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Treatment with galectin-1 eye drops regulates mast cell degranulation and attenuates the severity of conjunctivitis
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A B S T R A C T

Galectin-1 (Gal-1) is a β-galactoside-binding protein with diverse biological activities in the pathogenesis of inflammation, however the mechanisms by which Gal-1 modulates cellular responses in allergic inflammatory processes have not been fully determined. In this study, we evaluated the therapeutic potential of Gal-1 eye drops in an experimental model of conjunctivitis. Wistar rats received a topical application of compound (C)48/80 (100 mg/ml) into right eyes and a drop of vehicle into the contralateral eye. Another group of rats received Gal-1 (0.3 or 3 μg/eye) or sodium cromoglycate (SCG; 40 mg/ml) in both eyes and, after 15 min, right eye was challenged with C48/80. Conjunctivitis-induced by C48/80 was characterized by severe eyelid oedema and tearing, but clinical signs were ameliorated by eye drop doses of both Gal-1 (0.3/3 μg) and SCG. As expected, an increased proportion of degranulated mast cells (62%, P < 0.01) and lower histamine levels were observed after 6 h of C48/80 challenge, compared to control (32%). This effect was abrogated by Gal-1 and SCG, which reduced mast cell degranulation (31–36%), eosinophil migration and eosinophil peroxidase levels in the eyes. Gal-1 (3 μg) and SCG treatments also decreased IL-4 levels, as well as activation of mitogen activated protein kinases compared to untreated C48/80 eyes. Our findings suggest that Gal-1 eye drops represent a new therapeutic strategy for ocular allergic inflammation.

1. Introduction

Pharmacological treatment of ocular inflammatory processes, especially allergic conjunctivitis (AC), includes antihistamines, mast cell membrane stabilizers, non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs and corticosteroids. The latter is used in the more severe AC and has higher risk of adverse effects, such as increased intraocular pressure and cataracts (Leonardi et al., 2006). Therefore, the investigation of new pharmacological agents that are more effective in controlling the inflammatory response with fewer adverse effects, is fundamental. We highlight galectin-1 (Gal-1), a 14.5 kDa β-galactoside-binding protein that regulates the inflammatory process, as a potential anti-inflammatory agent (Norling et al., 2009).

Gal-1 belongs to a family of proteins, initially referred to as S-type lectins, that share a highly-conserved carbohydrate-recognition domain (CRD) that is responsible for their high affinity for β-galactosides in glycoconjugates (Leffler et al., 2002). In this regard, Gal-1 recognizes multiple galactose-β1–4-N-acetyl-glucosamine (N-acetyl-lactosamine (LacNAc)) units present on the branches of N- or O-linked glycans on diverse cell surface receptors, such as CD45, CD43, CD69, and vascular endothelial growth factor receptor 2 (VEGFR2) (Kanda et al., 2015; Sundblad et al., 2017). By interacting with a variety of glycosylated receptors, this lectin translates glycan-containing information into regulatory programs that control immune cell homeostasis (Sundblad et al., 2017). Additionally, Gal-1 expression has been detected in several cells related to the inflammatory response, especially neutrophils, mast cells, macrophages, lymphocytes and endothelial cells, suggesting an important role in the generation and maintenance of immunological tolerance (Blaser et al., 1998; Gil et al., 2006a, 2006b; La et al., 2003; Rabinovich et al., 1998; Zúñiga et al., 2001).

The anti-inflammatory role of Gal-1 has been demonstrated in in vitro and in vivo experimental models after exogenous administration of recombinant Gal-1. In vitro, incubation with Gal-1 inhibited the migration of human neutrophils and lymphocytes through endothelial cells after inflammatory stimulation with interleukin (IL)-8 or tumour necrosis factor-α (TNF-α), respectively (La et al., 2003; Norling et al., 2008). In vivo, pharmacological treatment with Ga-1 regulates the inflammatory response by inhibiting leukocyte transmigration and release of proinflammatory cytokines such as TNF-α, interferon-γ (IFN-γ), IL-1β, IL-2, IL-6 and IL-12 (Gil et al., 2006a, 2011; La et al., 2003;
Rabinovich et al., 1999; Santucci et al., 2003; Toscano et al., 2006; Zanon et al., 2015).

