Synergism between plant extract and antimicrobial drugs used on Staphylococcus aureus diseases
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Searches for substances with antimicrobial activity are frequent, and medicinal plants have been considered interesting by some researchers since they are frequently used in popular medicine as remedies for many infectious diseases. The aim of this study was to verify the synergism between 13 antimicrobial drugs and 8 plant extracts – “guaco” (Mikania glomerata), guava (Psidium guajava), clove (Syzygium aromaticum), garlic (Allium sativum), lemongrass (Cymbopogon citratus), ginger (Zingiber officinale), “carqueja” (Baccharis trimera), and mint (Mentha piperita) – against Staphylococcus aureus strains, and for this purpose, the disk method was the antimicrobial susceptibility test performed. Petri dishes were prepared with or without dilution of plant extracts at sub-inhibitory concentrations in Mueller-Hinton Agar (MHA), and the inhibitory zones were recorded in millimeters. In vitro anti-Staphylococcus aureus activities of the extracts were confirmed, and synergism was verified for all the extracts; clove, guava, and lemongrass presented the highest synergism rate with antimicrobial drugs, while ginger and garlic showed limited synergistic capacity.
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In a constant attempt to improve their quality of life, men have used plants as source of food, shelter, clothing, medicine, cosmetics, and for seeking relief from hardship of life. Some plants are known as medicinal because they contain active substances that cause certain reactions, from relenting to the cure of diseases, on the human organism (Silva Junior et al. 1994). Knowledge on medicinal plants sometimes means the only therapeutic resource of some communities and ethnic groups (Di Stasi 1996); and their use, especially in South America, contributes significantly to primary health care (Holetz et al. 2002). Infectious diseases still represent an important cause of morbidity and mortality among humans, especially in developing countries. Even though pharmaceutical industries have produced a number of new antimicrobial drugs in the last years, resistance to these drugs by microorganisms has increased. In general, bacteria have the genetic ability to transmit and acquire resistance to drugs used as therapeutic agents (Nascimento et al. 2000).


A recent paper on medicinal plants and antimicrobial activity whose objective was to analyze past, present, and future of medicinal plants to suggested as fundamental the research on plant extract mechanism of action, interactions with antibiotics or with other medicinal plants, and extracts pharmacokinetic profile (Rios & Recio 2005). Research on synergism is very limited and few studies have been reported (Nascimento et al. 2000, Aburjai et al. 2001, Aqil et al. 2005). Thus, in our research, we evaluated in vitro synergism between extracts of M. glomerata, P. guajava, S. aromaticum, A. sativum, C. citratus, Z. officinale, B. trimera, and M. piperita and antimicrobial drugs utilized against S. aureus strains by using the Kirby & Bauer method.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Plant samples - M. glomerata, P. guajava, B. trimera, M. piperita, and C. citratus samples were collected in 2004 from an experimental field of the School of Agronomical Sciences, Unesp, Botucatu, São Paulo, Brazil, and the voucher specimens were deposited at the Herbarium of the Department of Botany, Institute of Biosciences, Unesp. Their leaves were dried at 40°C and trituated in a mechanical mill. A. sativum, S. aromaticum, and Z. officinale samples were obtained from the local commerce in the same year and were used in natura for the extracts preparation.
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Preparation of plant extracts - Plant material, dried (M. glomerata, P. guajava, B. trimera, M. piperita, C. citratus) or not (A. sativum, S. aromaticum, Z. officinale) was ground, extracted with 70% methanol and filtered after 48 h. The plant residue was re-extracted with addition of 70% methanol, and after 24 h it was filtered again. Combined filtrates were concentrated on a rotary evaporator at 45°C for methanol elimination, and the extracts were kept in sterile bottles under refrigerated conditions until use. The extracts’ dry weight was obtained by the solvent evaporation and used to determine concentration in mg/ml.

Bacterial strains - Thirty-two S. aureus strains were isolated from clinical specimens of newborns admitted to the Neonatal Unit of the Hospital of the School of Medicine, Botucatu, SP, Brazil. Strains were isolated in sheep blood agar and, after identification (Koneman et al. 2005), they were stored in brain heart infusion (BHI) plus agar.

