Occurrence, morphology and ultrastructure of the Dufour gland in *Melipona bicolor* Lepeletier (Hymenoptera, Meliponini)
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**RESUMO.** Ocorrência, morfologia e ultra-estrutura da glândula de Dufour em *Melipona bicolor* Lepeletier (Hymenoptera, Meliponini). A ocorrência, morfologia e ultra-estrutura da glândula de Dufour em *Melipona bicolor* Lepeletier, 1836 são apresentados. A glândula de Dufour não está presente nas operárias. Nas rainhas virgens, as células glandulares mostram características de baixa atividade, as quais são descritas no texto. Nas rainhas fisogástricas, o epitélio glandular é mais alto e as células mais ativas do que nas rainhas virgens, mostrando numerosas invaginações da membrana plasmática basal impregnadas por material eletrondenso, além do aumento da freqüência de invaginações apicais e acúmulo de substâncias no espaço subcuticular, as quais serão posteriormente liberadas ao lúmen da glândula. Portanto, os dados mostram que a glândula de Dufour é mais desenvolvida nas rainhas fisogástricas do que nas virgens, indicando um possível envolvimento da glândula de Dufour na reprodução desta espécie.

**PALAVRAS-CHAVE.** Glândula de Dufour; *Melipona bicolor*; meliponíneo; morfologia; ultra-estrutura.

**ABSTRACT.** The occurrence, morphology and ultrastructure of the Dufour gland in *Melipona bicolor* Lepeletier, 1836 are presented. The Dufour gland is not present in workers. In virgin queens the gland cells show characteristics of low activity, which are described in the text. In physogastric queens the gland epithelium is higher and the cells more active than in virgin queens, showing numerous basal plasmic membrane invaginations impregnated by an electrondense material, increased apical invaginations and accumulation of substances that will be released to the gland lumen in the subcuticular space. Therefore, the data show that the Dufour gland is more developed in physogastric than in virgin queens, indicating a possible involvement of the Dufour gland in the reproduction of this species.
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The Dufour gland, known as one of the sting glands in bees, is homologous to the accessory gland of the female reproductive apparatus. In the bees, it is located close to the base of the sting, ventrally to the poison gland, and opens into the vagina (Billem 1987).

The Dufour gland is generally described as a tube, or sack-like organ, whose walls are constituted by a single layered epithelium, and the luminal surface lined by a thin cuticle. Externally to the epithelium, an irregular layer of muscle fibers and tracheoles covers the gland. In Aculeata eusocial bees, it is more developed in queens than in workers (Abdalla 2002; Abdalla & Cruz-Landim 2001c).

In stingless bees, the Dufour gland is always present in all queens, but it is not a constant in workers (Leilo 1968). Although almost nothing is known about its morphology and function, there is only one report about the chemical composition of the secretion in workers of *Nanotrigona testaceicornis* (Cruz-López et al. 2000).

The present investigation focused on the occurrence, morphology and ultrastructure of the Dufour gland of *Melipona bicolor* Lepeletier, 1836 (Meliponini), a species that presents facultative polygyny (Kerr 1949), in order to establish the relationship between the glandular features and the reproductive characteristics of the species.

**MATERIAL AND METHODS**

Ten newly emerged, nurse and forager workers were studied, as well as ten virgin and four physogastric queens of *M. bicolor*. The bees have been captured in polygynic colonies maintained in the meliponary of the Departamento de Biologia (UNESP – Rio Claro, SP, Brazil), in the Laboratory of Bees (USP – São Paulo, SP, Brazil) and in the meliponary of the Universidade Federal de Viçosa (Viçosa, MG, Brazil).

**Light Microscopy (LM) - Routine.** The posterior half of the workers’ abdomens, that supposedly would contain the Dufour gland, were cut off and fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde for, at least, 2 hours and then embedded in historesin, following the usual procedures. The histological sections were stained with haematoxylin and eosin (HE) and observed through a photomicroscope.

**Transmission electron microscopy (TEM) - Routine.** The queen’s glands were fixed in 2% glutaraldehyde and 4% paraformaldehyde in 0.2 M sodium cacodilate buffer at pH 7.2 for, at least, 2 hours. After fixation, the glands were washed twice in 0.2 M sodium cacodilate buffer, post-fixed in 1% osmium tetroxide in the same buffer and stained with 2% uranyl acetate in 10% ethanol during 2 h, being then dehydrated in a series of acetone (30-100%). The glands were embedded in
resin Epon Araldite, following the usual procedures. Thin sections were cut with a diamond knife, stained with lead citrate, and examined under electron microscope. Some thick sections were also stained with methylene blue – azur II for LM.

