = 7.13; p < 0.001] and age by stimulus [F(18, 1,414) = 5.09; p < 0.001].

For the main effect of gender, the mean nasalance score for females, across all age groups and stimuli, was 24.99% (SD 17.18), which was significantly higher than the mean of 23.09% (SD 17.28) for males. As for the main effect of stimuli, the mean nasalance score for the new oral stimulus (*Dudu no zoo*) was equivalent to that of ZOO-BR, the shortened oral stimulus (*Dudu no bosque*) was equivalent to the low-pressure ZOO-BR2, and the new nasal stimulus was equivalent to NASAL-BR. All remaining Bonferroni pairwise comparisons of stimuli means were significantly different from each other.

**Table 1. Gender and age distribution of the 245 participants**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>Children</th>
<th>Adolescents</th>
<th>Young Adults</th>
<th>Adults</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total, n (%)</td>
<td>57 (23)</td>
<td>61 (24)</td>
<td>65 (27)</td>
<td>62 (26)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Males</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Females</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>SD</td>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>SD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y = Years; m = months.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>7 y, 8 m</td>
<td>15 y, 0 m</td>
<td>22 y, 2 m</td>
<td>29 y, 8 m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1 y, 1 m</td>
<td>2 y, 6 m</td>
<td>1 y, 4 m</td>
<td>3 y, 2 m</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Data Analysis**

The data were analyzed using NCSS version 8.0 (NCSS LLC, Kaysville, Utah, USA). The effects of age, gender and stimuli on nasalance scores were assessed using repeated-measures analysis of variance (ANOVA) and post hoc Bonferroni pairwise comparisons. Where sphericity was violated, the Geisser-Greenhouse adjustment was applied. Two paired t tests compared *Dudu no bosque* to the high- and low-pressure articulation sentences. A third paired t test compared *O nenê* to the nasal articulation sentences.

For the mean effect of gender, the mean nasalance score for females, across all age groups and stimuli, was 24.99% (SD 17.18), which was significantly higher than the mean of 23.09% (SD 17.28) for males. As for the main effect of stimuli, the mean nasalance score for the new oral stimulus (*Dudu no zoo*) was equivalent to that of ZOO-BR, the shortened oral stimulus (*Dudu no bosque*) was equivalent to the low-pressure ZOO-BR2, and the new nasal stimulus was equivalent to NASAL-BR. All remaining Bonferroni pairwise comparisons of stimuli means were significantly different from each other.
For the age-by-gender interaction effect, the mean nasalance score across the stimuli was significantly higher for the females in the oldest age group at 26.79% (SD 16.90) compared to 22.17% (SD 16.77) for the males. In terms of age by stimulus interaction, for ZOO-BR, the youngest age group’s mean of 9.10% (SD 2.72) was significantly lower than the mean of 11.55% (SD 4.93) for the oldest age group. For the low-pressure ZOO-BR2, the youngest age group had a mean nasalance score of 9.61% (SD 3.43), which was significantly lower than those of the other age groups, whose means from second youngest to oldest were 11.97% (SD 4.59), 12.77% (SD 5.01) and 13.63% (SD 5.49), respectively.

The nasalance scores of the new nasalance stimuli were compared to the Brasilcleft sentences with paired t tests. The mean of the high- and low-pressure (oral) articulation screening sentences was 11.44% (SD 3.92) and 12.05% (SD 4.75), respectively. Compared to the simplified oral passage (Dudu no bosque) with a mean of 11.24% (SD 4.26), the low-pressure sentences were significantly higher [t(236) = 2.63; p = 0.009], but the high-pressure sentences were not different [t(236) = 0.92; p = 0.356]. The articulation screening sentences for the nasal sounds had a mean nasalance score of 65.17% (SD 5.44), which was significantly higher than the new nasalance passage (O nenê) at 49.07% (SD 4.94) [t(244) = 70.98; p < 0.001].