Antimicrobial tests - Before the synergism assays between the plant extracts and the antimicrobial drugs were evaluated, the minimal inhibitory concentration (MIC) of the extracts was determined for 32 S. aureus strains by diluting the extracts in Mueller Hinton agar (MHA) media (NCCLS 2004a,b).Petri dishes, controls and with different concentrations of plant extracts (mg/ml), were inoculated with S. aureus strains (10^4 CFU) using a Steer’s replicator and were incubated at 37°C/24 h. The concentration that inhibited visible growth of each strain (MIC) was recorded, and the MIC 90% was calculated. One-fourth the MIC 90% was considered as the sub-inhibitory concentration of the plant extracts in the synergism assays (Mahon & Manuselis 1995), which were carried out on 15 S. aureus strains, including the ATCC 13565 strain by the disk diffusion method (Kirby & Bauer method) (NCCLS 2004) on MHA media. Thirteen drugs were evaluated: penicillin (PEN; 10 IU), oxacillin (OXA; 1 µg), vancomycin (VAN; 30 µg), ampicillin (AMP; 10 µg), cephalothin (CFL; 30 µg), cefoxitin (CFO; 30 µg), chloramphenicol (CLO; 30 µg), gentamicin (GEN; 10 µg), netilmicin (NET; 30 µg), tetracycline (TET; 30 µg), erythromycin (ERI; 15 µg), cotrimoxazole (SUT; 25 µg), and ofloxacin (OFX; 5 µg). Two antibiogram sets were performed in duplicate for each S. aureus strain in control plates, with plain MHA, and in plates containing MHA plus one-fourth the MIC 90% of the respective extracts. The diameters (mm) of the each inhibitory zone were recorded after incubation at 37°C/18 h.

Statistical analysis - Results from the synergism assays were subjected to the Wilcoxon nonparametric test to compare the values (mm) of the inhibitory zones obtained by the disk diffusion method (Minitab Statistical Software version 13.32). Results were considered significant when p < 0.05.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Characteristics, MIC 90% (mg/ml) against 32 S. aureus strains, and one-fourth the MIC 90% values obtained in the synergism assays for the plants and their respective extracts are presented in Table I. Anti-S. aureus activity was verified for all the plants. S. aromaticum showed the highest activity, followed by P. guajava; the lowest activity was recorded for lemongrass. The MIC 90% range was 0.36 mg/ml for clove and 17.84 mg/ml for C. citratus and it is not surprising the differences in the antimicrobial activity of plants tested, due to phytochemical properties and differences among species. Although the antimicrobial activities of C. citratus, B. trimera, and Z. officinale, have not been relatively high, synergism assays were carried out for them and the synergism rate of C. citratus was as high as that of S. aromaticum (Table II).

Antimicrobial mechanisms of the drugs used here were variable and the protein synthesis inhibitors were those that presented strongest synergistic effect (5.2 extracts/drug) together with folic acid (4 extracts/drug) and bacterial cell wall synthesis (3.8 extracts/drug) inhibitors. Inhibitors of the nucleic acid synthesis (2 extracts/drug) showed weak synergism with plant extracts. Among the protein synthesis inhibitors, tetracycline showed synergism with all the extracts, followed by chloramphenicol and netilmicin. The synergistic capacity was promising for the extracts of some plants such as S. aromaticum, C. citratus, and P. guajava, which presented synergism with 11, 11, and 9 drugs, respectively; while garlic and ginger showed synergism with only 3 and 2 drugs, respectively.