Morphometric and statistical analyses. The heights of the glandular epithelium of ten virgin and four physogastric queens were measured with aid of the software Impact-Graphic ApplicationTM that allows the measurement and calculation of the epithelium heights directly on the histological sections.

As preliminary statistical analysis, a variance analysis of the sample was done. After that, the data were submitted to the Tukey-test with 5% level of significance to verify differences among the queen groups studied. The averages and coefficients of variations obtained for each queens group studied were also calculated.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Occurrence of the Dufour gland in workers. The Dufour gland may be considered as a sting gland in hymenopterans, it is always more developed in queens than in workers of some species (hymenopterans, it is always more developed in queens than in workers of some species of stingless bees (Lello 1968).

Several functions are attributed to this gland in female bees; most of them related to the reproductive behavior, as for instance nest demarcation (Hefetz 1987). Nevertheless, the function of the Dufour gland in eusocial bees is not well known. In the foraging workers of Apis mellifera Linnaeus, 1758 (Apini) its secretion seems to be used as alarm pheromone (Abdalla & Cruz-Landim 2001a). It is also known that in queen less colonies the laying workers may synthesize some of the compounds present in the Dufour gland secretion of the queens, which are not produced by workers on queen right colonies (Katzav-Gozansky et al. 1997). These data suggest a close relationship between the reproductive status of the bee and the Dufour gland.

In meliponines, the gland is commonly absent in workers and although present in queens, it is apparently less developed than in the aculeated species. This feature led Kerr & Lello (1962) to postulate a relicual character to the gland in this group of bees. Considering the reproductive functions, it was observed that this group of bees shows several patterns of ovary development (Zucchi et al. 1994), suggesting that the gland would be present in workers of those species that present developed ovaries and functional egg laying activity. However, this reproductive correspondence was not found (Abdalla 2002). Therefore, in meliponines the presence or absence of the gland is not related to the worker fecundity degree and may reveal just different degrees of involution of the character among them, e.g., this gland in workers would be a relic organ as postulated by Kerr & Lello (1962).

Dufour gland in queens. In spite of the possibility of the relicual character of the Dufour gland in meliponine workers, and its assumed absence in the Melipona species (Patricio 1995), the queens have functional glands.

The queens’ Dufour gland in M. bicolor consists of a sack-like organ, composed of a single layer of epithelial cells, which is lined by a thin cuticle at the luminal surface. The gland lumen is wide (Figs. 1A,B) if compared with that of A. mellifera queen glands (Abdalla & Cruz-Landim 2001b), and irregular due to folds of the glandular epithelium (Figs. 1B,C).

The glandular cells vary from cubic to columnar. The nuclei of the columnar cells are located at the basal portion and in both cells usually with irregular contour, showing, sometimes, more than one nucleolus (Figs. 1B,C).

Muscular fibers outer the epithelium (Fig. 1D) do not involve the gland completely, as they do in A. mellifera, Bombus terrestris Linnaeus, 1758 (Bombini) and other species of bees (Lello 1968; Barrows et al. 1986; Abdalla & Cruz-Landim 2001b; Abdalla et al. 1999a, b). Tracheoles are found among the epithelial folds and in the intercellular spaces between the gland cells (Fig. 1B).

The cytoplasm presents abundant smooth endoplasmic reticule (SER) and occasionally rough endoplasmic reticule (RER). Mitochondria and polyribosomes are abundant, being also present Golgi complexes and disperse secretion granules in the cytoplasm. The apical and the basal cell portions present invaginations of the plasmic membrane (Figs. 1C, 4A, 6B), which vary in depth according to the glandular cell activity state.

The cellular ultrastructure, abundant SER (Fig. 2C) and secretion with characteristic ground electrondensity (Figs. 1A,B,C), is compatible with the lipidic nature of the secretion, as observed by gas chromatography and mass spectrometry (unpublished data).

Ultrastructural differences between the Dufour gland of virgin and physogastric queens. The cells of the Dufour gland of virgin queens are low and, generally, present few apical and basal plasmic membrane invaginations (Figs. 1C, D, 2B). The contact among them is sinuous, forming an intricate course, frequently reinforced by septated junctions in the apical portion (Figs. 2A,B,d). This reinforcement may be absent in the basal portion, where the intercellular spaces are open (Figs. 3A, B).

The cytoplasm of the glandular cells shows polymorphic mitochondria, microtubules, SER, polyribosomes and, less frequently, Golgi complexes (Figs. 2C, D). A tubular SER system may form a belt-like surrounding to the cell, being quite visible margining the lateral plasmic membranes (Fig. 2E).

