Frugivory on Margaritaria nobilis L.f. (Euphorbiaceae): poor investment and mimetism
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ABSTRACT – (Frugivory on Margaritaria nobilis L.f. (Euphorbiaceae): poor investment and mimetism). Dehiscent fruits of Euphorbiaceae usually have two stages of seed dispersal, autochory followed by myrmecochory. Two stages of Margaritaria nobilis seed dispersal were described, the first stage autochoric followed by ornithochoric. Their dehiscent fruits are green and after they detached from the tree crown and fall on the ground, they open and expose blue metallic cocas. We studied the seed dispersal system of Margaritaria nobilis in a semi-deciduous forest in Brazil. In 80 h of focal observations, we recorded only 12 visits of frugivores, however the thrush Turdus leucomas was the only frugivore that swallowed the fruits on the tree crown. Pitylus fuliginosus (Fringilidae) and Pionus maximiliani (Psittacidae) were mainly pulp eaters, dropping the seeds below the tree. On the forest floor, after fruits dehiscence, jays (Cyanocorax chrysops), guans (Penelope superciliaris), doves (Geotrygon montana) and collared-peccaries (Pecari tajacu) were observed eating the blue diaspores of M. nobilis. Experiments in captivity showed that scaly-headed parrots (Pionus maximiliani), toco toucans (Ramphastos toco), jays (Cyanochorax chrysops), and guans (Penelope superciliaris) consumed the fruits and did not prey on the seeds before consumption. The seeds collected from the feces did not germinate in spite of the high viability. The two stages of seed dispersal in M. nobilis resembles the dispersal strategies of some mimetic species. However M. nobilis seeds are associated with an endocarp, it showed low investment in nutrients, and consistent with this hypothesis, M. nobilis shared important characteristics with mimetic fruits, such as bright color display, long seed dormancy and protection by secondary compounds.
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RESUMO – (Frugivoria em Margaritaria nobilis L.f. (Euphorbiaceae): pouco investimento em recursos e mimetismo). Frutos desiscentes de Euphobiaceae usualmente apresentam dois estágios de dispersão de sementes, sendo autocórico seguido por mirmecócrico. Dois estágios de dispersão de sementes de Margaritaria nobilis foram descritos, sendo o primeiro autocórico seguido por ornitocórico. Os frutos desiscentes desta espécie são verdes e quando caem da copa para o solo, abrem e expõe as cocas azuis metálicas. O sistema de dispersão de sementes de Margaritaria nobilis foi estudado em uma floresta semi-decidual no Brasil. Em 80 horas de observações focais, registram-se apenas 12 visitas de frugívoros, no entanto o sabiá Turdus leucomas foi o único frugívoro que ingeriu os frutos na copa da árvore. Pitylus fuliginosus (Fringilidae) e Pionus maximiliani (Psittacidae) são principalmente consumidores de polpa, derrubando as sementes abaixo das árvores. No chão da floresta, após a desisicação dos frutos, gralhas (Cyanocorax chrysops), jacos (Penelope superciliaris), pombas (Geotrygon montana) e catetos (Pecari tajacu) foram observados consumindo os frutos azuis de Margaritaria nobilis. Experimentos em cativeiro mostraram que maritacas (Pionus maximiliani), tucanos (Ramphastos toco), gralhas (Cyanochorax chrysops) e jacus (Penelope superciliaris) consumiram os frutos e não predaram as sementes antes do consumo. As sementes coletadas das fezes não germinaram apesar de apresentarem alta viabilidade. Os dois estágios de dispersão de sementes de M. nobilis assemelham-se com a estratégia de dispersão de algumas espécies miméticas. Embora as sementes de M. nobilis estejam associadas com um endocarpo, esses apresentam um baixo investimento em nutrientes e consistente com esta hipótese, M. nobilis compartilha características importantes com outros frutos miméticos, como displays coloridos, longa dormência das sementes e proteção por compostos secundários.
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Introduction

Animal-dispersed plants invest in attraction to their dispersers, such as colorful displays or nutritious rewards in the pulp or aril (Denslow & Moermond 1982). In fact, up to 90% of tropical plant species depends on animals to disperse their seeds (Jordano 1992). In this mutualistic relationship, seed-dispersers benefit from consuming the nutritious tissues surrounding the seeds, whereas plants benefit from the dispersal of their seeds to safe sites (Jordano & Schupp 2000). However, the production of pulp or aril means an allocation of energy for the production of fleshy and nutritious material that...
probably has no purpose other than attracting the frugivores and to protect seeds (Mack 2000).

