

RESSALVA

Atendendo solicitação do autor,
o texto completo desta tese será
disponibilizado somente a partir
de 17/09/2023



UNIVERSIDADE ESTADUAL PAULISTA
"JÚLIO DE MESQUITA FILHO"
Câmpus de São José do Rio Preto

Fernando Henrique Carneiro

**PHYLOGENETIC AND BIOGEOGRAPHIC ANALYSIS OF ELAPHROPTERINI
(HYMENOPTERA, TIPHIIDAE, THYNNINAE)**

São José do Rio Preto
2021

Fernando Henrique Carneiro

**PHYLOGENETIC AND BIOGEOGRAPHIC ANALYSIS OF ELAPHROPTERINI
(HYMENOPTERA, TIPHIIDAE, THYNNINAE)**

Tese apresentada como parte dos requisitos para obtenção do título de Doutor em Biodiversidade, junto ao Programa de Pós-Graduação em Biodiversidade, do Instituto de Biociências, Letras e Ciências Exatas da Universidade Estadual Paulista “Júlio de Mesquita Filho”, Câmpus de São José do Rio Preto.

Financiadora: CAPES – 88882.330421/2019-01

Orientador: Prof. Dr. Fernando Barbosa Noll

São José do Rio Preto
2021

C289p

Carnimeo, Fernando Henrique

Phylogenetic and biogeographic analysis of Elaphropterini
(Hymenoptera, Tiphiiidae, Thynninae) / Fernando Henrique Carnimeo.

-- São José do Rio Preto, 2021

128 f. : tabs., fotos, mapas + 1 CD-ROM

Tese (doutorado) - Universidade Estadual Paulista (Unesp),
Instituto de Biociências Letras e Ciências Exatas, São José do Rio
Preto

Orientador: Fernando Barbosa Noll

1. Entomologia. 2. Filogenética sistemática. 3. Cladística. 4.
Taxonomia animal. 5. Biogeografia. I. Título.

Fernando Henrique Carnimeo

**PHYLOGENETIC AND BIOGEOGRAPHIC ANALYSIS OF
ELAPHROPTERINI (HYMENOPTERA, TIPHIIDAE, THYNNINAE)**

Tese apresentada como parte dos requisitos para obtenção do título de Doutor em Biodiversidade, junto ao Programa de Pós-Graduação em Biodiversidade, do Instituto de Biociências, Letras e Ciências Exatas da Universidade Estadual Paulista “Júlio de Mesquita Filho”, Câmpus de São José do Rio Preto.

Financiadora: CAPES – 88882.330421/2019-01

Comissão Examinadora

Prof. Dr. Fernando Barbosa Noll
UNESP – São José do Rio Preto
Orientador

Prof. Dr. John Wenzel
Carnegie Museum of Natural History

Prof. Dr. Sérgio Ricardo Andena
Universidade Estadual de Feira de Santana

Dr. Eduardo Fernando dos Santos
UNESP – São José do Rio Preto

Dr^a. Marjorie da Silva
UNESP – São José do Rio Preto

São José do Rio Preto
17 de setembro de 2021

AGRADECIMENTOS

Às minhas duas famílias pois sem elas não haveria eu, literal e figurativamente.

Aos colegas de laboratório com os quais foi sempre um prazer trabalhar e conviver.

Ao querido orientador pelo espaço, confiança, e inúmeras oportunidades concedidas.

Ao Ibilce por ter sido meu local de trabalho e minha segunda casa nos últimos dez anos.

Aos amigos que, mesmo provenientes de muitos lugares diferentes, estiveram ao meu lado em todas as ocasiões possíveis e imagináveis, as quais teriam sido muitíssimo menos agradáveis sem sua presença.

Ao meu companheiro de vida pelo respeito e apreço mútuos construídos diariamente com muito carinho e parceria.

O presente trabalho foi realizado com apoio da Coordenação de Aperfeiçoamento de Pessoal de Nível Superior - Brasil (CAPES) - Código de Financiamento: 001, processo 88882.330421/2019-01, à qual agradeço pelo financiamento e concessão de bolsa de pesquisa.