The Dufour gland in the newly emerged queens presents few stored secretion in the lumen, but in older virgin queens this was much more abundant (Figs. 1A,B). The basal plasmic membrane invaginations in virgin queens, when present, form extensive inner canals that branch or take a loop-like form (Figs. 3A,B). The canals’ lumen is continuous with the surrounding outer space of the gland and may or not be filled by the basal lamina of the glandular cell, which in these queens is frequently electron-transparent (Fig. 3A,B). In the cytoplasmic, mitochondria and many vesicles of varying sizes...
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**Fig. 1.** General aspect of virgin queens’ Dufour gland. A) Photomicrograph of the epithelium (ep) and of the gland lumen (l), Methylene Blue - Azur II. Bar = 34 µm. B) Micrograph with Transmission Electron Microscopy (TEM) of the glandular epithelium (ep); c, cuticle; l, lumen; n, nucleus; nu, nucleoli; tr, tracheoles. Bar = 3 µm. C) TEM micrograph of a fold (arrows) of the glandular epithelium. In the glandular cell may be observed the sinuous intercellular limits (arrowhead) and many mitochondria (m); ai, apical plasmic membrane invagination; bi, basal plasmic membrane invagination; c, cuticle; l, lumen; n, nucleus; nu, nucleoli. Bar = 2 µm. D) TEM of the basal portion of the gland (gl), which is involved by a thick muscular fiber (mf); fc, focal contacts; bl, basal plasmic membrane invagination. Bar = 0.6 µm.
Fig. 2. TEM of virgin queens’ Dufour gland. A) Basal portion of the gland, evidencing a sinuous intercellular junction (ij); mf, muscular fiber; bl, basal lamina; m = mitochondria; r, polyribosomes. Bar = 0.6 µm. B) Apical portion of a glandular cell; c, cuticle; m, mitochondria; mt, microtubule; sj, septated junction. Bar = 0.3 µm. Below, detail (d) of the septated junction (sj) seen in B). Bar = 0.1 µm. C) Detail of the cytoplasm of a glandular cell; m, mitochondria; ser, smooth endoplasmic reticule; r, polyribosomes. Bar = 0.3 µm. D) Portion of the cytoplasm of a glandular cell where it is observed a active (arrow) Golgi (G), flat smooth endoplasmic reticule (ser) and polyribosomes (r). Bar = 0.2 µm. E) Smooth endoplasmic reticule (ser) forming a belt-like surrounding the glandular cell (arrows). Bar = 0.2 µm.
associated with the plasmic membrane invaginations, are observed (Figs. 3A, B).

The basal and apical plasmic membrane invaginations are attached to the basal lamina or to the cuticle, respectively, by focal contacts, and in the basilar portion are sustained by microtubules (Figs. 1D, 3B). These plasmic membrane invaginations have also been described for A. mellifera and B. terrestris gland cells (ABDALLA et al. 1999a, b; ABDALLA & CRUZ-LANDIM 2001b).

Externally to the gland, large granules with the same electrondensity of the secretion in the lumen (compare Figs. 1B and 3C), and numerous small and electron-transparent vesicles are observed associated to the basilar lamina (Figs. 3C, D). The small vesicles (Fig. 3D) are very similar to those of the Fig. 3A. Similar structures have been already observed in B. terrestris and seem to constitute extracellular material of lipid nature that could be absorbed by the gland (ABDALLA et al. 1999a, b). In A. mellifera, the Dufour gland of queens and workers absorbs, probably from the hemolymph, all the hydrocarbons present in the gland secretion (KATZAV-GOZANSKY et al. 2000).

In physogastric queens a more intense glandular activity is observed. The apical plasmic membrane invaginations are more numerous and deeper (Fig. 4A), being the cytoplasm replete of mitochondria and SER tubules with electrondense material inside (Fig. 4B). Golgi complexes and RER cisternae are also observed (Figs. 4C, D).

Interestingly, very well developed annulated lamellae are observed close to the nuclear membrane (Fig. 4E). The frequent proximity of these lamellae with the nucleus and the similarity of their annulus with the nuclear pore complexes led to the supposition that this structure has origin from the nuclear envelope and probably constitute a way of increasing the amount of endoplasmic reticulum (Fawcett 1981).