On the other hand, some plants evolved adaptations to mislead the seed dispersers and do not offer any reward to their putative seed disperser, the so-called “mimetic fruits” (see Galetti 2002). Mimicry in plants refers to the imitation of fleshy fruits or arils, which are eaten primarily by birds (Ridley 1930, McKey 1975). In this case, the plant investment is mainly “displays of visual attraction”, which has no energetic costs to the plant since there is no fleshy pulp or nutritional reward to their dispersers (Galetti 2002). The dispersers are “deceived” by the plant and the animal is “contaminated” with seeds, which are transported and dispersed away from the parent plant (Herrera 2002). Although the seed dispersal mechanism of the mimetic fruits has received special attention in the last years (Peres & van Roosmalen 1996, Foster & Delay 1999, Galetti 2002), we still have little information for most of the mimetic species (Galetti 2002).

The genus Margaritaria (Euphorbiaceae) seems to be atypical in the family and no consensus exists on the seed dispersal mode in this genus. Margaritaria nobilis has previously been described as mimetic (van der Pijl 1982, Webster 1979, Galetti 2002), while others considered M. nobilis as autochoric (Burger & Huft 1995). The goal of this study was to evaluate the dispersal system of Margaritaria nobilis in the Atlantic forest. More precisely, we addressed the following questions: (1) Does the dispersal syndrome displayed by M. nobilis differs from that of typically Euphorbiaceae species dispersed primarily by autochory followed by mirmecochory? (2) What is the role of birds as primary and secondary dispersers? (3) Are M. nobilis fruits nutrient-poor and defended by secondary compounds as other mimetic fruits? (4) Does fruit consumption by frugivorous birds influence seed germination rate?

Material and methods

Study site – The research was carried out at the “Estação Ecológica dos Caetetus” (hereafter EEC; 22°22’ to 22°27’ S and 49°40’ to 49°43’ W), near Gália and Alvinlândia, in the mid-west region of the state of São Paulo, Brazil. The EEC has 2.178 ha of tropical semi-deciduous forest but with some patches of secondary forest (Durigan et al. 2000).

The study area is one of the most important native forest fragments for the wildlife conservation in that area (Cullen Junior et al. 2001). The reserves still holds some large mammals, such as the tapirs (Tapiridae terrestris), white-lipped peccaries (Tayassu pecari), collared peccaries (Pecari tajacu), capuchin monkeys (Cebus nigrinus), black lion tamarin (Leontopithecus chrysopygus), paca (Cuniculus paca), ocelots (Leopardus pardalis) and puma (Puma concolor) (Cullen Junior et al. 2001). Considering the forest interior and edge, EEC has 149 bird species, and still holds large frugivores, such as bellbirds (Procnias nudicollis), guans (Penelope superciliaris), toucans (Ramphastos toco) and toucanets (Pteroglossus aracari) (Vianna & Donatelli, unpublished data).

The vegetation in the area is a mosaic of at least five different habitats: primary forest (49%), secondary growth (edge and bamboo) (13%), palmito stands (Euterpe edulis Mart.) (1%), and swamp areas (2%). The arboreal flora is rich in Lauraceae, Myrtaceae and Rubiaceae species (Durigan et al. 2000). At least four species in the area present mimetic fruits: Rhynchosia pyramidalis (Lam.) Urb., Ormosia arborea (Vell.) Harms, Abrus precatorius L., Erythrina velutina Willd. (all Fabaceae) (Galetti 2002).

