Crude glycerol by transesterification process from used cooking oils: Characterization and potentialities on hydrogen bioproduction
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A B S T R A C T

This study evaluated the potential bioconversion of crude glycerol from biodiesel production, applying used cooking oil for biohydrogen production by fermentative bacteria consortia. The pretreatment of crude glycerol was made by pH adjustment. Heat treatment of the inocula and initial pH 5.5 were applied to select hydrogen-producing bacteria and inactivate hydrogen-consumers microorganisms. The inocula tested were: (I) granular sludge from the thermophilic UASB reactor used on the treatment of vinasse and (II) granular sludge from the UASB reactor used on the treatment of sanitary sludge for the assays (1) and (2), respectively. The characterization of crude glycerol presented high levels of alkalinity, methanol and soap that may be inhibitory to biologic processes of H2 production. The assays were carried in anaerobic batch reactors in order to verify the efficiencies of crude glycerol to H2 generations by the microbial consortia (20%) at 37 °C, initial pH 5.5, with 20.0 g COD L−1 glycerol. The cumulative production of hydrogen for the assays (1) and (2) were, respectively, (mmol H2 L−1) 28.49 ± 1.55 and 19.14 ± 1.67. The subsequent yields were obtained as follows: 2.2 mol H2 mol−1 glycerol and 1.1 mol H2 mol−1 glycerol, respectively. The used cooking oil was an efficient waste for bioconversion of crude glycerol to H2 production.

© 2016 Hydrogen Energy Publications LLC. Published by Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

Introduction

Biodiesel is an alternative fuel and a renewable energy source, due to its availability of feedstock, for its requiring a very simple technology for its production and its role in greenhouse gases reduction [1,2]. The global biodiesel production has increased significantly with an average annual growth of 42% and is expected to reach 37 billion gallons by 2016 [3]. Conventionally, triglycerides are employed for biodiesel
production, such as virgin vegetable oils and animal fats, that are mixed with methanol and sodium hydroxide through catalyst processes [4]. The largest biodiesel marketing problem is the cost required for raw materials: about 70–95% of the total cost of production. The used cooking oil could be applied as a feedstock for biodiesel production with a reduction of costs of 60–70% [5], being two to three times cheaper than virgin vegetable oils [6]. The problems of the used cooking oil with its disposal via drainage or sanitary grounding, which may cause pollution of water and soil, can be reduced using this waste as raw material for biodiesel production, that it can be an effective and economical approach to manage this energy source, providing a double benefit of fuel generation and environmental protection [7,8].

Brazil is among the largest producers and consumers of biodiesel in the world, with a monthly production, in February 2016, of 271,388 m³ [9]. Since November 1st 2014, diesel marketed in Brazil contains 7% of biodiesel. This rule was established by the National Council of Energy Policy (CNPE) and increased from 5% to 7% the mandatory percentage of biodiesel mixture with diesel oil. The continuous increase in the percentage of biodiesel added to diesel has been demonstrating the success of the national program that tends to increase more and more the production of biodiesel.

A biodiesel production from a Brazilian pilot plant (University Center of Araraquara – Brazil) from used cooking oil by alkali-catalyzed transesterification processes has produced about 200 L of biodiesel through batch processes. The used cooking oils have been collected from houses, public schools and shops. The biodiesel produced supplies trucks that collect the used cooking oil, at a ratio of 50% diesel and 50% biodiesel, aiming at a cost reduction of 50%. For an input of 80 L of used cooking oil (feedstock) in the process, 20 L correspond to methanol (short chain alcohol) and 30% sodium hydroxide (catalyst) are pre-diluted in this alcohol, generating about 15 L of crude glycerol and 85 L of biodiesel.

Glycerol is the major byproduct of the biodiesel industry. In general, for every 100 kg of biodiesel that are produced, approximately 10 kg of crude glycerol (CG) are generated [10]. The glycerol is often called as crude glycerol due to its composition mainly from one biodiesel plant to another in relation to feedstock oil composition and quality, the oil and methanol molar ratio, catalyst and the production process [11]. The impurities present in this sample are soap, free fatty acids, methanol, unreacted triglycerides, diglycerides and mono-glycerides [4]. The purification on CG was the most applied method before the boom of biodiesel production and utilized primarily in the cosmetic industry [11]. However, this purification is costly and hence its applications in food, pharmaceutical and personal care industries are not economically significant due to a decrease of the price of purified glycerol (1.54 US $/Kg before 2000 and 0.66 US $/Kg after 2007) [4,11].

The increase in CG production and management of such a huge amount of waste will be a problem for biodiesel manufacturers [2]. According to Sarma et al. (2013) [2], the CG is an environmental hazard and its disposal in landfills must meet the universal treatment that further increase biodiesel waste disposal cost, thereby increasing the cost of biodiesel production [2]. So, using the CG as a substrate for bioconversion to value products, such as hydrogen generation through anaerobic digestion [2], the cost for biodiesel production could be cut down.

The impurities present in CG may lead to the inhibition of microorganism’s development during the biological processes. The pretreatment of the CG by pH adjustment to acidic conditions may convert the soluble soaps to insoluble free fatty acid, so they can be separated, removed from crude glycerol and recycled. The fraction containing free fatty acids is collected on the surface of the glycerol phase and can be removed and recycled for one more esterification process [4,12].