Resumo

Thynninae, subfamília mais especiosa de Tiphiiidae (Hymenoptera, Aculeata), é atualmente dividida em quatro tribos: Scotaeini, Elaphropterini (ambas exclusivamente Neotropicais), Thynnini (exclusivamente Australásica) e Rhagigasterini (que possui representantes em ambas regiões). Elaphropterini apresenta 14 gêneros e 94 espécies, porém, além de não ter sido formalmente descrita, é tradicionalmente definida através de caracteres diagnósticos ambíguos e pouco objetivos, o que coloca em dúvida a validade da tribo. Sendo assim, o presente trabalho teve como objetivo realizar uma análise filogenética de Elaphropterini, utilizando-se enfoque cladístico e pesagem implícita, a partir de 166 caracteres morfológicos, a fim de se testar a validade da mesma e elucidar seus relacionamentos intergenéricos e interespecíficos. Foram utilizadas 49 espécies de 12 gêneros de Elaphropterini no grupo interno e 14 representantes das demais tribos de Thynninae no grupo externo. A monofilia de Elaphropterini foi recuperada em uma única árvore mais parcimoniosa, suportada por sinapomorfias exclusivas. O mesmo pôde ser observado para a maioria dos gêneros, com exceção de *Telephoromyia*, mas apesar disso, algumas relações inter-genéricas apresentaram baixo suporte e grande quantidade de homoplasias. Espécimes não identificados utilizados neste trabalho foram descritos em quatro novas espécies: *Atopothygnus tumidus* **sp. nov.**, *Mesothygnus quadratus* **sp. nov.**, *Mesothygnus sulcatus* **sp. nov.** e *Mesothygnus unidentatus* **sp. nov.** A partir desses resultados, foram realizadas as análises biogeográficas BPA e S-DIVA para se entender a origem e distribuição da tribo na América do Sul. As análises biogeográficas realizadas basearam-se na distribuição de Elaphropterini e seus grupos externos em cinco bioregiões: Paraná, Patagônia, Páramo, Puna e Australasia. Os resultados de BPA indicaram uma relação mais antiga entre Australasia e as outras regiões Sul Americanas e uma relação mais recente entre Paraná e Patagônia. Já através de S-DIVA foi possível identificar Paraná como bioregião de origem para Elaphropterini, com eventos de vicariância e dispersão possivelmente associados ao soergimento da Cordilheira dos Andes, transgressões marinhas, e surgimento de diagonais secas que moldaram a distribuição atual da tribo.

Palavras-chave: Filogenética. Cladística. Taxonomia; Biogeografia. América do Sul. Paraná. Patagônia. Páramo. Puna. *Atopothygnus*. *Mesothygnus*.

Abstract

Thynninae, the most species-rich subfamily of Tiphidae (Hymenoptera, Aculeata), is currently divided between four tribes: Scotanini, Elaphropterini (both exclusively Neotropical), Thynnini (exclusively Australasian) and Rhagigasterini (present in both regions). Elaphropterini encompasses 14 genera and 94 species, however, the tribe was never formally described and is traditionally defined by ambiguous and unobjective diagnostic characters, putting in doubt the tribe's validity. Therefore, the present study had as objective to perform a phylogenetic analysis of Elaphropterini, which was done under a cladistic approach, using implied weighting, from a 166 morphological characters matrix, in order to test the tribe's validity and elucidate its generic and specific relationships. A total of 49 species from 12 Elaphropterini genera were used as ingroups and 14 species represented the other Thynninae tribes as outgroups. Elaphropterini's monophyly was recovered from a single most parsimonious tree, supported by unequivocal synapomorphies. The same could be observed for most genera, except *Telephoromyia*, but despite that, some of the generic relationships presented low support value and several homoplastic synapomorphies. Unidentified specimens used in this study were described in four new species: *Atopothygnus tumidus* sp. nov., *Mesothygnus quadratus* sp. nov., *Mesothygnus sulcatus* sp. nov. and *Mesothygnus unidentatus* sp. nov. From these results, biogeographic analyses were performed (BPA and S-DIVA) in order to clarify the origin and distribution of the tribe in South America. These analyses were based on the distribution of Elaphropterini and its outgroups through five bioregions: Paraná, Patagônia, Páramo, Puna and Australasia. BPA results indicated older relationship between Australasia and the South American regions, and more recent relationship between Paraná and Patagônia. With S-DIVA it was possible to identify Paraná as the origin bioregion of Elaphropterini, where vicariance and dispersal events possibly associated with the Andes uprising, marine transgressions and formation of dry lowlands shaped the current distribution of the tribe.

Keywords: Phylogenetics. Cladistics. Taxonomy. Biogeography. South America. Paraná. Patagônia. Páramo. Puna. *Atopothygnus*. *Mesothygnus*.

LISTA DE FIGURAS

Figure 1 – Single most parsimonious tree resulting from cladistic analysis under 24 implied weighting scheme. Black circles indicate unequivocal synapomorphies and white circles indicate homoplastic synapomorphies, numbers above and below the circles indicate character number and state, respectively (L=638; CI=32; RI=72).

Figure 2 – Simplified version of the resulting tree showing at the node basis the 25 value of support obtained by symmetric resampling (L=638; CI=32; RI=72).

Figure 3-30 – Head related characters and structures of male individuals of 26 Thynninae. **3**, *Agriomyia odyneroides*; **4**, *Elaphroptera clypeicarinata*; **5**, *Aelurus albofacies*; **6**, *Anodontyra interrupta*; **7**, *Mesothynnus quadratus*; **8**, *Upa tridentata*; **9**, *Chrysothynnus inca*; **10**, *Upa impressiceps*; **11**, *Merithynnus pecki*; **12**, *Brethynnus infernus*; **13**, *Telephoromyia rufipes*; **14**, *Zeena anthracina*; **15**, *Brethynnus steinbachii*; **16**, *Mesothynnus unidentatus*; **17**, *Zeena aethiops*; **18**, *Zaspilothynnus biroi*; **19**, *Elaphroptera atra*; **20**, *Kaysara apiciconcava* Carnimeo, 2018; **21**, *Eucyrtothynnus cornutus*; **22**, *Scotaena reversa* Carnimeo, 2018; **23**, *Agriomyia luctuosa*; **24**, *Telephoromyia peruviana*; **25**, *Telephoromyia rufipes*; **26**, *Eucyrtothynnus apicalis*; **27**, *Dolichothynnus silvicola*; **28**, *Thynnoides fulvipes*; **29**, *Chrysothynnus inca*; **30**, *Elaphroptera atra*. Scalebar = 1mm.