Study species – Margaritaria nobilis trees achieve up to 15 meters in height. They occur in secondary forests in tropical and seasonal deciduous forests, although they may also be founded in evergreen forests. The flowering period in the coastal Atlantic forest occurs from November to December and there is a high degree of synchronism among individuals of the same gender. The fruiting period occurs on the wet season (beginning in February) and can be extended for six months, but with a low synchrony among individuals, and lower fruit production (Bencke & Morellato 2002). Fruits on the plant are covered by a green exocarp and fall spontaneously. The capsulated fruits begin to open once they are on the ground and after water absorption (rain or environment humid). The exocarp opens and displays from three to five metallic blue cocos that contrast against the green capsule (figure 1), but does not reflect Ultra-Violet (E. Cazetta, unpublished data). The cocos are recovered by a thin and hyaline endocarp that after sometime of air

Figure 1. The capsulated fruit of Margaritaria nobilis fells on the ground and starts opening the green dehiscent capsule exposing the blue metallic coca (Photo Eliana Cazetta).
exposition becomes white due to water loss. After the endocarp, each locus has two cocas of a black exotesta, which has two seeds. The fleshy sarcotesta is greenish-navy and the sclerotesta is large, hard, with abundant endosperm. The fruits are about 10.9 mm of diameter and 6.14 mm of length and the total fruit mass is 0.49 g (E. Cazetta, unpublished data).

Data collection – Two Margaritaria nobilis fruiting individuals were selected for focal observations at EEC. This species, like all mimetic species, is rare and was not sampled by Durigan et al. (2000) in the study site and to the best of our knowledge there are few individuals in the area. We recorded bird activity on M. nobilis from 5:00 to 11:00 and 12:00 to 18:00 h (Francisco & Galetti 2002, Galetti et al. 2003). For each bird visit on M. nobilis, we recorded the species observed, number of fruits eaten and the time spent on the tree (Francisco & Galetti 2002, Galetti et al. 2003).

Once the fruits do not exhibit their blue coloration on the crown, we set up two cameras traps (Trails Master®) beneath the fruiting trees for possible records of consumption on the ground (Galetti et al. 2003). We displayed about 100 open blue fruits for ground-dwelling frugivores. Cameras were active for five days, or 120 h.

We collected fruits for captivity experiments in order to evaluate the frugivore behavior and to complete our field observations. The captivity experiments took place at Bosque dos Jequitibás Zoo, in Campinas, São Paulo. We offered Margaritaria nobilis fruits to three Scaly-headed parrots (Pionus maximiliani), three Toco Toucans (Ramphastos toco), two Jays (Cyanochorax chrysops), and two guans (Penelope superciliaris). We selected these species because they were recorded as M. nobilis consumers (Galetti et al. 2000; Santamaria & Franco 2000, this study). We offered 20 fruits per day during five days to each bird species and recorded if animals were interested in the fruits, their behavior (destroying the seeds or ingesting the whole fruit), and naked fruits collected from the field (table 1). During the focal observations we also recorded seven visits of three species removing fruits on the forest floor, the guan Penelope superciliaris, the dove Geotrygon montana, and the jay Cyanocorax chrysops (table 1). The number of visits on the crown was similar between years (n = 6 in both years), but all species recorded consuming the fruits on the forest floor were observed in 2001. We also observed once the collared peccary Pecari tajacu feeding on fruits on the ground. During 120 hours of automatic camera exposure we recorded only Cyanocorax chrysops eating Margaritaria nobilis fruits.

All species in captivity ate the fruits of M. nobilis. We did not observed any bird preying upon the seeds of analysis. We evaluated mineral content by determining the total ashes. The amount of Carbon corresponds to 47% of the organic sample matter (Westlake 1963, Wetzel 1975). Proteins were determined according to methods described by Jeffery et al. (1989), the lipids according to Bligh & Dyer (1959), and glucose and fructose by gas chromatography – mass spectrometer (modified from Pooter & Villar 1997). Fibers were tested by separating the protoplasmatic material (Boyd & Goodyear 1971). We also determined the contents of condensed tannins and phenols in fruits. We extracted these compounds by the Price & Butler (1977) method in butanol and methanol extracts (see Schaefer et al. 2003). The contents of these compounds were analyzed with photometric measurements.