Dark Fermentation for the hydrogen production has advantages over photo-fermentation in terms of faster production, simple technique and no requirement of light energy [13]. The major advantage of dark fermentation consists of the wide range of organic substrates that fermenting bacteria can utilize for hydrogen production, such as wheat flour hydrolysate and food waste hydrolysate [14,15].

For biohydrogen production, a range of cheap and waste carbohydrate materials has been investigated as a substrate where good hydrogen yield has been achieved. In works involved pure glycerol as a feedstock for biohydrogen production, the high hydrogen yield has been reported. However, the cost to require pure glycerol is higher. So, the crude glycerol from biodiesel manufacturing process would be a preferred feedstock for hydrogen production. For large scale hydrogen production, CG seems to be the ideal substrate [4].

The amount of energy produced during the combustion of hydrogen per unit of weight is greater than the release for any other fuel, such as methane, gasoline, and others. Specifically, the amount of energy released during the hydrogen combustion reaction is about 2.5 times the power of combustion of a hydrocarbon. The main advantage of hydrogen as a fuel is the absence of CO₂ emissions and other pollutants [16,17].

Anaerobic processes of crude glycerol from biodiesel waste using either pure cell cultures (e.g. Clostridium butyricum, Escherichia coli) or mixed cultures (e.g. wheat soil, compost, and wastewater sludge) have been performed. Most of these studies were conducted in the anaerobic batch reactors, where the produced hydrogen is accumulated in the head-space of the bioreactors [2]. However, the majority of the researches are conducted with raw glycerol from virgin vegetable oils and animal fats [18]. In addition, the application of crude glycerol, from used cooking oils into biodiesel by transesterification processes, with fermentation by mixed cultures for H₂ generation has not been employed.

In these sense, the main goal of this study consisted in the use of crude glycerol as a carbon source, from the transesterification process of used cooking oils, to obtain biodiesel, in anaerobic batch reactors in order to generate H₂. The characterization of crude glycerol was performed and it was applied in assays of hydrogen bioproduction.

Materials and methods

Crude glycerol (CG)

CG was obtained from a Pilot Plant of Biodiesel Production from the Biotechnology Institute of Engineering Renewable Energy of
Characterization of CG

Glycerol
The glycerol content of the CG sample was determined through spectrophotometric method. It is based on periodate oxidation of glycerol, leading to the formation of formaldehyde, followed by an optical density measurement at 410 nm [19].

Soap
The soap presented in CG was determined by titration and expressed as sodium olate. A mixture of 60 mL of acetone and 0.15 mL of 0.5% (w/v) bromophenol blue (in 95% ethanol) was prepared and neutralized with 0.01 M NaOH. The solution was mixed with 10 g of CG sample and heated in a water bath (70 ± 1 °C) for 1 min. Later, the mixture was titrated using hydrochloric acid solution (0.1 M) [20].

pH
The determination of pH was made according to APHA (2005) [21].

Chemical oxygen demand (COD)
The COD was determined by APHA (2005) [21].

Moisture and volatile matter
The Moisture and Volatile Matter from the crude glycerol were determined by AOCs Ca 2c-25 [22].

Ash
The ash was analyzed by ABNT NBR 6294 [23].

Water
The water content was determined by volumetric Karl Fischer [24].

Methanol
The methanol concentrations were measured by gas chromatography, using a Shimadzu gas chromatography (GC model 2010), with Split/Splitless injector and a flame ionization detector (FID) of high frequency, a COMBI-PAL headspace auto-sampler system (AOC 5000), a programmable temperature vaporizing injector (PTV) and FID detector at the temperature of 300 °C. The oven temperature was programmed initially at 50 °C for 1 min, followed by a heating ramp of 60 °C min⁻¹ up to a final temperature of 250 °C, maintained for 2 min. The analytical column used was DB-1 MS (20 m × 0.10 mm × 0.4 µm). As for the carrier gas used, it was hydrogen at a constant linear velocity of 63 cm s⁻¹ (1 mL min⁻¹) and flow rate splitting (split) 1:100 [25].

Matter organic non glycerol (MONG)
MONG is based on the treatment of the crude glycerol in pH 2.0 for the separation of all matter organic non glycerol, in centrifuge at 9000 rpm for 10 min [25].

Appearance
The appearance was determined through visual method [25].

CG pretreatment
The pretreatment was as it follows: the CG had the pH adjusted to around 3.0 with hydrochloric acid (1 M) to convert the soluble soap into insoluble free fatty acids, which can be separated from the crude glycerol solution through centrifugation at 9000 rpm for 7 min, and the upper free fatty acid phase was removed from the crude glycerol phase through a separation funnel [1,26].

Obtained microbial consortia and growth conditions
Hydrogen-producing bacteria were obtained from the inocula: (I) granular sludge of the thermophilic Upflow Anaerobic Sludge Blanket (UASB) reactor used in the treatment of vinasse (São Martinho, Pradópolis - Brazil) and (II) granular sludge from the UASB reactor used in the treatment of Municipal Sanitary sewage (São José do Rio Preto – Brazil).