Figure 31-58 – Head and mesosoma related characters and structures of male 27 individuals of Thynninae. **31**, *Zaspilothynnus biroi*; **32**, *Aelurus nasutus*; **33**, *Eucyrtothynnus avidus*; **34**, *Scotaena reversa*; **35**, *Kaysara apiciconcava*; **36**, *Thynnoides gracilis*; **37**, *Elaphroptera atra*; **38**, *Kaysara marginolicata*; **39**, *Upa ligulata*; **40**, *Aelurus nasutus*; **41**, *Upa longispina*; **42**, *Zaspilothynnus excavatus*; **43**, *Agriomyia odyneroides*; **44**, *Chrysothynnus inca*; **45**, *Agriomyia odyneroides*; **46**, *Anodontyra tricolor*; **47**, *Eucyrtothynnus catamarcensis*; **48**, *Scotaena reversa*; **49**, *Aelurus nasutus*; **50**, *Telephoromyia rufipes*; **51**, *Brethynnus infernus*; **52**, *Elaphroptera atra*; **53**, *Zeena aethiops*; **54**, *Telephoromyia rhombica*; **55**, *Upa ligulata*; **56**, *Elaphroptera montifacies*; **57**, *Eucyrtothynnus consobrinus*; **58**, *Elaphroptera hyalinipennis*. Scalebar = 1mm, except for 37, 38 and 39 (0,5mm).

Figure 59-86 – Mesosoma and legs related characters and structures of male 28 individuals of Thynninae. **59**, *Upa nasuta*; **60**, *Zaspilothynnus biroi*; **61**, *Dolichothynnus silvicola*; **62**, *Scotaena reversa*; **64**, *Eucyrtothynnus cornutus*; **64**, *Kaysara apiciconcava*; **65**, *Telephoromyia peruviana*; **66**, *Elaphroptera atra*; **67**, *Zaspilothynnus excavatus*; **68**, *Zeena aethiops*; **69**, *Dolichothynnus silvicola*; **70**, *Dolichothynnus carbonarius*; **71**, *Elaphroptera sanguicauda*; **72**, *Telephoromyia rufipes*; **73**, *Zaspilothynnus biroi*; **74**, *Anodontyra interrupta*; **75**, *Upa longispina*; **76**, *Zaspilothynnus biroi*; **77**, *Anodontyra tricolor*; **78**, *Atopothynnus tumidus*; **79**, *Eucyrtothynnus consobrinus*; **80**, *Elaphroptera atra*; **81**, *Zaspilothynnus biroi*; **82**, *Merithynnus pecki*; **83**, *Telephoromyia rufipes*; **84**, *Chrysothynnus inca*; **85**, *Spilothynnus bituberculatus*; **86**, *Zeena aethiops*. Scalebar = 1mm.

Figure 87-106 – Mesosoma and metasoma related characters and structures of 29 male individuals of Thynninae. **87**, *Dolichothynnus silvicola*; **88**, *Scotaena reversa*; **89**, *Elaphroptera catamarcensis*; **90**, *Elaphroptera vulpina*; **91**, *Thynnoides gracilis*; **92**, *Elaphroptera montifacies*; **93**, *Thynnoides fulvipes*; **94**, *Spilothynnus laetus*; **95**, *Dolichothynnus silvicola*; **96**, *Eucyrtothynnus catamarcensis*; **97**, *Aelurus albofacies*; **98**, *Zeena aethiops*; **99**, *Anodontyra interrupta*; **100**, *Elaphroptera vulpina*; **101**, *Eucyrtothynnus avidus*; **102**, *Zaspilothynnus biroi*; **103**, *Eucyrtothynnus avidus*; **104**, *Kaysara apiciconcava*; **105**, *Agriomyia luctuosa*; **106**, *Thynnoides gracilis*. Scalebar = 1mm.

Figure 107-115 – Hypopygium related characters and structures of male 30 individuals of Thynninae. **107**, *Thynnoides fulvipes*; **108**, *Aelurus nasutus*; **109**, *Agriomyia odyneroides*; **110**, *Brethynnus infernus*; **111**, *Merithynnus pecki*; **112**, *Kaysara apiciconcava*; **113**, *Anodontyra interrupta*; **114**, *Elaphroptera clypeicarinata*; **115**, *Elaphroptera hyalinipennis*. Scalebar = 1mm.

Figure 116 – Distribution maps of Elaphropterini. At the right, a political map of 33 South America with plotted circles representing the locality records for the Elaphropterini species and colored according to the four South American bioregions in which they are distributed. At the left bottom, topographic map of South America, with plotted black circles representing the locality records for the Elaphropterini species. Geodetic datum: SIRGAS 2000.

Figure 117 – BPA analysis cladograms showing the relationship hypothesis 33

between the distribution areas of Elaphropterini. A: BPA1 cladogram; B: BPA2 cladogram.

