The use of an e-tongue for discriminating ethanol/water mixtures and determination of their water content
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A B S T R A C T

This paper discusses the applicability of an electronic tongue (e-tongue) based on capacitance measurements to determine the water content in ethanol. The e-tongue consisted of an array of interdigitated electrodes coated with ultrathin films of gallium nitrate and titanium dioxide, which were robust against attack by ethanol. Principal Component Analysis (PCA) was used to treat the capacitance data for discriminating ethanol/water mixtures even in cases with very small water contents. Discrimination is easier if the water added to ethanol contains ions, as is the case of tap water or if NaCl is added to the mixtures. With this e-tongue we were able to quantify the water content through a linear relationship between the first principal component (PC1) and the added water to the biofuel. Therefore, we have proven to be possible to measure the water content precisely, which is one of the major problems in ethanol biofuel adulteration nowadays.

© 2016 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

According to IUPAC definition an electronic tongue (e-tongue) is a multisensory system composed of low-selective sensors, using advanced mathematical techniques for signal processing based on pattern recognition and multivariate analysis [1]. These systems appeared as a suitable tool to distinguish between different complex liquid systems, as in the analysis of wine, beer, coffee, pollutants in water, food, juices, milk and mineral water [2–8]. Some advantages in comparison to conventional analytical methods include a fast, efficient and cheaper analysis, and easy interpretation of the results with no need of trained personnel. This has generated strong interest in the industry requiring quality control of foodstuff. The most used techniques in e-tongue systems are potentiometry [9–12], cyclic voltammetry [13–16], impedance spectroscopy [17–21]. They are all based on the global selectivity of sensing units immersed in a liquid sample.

Ethanol has been an alternative biofuel produced from renewable resources with an increasing global claim as the crop carbon sequestration substantially balances the amount of carbon emitted into the atmosphere. Brazil is a leading country in the use of ethanol biofuel in flex vehicles that are able to run using ethanol, gasoline and their mixtures. In addition, anhydrous ethanol is added into gasoline in Brazil to increase the octane rating. The amount of water in the ethanol biofuel is regulated by Brazilian legislation [22]; the anhydrous ethanol fuel (AEF) must not contain more than 0.4% vol. of water, while 6.5% vol. of water is the maximum allowed for hydrous ethanol fuel (HEF). The quality control of ethanol is an important issue since the most common procedure for adulteration is addition of non-pure water above required standards, resulting in an off-specification product that can damage or produce malfunction in car engines.

Several techniques are used to measure [23] the amount of water in the ethanol biofuel, but low-cost techniques are still necessary. Bueno and Paixão [24] proposed a copper interdigitated bare electrode, which was able to determine the water content through electrical capacitance measurements at distinct frequencies. Ultrasoundation was also proposed to measure water content in ethanol biofuel [25].
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Here, we address the use of an e-tongue system to determine the water content in ethanol/water mixtures, with the challenge to build sensing units resistant to the ethanol chemical attack. A comparison was made with addition of ultrapure, tap water and sodium chloride salt solution in ethanol, and the results were processed using Principal Component Analysis (PCA). We also address the possibility to measure the water content in ethanol biofuel.

2. Experimental

Gold interdigitated electrodes (IDE) were deposited on glass slides consisting of 50 pairs of digits 5 mm long, 10 μm width, separated 10 μm from each other. Four sensing units were used: one bare IDE and three electrodes coated with thin films of gallium nitrate (GaN), titanium oxide (TiO$_2$) and titanium oxide doped with 1 mass % of silver (TiO$_2$-Ag). Titanium oxide and titanium oxide doped with 1 mass % of silver were deposited by the sol–gel method [26]. Titanium isopropoxide (Agros Organics) was used as starting material and isopropl alcohol (Mallinckrodt) as solvent. Acetic acid (J.T. Baker) and a solution of nitric acid (Merck) were added to promote the hydrolysis polycondensation reaction, where the acetic acid acted as the chelant agent in the molar rate of 1:4 (vol.) of the isopropoxide nitric acid. This sol (viscosity of 20 cP) was spin coated on top of the IDEs using a rotation speed of 1000 rpm, after which the electrodes were further heated and kept at 250 °C for 12 h, producing 200 nm thick films with roughness ranging from 1.7 to 3.5 nm in 10 μm$^2$, determined by AFM analysis using a Nanosurf Easy Scan AFM apparatus. Gallium nitrate was sputtered onto the IDEs in a RF-magnetron sputtering setup especially assembled to grow III–V compound semiconductor films, resulting in ~60 nm films [27]. A metallic Ga target (7N-purity) and different N2/H2/Ar gas flow ratios (6N-purity) were used in the sputtering process. The films were uniform according to optical microscopy (100×) images (results not shown).