Previously, we demonstrated the inhibitory effect of Gal-1 in an experimental model of ovalbumin (OVA)-induced AC in mice, on the production of Th2 cytokines (IL-4, IL-13) and eosinophils by lymph nodes. This was associated with decreased clinical disease signs and production of anti-OVA IgE (Mello et al., 2015). However, the mechanisms by which Gal-1 modulates cellular responses in allergic inflammatory processes have not been fully determined, particularly in ocular models.

Compound 48/80 (C48/80) is a polymer produced by the condensation of N-methyl-p-methoxyphenethylamine with formaldehyde and acts directly on G-proteins to produce mast cell degranulation, one of the major effector cells that contributes for the development of the acute manifestations of allergy (Elieh Ali Komi et al., 2018; Papathanassiou et al., 2011; Tiligada et al., 2000; Udell and Abelson, 1981). Topical application of C48/80 is able to produce the signs and symptoms of ocular allergy (itching, injection, chemosis, and mucus discharge) and represents a useful tool to evaluate the effects of therapeutic agents in the ocular allergy (Udell and Abelson, 1981).

In view of these considerations and the need for safer therapies for the treatment of conjunctivitis and other allergic inflammatory processes (Ackerman et al., 2016; Leonardi et al., 2006), we evaluate the effect of treatment with Gal-1 eye drops in an experimental model of C48/80-induced conjunctivitis in rats.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Animals

Wistar rats, weighing 200–250 g, were housed in a 12 h light-dark cycle and were allowed food and water ad libitum. All experimental procedures were submitted to and approved by the Ethics Committee in Animal Experimentation of the São Paulo State University (CEUA 092/2014).

2.2. Compound 48/80-induced conjunctivitis and treatment protocols

The application of the mast cell secretagogue compound 48/80 (C48/80) to rat, mouse and rabbit eyes has been reported to induce histologic and clinical changes resembling those seen in patients with AC, suggesting that a model of ocular anaphylaxis could be clinically relevant (Bucolo et al., 1993; Bundoc and Keane-Myers, 2007; Ko et al., 2000; Papathanassiou et al., 2011; Tiligada et al., 2000). For our study, Wistar rats were distributed into groups of 7 animals per treatment, as indicated in Table 1. Experimental conjunctivitis was induced in group I by a topical application of a single 20 μl drop of C48/80 (Sigma Chemical Company, St Louis, MO, USA) at 100 mg/ml (Papathanassiou et al., 2011; Tiligada et al., 2000), diluted in PBS, onto the conjunctival sac. As a control, the contralateral eye (left) received only PBS. Groups II, III and IV were administered with a 20 μl topical drop of 0.3, 3 μg/eye of Gal-1 or 40 mg/ml of mast cell stabilizer sodium cromoglycate (SCG), respectively, and after 10 min, C48/80 (experimental groups) or PBS (control groups). Doses of C48/80, Gal-1 and SCG were scaled up from previous studies (Gil et al., 2006a; Mello et al., 2015; Papathanassiou et al., 2011). All drug instillations on rat conjunctival sacs were performed in animals anesthetised with ketamine and xylazine.

After 20 min of C48/80 challenge, rats were clinically examined to verify the occurrence and severity of conjunctivitis. Four clinical signs were observed: chemosis, conjunctival hyperaemia, lid oedema and tearing. Scoring similar to that described by Magone et al. (1998) was performed and each parameter was graded on a scale ranging from 0 to 3 + (0 = absence; 1 = mild; 2 = moderate and 3 = severe symptoms). Thus, each animal received a total clinical score ranging from 0 to 12 +, and the data were expressed as the mean ± standard error of the mean (S.E.M.) for each group. Six h after the last C48/80 challenge, animals were euthanized, and the eyes and eyelids were collected.