### Discussion

The first goal of this study was to establish normative nasalance values for new speech stimuli, to be used with speakers of Brazilian Portuguese. Based on the main effect for stimulus and the post hoc Bonferroni comparisons, it was confirmed that the oral stimuli had the lowest scores, the oronasal stimuli had lower scores than the nasal sounds, and the nasal sounds had the highest scores.
sal stimuli, and the nasal stimuli had the highest scores, as expected. Nasalance scores increase when the stimuli contain more nasal sounds, as has been demonstrated in different languages including Brazilian Portuguese [15], English [31], Spanish [36], Swedish [28] and Dutch [37].

It was not expected that age and gender would have significant effects on nasalance scores. This null hypothesis was rejected for gender and confirmed for age. Across all stimuli, the females’ mean nasalance scores were 2 points higher than those for the males. Most of this difference could be attributed to the oldest age group where the females’ mean nasalance score was 4 points higher than that of the males. While some previous studies have found no effect of gender [15, 24, 25, 28–30], others have found that women had higher scores than men on oral, mixed oronasal and nasal stimuli [6, 31–33]. There was no main effect of age on nasalance scores, but there was an age-stimuli interaction effect. Age differences were found for the traditional nasalance stimuli ZOO-BR and ZOO-BR2 [15], where the youngest group scored 2–4 points lower than the older groups. These findings were consistent with previous research in Brazilian Portuguese speakers [15]. Similar findings have been reported in other studies [25–27], suggesting that growth may affect the oral-nasal balance. However, it should also be noted that such small differences may not be clinically relevant [6]. Normal day-to-day variation of nasalance scores may be in the range of 6–8% [38]. In further research, it would be interesting to investigate whether adults in middle age and older adults show further changes in oral-nasal balance.

Another goal of the study was to compare the new nasalance stimuli to the traditional stimuli [15]. It was expected that equivalent nasalance scores would be obtained for both sets of stimuli. This was important to ensure continuity with prior studies in Brazilian Portuguese. There were no significant differences between the pairs Dudu no zoo and ZOO-BR, Dudu no bosque and ZOO-BR2, and O nenê and NASAL-BR. While there were significant differences between the scores for Dudu no zoo and ZOO-BR2 as well as between Dudu no bosque and ZOO-BR, the magnitudes of the differences were <3 points. Therefore, it can be assumed that the newly developed materials are comparable to the existing materials used with Brazilian Portuguese speakers.

Two versions of the new oral nasalance stimuli were developed to give clinicians an option to match the stimulus to the language level of the speaker. It was expected that the oral nasalance stimulus Dudu no zoo and the shortened stimulus Dudu no bosque would have comparable mean nasalance scores. Although the statistical analysis found a significant difference, the magnitude of the differences was <1 point, meaning that the 2 stimuli may be considered sufficiently equivalent for clinical use.

The fourth goal was to characterize the articulation screening sentences in terms of their nasalance values, while the oral sentences with pressure consonants from the articulation screening had nasalance scores that were equivalent to Dudu no bosque. The low-pressure sentences differed significantly, albeit by a magnitude of <1 point, meaning that the 2 stimuli may be considered sufficiently equivalent for clinical use. The nasal sentences from the articulation screening had proportionally more nasal sounds than the nasal text passage O nenê. Consequently, the nasal sentences from the articulation screening had significantly higher nasalance scores.

It can be speculated that a perceptual impression of hypernasality based on the articulation screening sentences should be equivalent to an assessment based on the nasalance stimuli. However, hyponasality may be more perceptually salient with the nasal articulation screening sentences than with the nasal passage O nenê because of the higher content of nasal consonants. This should be taken into account whenever clinicians use the articulation screening sentences to make a perceptual assessment of oral-nasal balance.

The new nasalance stimuli should be particularly useful for younger patients or speakers with developmental or acquired cognitive or language disorders. The Brasilcleft articulation screening sentences expand the diagnostic tools for Brazilian Portuguese. Taken together, the new materials will allow a direct comparison of Brazilian patients with patients from international cleft centers who use assessments following the suggestions of the Beyond Eurocleft Group [39]. In future research, it will be important to analyze data from clinical subjects and assess whether the nasalance stimuli have equivalent diagnostic validity.