Impedance spectroscopy measurements with the sensing units were performed using an impedance analyzer (Solartron 1260A) in the range between 1 Hz and 1 MHz, with 50 mV of applied sinusoidal voltage. Results are given in terms of the real part of the electrical capacitance as a function of frequency. Briefly, at lower frequencies (<100 Hz) the electrical response is governed by double-layer effects, while at intermediate frequencies (kHz region) it is governed by the film coating the IDEs and at higher frequencies (>100 kHz) by geometric capacitance effects [28,29].

For the e-tongue measurements, we used a computer controlled setup [30] that allows for simultaneous use of up to eight sensing units. Responses were analyzed at 1 kHz, because capacitance measurements at this frequency are sensitive to changes in the film properties due to the liquid sample [28]. The results were analyzed with the non-supervised method of Principal Component Analysis [30] as it is largely employed in this sort of application [21]. The experimental setup performs automatically the PCA analysis, displaying it on the computer screen as a PCA plot [31]. Impedance measurements were performed immersing all sensing units in a small beaker with ethanol and ethanol/water mixtures. Measurements were taken using 10 mL aliquots from an initial volume of 100 mL. For e-tongue measurements, ten readings of capacitance were performed after immersing the sensing units during 5 min in the liquids, which was sufficient to stabilize readings. After each measurement, the sensing units were washed with ultrapure water acquired from a Millipore Direct Q5 system model and gently dried flushing dry air. Measurements were taken at room temperature (25 ± 0.1 °C), controlled by a thermostatic bath (Marconi MA-184). The same set of sensing units was employed for all measurements described here.

Anhydrous ethanol (AEF) was obtained directly from the ethanol plant (UNIVALEM, Valparaíso, SP, Brazil), produced from sugar cane and containing 0.4% vol. of water (as measured in a UNIVALEM laboratory), compliant with Brazilian standards. Hydrous ethanol biofuel (HEF) also supplied by UNIVALEM (4.9% vol. of water) was used in some measurements. Anhydrous ethanol was mixed with water (Milli-Q or tap water) in different percentages to prepare the mixtures. Samples of hydrous ethanol sold as car biofuel (for flex propelled ethanol/gasoline vehicles) were acquired in different fuel stations and used for blind test measurements. Tap water was collected in Presidente Prudente (SP, Brazil) (maximum content of 0.2 mg/L of chlorine and 0.7 mg/L of fluoride for human consumption), following Brazilian standards [32].

3. Results and discussion

3.1. The fingerprint of sensing units

Fig. 1 exhibits capacitance curves of the sensing units immersed in Milli-Q water. Results obtained after immersing them for 5 min in the Milli-Q water indicate that the presence of films on interdigitated electrodes alters the response of the bare IDE, creating a fingerprint of the liquid under analysis [29]. The capacitance spectrum varied with the film deposited in practically all frequency regions, probably reflecting the different dielectric constants of the thin films. At very high frequencies (near 1 MHz) there was almost no change in capacitance because the geometry of the IDEs was the same.

Fig. 2 shows measured capacitances with sensing units immersed in anhydrous ethanol. At 1 kHz a 0.3 nF variation is observed, smaller than that in Fig. 1, indicating a lower sensitivity of the system to ethanol. As the sensing units are more sensitive to water than ethanol, we took it as a tool to determine the water content in ethanol/water mixtures.

The electrical response of the TiO$_2$ thin film at distinct AEF/Milli-Q water concentrations (from 5 to 60% vol. of water added in AEF) is illustrated in Fig. 3, which also includes the result for the ethanol fuel (HEF, 4.9% vol. of water) for comparison. Similar fingerprints were observed (not shown here) for the other sensing units immersed in these AEF/Milli-Q water mixtures. The response at high frequencies (>100 kHz) is due to the capacitive response of ethanol/water mixtures, where capacitance increased with the water content owing to the higher dielectric constant for water (at room temperature $e = 80$ for water and 25 for ethanol).
3.2. E-tongue measurements—mixtures of Milli-Q water and ethanol

Fig. 4 shows the PCA plot for capacitances at 1 kHz in AEF/Milli-Q water mixtures varying from 10 to 80% vol. For each mixture a set of 10 independent capacitance measurements were performed in all sensing units, corresponding to the cluster points in the PCA plot of Fig. 4. These results show the e-tongue capability to separate the mixtures, with a shift to the right as the water content in the mixtures is increased. It is worth mentioning that 99% of the dispersion in the data could be accounted for by the PC1 component, indicating a high correlation of the data.

Mixtures of AEF/Milli-Q water from 1 to 10% vol. of added water are illustrated in Fig. 5, with the PCA indicating that the e-tongue system does not discriminate mixtures clearly, since some clusters do not follow a regular trend and merge with each other, clearly indicating a poor discrimination of the samples.