2.3. Histamine and Th1/Th2 cytokine levels

Histamine and cytokine levels were determined in eyes from rats subjected to different experimental conditions. Eye samples were sonicated in a 50 mM Tris-HCl, 150 mM NaCl, and 1% Triton-X, pH 7.4 buffer containing complete protease inhibitor cocktail and PhosSTOP tablets (Roche Applied Science, Mannheim, Germany). Subsequently, samples were centrifuged at 10,000 × g for 20 min at 4°C to obtain organ supernatants.

Histamine concentrations (nM) were measured using a commercially available immunoassay kit (MyBioSource, San Diego, CA) and the levels were estimated according to the manufacturer’s instructions. All estimations were performed in duplicate and the data expressed as the mean ± S.E.M.

IL-4, IL-6, IL-10 and IFN-γ levels were tested using the MILLIPLEX MAP rat cytokine panel (RECYTMAG-65K; Millipore Corporation, USA) and MAGPIX® Multiplexing Instrument (Millipore) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. The concentration of analytes was determined by MAGPIX Xponent software (Millipore Corporation, Billerica, MA, USA). All estimates were made in duplicate and the results shown as mean ± S.E.M. of protein (pg/ml).

2.4. Western blot analysis

Protein levels of rat eye homogenates were determined by Bradford assay and normalized prior to boiling in Laemmli buffer (Bio-Rad Laboratories, USA). Pooled protein extracts (30 μg per lane) of eyes (n = 3 animals per group) from indicated experimental conditions were loaded onto a 12% sodium dodecyl sulphate-polyacrylamide gel for electrophoresis together with appropriate molecular weight markers (Bio-Rad Life Science, USA) and transferred to ECL Hybond nitrocellulose membranes. Reversible protein staining of the membranes with 0.1% Ponceau-S in 5% acetic acid (Santa Cruz Biotechnology) was used to verify protein transfer. Membranes were incubated for 15 min in 5% BSA in Tris-buffered saline (TBS) prior to incubation with antibodies. Primary antibodies used here: mouse monoclonal anti-phosphorylated (p)-ERK 1/2 (sc-16981), anti-p-JNK (sc-6254), anti-p-p38 (sc-17852), goat polyclonal anti-eosinophil peroxidase (EPX; sc-19148) (1:200) (Santa Cruz Biotechnology) and rabbit anti-GAPDH (G9545) (1:5000) (Sigma-Aldrich), all diluted in TBS with 0.1% Tween 20. Post-incubation with primary antibodies, membranes were washed for 15 min with TBS and subsequently incubated for 60 min at room temperature with the appropriate secondary antibodies. Secondary antibodies were peroxidase-conjugated rabbit anti-goat IgG, goat anti-rabbit (1:2000) (Thermo Fisher Scientific Inc., MI, USA) or goat anti-mouse (1:2000) (Millipore Corporation, CA USA). Finally, membranes were washed for 15 min with TBS, and immunoreactive proteins were detected (Westar Nova 2.0 chemiluminescent substrate kit; Cyanagen, Bologna, Italy) using a GeneGnome5 chemiluminescence detection system (SynGene, Cambridge, UK). Proteins were imaged and quantified using GeneTools software (SynGene) to determine the relative

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Groups</th>
<th>Right eye (Experimental)</th>
<th>Left eye (Control)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>C48/80 (100 mg/ml)</td>
<td>PBS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II</td>
<td>Gal-1 (0.3 μg/eye) + C48/80 (100 mg/ml)</td>
<td>Gal-1 (0.3 μg/eye) + PBS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III</td>
<td>Gal-1 (3 μg/eye) + C48/80 (100 mg/ml)</td>
<td>Gal-1 (3 μg/eye) + PBS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV</td>
<td>SCG (40 mg/ml) + C48/80 (100 mg/ml)</td>
<td>SCG (40 mg/ml) + PBS</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A single 20 μl drop of each agent was instilled into the lower conjunctival fornix of one eye, at 10 min intervals. The contralateral eye was used as the respective control (n = 4–7). C48/80, compound 48/80; Gal-1, galecin-1; SCG, sodium cromoglycate; PBS, phosphate-buffered saline.
expression of indicated proteins (arbitrary units, a.u.).