Figure 118 – Distribution map of the studied Thynninae species in South America and Australasia, overlaid by the resulting cladogram of the BPA2 analysis. The schematic figure illustrates the relationship between the bioregions. Geodetic datum: SIRGAS 2000. 34

Figure 119 – S-DIVA analysis cladogram representing the ancestral distribution of Elaphropterini. The numbers indicate the three nodes, the pie graphic coloured circles correspond to hypothetical ancestral areas. Circles with more than one color indicate more than one possibility of ancestral area. The letters in parentheses before the species names indicate their current distribution. 35

Figure 120-126 – *Atopothygnus tumidus* **sp. nov.** 120, head in frontal view; 121, body in lateral *habitus*; 122, epipygium in posterior view; 123, hypopygium in ventral view; 124, genital capsule in dorsal view; 125, genital capsule in lateral view; 126, genital capsule in ventral view. 39

Figure 127-133 – *Mesothygnus quadratus* **sp. nov.** 127, head in frontal view; 128, body in lateral *habitus*; 129, epipygium in posterior view; 130, hypopygium in ventral view; 131, genital capsule in dorsal view; 132, genital capsule in lateral view; 133, genital capsule in ventral view. 41

Figure 134-140 – *Mesothygnus sulcatus* **sp. nov.** 134, head in frontal view; 135, body in lateral *habitus*; 136, epipygium in posterior view; 137, hypopygium in ventral view; 138, genital capsule in dorsal view; 139, genital capsule in lateral view; 140, genital capsule in ventral view. 44

Figure 141-147 – *Mesothygnus unidentatus* **sp. nov.** 120, head in frontal view; 121, body in lateral *habitus*; 122, epipygium in posterior view; 123, hypopygium in ventral view; 124, genital capsule in dorsal view; 125, genital capsule in lateral view; 126, genital capsule in ventral view. 48

LISTA DE TABELAS

Table 1 – List of species of the ingroups (Elaphropterini) and outgroups (Rhagigasterini, Scotanini and Thynnini) sampled to compose the matrix of phylogenetic and biogeographic analyses. Collections from where the material was obtained are listed in the last column.	15
APPENDIX A – List of genera and species of Elaphropterini, showing the sex described for each species, author and year of description, collections where specimens can be deposited (‘?’ when this information is missing), and the species that were included in this work (last column). The asterisk ‘*’ marks the type species of each genera (column Species) and the collection where each species’ holotype is deposited (column Collection).	68
APPENDIX C – Character matrix.	87
APPENDIX D – Distributional dataset of Elaphropterini.	90
APPENDIX E – Distributional dataset of ingroups and outgroups.	99
APPENDIX F – BPA matrix.	103

LISTA DE ABREVIATURAS E SIGLAS

AHI: Alexander von Humboldt Biological Resources Research Institute

AMNH: American Museum of Natural History

BME: Bohart Museum of Entomology

BRI: Biosystematics Research Institute

CMNH: Carnegie Museum of Natural History, Pittsburgh, USA (J. Rawlins);

COP: Zoologisk Museum, Copenhagen, Denmark (L. Vilhelmsen);

CUIC: Cornell University Insect Collection, Ithaca, USA (C. Moreau);

DZSJRP-Hymenoptera: Coleção de Hymenoptera, Departamento de Zoologia e Botânica, São José do Rio Preto

FIGS: Figures

FSCA: Florida State Collection of Arthropods

IBILCE: Instituto de Biociências, Letras e Ciências Exatas

INPA: Instituto de Pesquisas Amazônicas

IPE: Institute für Pflanzenschutzforschung

MACN: Museo Argentino de Ciencias Naturales

MCZ: Museum of Comparative Zoology, Harvard University

MFN: Museum für Naturkunde Berlin

MHNG: Muséum d'histoire naturelle, Geneva

MZUSP: Museu de Zoologia da Universidade de São Paulo

NHM: The Natural History Museum

OUM: Hope Entomological Collections, Oxford University Museum

SDEI: Senckenberg Deutsches Entomologisches Institut

SMNH: Smithsonian Museum of Natural History

UFES: Universidade Federal do Espírito Santo

Summary

1. INTRODUCTION.....	11
1.1 Systematics and distribution of Thynninae.....	12
1.2 Objectives.....	14
2. MATERIAL AND METHODS.....	15
2.1 Sampling.....	15
2.2 Phylogenetic analysis.....	18
2.3 Biogeographic analyses.....	19
3. RESULTS.....	21
3.1 Phylogenetic analysis.....	21
3.2 Biogeographic analyses.....	29
3.3 Taxonomy.....	34
<i>Atopothygnus tumidus</i> Carnimeo, spec. nov.....	34
<i>Mesothygnus quadratus</i> Carnimeo, sp. nov.....	38
<i>Mesothygnus sulcatus</i> Carnimeo, sp. nov.....	43
<i>Mesothygnus unidentatus</i> Carnimeo, sp. nov.....	46
4. DISCUSSION.....	51
4.1 Phylogenetic analysis.....	51
4.2 Diagnostic and synapomorphic traits.....	53
4.3 Biogeography and distribution.....	56
5. FINAL CONSIDERATIONS	60
6. REFERENCES	61
APPENDIX A. List of genera and species of Elaphropterini.....	68
APPENDIX B. List of the 166 morphological characters.....	71
APPENDIX C. Character matrix ¹	87
APPENDIX D. Distributional dataset of Elaphropterini ¹	90
APPENDIX E. Distributional dataset of ingroups and outgroups ¹	99
APPENDIX F. BPA Matrix ¹	103
APPENDIX G. Taxonomic conspectus.....	103
<i>Ammodromus</i> Guérin-Ménéville, 1838.....	104
<i>Argenthygnus</i> Genise, 1991 (in Genisey & Kimsey, 1991: 58).....	104
<i>Atopothygnus</i> Kimsey, 1991.....	105
<i>Brethygnus</i> Genise, 1991 (in Genisey & Kimsey, 1991).....	105
<i>Chrysothygnus</i> Turner, 1910a.....	106
<i>Dolichothygnus</i> Turner, 1910a.....	107
<i>Elaphroptera</i> Guérin-Ménéville, 1838.....	107
<i>Eucyrtothygnus</i> Turner, 1910a.....	112
<i>Merithygnus</i> Kimsey, 1991.....	118
<i>Mesothygnus</i> Kimsey, 1991.....	120
<i>Spilothygnus</i> Ashmead, 1903.....	122
<i>Telephoromyia</i> Guérin-Ménéville, 1838.....	124
<i>Upa</i> Kimsey, 1991.....	125
<i>Zeena</i> Kimsey, 1991.....	127