Results

In 80 hours of focal observations carried out between February and March 2000 (50 hours) and February 2001 (30 hours), we recorded 12 visits from three bird species consuming Margaritaria nobilis fruits on the crown: scaly-headed parrots Pionus maximiliani, thrushers Turdus leucomelas, and seed-eaters Pytilus fuliginosus (table 1). During the focal observations we also recorded seven visits of three species removing fruits from the forest floor, the guan Penelope superciliaris, the dove Geotrygon montana, and the jay Cyanocorax chrysops (table 1). The number of visits on the crown was similar between years (n = 6 in both years), but all species recorded consuming the fruits on the forest floor were observed in 2001. We also observed once the collared peccary Pecari tajacu feeding on fruits on the ground. During 120 hours of automatic camera exposure we recorded only Cyanocorax chrysops eating Margaritaria nobilis fruits.

Table 1. Frugivorous birds eating Margaritaria nobilis (Euphorbiaceae) fruits during the focal observations at “Estação Ecológica dos Caetetus”, São Paulo, Brazil.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Frugivore species</th>
<th>Number of visits</th>
<th>Visit duration (min)</th>
<th>(Mean ± s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>On the crown</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turdus leucomelas</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pytilus fuliginosus</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>7.3 ± 2.12</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pionus maximiliani</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>11.6 ± 9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>On the ground</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Penelope superciliaris</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5.75 ± 3.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Geotrygon montana</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>10 ± 5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cyanocorax chrysops</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>–</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
before consumption but the Scaly-headed parrot act as pulp thieves because they discarded the seeds and ate the exocarp. The seeds collected from the feces in 2000 \((n = 70)\) did not germinate in spite of the high viability (80\% by the tetrazolium 0.3\% test \((n = 30)\)). From our control \((n = 90)\), only two seeds with no cover germinated after 11 months and five after 12 months, all of them in the ambient light treatment. The seeds covered by the sarcotesta or sclerotesta did not germinate and fungi infested them after two months. In 2001 the experiment was replicated with seeds collected from cage birds feces \((n = 150)\), but the germination rate until four months of experiment were not different. The viability rate founded by the tetrazolium (0.3\%) test was almost the same, 75\% of the seeds \((n = 30)\) were viable.

The fruits of *M. nobilis* are mainly constituted by fibers that are not digestible for most of the dispersers and its composition is mainly formed by cellulose, hemi-cellulose and lignified nitrogenous substances. The fruit pulp shows low percentages of lipid, protein, glucose and fructose and is defended by secondary compounds, such as phenols, tannins and alkaloids (table 2). These birds are mainly pulp eaters, dropping the seeds below the tree. The only species that forage on the tree crown and might act as effective seed disperser is the thrush (*Turdus leucomelas*), but it was observed only once consuming *M. nobilis* fruits.

In our study area *M. nobilis* fruits have a irregular dehiscence that makes the fruit fall down before it opens (figure 1), which seems different from *M. nobilis* in Mexico that open and expose the capsules in the tree crown (Tropical Plant Guides 2004). In capsulated fruits of Euphorbiaceae with elaiossomes, two stages of seed dispersal are well known, particularly for species autochoric-myrmechocoric (*Croton, Mabea, Riccinus* and Euphorbia, see Passos & Ferreira 1996, Narbona et al. 2005). Ornithocoric species are found especially in some dry capsulated genera such as *Alchornea, Sapium, Pera, Tetrochidium*, but the fruits open on the tree crown exposing the aril rich in lipids to the birds (Valente 2001, Francisco & Galetti 2007), although they can also be secondarily dispersed by ants (Pizo & Oliveira 2000). We suggest that regardless of the low removal rate on the forest floor that we observed, the second ornithocoric stage is the main strategy of seed dispersal in *M. nobilis*. Moreover, seed consumption on the forest floor has been recorded in other studies, in which ground frugivores, such as curassows (*Mitu tuberosa* (Santamaria & Franco 2000) and *Psophia crepitans* (Érard et al. 1991) consumed the fruits of *M. nobilis*.