The intercellular junctions in the glandular cells of the physogastric queens were frequently smooth, united by septated desmosomes in the apical portion and opened in the basilar portion. Besides the presence of these junctions, the intercellular space contains electrondense material passed through them and released to the gland subcuticular space (Figs. 5A, B, d, C, D). Material of homogeneous electrondensity is observed in the space formed between the glandular cell basis and the muscular fibers (Fig. 6A). This feature was also observed in queens of A. mellifera and B. terrestris (ABDALLA et al. 1999a, b; ABDALLA & CRUZ-LANDIM 2001b) and may represent material that will be absorbed by the cell, through the plasmic membrane invaginations, branched and loop-like organized and associated with mitochondria, which are observed in the cell basilar portion. Vesicles with two or four membrane units and with variable electrondensity are observed in the cytoplasm (Figs. 5D, E), and may be modified mitochondria. These structures seem to be common in this gland in other species of bees and ants (ABDALLA & CRUZ-LANDIM 2001c; CAETANO 2002).

The differences described between the virgin and physogastric queen Dufour gland permit to affirm that this gland is functional in this species, which is related to the reproductive state of the individual. Therefore, the gland is not just topographically associated to the sting but in fact functionally associated to the reproductive tract of the bees. The observation made by BILLEN (1987) that in bees the secretion of the Dufour gland is delivered in the vagina is in accordance with this function, as well as the homology of the gland to the colleterial gland of other insects.

**Origin of the secreted gland material.** The morphological features of the gland cells suggest that at least a part of the products in gland lumen is not synthesized in the cells, but absorbed directly from the hemolymph.

Material circulation through intercellular space is common in epithelia, being demonstrated that the septated junctions are permeable to some substances, as the lanthanum (Lane 1981), what would easily signalizes the absorption mechanism of the Dufour gland. However, the lanthanum technique in this gland showed that this substance is barred at the apical portion by these junctions (data not shown). Besides, material from the intercellular space, by routine technique, is clearly shown to pass through the septated junctions going to the subcuticular space (Fig. 5C). Therefore, in this case, the presence of septated junctions in the apical portion may be selective, preventing the free entrance and/or exit of substances present in the lumen of the gland. The basilar portion of the intercellular spaces is wider in physogastric queens, which might indicate more intensive use of this path for circulation of substances.

The most evident difference between the glands of virgin and physogastric queens occurs in the basilar portion of the glandular cells. While in virgin queens the basilar lamina is electron-transparent and the cells possess generally few basilar plasmic membrane invaginations, in the physogastric queens it is impregnated by a very electrondense material that also fills the lumen of the canals of the countless plasmic membrane invaginations that form an extensive basal labyrinth in the cell (Figs. 3A, B, 5B, d, 6B).

In this way, the features suggest that the substances of the secretion may come from the hemolymph into the lumen, crossing the plasmic membrane of the invaginations or through the intercellular spaces, entering in the cell. The basilar and apical labyrinth formed by the cell invaginations represent an increase of the absorption surface in the basilar side and deliver surface in the apical side. The fact that the increasing in both sides of the glandular cell surfaces is great in the physogastric queens reveals a higher function in these individuals. In fact, in the apical portion, a greater number of plasmic membrane invaginations is observed in physogastric queens and the subcuticular space is wider and contain material for discharging in the lumen (Figs. 2B, 4A, 5C, D).