2.5. Histopathology and quantification of inflammatory cells

Palpebral conjunctiva from rats were fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde for 24 h, washed in tap water, dehydrated in an increasing ethanol series, and embedded in paraffin. Sections of 4 μm were obtained in a Leica RM2155 microtome (Leica Microsystems, Nussloch, Germany) and subsequently stained with haematoxylin-eosin or toluidine blue 0.5% for histopathology and inflammatory cell quantification. Leukocytes and mast cells were quantified using a 40× objective on an Axio Scope A1 Zeiss microscope (Carl Zeiss, Jena, Germany). Three semi-serial sections of conjunctivas were analysed per animal and the area was determined using AxioVision software (Carl Zeiss). Values are expressed as the mean ± S.E.M. cells per mm².

2.6. Statistical analysis

The data were analysed using one-way ANOVA followed by Bonferroni t-tests between corresponding time points using GraphPad Prism 4.0 software. Non-parametric analysis of the scores assigned to inflammatory response characterized by conjunctival hyperaemia and intense eyelid and conjunctiva oedema, which was abrogated by Gal-1 and SCG eye drops (Exp. II and III). Furthermore, increased levels of eosinophil peroxidase (EPX) were detected in the eye homogenates from C48/80-challenged animals (Exp. I) compared to the others experimental groups (Fig. 3B). Quantitative analysis of eosinophils in the palpebral conjunctiva confirmed the histological and western blot findings (Fig. 3C). The C48/80-challenged group (Exp. I) produced a marked increase of cells compared to the control (group I), while the Gal-1 and SCG pharmacological treatments were effective in decreasing the influx of eosinophils.

3.2. Topical administration of Gal-1 reduces eosinophil influx

The histological analysis of the eyelids of the C48/80-challenged eyes (Exp I) demonstrated an inflammatory response characterized by intense influx of eosinophils (Fig. 3A), which was abrogated by Gal-1 and SCG eye drops (Exp. II-IV). Furthermore, increased levels of eosinophil peroxidase (EPX) were detected in the eye homogenates from C48/80-challenged animals (Exp. I) compared to the others experimental groups (Fig. 3B). Quantitative analysis of eosinophils in the palpebral conjunctiva confirmed the histological and western blot findings (Fig. 3C). The C48/80-challenged group (Exp. I) produced a marked increase of cells compared to the control (group I), while the Gal-1 and SCG pharmacological treatments were effective in decreasing the influx of eosinophils.

3.3. Effect of Gal-1 on cytokine production and Mitogen-Activated Protein kinase (MAPK) activation

In order to verify the effect of the pharmacological treatments in the production of local cytokines we analysed eye homogenates from different experimental conditions. At the low concentration (0.3 μg – Exp. I) eye drops. Data represent mean ± S.E.M. of clinical scores (n = 7–10 animals/group). ***P < 0.001 vs. C48/80 group (Experimental I).
II), Gal-1 plus C48/80 increased ocular levels of IFN-γ, IL-6 and IL-10 in relation to the respective control (II) and untreated C48/80 groups (Exp. I) (Fig. 4). Interestingly, high concentration Gal-1 (3 µg) and SCG (Exp. III and IV, respectively) decreased IFN-γ and IL-4 levels (Fig. 4), confirming their local anti-inflammatory effects. All experimental groups (I-IV) showed a significant increase in IL-6 levels relative to the controls (Fig. 4).

Considering that MAPKs (a well-conserved signalling pathway) have been implicated in inflammatory responses contributing to leukocyte recruitment and cytokine production (Arthur and Ley, 2013), we performed Western blot analysis to understand the downstream molecular signalling pathways involved in the effect of treatment with Gal-1 eye drops in conjunctivitis. The phosphorylation of ERK, JNK and p38 MAPKs was assessed in the pooled eye extracts (n = 3 animals per group) from different experimental conditions. C48/80-challenged eyes (Exp. I) exhibited increased levels of p-p38, p-JNK e p-ERK (Fig. 5) in relation to their respective control group. Pharmacological treatments attenuated the C48/80 effect as evidenced by decreased expression of phosphorylated protein kinases, especially for Gal-1 at 3 µg and SCG. As expected, control groups I to IV demonstrated weak immunoreactivity for MAPKs.