The removal rate of fruits of *Margaritaria nobilis* was extremely low (0.24 visits/h, considering the total number of visits) when compared to other ornithocoric fruit species, including species of the same family or with similar diaspore size. For instance, Francisco & Galetti (2007) recorded 414 visits of 20 bird species in 60 h of observation in *Pera glabrata* (Schott) Poepp. Ex Baill. (Euphorbiaceae). Valente (2001) recorded 109 visits from 14 bird species in 20 focal hours in *Alchornea glandulosa* Poepp. (Euphorbiaceae) in an Eucalyptus plantation. Cazetta et al. (2002) recorded 1085 visits of 25 different bird species eating *Talauma ovata* A. St.-Hil. (Magnoliaceae) in 79 h of observations. The low visiting rate of *M. nobilis* is just higher than “truly” mimetic fruits. Galetti (2002) did not record any bird visit in 30 h of focal observation in *Ormosia arborea* in EEC.

The fruit removal rate of ornithocoric fruits may reflect the amount of nutrients available to birds (Cazetta et al. 2008), and varies from lipid rich fruits with high removal rate (e.g. *Cabralea canjerana*, Pizo 1997), to non-reward fruits with a very low removal rate (e.g. *Ormosia arborea*, Galetti 2002). Andrieu & Debusche (2007) found that birds weakly contributed to the
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Table 2. Chemical composition of *Margaritaria nobilis* (Euphorbiaceae) pulp from “Estação Ecológica dos Caetetus”, São Paulo, Brazil.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chemical Contents</th>
<th>Percentage (g dry mass(^{-1}))</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>protein</td>
<td>3.61%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>lipid</td>
<td>3.49%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fiber</td>
<td>45.99%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>glucose</td>
<td>0.013%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fructose</td>
<td>0.014%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>phenol</td>
<td>0.54%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tannins</td>
<td>0.02%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>organic matter</td>
<td>0.4934</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>carbon</td>
<td>0.232</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>alkaloid</td>
<td>present*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^*\) = P.R. Guimarães, unpublished data.
removal rate of *Paeonia officinalis* (Paeoniaceae), an ornithocoric species, and they suggested that this species could be considered mimetic because of the low nutritional investment of its pulp. In fact, the reward that can be obtained by a bird swallowing a diaspore of *M. nobilis* is very low (see table 2). This low energetic value contrasts with those of other capsulated species of Euphorbiaceae that usually is very high in lipid contents (e.g. *Alchornea, Pera*) (M. Galetti, unpublished data). According to this, we suggest that *M. nobilis* evolves a strategy of seed dispersal similar to mimetic fruits in terms of removal rate and nutrient available to seed dispersers.

Our germination experiments were not conclusive, but we found that none of the seeds consumed by birds germinated after one year. Galetti (2002) showed no difference on the germination rate of *Ormosia arborea* between seeds dispersed by granivorous birds against control seeds. Several hypotheses have been proposed to explain the evolution of mimetic fruits (see Galetti 2002). Peres & van Roosmalen (1996) proposed an hypothesis that mimetic fruits of some species are ingested by terrestrial granivorous birds (tinamous, guans and trumpeters) because the hard stoned seeds are used as grit to break down other food in the bird’s gizzard (“hard-seed for grit hypothesis”). The abrasive treatment of the mimetic seeds by the terrestrial granivores would be essential for their germination. However, we suggest that this hypothesis cannot be used to explain the evolution of *M. nobilis* fruits because seeds ingested by granivorous birds (e.g. guans) did not germinate better than seeds ingested by other birds or control seeds.

A mimetic fruit is defined as a brightly colored fruit or seed with no associated pulp or aril, which consequently does not provide a nutritional reward for seed-dispersers (Galetti 2002). *M. nobilis* fruits provide a low energetic value for its seeds dispersers and present important characteristics of mimetic fruits, such as low removal rate, bright color fruit display, long seed dormancy, and presence of secondary compounds in the pulp (Galetti 2002, Guimarães et al. 2003). Therefore, we suggest that *M. nobilis* resembles the dispersal strategies of some mimetic fruits with two stages of seed dispersal, one authocoric and another ornithocoric.
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