¹Accompanies CD-ROM containing the appendix in a separate file.

1. INTRODUCTION

Insects (Hexapoda) constitute the most diverse group of organisms on the Earth, presenting more than one million species, which comprises 60% of the known fauna (Rafael, *et al*, 2012). The four most diverse insect orders are Hymenoptera, Coleoptera, Lepidoptera and Diptera all of which with more than 100.000 described species (Fernández & Sharkey, 2006). Hymenoptera, where are classified the ants, bees and wasps, surpasses 130,000 species, with 10,000 of them only in Brazil (Rafael, *et al*, 2012). This order contains several pollinator, predator and parasitoid species, being therefore considered largely beneficial to humans (Triplehorn & Johnson, 2011). Hymenoptera is traditionally divided into the suborders “Symphyta” and Apocrita. “Symphyta” consists in a paraphyletic group for the most basal groups of Hymenoptera. Apocrita is monophyletic and includes the majority of hymenopterans, which presents as its main characteristic the abdominal constriction (Fernández & Sharkey, 2006).

In the same way, Apocrita is divided into “Parasitica” (paraphyletic group of parasitoid wasps) and Aculeata, corresponding to the stinging hymenopterans (Hanson & Gauld, 2006). Aculeata encompasses three superfamilies: Chrysoidea, Apoidea and Vespoidea. The last presents about 7,000 Neotropical species, with great morphological and biological diversity, and is composed by 10 families: Bradynobaenidae, Formicidae, Mutillidae, Pompilidae, Rhopalosomatidae, Sapygidae, Scoliidae, Sierolomorphidae, Tiphiidae and Vespidae (Fernández, 2001).

Tiphiidae (Hymenoptera) is a little studied family of stinging wasps, despite that more than 2,000 species and 120 genera are known (Hanson & Gauld, 2006). The family biology is largely unknown, but these wasps are traditionally referred as ectoparasitoids of scarab beetle larvae (Coleoptera, Scarabaeoide), although there are records of Cerambycidae, Cicindelidae (Coleoptera), and Gryllotalpidae (Orthoptera) being parasitized by tephritids (Brothers & Finnamore, 1995; Kimsey & Brothers, 2006;

Kimsey, 2006). Tiphidae presents cosmopolitan distribution and is divided into seven subfamilies (Anthoboscinae, Brachycistidinae, Diamminae, Methochinae, Myzininae, Thynninae and Tiphinae), of which Thynninae is the most species-rich (Fernández & Sharkey, 2006). The taxonomy of the South American Thynninae (Tiphidae), similar to several Neotropical insect groups, is scarce and needs revisions. Several genera, especially *Elaphroptera* Guérin-Meneville, 1838 and *Scotaena* Klug, 1810 were considered dumping grounds for species for a long time (Genise & Kimsey, 1991; Carnimeo & Noll, 2018).

1.1 Systematics and distribution of Thynninae

The first phylogenetic analysis conducted for Thynninae (Kimsey, 1992) included only South American genera and resulted in three clades: Rhagigasterini (represented only by *Aelurus* Klug, 1840), Scotaenini (a tribe described by the author to encompass seven genera related to *Scotaena*) and a clade of 14 genera apparently related to *Elaphroptera*: *Ammodromus* Guérin-Ménéville, 1838; *Argenthynnus* Genise, 1991; *Atopothygnus* Kimsey, 1991; *Brethygnus* Genise, 1991; *Chrysothygnus* Turner, 1910; *Dolichothygnus* Turner, 1910; *Elaphroptera*; *Eucyrtothygnus* Ashmead, 1903; *Merithynnus* Kimsey, 1991; *Mesothygnus* Kimsey, 1991; *Spilothygnus* Ashmead, 1903; *Telephoromyia* Guérin-Ménéville, 1838; *Upa* Kimsey, 1991; and *Zeena* Kimsey, 1991. Kimsey suggests that the last 14 genera should be related to the Australian genera of the tribe Thynnini, although it was not shown in the analysis. The author provided a list of Australian species used as outgroups, but decided not to include them in the analysis' matrix, using a hypothetical ancestor instead. Years later, Kimsey (2004b) started to use the tribal name Elaphropterini when referring to the 14 genera clade established in her last analysis (Kimsey, 1992), despite never formally describing it. Besides Kimsey's studies, very little was done in the last decades in order to advance

in the knowledge of phylogenetic relationships between the Thynninae genera, particularly the Neotropical ones. Research in the group is difficult to get started regarding, mainly, the scarcity of taxonomic information and identification keys, mostly on species level, and also the impediments on obtaining deposited identified species for reference from several museums' collections.