4. Discussion

In the eye, the anti-inflammatory role of Gal-1 has been evidenced in experimental models of corneal infections induced by *Herpes simplex* and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* and uveitis (Rajasagi et al., 2012; Romero et al., 2006; Suryawanshi et al., 2013; Toscano et al., 2006; Zanon et al., 2015). Some of these studies showed that systemic or local administration of Gal-1 decreased influx of leukocyte and proinflammatory cytokine release, ameliorating ocular disease (Rajasagi et al., 2012; Suryawanshi et al., 2013; Toscano et al., 2006; Zanon et al., 2015). In addition, Gal-1 is a pro-angiogenic molecule able to bind to vascular endothelial growth factor receptor 2 (VEGFR2) in fibrovascular tissues in the eyes of diabetic retinopathy patients, leading to angiogenesis signalling pathway (Kanda et al., 2015). In this regard, silencing of Gal-1 with adenoviral-Gal-1-RNA interference represent a promising strategy for the treatment of retinal neovascularization diseases (Yang et al., 2017). Here, we demonstrate that Gal-1 regulates the activation of mast cells and subsequent ocular allergy through macroscopic, histological, biochemical and molecular analyses using a model of experimental conjunctivitis induced by C48/80.

The efficacy of our experimental model was confirmed by the clinical scores after 20 min of C48/80 ocular instillation. As expected, eyelid oedema, conjunctiva and tearing were associated with a significant increase in the proportion of degranulated mast cells in the palpebral conjunctiva treated with C48/80 compared to the control group. Furthermore, a marked decrease of histamine in eye homogenates after 6 h of C48/80 challenge, confirmed the rapid activation of mast cells and release of their pre-stocked mediators. Consistently, other studies using this C48/80-induced conjunctivitis model detected a decrease in histamine levels in conjunctiva homogenates after 45 min, 1, 12 and 24 h (Giannoulaki et al., 2003; Papanathanassiou et al., 2011; Tiligada et al., 2000).

In addition, we detected an intense influx of eosinophils in the rat palpebral conjunctiva after C48/80 challenge, which was corroborated by the intense immunoreactivity of EPX in the eye homogenates. The importance of mast cells in the induction of neutrophil and eosinophil transmigration has been demonstrated in models of acute (Ajuebor et al., 1999), systemic (Da Silva et al., 2011) and allergic inflammation.
Fig. 3. Gal-1 eye drops regulate eosinophil influx into the palpebral conjunctiva. (A) A marked influx of eosinophils (arrows) was detected in the palpebral conjunctiva 6 h after C48/80 ocular instillation (Exp. I) compared to control, Gal-1- and SCG-treated groups (Exp. II-IV). Eyelids of the control group I (PBS) exhibited conjunctiva with normal aspect. Stratified squamous epithelium (Ep). Loose connective tissue (Tc). Blood vessel (V). Tarsal gland (Gt). Insets: detail of the migrated eosinophils (Exp. I and II). Stain: haematoxylin-eosin. Bars: 20 µm and 10 µm (insets). (B) Expression of eosinophil peroxidase (EPX) in the eye homogenates. C48/80-challenged eyes (Exp. I) exhibited strong immunoreactivity for EXP (55 kDa) compared to the other groups. Immunoreactive bands were semiquantified by densitometry and expressed as arbitrary units relative to GAPDH (36 kDa; protein loading control). The data illustrate one representative example of two independent experiments. (C) Quantification of eosinophils. Data represent the mean ± S.E.M. of the number of cells per mm² (n = 5 animals/group). **P < 0.01 vs. Control - Group I; ***P < 0.05; ###P < 0.01 vs. Experimental - Group I.