3.3. E-tongue measurements—mixtures of tap water and ethanol

In contrast to the lack of discrimination of AEF/Milli-Q water mixtures containing small contents of ultrapure water in Fig. 5, we observed that the addition of small amounts of tap water could be easily determined. Fig. 6 shows this clear distinction in the PCA plot, with both PC1 and PC2 components presenting a regular trend with the additional tap water content. Note also that the data for the same water content in the PCA plot are not scattered, thus indicating good reproducibility for ten measurements with each mixture. The correlation between the PC1 component and the tap water content is readily apparent. The reason why mixtures with tap water (Fig. 6) could be distinguished, while those containing ultrapure water (Fig. 5) could not, is the presence of chlorine, fluoride, and carbonates in tap water. This ionic character of tap water affects the double-layer at the electrode/electrolyte interface, making it
possible to distinguish the different amounts of tap water as the ionic concentration varies.

Fig. 7 shows the PCA plot for AEF, HEF, mixtures of AEF/tap water (contents of 3, 5, 10 and 20% vol.) and measurements for two blind samples of ethanol HEF purchased from gas stations. All samples are easily distinguished with a right hand trend as the added water content increased in the mixed samples. Also important is the position of the sample HEF (UNIVALEM fuel, with 4.9% vol. of water), which almost coincides with the blind sample S1. Furthermore, its PC1 value, which correlates well with the amount of water, is between 5 and 10% vol. of tap water. The blind sample S2 has PC1 coinciding with the 5% vol. of tap water. Therefore, the two blind samples appear to be within the specification.

3.4. E-tongue measurements—mixtures of water-ethanol and NaCl

The importance of ions to facilitate distinction of samples with added water was confirmed with experiments in which NaCl was added to ethanol/Milli-Q water mixtures. Fig. 8 shows the PCA plot for capacitance data obtained with these mixtures with saturated NaCl. Samples with distinct water contents are easily distinguished, with the water content being correlated with PC1. We also found in subsidiary measurements that good distinction could be obtained by adding very small amounts of NaCl at one millimolar (not shown here), rather than saturating with NaCl. Considering the results for tap water as well, we conclude that the contents of water in ethanol mixtures can be easily determined provided that some ions are present in the water, making it a straightforward method to detect adulteration by water in on-site measurements in gas stations.

3.5. Determination of water content in ethanol

The analysis of the data above indicated clearly that PC1 is correlated with the water content, and this has been used to determine quantitatively the water content in several samples to compare with a blind test. Fig. 9 shows that PC1, taken from an average of ten measurements for each sample, varies practically linearly with the amount of tap water added to ethanol. The sample with smallest water content was AEF (with no added tap water), which is known to already contain 0.4% vol. of water. Note that the water content for the two blind samples of ethanol biofuel purchased from a gas station is 5 and 7% for S1 and S2, respectively. Considering the accuracy of this prediction of water content, which should not be higher than ~1%, these samples seem to comply with the Brazilian legislation, according to which the maximum water content should be 6.5%. Our system is therefore capable of detecting adulteration in ethanol biofuel, as the most common type of adulteration is addition of tap water or other kind of non-pure liquid substances.

4. Conclusions

The e-tongue developed here is capable of discriminating ethanol and its mixtures with water employing the PCA analysis, particularly when the water contains ions, as is the case of tap water. This is attributed to the ionic character of impurities of tap water (fluorine, chlorine and carbonates) and NaCl solutions, affecting the double layer formed at the electrode/electrolyte interface. Concerning the e-tongue for practical use, we showed it to be possible to determine the water percentage in the range 0–20% vol. in ethanol biofuel using a “calibration curve” originating from the linear relationship between the PC1 component and the water content. To improve the accuracy in this determination, progress needs to be made in several areas. For example, one could optimize the use of salt in the mixtures, and use many ethanol and blind samples to establish a precise dependence of PC1 on % of water. Other parameters to consider are the effect of contamination of ethanol in gas station storage tanks; the importance of different kinds of impurities, and effect of addition of methanol which is prohibited in Brazil. For outdoor applications, one should take into account possible variation in the temperature which may affect the capacitance measurements, since temperature will not be controlled as in laboratory measurements. With regard to data

---

Fig. 7. PCA plot showing in detail differences detected in AEF, HEF, ethanol mixtures with tap water and two ethanol biofuel samples (S1,S2) collected from gas stations (blind samples).

Fig. 8. PCA plot showing in detail the differences detected in ethanol/water mixtures saturated with NaCl.

Fig. 9. PC1 component versus the tap water percentage (squares). The line is the fitting of points obtained from AEF and AEF-water mixtures. Horizontal lines represent the PC1 values for the blind samples S1 and S2 (ethanol biofuel from gas stations).
processing, different data analysis methods, such as artificial neural networks or data mining [33] could be employed.

The e-tongue developed here uses sensing units fabricated using interdigitated electrodes covered with inorganic thin films of TiO2 and GaN. Such thin films resist to the chemical ethanol attack and are very stable, thus being suitable for practical applications. Attempts to use polymeric films failed since thin organic films are dissolved after several hours or days of immersion in ethanol. The search for new inorganic or even organic materials to fabricate other kinds of sensing units is still a challenge to improve the e-tongue quality and the water percentage determination. Because ethanol biofuels have been used in many countries as alternative combustible source, classifying them becomes an important issue.
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