The subfamily presents Gondwanan distribution, the tribes Elaphropterini and Scotanini are exclusive to the Neotropical region, Thynnini is Australasian and Rhagigasterini occur in both regions (Kimsey, 1992; 2004). As well as Elaphropterini, the tribe Thynnini apparently was never formally described, being a conglomerate of the Australasian species not classified in Rhagigasterini. Despite its biogeographically interesting distribution, studies that explore that kind of information are also scarce, and the ones that do usually are limited to cite the tribe's Gondwanan distribution, and almost never using maps for it (Kimsey, 1992; 2004; Fernández & Sharkey, 2006; Kimsey, 2006). A few studies can be cited by presenting distribution maps, and most of them are generic revisions: *Aelurus* (Kimsey, 1991b), *Elaphroptera* (Genise & Kimsey, 1993), *Upa* (Kimsey, 1996a; Justino *et al*, 2013), Rhagigasterini (Kimsey, 1996b), *Oncorhinothynnus* Salter, 1954 (Kimsey, 2000), *Merithynnus* (Kimsey, 2005). Therefore, in our previous studies, efforts were made in order to try to elucidate and present distribution patterns for the South American genera studied: *Scotaena*; *Kaysara* Carnimeo, 2018; *Pamathynnus* Carnimeo, 2018; and *Pseudoscotaena* Carnimeo, 2018 (Carnimeo *et al*, 2017; Carnimeo & Noll, 2018).

Considering all the bibliography cited above, potential distribution patterns could be observed for Elaphropterini: several species are associated to the Andean region, many others are distributed through the Atlantic Rainforest and some can be found between these regions, in the Chaco. Similar distribution patterns could be observed in

lizards and birds, that were originated in the Andean region and dispersed later to the Atlantic Rainforest through corridors of Chaco and Cerrado, respectively (Prates *et al.*, 2017; Trujillo-Arias *et al.*, 2017). However, in Thynninae, we also must consider the Australasian components in order to try to understand the origin and route of diversification of the group, which can only be uncovered by systematics and biogeographic studies.

1.2 Objectives

In view of the discussed above, the present study has the following objectives:

- To conduct a phylogenetic analysis in order to test the monophyly of Elaphropterini and elucidate the relationships between its genera;
- To perform biogeographic analyses that could recognize the origin and diversification events for the Elaphropterini genera, as well as the relationship between its occurrence areas.

5. FINAL CONSIDERATIONS

The monophyly of Elaphropterini was recovered, as well as most of its genera, with exception of *Telephoromyia*. The majority of the genera obtained high support values, especially *Elaphroptera* and *Eucyrtothynnus*, that besides being the most diverse genera, showed the highest support value. On the other hand, the more inclusive clades were not well supported, what shows difficulty in uncovering the relationships between genera. Therefore, more phylogenetic studies are still needed, once intra-generic, inter-generic and tribal relationships are yet to be better understood.

In order to make studies like this feasible, collecting more specimens and producing taxonomic bibliography that allows its proper identification is still much needed, mainly on species level. Only then the use of invasive characters, such as those from genitalia and molecular data, will be possible. With the increase of sampling and phylogenetic data, description of new species and clarification of taxonomic problems will be much more viable and reliable. Revisions and phylogenetic analyses for the genera should be performed, more urgently for the most complicated ones, like *Ammodromus*, *Spilothynnus* and *Telephoromyia*.

The ancestral distribution of Elaphropterini must have been associated with tropical forests that extended through southeastern South America and north to south of the Andes, which allowed dispersal of populations, before being posteriorly isolated by increasing altitudes and formation of dry plains. This resulted in a disrupted distribution between forested highlands of four South American bioregions, although increasing number of records and altitudinal information is still needed in order to track a more accurate biogeographic history. Increasing samples for the obtainment of molecular data will also enhance biogeographic information by allowing phylogeographic studies.