The high synergism rate of protein synthesis inhibitors, although an important data, shows the need for more studies concerning the molecular basis of these interactions. Similar results with synergism of protein synthesis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scientific name</th>
<th>Common name</th>
<th>Part of the plant used</th>
<th>Efficacy (%)</th>
<th>Extracts’ dry weight (mg/ml)</th>
<th>MIC 90% (mg/ml)</th>
<th>1/4 MIC 90% (mg/ml)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Allium sativum</td>
<td>Garlic</td>
<td>Bulbs</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>94.12</td>
<td>5.05</td>
<td>1.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baccharis trimera</td>
<td>“Carqueja”</td>
<td>Leaves</td>
<td>55.07</td>
<td>52.75</td>
<td>7.23</td>
<td>1.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cymbopogon citratus</td>
<td>Lemongrass</td>
<td>Leaves</td>
<td>25.62</td>
<td>63.87</td>
<td>17.84</td>
<td>4.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mikania glomerata</td>
<td>“Guaco”</td>
<td>Leaves</td>
<td>28.48</td>
<td>59.62</td>
<td>3.80</td>
<td>0.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Psidium guajava</td>
<td>Guava</td>
<td>Leaves</td>
<td>47.68</td>
<td>131.75</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>0.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Syzygium aromaticum</td>
<td>Clove</td>
<td>Flower buds</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>58.75</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zingiber officinale</td>
<td>Ginger</td>
<td>Rhizomes</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>11.75</td>
<td>3.56</td>
<td>0.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mentha piperita</td>
<td>Mint</td>
<td>Leaves</td>
<td>20.83</td>
<td>11.0</td>
<td>2.20</td>
<td>0.55</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(·): for non-dried plants the efficacy was considered 100%.
inhibitors and propolis ethanolic extract of *Apis mellifera* by E-test and disk diffusion methods were reported by Fernandes Junior et al. (2005).

The synergism recorded here to plant extracts with weak action on *S. aureus* growth, such as lemongrass, is an important data since it showed a synergism profile similar to that of the clove extract, considered the most efficient *S. aureus* growth inhibitor in this study. Thus, the researchers should investigate the synergistic capacity of plant extracts or other natural products, independent of the antimicrobial activity they have. Therefore, the results of the present study seem to be promising and may enhance the natural products uses, showing the potential of these plants in the treatment of infectious diseases caused by *S. aureus*. Future studies on the chemical characteristics of extracts and active components should be carried out for each plant and antimicrobial property, since only crude extracts and their dry weight have been used in MIC determination (expressed in mg/ml) and synergism assays.

In the present study, the antimicrobial activity of plant extracts on *S. aureus* strains were confirmed and synergism was possible with all the antimicrobial drugs tested. Tetracycline presented synergism with all the extracts; and the *C. citratus* extract, although with the lowest antimicrobial activity, presented a synergism profile similar to that of *S. aromatum*, whose extract showed a relatively high inhibitory capacity on *S. aureus* growth. The possible activities of substances found in plant extracts on ribosome structure and bacterial enzymes inhibition appear to be related with synergism profile between plant extracts and inhibitors of protein synthesis, however, the understanding of synergism mechanism is fundamental to development of pharmacological agents to treat diseases by *S. aureus* using medicinal plants.

**TABLE II**

Synergism rate between antimicrobials and plant extracts against 15 *Staphylococcus aureus* strains by the Kirby and Bauer method

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Drug target</th>
<th>Drug</th>
<th>Psidium guajava</th>
<th>Syzygium aromaticum</th>
<th>Allium sativum</th>
<th>Mikania glomerata</th>
<th>Baccharis trimera</th>
<th>Zingiber officinale</th>
<th>Mentha piperita</th>
<th>Cymbopogon citratus</th>
<th>Synergism rate (extract/drug)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Protein synthesis</td>
<td>TET</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CLO</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>NET</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ERI</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>GEN</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cell wall synthesis</td>
<td>VAN</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PEN</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>OXA</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CFL</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>AMP</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CFO</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Folic acid</td>
<td>SUT</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nucleic acids</td>
<td>OFX</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

x: synergism when *p* ≤ 0.05; (-) no synergism; TET: tetracycline; CLO: chloramphenicol; NET: netilmicin; ERI: erythromycin; GEN: gentamicin; VAN: vancomycin; PEN: penicillin; OXA: oxacillin; CFL: cephalothin; AMP: ampicillin; CFO: cefoxitin; SUT: cotrimoxazole; OFX: ofloxacin.
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