Secretion granules, multivesicular and myelinic bodies (Figs. 5A, 6C, D) are more frequently observed in the glands of physogastric queens, being more frequently located in the basilar portion of the cells. The two structures may represent an indicator of cellular wastes from organelles re-absorption,
Fig. 3. TEM of virgin queens’ Dufour gland. A) Detail of a fold of the glandular epithelium, where numerous basal plasmic membrane invaginations (bi) are observed. Notice that the epithelial fold is filled by an electron-transparent basal lamina (bl); m, mitochondria; ser, smooth endoplasmic reticule. Bar = 0.6 µm. B) Detail of loop-like basal plasmic membrane invagination (bi). Notice that the invagination attaches on the basal lamina (bl) through focal contact that from the electron-dense portion of the invagination a microtubule (mt) is linked and sustains it; m, mitochondria. Bar = 0.2 µm. C) Basal portion of the gland, showing lipid granules (large arrow) associated with the basal lamina (bl). Electron-transparent small vesicles (small arrow) are also observed out of the gland (gl) cell associated with the basal lamina (bl). Bar = 0.30 µm. D) Detail of granules with ground electron-density (larger arrow), smaller than those seen in C, among the plasmic membrane invaginations (bi) and electron-lucid small vesicles (smaller arrow). Bar = 0.30 µm.
Fig. 4. TEM of physogastric queens’ Dufour gland. A) Apical portion of a glandular cell, where numerous apical plasmic membrane invaginations are observed (ai); c, cuticle; m, mitochondria; mt, microtubule; l, lumen; r, polyribosomes; ss, subcuticular space. Bar = 0.2 µm. B) Detail of the cytoplasm of a glandular cell, where a net of smooth endoplasmic reticule (ser) is observed. Bar = 0.2 µm. C) Detail of a cytoplasm portion with some Golgi (G) complexes. Bar = 0.2 µm. D) Portion of the glandular epithelium where rough endoplasmic reticule (rer) is observed; c, cuticle; sp, septated junction; m, mitochondria. Bar = 0.1 µm. E) Nucleus (n) area, detailing annulated lamellae (la) associated to the nuclear envelope; m, mitochondria; mt, microtubules. Bar = 0.01 µm.
Fig. 5. TEM of physogastric queens' Dufour gland. A) General aspect of the area where two cells are connected for an intercellular junction (ij); c, cuticle; mv = multivesicular body; m, mitochondria; l, lumen. Bar = 0.6 µm. B) Intercellular junction (ij) filled with electrondense material. Bar = 0.5 µm. In the right side, detail (d) of the intercellular junction seen in B. Bar = 0.1 µm. C) Apical portion of a glandular cell where it is noticed material in the subcuticular space (ss) that seems to come from the intercellular space (arrow). Bar = 0.2 µm. D) Detail of the figure C) where electronlucid vesicles (arrows) are noticed in subcuticular space; sj = septated junction; mm = modified mitochondria. Bar = 0.1 µm. E) Detail of modified mitochondria with one or more membrane units, presenting variable electrondensity; I, electron-transparent; II, medium electrondensity; III, electrondense. Bar = 0.1 µm.
Fig. 6. TEM of physogastric queens' Dufour gland. A) Detail of a gland basal portion, showing material accumulation with ground electrondensity, probably hemolymph (H), in the intercellular space; bi, basal plasmic membrane invagination; n, nucleus. Bar = 0.5 µm. B) Detail of a gland basal portion with numerous and deep basal plasmic invaginations (bi); bl, basal lamina. Bar = 0.9 µm. C) A gland basal portion with electrondense basal lamina (bl) and electrondense secretion granules (s). Bar = 0.9 µm. D) Detail of the cytoplasm of a glandular cell basal portion, containing many myelinic bodies (md). Bar = 0.3 µm.
because seemed to appear after a great gland activity and consequent re-absorption and rearrangement of the cell structure (Abdalla & Cruz-Landim 2001b).

Dufour gland morphometry in virgin and physogastric queens. The gland epithelium is lower in virgin (13.5 ± 0.3 mm) than in physogastric (21.4 ± 0.3 mm) queens (Tukey Test P>0.05). The taller gland epithelium in the physogastric queens may be due to larger accumulation of intracellular secretion in the cells, which might increase its length. This may also indirectly indicate more intense cellular activity in physogastric queens. In anyway, the taller epithelium of the physogastric queen is a signal of high activity, confirmed by the ultrastructural data.

CONCLUSIONS

The present study adds new data to the interpretation of the Dufour gland as closely related to the reproductive apparatus in eusocial bees, but its exact function in reproduction is still unknown. Once the queen represents the reproductive caste, it seems natural that this gland may be more developed in the queens than in the workers. The absence of the gland in meliponine workers with developed ovaries may be linked to the kind of function it plays in reproduction. If the gland function was directly linked to the egg laying, as apparently occurs in A. mellifera, in which laying workers have more active glands, it would be expected that the gland might be present in meliponine workers that lay eggs. However, if its function, as it seems to be the case, is to produce substance that identify the queen and/or the queen reproductive status, as the workers in stingless bees never assume the queen position (Bego 1989), the gland is “dispensable” in this caste.

In the eusocial species, the reproduction is exercised often only by the queen, generating in some species the existence of a drastic morpho-functional and genetical differentiation between the female castes, which is enough to produce a condition of workers completely sterilized. In this case, it may be understandable that the Dufour gland, along the evolution, has become a relict or missing organ (Abdalla 2002), as it seems to be its status in the meliponine workers, where it is still present.

The fact that the Dufour gland is more developed in solitary bees than in eusocial ones and, among the social bees, more developed in the workers whose society is more rudimentary, than in eusocial ones and, among the social bees, more understandable that the Dufour gland, along the evolution, between the female castes, which is enough to produce a drastic morpho-functional and genetical differentiation only by the queen, generating in some species the existence of a signal of high activity, confirmed by the ultrastructural data.
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