6. REFERENCES

- Adobe Systems Inc. (2019) Berkeley, CA. Available in: <https://www.adobe.com/br/>.
- Almeida, E.A.B., Pie, M.R., Brady, S.G. & Danforth, B.N. (2012) Biogeography and diversification of colletid bees (Hymenoptera: Colletidae): emerging patterns from the southern end of the world. *Journal of Biogeography*, 39, 526–544.
- Amorim, D.S. (2002) Fundamentos de Sistemática Filogenética. Editora *Holos*, Ribeirão Preto. 156 pp.
- Amorim, D.S. & Pires, M.R.S. (1996) Neotropical biogeography and a method for maximum biodiversity estimation. In: Bicudo, C.E.M. & Menezes, N.A. (Eds.), *Biodiversity in Brazil, a first approach*, pp. 183–219. CNPq, São Paulo, Brazil.
- André, E. 1904. Notice sur quelques Mutillides et Thynnides du Chili. *Zeitschrift für Systematische Hymenopterologie und Dipterologie*. 4, 305–319.
- Araújo, M., Alger, K., Rocha, R. & Mesquita, C.A.B. (1998) Mata Atlântica do Sul da Bahia. *Cadernos da Reserva da Biosfera da Mata Atlântica*, 8, 5–35.
- Ashmead, W.H. (1903) Classification on the fossorial, predaceous and parasitic wasps, of the superfamily Vespoidea. *Canadian Entomologist*. 35, 95–107.
- Azevedo, C.O., Molin, A.D., Pentead-dias, A., Macedo, C.C., Rodriguez-v, B., Dias, B.Z.K., Waichert, C., Aquino, D., Smith, D.R., Shimbori, E.M., Fernando, B., Gibson, G., Onody, H.C., Carpenter, J.M. & John, E. (2015) Checklist of the genera of Hymenoptera (Insecta) from Espírito Santo state, Brazil. *Boletim do Museu de Biologia Mello Leitão* 37, 313–343.
- Branstetter, M.G., Danforth, B.N, Pitts, J.P., Gates, M.W., Kula, R.R., & Brady, S.G. (2017) Phylogenomic Insights into the Evolution of Stinging Wasps and the Origins of Ants and Bees. *Current Biology*, 27, 1019–1025.
- Bréthes, J. (1910) Himenópteros Argentinos. *Anales del Museo Nacional de Buenos Aires* XX, 205–316.
- Briggs, J.C. (1995) *Global biogeography*. Elsevier Science, Amsterdam. Vol. 14, 1st Edition.
- Brooks, D.R. van Veller, M.G.P., & McLennan, D.A. (2002) How to BPA, really. *Journal of Biogeography*, 28, 345–358.
- Brothers, D.J. & Finnamore, A.T. (1995) The vespoid families (except vespids and ants). In: Goulet, H. & Huber, J.T. (Eds.) *Hymenoptera of the world: an identification guide to families*. Research Branch Agriculture Canada Publication, Ottawa, pp 161–278.
- Cabrera, A.L. & Willink, A. (1973) Biogeografía de América Latina. 1st Ed., Washington: *Secretaría General de la Organización de los Estados Americanos*, 126 p.

- Carnimeo, F.H., Justino, C.E.L. & Noll, F.B. (2017) First records of *Scotaena* Klug, 1810 species (Hymenoptera, Tiphidae, Thynninae) in the Brazilian Atlantic Rainforest. *Check List*, 13 (4), 255–260.
- Carnimeo, F.H. & Noll, F.B. 2018. On the dumping ground genus *Scotaena* Klug, 1810 (Hymenoptera, Tiphidae, Thynninae): Phylogeny, taxonomy, and geographic distribution. *Zootaxa*, 4300 (4), 451–490.
- Carvalho, C.J.B. & Almeida, E.A.B. (2012). Biogeografia da América do Sul: Padrões & Processos. São Paulo: 1ª Edição, Roca (Ed.), 306 p.
- Dalla-Torre, C.G. (1897) Catalogus Hymenopterum Hucusque, Descriptorum, Systematicus et Synonymicus. Volumen VIII: Fossores (Sphegidae). II Fam. Thynnidae Erichs. *Lipsiae*, 100p.
- Darling, D.C. & Sharkey, M.J. 1990. Hymenoptera. *Bulletin of the American Museum of Natural History*, New York, 195: 123–153.
- Dingle, R.V. & Lavelle, M. (1998) Late Cretaceous–Cenozoic climatic variations of the northern Antarctic peninsula: new geochemical evidence and review. *Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology*, 141, 215–232.
- Durán-Moya, L. (1941) Die Thynniden von Chile. *Archiv für Naturgeschichte*, 71–176.
- Faivovich, J., Garcia, P.C.A., Ananias, F., Lanari, L., Basso, N.G., & Wheeler, W.C. (2004) A molecular perspective on the phylogeny of the *Hyla pulchella* species group (Anura, Hylidae). *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution*, 32, 938–950.
- Fernández, F.C. & Sharkey, M. J. (2006) Introducción a los Hymenoptera de La Región Neotropical. *Sociedad Colombiana de Entomología*, 893p.
- Fernández, F.C. (2001) Checklist of Genera and Subgenera of Aculeate Hymenoptera of the Neotropical Region (Hymenoptera: Vespomorpha). *Biota Colombiana* 2 (2), 87–130.
- Fox, W.J. (1898) Contributions to a knowledge of the Hymenoptera of Brazil, n°4: Thynninae and additions. *Proceeding of the academy of natural sciences of Philadelphia*, 72–75.
- García-Moreno, J., Arctander, P., & Fjeldså, J. (1999) A case of rapid diversification in the neotropics: phylogenetic relationships among *Cranioleuca spinetails* (Aves, Furnariidae). *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution*, 12, 273–281.
- Genise, J. & Kimsey, L.S. (1991) New genera of South American Thynninae (Tiphidae, Hymenoptera). *Psyche: A Journal of Entomology* 98, 57–69.
- Genise, J. & Kimsey, L.S. (1993) Revision of the South American Thynninae genus *Elaphroptera* Guerin-Meneville (Hymenoptera: Tiphidae). *Journal of Hymenoptera Research*, 2, 195–220.