The anaerobic batch reactors (100 mL – total volume) were prepared with PYG media modified (50 mL) composed of glycerin (10.0 g L⁻¹), peptone (5.0 g L⁻¹), yeast extract (5.0 g L⁻¹) and meat extract (5.0 g L⁻¹), at a pH of 7.0, headspace filled with N₂ (100%). The reactors were sealed with aluminum crimp sealing containing rubber and sterilized (120 °C, 20 min). The cellular suspensions (20% v/v) from inocula (I) and (II) were transferred separately to anaerobic batch reactors using a sterile syringe. The reactors were maintained at 37 °C during 7 days without agitation.

After that, these samples of both inocula were submitted to heat treatment (100 °C, for 15 min) in order to inactivate the hydrogen consumers and harvest the spore-forming anaerobic bacteria, such as Clostridium sp. [27]. Serial dilutions on new sterile PYG media modified, pH 5.5 and headspace filled with N₂ (100%) were performed and the cultures were used for the inoculation of the anaerobic batch reactors.

Operation of the anaerobic batch reactors
The anaerobic batch reactors were assembled in duplicate. The concentration of the carbon source in each reactor was of 20 g COD L⁻¹ for all assays. In the assay (I) it was added as a carbon source, 50% of pretreated crude glycerol and 50% of glycerin, corresponding to 10 g COD L⁻¹ of pretreated crude glycerol and 10 g COD L⁻¹ of glycerin with the inoculum (I). Assay (2) was in the same condition, but with the inoculum (II). The inocula (I) and (2) were reactivated previously, separately, in a PYG medium, during 72 h, in a PYG medium described early and kept at 37 ± 1 °C, without agitation.

For each assay, 20% of the inocula (I) and (II) were reactivated and added separately to duplicates of anaerobic batch reactors (1 L) containing 650 mL of PYG media modified (5.0 g L⁻¹ of peptone, 5.0 g L⁻¹ of yeast extract and 5.0 g L⁻¹ of meat extract with different proportions of glycerin and pretreated crude glycerol, as described above), pH 5.5 and headspace filled with 350 mL of N₂ (100%), kept at 37 ± 1 °C, without agitation. They were then capped with butyl rubber stoppers.

During the operation of anaerobic reactors, determinations of glycerol, pH and COD were made, according to what was previously described.
Microscopic analyses

Morphological characteristics of the microorganisms were monitored through microscopy, using a Motic AE31 microscope. The images were captured using a Moticam 2000 camera and the MOTIC Images Plus 2.0 software with magnification at 1000X for both inocula.

Chemical and chromatography analysis

Hydrogen, methane and carbon dioxide determinations
The biogas was determined through chromatographic analysis in a TOGA (Transformer Oil Gas Analyzer) system, coupled with a Trace GC Ultra–Thermo Gas Chromatograph, equipped with split/splitless injectors and two detectors: thermal conductivity detector (TCD) and FID with methanizer. The fraction containing hydrogen, nitrogen and methane was analyzed by a Rt-MSieve 5 Å 30 m × 0.53 mm i.d. column. Hydrogen and nitrogen were detected by TCD and methane was detected by FID, after going through the methanizer. The CO₂ was eluted from the porous polymer Carboxen 1006 plot 30 m × 0.53 mm i.d. column and detected by the FID, after passing through the methanizer. Argon was used as a carrier gas (1.5 mL min⁻¹ in splitless mode). The TCD detector and injector were adjusted to 150 °C. The oven programing was at 50 °C (4.5 min), under a heating rate 40 °C min⁻¹ to 180 °C (1.5 min), and then, under a cooling rate 50 °C min⁻¹ to 50 °C (3.15 min). The production of H₂ was calculated considering the atmospheric pressure, expressed as mmol H₂ L⁻¹.

Organic compounds in liquid medium
The organic compounds concentrations were measured by gas chromatography (GC model 2010), configured for liquid and headspace sampling, a programmable temperature of PTV and FID detector at 250 °C. The entire workstation was controlled by GC Solution version 2.32 program. The oven temperature was programmed initially at 45 °C for 1 min, followed by a heating ramp of 50 °C min⁻¹ up to a final temperature of 250 °C, maintained for 3 min. The analytical column used was RTX-1 (30 m × 0.32 mm × 3.0 μm). Helium was used as the carrier gas 51.6 cm s⁻¹ (1 mL min⁻¹) [28].

Volatile suspended solids (VSS)
The VSS were determined according to APHA (2005), during the operation of anaerobic batch reactors [21].

Cellular growth
The cellular growth was monitored through optical density at 600 nm (OD600) [21]. The cellular mass was expressed in the form of volatile suspended solids (VSS g L⁻¹) and was calculated respectively by equation (1) for inoculum (I) and (2) for inoculum (II):

\[ VSS = 0.0046 \times \text{ABS}_{600} + 0.0111, \quad R^2 = 0.9995 \quad (1) \]

\[ VSS = 0.0016 \times \text{ABS}_{600} + 0.0121, \quad R^2 = 0.9785 \quad (2) \]

Analytical methods
The experimental data obtained during the assays were adjusted to average values of duplicates in batch reactors using the software Statistic⁺ (version 8.0). The maximum hydrogen production rate was obtained through sigmoidal nonlinear adjustment of the modified Gompertz equation [29] using equation (3).

\[ H = P \times \exp \left( - \exp \left( \frac{Rm \times e^{-(t-\lambda)}}{P} \right) \right) \quad (3) \]

Where H presents the cumulative hydrogen (mmol), P is the hydrogen production potential (mmol L⁻¹ h⁻¹), Rm is the maximum rate of hydrogen production (mmol L⁻¹ h⁻¹), λ is the lag phase time (h), e is 2.718 and t is the incubation time (h).