The current study was limited by the fact that none of the participants were either younger than 5 or older than 35 years. Previous research on nasalance across the life span has indicated that the nasalance scores for speakers under 5 years would probably not differ greatly from that for children in the 5- to 9-year age range [40]. Nevertheless, it will be important to establish norms for children younger than 5 years to document early speech outcomes after procedures such as primary palatoplasty.

While nasalance assessments are undertaken less frequently with older speakers, it would nevertheless be interesting to expand the study so that consistent data for different age brackets across the whole life span would be available.
Conclusion

The nasalance scores for the new nasalance passages were equivalent to the preexisting materials. The new shortened and simplified nasalance passages will be useful for assessing young children. Normative scores for the Brasilcleft high-pressure sentences were equivalent to the new oral passage *Dudu no bosque*.

The study presents new nasalance stimuli and articulation screening sentences for Brazilian Portuguese. The new stimuli are overall equivalent to the traditional materials and may be useful for evaluating younger children and subjects with limited cognitive or attention skills. The averaged nasalance scores for the high-pressure sentences from the Brasilcleft articulation screening did not differ significantly from the oral new stimuli. However, the nasal sentences from the articulation screening have more nasal consonants than the nasalance stimuli, which needs to be taken into account in clinical assessments.
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Appendix 1: Oral Passage (44 Syllables)

*Dudu no zoo* (Dudu at the zoo)

Dudu visitou o zoológico  
Lá viu o pulo do sapo  
Gostou do lago cheio de peixes  
Tirou foto dos bichos  
Depois foi pra casa do vovó

Appendix 2: Oral Shortened Passage

*Dudu no bosque* (Dudu at the park)

Dudu visitou o bosque  
Viu o pulo do sapo  
Gostou do peixe  
E tirou foto do sapo

Appendix 3: Oronasal Passage

O cãozinho Totó (Toto the puppy)

O cachorro do Nino  
Se chama Totó  
Ele come seu osso  
E bebe água  
Molha a bolinha  
Corre o dia todo  
E depois ele dorme

Appendix 4: Nasal Passage

O nenê (The baby)

Mônica mima o nenê  
Veste seu pijaminha  
Arruma seu bercinho  
O nenê mama  
Uma mamadeira toda  
E depois nana

Appendix 5: Articulation Screening Sentences  
(Brasilcleft Sentences Speech Stimuli)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Target consonant</th>
<th>Target sentence</th>
<th>English translation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>High-pressure consonant sentence category</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[p] Papai olha a pipa</td>
<td>Dad sees the kite</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[t] O tatui é teu</td>
<td>The armadillo is yours</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[k] O cuco caiu aqui</td>
<td>The cuckoo fell here</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[b] A Bibi babou</td>
<td>Bibi drooled</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[d] O dedo da Duda doeu</td>
<td>Duda’s finger hurt</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[g] O Gugu é legal</td>
<td>Gugu is cool</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[f] A Fifi é fofo</td>
<td>Fifi is cute</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[s] O saci saiu</td>
<td>Saci left</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[ʃ] Xuxa achou o chá</td>
<td>Xuxa found the tea</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[v] O vovó viu a vela</td>
<td>Grandpa saw the candle</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[z] A rosa é azul</td>
<td>The rose is blue</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[ʒ] A Júlia é joia</td>
<td>Julia is nice</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Low-pressure consonant sentence category</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[l] Lili olhou a lua</td>
<td>Lili looked at the moon</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[x] Rui é o rei</td>
<td>Rui is the king</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[l, ʃ, r] Lulu olhou a arara</td>
<td>Lulu looked at the bird</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Nasal consonant sentence category</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[n, m] O nenê mamou na mamãe</td>
<td>The baby breastfed on his mother</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[m, n] A meia é minha</td>
<td>The sock is mine</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[n, m, ɲ] Aninha é minha mãe</td>
<td>Aninha is my mom</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>