(Fukuda et al., 2009; Miyazaki et al., 2008). Two of these studies, using selective depletion of mast cells induced by C48/80, showed a decreased influx of neutrophils into the peritoneal cavity after 4 h of zymosan-induced peritonitis (Ajuebor et al., 1999), and into the aqueous humour after 24 h of endotoxin-induced uveitis (Da Silva et al., 2011), compared to animals with non-depleted mast cells. Furthermore, in a model of pollen-induced AC, mast cell-deficient mice did not exhibit clinical signs and eosinophilia in the conjunctiva as severe as in the wild-type animals. This effect was reversed when deficient animals were repopulated with mast cells (Fukuda et al., 2009; Miyazaki et al., 2008). These results show that mast cells are essential in inducing the initial response to allergic conjunctivitis (clinical signs) and recruitment of eosinophils.

Pharmacological treatment with SCG and both Gal-1 concentrations (0.3 and 3 µg/eye) abrogated the effect of C48/80 challenge by reducing clinical signs of conjunctivitis, mast cell degranulation and eosinophilia, as well as the expression of EPX. Our findings reinforce the important regulatory role of Gal-1 in mast cell activation and consequent inhibition of ocular inflammation. In fact, SCG is a nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drug traditionally described as an inhibitor of mast cell degranulation, and consequent release of histamine and other inflammatory mediators (Owen et al., 2004). In relation to Gal-1, recent studies have demonstrated that lack of endogenous Gal-1 in mice causes a greater influx of eosinophils in bronchoalveolar fluid and lung after induction of asthma by OVA (Ge et al., 2016). In vitro, Gal-1 administration reduced eotaxin-induced migration of eosinophils, which is independent of increased adhesion of these cells to the VCAM-1 adhesion molecule (Ge et al., 2016). Additionally, studies using OVA-induced AC mouse model showed that systemic Gal-1 treatment reduced clinical signs, Th2 (IL-4 and IL-13), eotaxin and RANTES levels in the lymph nodes 24 h after the final OVA challenge and compared with the untreated group (Mello et al., 2015).

Another interesting aspect detected in our rat model was the marked increase of IFN-γ, IL-6 and IL-10 production in the eyes after treatment with Gal-1 (0.3 µg) compared to the untreated C48/80. The cytokines IFN-γ and IL-6 can stimulate mast cell activation (Desai et al., 2016; Yu et al., 2011), while IL-10 inhibits mast cell activation (Bundoc and Keane-Myers, 2007). In fact, IFN-γ-deficient mice show a significant reduction in the clinical signs of conjunctivitis, asthma and the influx of leukocytes to tissues compared to wild-type animals (Yu et al., 2011). In vitro assays have also shown that IFN-γ is able to activate mast cells, previously sensitized with IgE antibodies, inducing the release of histamine, IL-6 and IL-13 after 1 or 24 h of challenge with specific antigens (Yu et al., 2011). On the other hand, IL-10-deficient mice exhibit reduced numbers of intact mast cells after administration of C48/80, an effect reversed by the administration of recombinant IL-10 (Bundoc and Keane-Myers, 2007).

In contrast,ocular instillation of higher concentration Gal-1 (3 µg) and SCG in rat eyes decreased IL-4 levels in relation to the untreated C48/80 group. Similarly, in murine AC, systemic treatment with Gal-1 decreased IL-4 and IL-13 levels in lymph nodes 4 h after the final OVA
challenge (Mello et al., 2015). Thus, the reduction of IL-4 levels may be associated with the ability of Gal-1 to inhibit the degranulation of mast cells producing this cytokine (Komiyama et al., 2014), regulating the Th2 lymphocyte response and IgE production. Furthermore, our study also shows that Gal-1 at 3 μg, but not at 0.3 μg, and SCG eye drops produced a pronounced decrease in phosphorylated p38, JNK and ERK kinase levels in eye homogenates compared to the untreated C48/80 group. MAPKs are crucial to induce the expression of multiple genes that together regulate the immune response (Arthur and Ley, 2013), our data suggests that the higher concentration of Gal-1 eye drops is more effective in controlling the inflammatory response induced by C48/80. Overall, our findings show that pharmacological treatment by ocular instillation of Gal-1 has a potent immunomodulatory effect in C48/80-induced conjunctivitis, indicating that this lectin is an important therapeutic tool in ocular allergy.
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