Results and discussion

Crude glycerol (CG) characterization
The main impurities in crude glycerol are soaps, methanol, methyl esters of fatty acids and glycerides. The concentrations of these components in CG depend on the oil feedstock and on the process used for biodiesel synthesis [30]. The high levels of alkalinity observed in crude glycerol could indicated that catalysts residues, such as NaOH, coming from the transesterification process of used cooking oil, stayed in crude glycerol (Table 1). Mangayil et al. (2012) [31] had already worked with crude glycerol that contained high basicity (pH 12.0) for the bioconversion of crude glycerol from biodiesel to H₂, as observed in the present study. Hu et al. (2012) [32] studied the characterization of crude glycerol from waste vegetable oils from different biodiesel plants and they revealed a high basicity, with pH around 9.4, close to the results found in this study.

The ash content provides information about the catalyst used in the transesterification process, in which the most part migrates to the glycerin phase and calcined remain in the form of sodium or potassium salts. So, the value 3.04% of ash content (Table 1) on the present work confirms the presence of catalyst waste in crude glycerol, such as described on the literature. Hu et al. (2012) [32] obtained 2.7% ash in the characterization of crude glycerol. Ayoub et al. (2012) [33] related on their paper that the commonly range of ash in crude glycerol could be around 1.5—2.5%. Rossi et al. (2011) [34] used crude glycerol through biological processes and they observed 6.4% ash in order to generate hydrogen.

The COD obtained during the characterization of crude glycerol in this study (Table 1) showed a high value (1961.33 g COD L⁻¹), what indicates a residue involving a mixed raw material, such as impurities from used cooking oils with possible high organic load. Selembi et al. (2009) [35] studied the generation of H₂ using mixed cultures of microorganisms with the addition of crude glycerol containing 1300 mg COD L⁻¹. This value of COD in the crude glycerol is probably due to the raw material used by the authors, that in this case, involved the transesterification process of virgin soybean oil.
in order to produce biodiesel with consequent generation of crude glycerol with a lower organic content than the one in the present study.

One of the most important parameters to establish the quality of glycerol from the biodiesel plants is their content on the total mass. The crude glycerol can contain any glycerol content, depending on the efficiency of the production process and quality of phase separation at the end of the transesterification reaction [30]. In the present study, 10.41% glycerol content were observed (Table 1) and these values were lower than the ones on the assays of Sarma et al. (2013) [36] with crude glycerol (23.63% glycerol content) from biodiesel production process involving waste meat processing factories and fats from restaurants, for generating H₂ with pure culture of Enterobacter aerogenes NRRL B 407. Valerio et al. (2015) [30] studied crude glycerol from farm biodiesel production and they observed a 15.4% glycerol content, higher values than the ones on the present study.

The water content observed was 5.84% (Table 1). The purification process of biodiesel can be made by washing with water or by dry wash. The dry wash was carried out in the pilot plant Ibiotec. This system consisted of two columns composed of mixed resins (cationic and anionic). The purification process was not held through washing with water, so the low content of water is justified and closer to the one related in literature. Hu et al. (2012) [32] determined the physical and chemical properties of different biodiesel derived from crude glycerol and they observed a 4.1% water content from waste vegetable oil. The typical composition of crude glycerol from biodiesel production in the study of Anger et al. (2011) [37] indicated a range of water content commonly found of 0–8%, depending on the manufacturing process.

Methanol is considered one of the main impurities of the crude glycerol composition that is added to the transesterification process. Its presence is considered an inhibitory effect to microbial growth, and may as well interfere in the metabolic pathway to H₂ generation. In this study, a content of 15.84% of methanol was observed. Higher values of methanol were found in the study of Ito et al. (2005) [38] with glycerol derived from biodiesel production. This value was of 25%.

Moisture content and volatile materials found on crude glycerol were 22.75%. As it had already been seen, it showed a water content of 5.84% and a methanol content of 15.84%, the sum of the latter results is 21.68%, approximate amount of what was found through the experimental methods, allowing us to infer that the crude glycerol had a composition essentially based on methanol and water.

An amount of 23.38% of soap was observed in the crude glycerol. The soap present in the crude glycerol is one of the largest impurities found and it is considered harmful to biomass and their metabolic activities, unfeasible [4]. Its formation is due to the raw material used, such as the used cooking oils, which may contain high concentrations of free fatty acids. These impurities, in the presence of base catalyst for the transesterification process, can generate saponified products in crude glycerol. In addition, the pretreatment process applied in this study had to be performed in order to reduce these interferences on H₂ generation.

MONG is composed of coproducts from the transesterification process (mono-, di- and triglycerides) as well as free fatty acids. The low solubility of glycerol in long carbon chain esters (which are the main components of MONG) proves that there is a natural tendency for phase separation between the glycerol and MONG. However, at a high pH, due to the excess of catalyst from the transesterification process, large amount of soaps is formed to make this natural separation of phase difficult. Therefore, during the determination of MONG, the pH of the crude glycerol was reduced to 2.0. Marques et al. (2009) [39] studied the generation of biohydrogen using crude glycerol containing 6.2% of MONG, a reduced value if compared to the ones in this study, which were 34.57%.

During the “Determination of Appearance by Visual Method”, there was no observation of precipitated material, consisting of just one liquid brown phase. The dark coloring is arisen from vegetable oil (used cooking oil) in association with MONG and other impurities that could be present in this residue.

The pretreatment applied to CG was efficient. The coloring after the acid treatment became clearer, showing that most of the MONG was eliminated and the COD of CG was reduced, with a value of 1071.79 g COD L⁻¹. Chi et al. (2007) [1] applied a pretreatment in crude glycerol in order to convert soluble soaps into insoluble fatty acids that can be separated. This purified residue had become more suitable to be consumed by microorganisms with the objective of hydrogen generation, as in the present study.

According to Sarma et al. (2013) [2], the initial pH of CG is around 11–12, similar value found in the present study (pH 10.0), and after dilution with distilled water, total volume of the CG solution will be around 5 L. So, at least 200 mL of HCl required for its pH adjustment the cost was approximately $2.38, indicating an estimated to be spent in the pretreatment of the CG.

Ethier et al. (2011) [26] performed the pretreatment process of the CG, from a biodiesel plant that it was supplied with a mixture of 50% soybean oil and 50% chicken fat, by reducing the pH to 3 to eliminated soap. After this adjustment of CG, this sample was kept static for 30 min to allow free fatty acid and glycerol to separate into two phases. These impurities accounted for an inhibitory effect on cell growth, where this treated substrate was used to investigate the kinetics of growth and physiological parameters of microalgae Schizochytrium limacinum for the production of docosahexaenoic acid.

### Table 1 – Characterization of the crude glycerol used in the generation of H₂ biological assays.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>pH</th>
<th>COD (g L⁻¹)</th>
<th>Glycerol (m/m) (%)</th>
<th>Moisture and volatile matter (%)</th>
<th>Water (%)</th>
<th>Soap (m/m) (%)</th>
<th>Methanol (m/m) (%)</th>
<th>Ash (m/m) (%)</th>
<th>MONG (w/v) (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10.00</td>
<td>1961.33</td>
<td>10.41</td>
<td>22.75</td>
<td>5.84</td>
<td>23.38</td>
<td>15.84</td>
<td>3.04</td>
<td>34.57</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

According to Sarma et al. (2013) [2], the initial pH of CG is around 11–12, similar value found in the present study (pH 10.0), and after dilution with distilled water, total volume of the CG solution will be around 5 L. So, at least 200 mL of HCl required for its pH adjustment the cost was approximately $2.38, indicating an estimated to be spent in the pretreatment of the CG.

Ethier et al. (2011) [26] performed the pretreatment process of the CG, from a biodiesel plant that it was supplied with a mixture of 50% soybean oil and 50% chicken fat, by reducing the pH to 3 to eliminated soap. After this adjustment of CG, this sample was kept static for 30 min to allow free fatty acid and glycerol to separate into two phases. These impurities accounted for an inhibitory effect on cell growth, where this treated substrate was used to investigate the kinetics of growth and physiological parameters of microalgae Schizochytrium limacinum for the production of docosahexaenoic acid.
In order to spend time for the natural separation of two phases formed after the acid pretreatment by kept the CG static, the centrifugation condition was used in the present study for accelerated this process. According to Chi et al. (2007) [1], the centrifugation condition at 5000 rpm can separated this two phases.

**Operation of anaerobic batch reactors**

**Pretreatment of the inocula**

The microscopic analyses could directly be used for assessment of the microbial morphology. The heat treatment of the inocula and a pH 5.5 favored the maintenance of rods endospore-forming bacteria in the microbial consortia [Fig. 1 (a) and (b)]. Maintinguer et al. (2011) [40] observed rod and endospore-forming bacteria, using an inoculum from the slaughterhouse wastewater treatment UASB reactor, installed in Brazil. It was preheated at 90 °C for 15 min, in order to inactivate the hydrogen consumers and harvest the spore-forming anaerobic bacteria, such as Clostridium sp. During the operation in anaerobic batch reactors, it was applied to hydrogen bioproduction (0.8 mol H₂ mol⁻¹ xylose).

The association of these two factors (heat treatment and initial pH 5.5) caused the inhibition of hydrogen-consuming microorganisms as methanogenic archaea, being that these microorganisms survive in pH varying from 6.3 to 7.8 [27,41]. The endospore-forming bacteria, such as Clostridium species, show a high pH tolerance. Facultative anaerobes such as Enterobacter and Klebsiella species have shown a very restricted optimal pH range between 5.0 and 6.0 for the production of hydrogen [34]. Maybe species of facultative and anaerobic bacteria were present during the assays of hydrogen bioproduction.

CG is mainly a carbonaceous material and, hence, the addition of a supplementary nutrient source could have improved its potential as a feedstock. In fact, an improvement in bioconversion efficiency has been observed through the addition of supplementary nutrient sources, such as peptone and yeast extracts [2,42]. Ito et al. (2005) [38] reported on their studies that the addition of both yeast extract and tryptone to the synthetic medium were effective in increasing the rates of H₂ and ethanol production (initial pH 6.8, 1.7 g L⁻¹ of crude glycerol, 5 g L⁻¹ of yeast extract and 5 g L⁻¹ tryptone with a yield of 1.12 mol H₂ mol⁻¹ glycerol). Therefore, the use of a complex medium in the anaerobic batch reactors leads to a success in obtaining H₂ in the presence of crude glycerol.

**Cell growth analysis**

The assays with anaerobic reactors (1 and 2), fed with CG, lead to different hydrogen generation. The initial concentrations of CG imposed were not inhibitory to the hydrogen-producing bacteria growth because there was an increase in the values of Volatile Suspended Solids (VSS) in all assays (1 and 2), which correspond basically to the microbial biomass present in the reactors. Cell growth was stabilized around 20 h of experiment for both inocula studied (Fig. 2). During this period it was seen exponential growth phase with subsequent nutrient consumption. A lag phase in the growth of biomass was not observed (Fig. 2). The maximum microbial growth was of 0.0161 g VSS L⁻¹ for inoculum (I), and 0.0140 g VSS L⁻¹ for inoculum (II), during 19.1 h and 19.9 h of operation, respectively.

**CG consumption in H₂ generation assays**

The consumptions of CG were not complete during the two assays (Table 2). It was noted 26.9% and 31.7% of the glycerol consumptions of initial concentrations during 69.1 h and 68.7 h of operation to the assays (1) and (2) respectively. The presence of impurities from this residue probably caused...
some inhibition of the microbial growth, interfering with the metabolic pathways of both inocula (I and II) and low consumption of CG.

Ito et al. (2005) [38] observed total consumption of crude glycerol (1.7 g L\(^{-1}\)), derived from biodiesel production plants, containing 25% of methanol, during 4 h of operation in anaerobic batch reactors, diluted in a complex medium (5 g L\(^{-1}\) yeast extract and 5 g L\(^{-1}\) tryptone) with a pure culture of E. aerogenes HU-101 at pH 6.8 and phosphate buffer. The total consumption of crude glycerol was expected because it was a pure culture and crude glycerol containing few impurities, once the crude glycerol was sterilized (121 °C, 18 min) before being inserted in the reactors. According to Sarma et al. (2012) [4], the simple fact of crude glycerol being sterilized helped eliminate a large quantity of methanol present, reducing greatly the inhibitory effect on microbial growth and promoting its high consumption.

Sarma et al. (2013) [36] operated anaerobic batch reactors filled with crude glycerol (10 g L\(^{-1}\)) from transesterification processes of meat processing and restaurant residues with 0.37% soap content, pH 6.0, with E. aerogenes NRRL B 407 and a supplementary nutrient source for improved H\(_2\) production by CG bioconversion. The CG consumptions were from 60% to 95%, high values if compared to those obtained in this study (Table 2). It is important to point out that the experiments involved pure cultures and sterile conditions that are inapplicable parameters for a large scale application. In addition, during the sterile conditions some impurities may be eliminated, mainly methanol, which is inhibitory for biological processes, as previously described.

Chemical oxygen demand (COD)
The COD values during the operation of the anaerobic batch reactors did not change significantly (Table 2). This fact can be explained by the glycerol metabolic pathway known for the fermentative H\(_2\)-producing bacteria. During this metabolic pathway, some intermediates such as ethanol, butanol, acetate, butyrate are produced as metabolites of oxidative metabolism of glycerol [38]. This suggests that a transformation of the initial substrate into other volatile organic compounds happened, causing the maintenance of COD throughout the assays. Moreover, no methane generation was observed. This evidence confirmed the absence of H\(_2\)-consuming microorganisms, such as archaea methanogenic, interfering directly in the maintenance of the COD in the liquid medium.

Kinetics of hydrogen production
The amounts of hydrogen production for the assays through the modified Gompertz equation fitted with correlation coefficients R\(^2\) over 0.9, which indicated the accuracy and precision of measurements [43], during the operation of the anaerobic reactors (Fig. 3).

Compounds of the organic liquid medium
High yields of H\(_2\) generation are obtained through the present study (Table 2). The main fermentative bacteria known to produce hydrogen include Enterobacter sp., Bacillus sp., Clostridium sp., Klebsiella sp. and Citrobacter sp. [18]. The glycerol metabolism and H\(_2\) production can be driven by two pathways: oxidative and reductive. During the oxidative metabolism of glycerol, pyruvate is formed as an intermediate and may be metabolized for different end products, such as ethanol, butanol, acetone, acetate, butyrate and lactate [4]. The generations of volatile organic compounds were monitored during the assays 1 and 2 (Fig. 4).

The methanol concentrations observed in the experiment (492.0 ± 0.0 mg L\(^{-1}\) for both assays) were not generated through biologic processes during the operation of the anaerobic batch reactors; it comes from the CG through a transesterification process of biodiesel. The main secondary metabolites produced in large quantity for both assays were ethanol (1845 mg L\(^{-1}\) for assay 1 and 903 mg L\(^{-1}\) for assay 2), acetic acid (583 mg L\(^{-1}\) for assay 1 and 807 mg L\(^{-1}\) for assay 2) and butyric acid (305 mg L\(^{-1}\) for assay 1 and 705 mg L\(^{-1}\) for assay 2) (Table 2).

The H\(_2\) production is directly proportional to the generation of volatile organic compounds [44] in liquid medium. In most of the bioconversion of glycerol pathways, along with the different metabolites, H\(_2\) is also produced during oxidative

---

**Table 2** – Results of the anaerobic batch reactors for the assays 1 and 2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Studied parameters</th>
<th>Assay (1)</th>
<th>Assay (2)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>VSS (g L(^{-1}))</td>
<td>0.0161</td>
<td>0.0140</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Period (h)</td>
<td>19.1</td>
<td>19.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glycerol consumption (%)</td>
<td>26.90</td>
<td>31.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COD initial (g L(^{-1}))</td>
<td>52.70</td>
<td>54.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COD final (g L(^{-1}))</td>
<td>49.52</td>
<td>54.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pH (experiment end)</td>
<td>5.68</td>
<td>5.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operation time (h)</td>
<td>69.10</td>
<td>68.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P (mmol H(_2) L(^{-1}))</td>
<td>28.43</td>
<td>17.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rm (mmol h(^{-1}))</td>
<td>1.70</td>
<td>1.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>λ (h)</td>
<td>7.63</td>
<td>9.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R(^2)</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>max.conc. (mg L(^{-1}))</td>
<td>Initial Final</td>
<td>Initial Final</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethanol</td>
<td>487.0 1845.0</td>
<td>183.0 903.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acetic acid</td>
<td>507.0 583.0</td>
<td>272.0 807.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Butyric acid</td>
<td>143.0 305.0</td>
<td>59.0 705.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hydrogen yield (mol H(_2) mol(^{-1}) glycerol)</td>
<td>2.2 1.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**Fig. 3** – Generation of H\(_2\) using the modified Gompertz function in assays 1 and 2.
the heat treatment in the inoculum was enough to prevent methanogenic archaea, it was not possible to prevent losses of H₂ due to the presence of homoacetogens spore-forming bacteria [45] that can survive the extreme conditions of the pretreatment, such as Clostridium species, producing acetic acid from H₂ and CO₂ (Eq. (8)) [38].

\[
2\text{HCO}_3^- + 4\text{H}_2 + \text{H}^+ \rightarrow \text{CH}_3\text{COO}^- + \text{H}_2\text{O} \quad \Delta G^\circ = -105 \text{kJ} \quad (8)
\]

Same way, yields from assay 1 were higher than the ones from assay 2 (Table 2). H₂ production may also have been reduced due to the fact that the generation of ethanol from acetic acid and H₂ was a thermodynamically favorable reaction (Eq. (9)) [46].

\[
\text{CH}_3\text{COOH} \text{ (acetic acid)} + 2\text{H}_2 \rightarrow \text{C}_2\text{H}_5\text{O} \text{ (ethanol)} + \text{H}_2\text{O} \quad \Delta G^\circ = -49.51 \text{kJ} \quad (9)
\]

**Hydrogen generation yields**

Mangayil et al. (2015) [47] performed statistical studies to obtain better yields on the generation of H₂ from the optimization of the culture medium with 1 g L⁻¹ of crude glycerol (45% glycerol and 30% methanol, at pH 12.0), through microbial consortium mainly composed of Clostridium species. The authors obtained yields of 1.44 moL H₂ mol⁻¹ glycerol. The yield of H₂ generated on the study of these researchers was lower than that the one obtained in assay 1 (2.2 moL H₂ mol⁻¹ glycerol, Table 3). However, the crude glycerol obtained by the authors contained 45% glycerol content, higher than the one obtained on the present study (10.41%). It can be concluded that even a crude glycerol containing lower glycerol amounts, high yields of generated hydrogen can be obtained. So, a CG from biodiesel process using used cooking oils has presented superior ability to generate hydrogen.

The study conducted by Varrone et al. (2012) [48] consisted of assays with mixed cultures and crude glycerol optimized nutritional conditions, 15 g L⁻¹ (composition of 90% glycerol, 7% salts, 2% ashes, 1% methanol and less than 0.4% moisture), derived from biodiesel production simultaneously for maximum production of hydrogen and ethanol, using statistical tools. The authors obtained, without the presence of trace elements, a 97.7% conversion of the CG with yields of 0.96 moL H₂ mol⁻¹ glycerol, generating as a major bioproduct 8 g L⁻¹ of ethanol. On the present study, better results of hydrogen production were obtained without the addition of trace elements in the cultivation medium than on the study of Varrone et al. (2012) [48]. Even with a working up, CG starting concentration of 3.0 g L⁻¹ with consumption of 56.2%; values lower than those of these authors were obtained (15 g L⁻¹ initial CG and consumption of 97.7%). The yields achieved in the present study were higher than those showed by the author (2.2 moL H₂ mol⁻¹ glycerol from assay 1 and 1.1 moL H₂ mol⁻¹ glycerol from assay 2, Table 2). It indicated the bioconversion ability of CG, from the transesterification process of used cooking oils, where initial concentrations of this substrate in this study were lower and, at the same time, it was able to generate high hydrogen yields.

Seifert et al. (2009) [49] worked with glycerol and anaerobic digested sludge, obtained from municipal wastes, in metabolism (Equations (4)–(7) below) [18]. It can be concluded that the generation of highly reduced final products is accompanied by high yields of H₂ [4].

\[
\text{C}_2\text{H}_6\text{O}_3 + \text{H}_2\text{O} \rightarrow \text{CH}_3\text{COOH} \text{ (acetic acid)} + \text{CO}_2 + 3\text{H}_2 \quad (4)
\]

\[
2 \text{C}_2\text{H}_6\text{O}_3 \rightarrow \text{C}_4\text{H}_8\text{O}_2 \text{ (butyric acid)} + 2\text{CO}_2 + 4\text{H}_2 \quad (5)
\]

\[
2 \text{C}_2\text{H}_6\text{O}_3 \rightarrow \text{C}_4\text{H}_{10}\text{O} \text{ (butanol)} + 2\text{CO}_2 + \text{H}_2\text{O} + 2\text{H}_2 \quad (6)
\]

\[
\text{C}_2\text{H}_6\text{O}_3 \rightarrow \text{C}_2\text{H}_5\text{O} \text{ (ethanol)} + \text{CO}_2 + \text{H}_2 \quad (7)
\]

It is observed that higher yields of H₂ are possible when acetic acid is generated as an end product of the fermentation of glycerol, followed by butyric acid, butanol and ethanol [44].

The H₂ productions were different in the conducted assays (Table 2). The anaerobic batch reactors were tested with two different inocula, previously described. An increase of acetic acid concentration is observed in the assay 2 (Table 2). According to the stoichiometry of glycerol fermentation involving the formation of acetic acid and butyric acid (equations (4) and (5), respectively), would be expected that the increase of these two acids in the assay 2 would led to increased production of H₂. However, even for this assay, a lower generation of H₂ was obtained [17.680 mmol H₂ L⁻¹ (assay 2) and 28.434 mmol H₂ L⁻¹ (assay 1)]. This can be explained by the fact that the H₂ may have been used for more homoacetogens bacteria in order to form acetic acid. While
anaerobic batch reactor, for hydrogen production, with 10 g L\(^{-1}\) glycerol obtained as maximal substrate yield for hydrogen of 0.41 mol H\(_2\) mol\(^{-1}\) glycerol. It may lead to the conclusion that it is possible to produce hydrogen from CG in batch fermentation processes with activated municipal sludge as inoculum. The result showed by Seifert et al. is lower than the one shown by the present study (2.2 mol H\(_2\) mol\(^{-1}\) glycerol, Table 3), considering that the crude glycerol on the present study comes from a transesterification process using used cooking oils, had a lot of contaminants and that low concentrations of CG generated higher yields compared with Seifert et al. (2009), who used a greater concentration of glycerol, resulting in a lower yield. Both works used mixed cultures as inoculum.

Ito et al. (2005)\[38\] obtained a yield of 1.1 mol H\(_2\) mol\(^{-1}\) glycerol, in which the CG concentration was of 1.7 g L\(^{-1}\), working with a pure culture of \(E.\) aerogenes. Compared to the assay 1 (2.2 mol H\(_2\) mol\(^{-1}\) glycerol, Table 3) it was tested with a bacterial consortium and glycerol (41% glycerol, 8% ash, 25% methanol, 0.04% diacylglycerol and 0.01% monoacylglycerol) from transesterification of used cooking oils. Even this raw material carried impurities and contained low glycerol amount, compared to what was used on the experiments of Ito et al. (2005). The obtained yield proved that it is applicable to use this crude glycerol with mixed cultures to generate hydrogen.

According to the economic feasibility, Sarma et al. (2013)\[2\] studied the bioconversion of crude glycerol, from biodiesel manufacturing using vegetable oils, through two-stage fermentation, dark and photo-fermentation for bio-hydrogen production. According to them, 1 kg of hydrogen can replace 3.55 L of conventional diesel. For the bioconversion of 1 kg of CG for their studies, 45.6 g hydrogen and 4010.9 L biogas can be obtained. The energy content of the two fuels, hydrogen and biogas, biofuels produced from 1 Kg of CG are capable of replacing 2.56 L of fossil diesel. Hence, 0.2 million metric tonne CG annually produced in North America can replace 512 million liters of fossil diesel worth 697.34 million dollar. Certain achievable alternative options for reduction of process cost could been applied, such as reduced the media constituents, that represent about 82% of total process cost, increase initial CG concentration, use cheap nitrogen sources such as, slaughter house wastewater, brewery wastewater as well as active sludge from wastewater treatment plant as a supplementary nutrient for CG bioconversion process.

### Conclusions

The impurities resulting from the used cooking oils and the transesterification process were identified and quantified in order to establish suitable pretreatments for the use in the assays of hydrogen generation. The pretreatment by pH adjustment applied in this study was efficient to obtain considerable amounts of H\(_2\) production, since most of the impurities that caused the inhibitory effect in the growth of the bacteria were removed.

During the assays, the methane generation was not observed in the two operational conditions. This corroborated the heat treatment efficiency and the pH control in order to inhibit the methanogenic archaea in both inocula. So, the
bacterial consortia obtained from granular sludge of the inocula were capable to synthesize crude glycerol from used cooking oils with concomitant generation of H₂.

The low H₂ yields observed in the assay 2 associated with high acetic acid generations may be related to the presence of homoacetogens bacteria that consumed H₂ to generate more acetic acid. The high ethanol generations from the conducted assays could prove that the H₂ may have been required by the acetic acid to form ethanol molecules in a thermodynamically favorable reaction.

Hydrogen bioproduction from crude glycerol of biodiesel production processes through used cooking oils and granular sludges of biologic treatment from tropical climate are sustainable environmental applications of these wastes. Thus, this study showed that it is possible to obtain a sustainable biodiesel production, considering that the crude glycerol, coproduct generated, can be extensively used for bioconversion of hydrogen with efficiency.
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