- Goloboff, P.A. (1993). Estimating character weights during tree search. *Cladistics* 9, 83–91.
- Goloboff, P.A., Farris, J.S. & Nixon, K.C. (2008) TNT, a free program for phylogenetic analysis. *Cladistics*, 24, 774–786.
- Goodwin, Z.A., Harris, J.D., Filer, D., Wood, J.R.I. & Scotland, R.W. (2015) Widespread mistaken identity in tropical plant collections. *Current Biology*, 25, 1057–1069.
- Gravit Designer (2020) Version 2020-1.2.1. Corel Corporation. Available on: <https://www.designer.io/en/>
- Grimaldi, D. & Engel, M.S. (2005) *Evolution of Insects*. Cambridge University Press, New York, 733 p.
- Guérin-Méneville, F.E. (1838). Voyage autour du monde, exécuté par ordre du roi, sur la corvette de sa Majesté, La Coquille. *Zoologie*, vol. 2, part 2.
- Haliday, A.H. (1837) Descriptions of Hymenoptera collected by Capt. King in the survey of Straits of Magellan. *Transactions of the Linnaean Society of London*. 17, 133–144.
- Hanson, P.Y. & Gauld, I.D. (2006) Hymenoptera de La Región Neotropical. *Memoirs of the American Entomological Institute*, Gainesville. 994 p.
- Hennig, W. (1966) Phylogenetic Systematics. *Univ. Illinois Press*, Urbana.
- Justino, C.E.L., Santos, E.F. & Noll, F.B. (2013) Geographic note on species of the genus *Upa* Kimsey, 1991 (Hymenoptera: Tiphidae, Thynninae) in the Atlantic Forest, Brazil. *Check List*, 9 (5), 1057-1061.
- Kimsey, L.S. & Brothers, D.J. (2006) Capítulo 56: Familia Tiphidae. In: Fernández, F.C. & Sharkey, M.J. (Eds.) *Introducción a los Hymenoptera de La Región Neotropical*. Sociedad Colombiana de Entomología, 583–594.
- Kimsey, L.S. & Brown, G.R. (1993) Lectotype designations within the subfamily Thynninae (Hymenoptera: Tiphidae). *Journal of the Australian Entomological Society*, 32, 317–326.
- Kimsey, L.S. (1991a) Additional new genera and species of south american thynnine wasps (Hymenoptera, Tiphidae). *Psyche: A Journal of Entomology*, 98, 71–80.
- Kimsey, L.S. (1991b) Revision of the South American wasp genus *Aelurus* (Hymenoptera: Tiphidae: Thynninae). *Systematic Entomology*, 16, 223–237.
- Kimsey, L.S. (1992) Phylogenetic relations among the South American thynnine tiphid wasps. *Systematic Entomology*, 17, 133–144.

- Kimsey, L.S. (1996a) Revision of the South American thynnine genus *Upa* (Hymenoptera: Tiphidae). *Proceedings of the Entomological Society of Washington*, 98, 55–63.
- Kimsey, L.S. (1996b) Phylogenetic Relationships of the Thynnine Wasp Tribe Rhagigasterini (Hymenoptera: Tiphidae). *Journal of Hymenoptera Research*, 5, 80–99.
- Kimsey, L.S. (2000) The western Australian genus *Oncorhinothynnus* Salter: new species and relationships (Hymenoptera: Tiphidae: Thynninae). *Proceedings of the Entomological Society of Washington*, 102 (2), 421–426.
- Kimsey, L.S. (2004a) Taxonomic changes and new generic synonymies in the tiphid wasp subfamily Thynninae (Hymenoptera: Tiphidae). *Proceedings of the Entomological Society of Washington*, 106, 508–512.
- Kimsey, L.S. (2004b) Illustrated keys to the genera of the male wasps in the subfamily Thynninae (Hymenoptera: Tiphidae). *Proceedings of the Entomological Society of Washington*, 106, 571–585.
- Kimsey, L.S. (2005) Revision of the Northern South American tiphid genus *Merithynnus* Kimsey, 1991 (Hymenoptera: Tiphidae: Thynninae). *Proceedings of the Entomological Society of Washington*, 107, 576–595.
- Kimsey, L.S. (2006) 14.2: Familia Tiphidae. In: Hanson, P.Y. & Gauld, I.D. (Eds.) *Hymenoptera de la Región Neotropical*. Memoirs of the American Entomological Institute. Gainesville, 994 p.
- Klug, J.C.F. (1810) Einigeneue Piezatengattungen. *Magazin Gesellschaft Naturforschender Freunde*, 4, 31–43.
- Klug, J.C.F. (1840). Über die insecten familie Heterogyna Lat. und die gattung *Thynnus* F. insbesondere. *Physikalische Abhandlungen der Königlichen Akademie der Wissenschaftenzu*. Berlin, 1–44.
- Kozub, D., Khmelik, V., Shapoval, J., Chentsov, V., Yatsenko, S., Litovchenko, B. & Starikh, V. (2000) Helicon Soft. Ltd. version 6.7.1 Pro.
- Lawver, L.A., Gahagan, L.M. & Coffin, M.F. (1992) The development of paleoseaways around Antarctica. In: Kennett, J.P. & Warnke, D.A. (Eds.) *The Antarctic paleoenvironment: a perspective on global change*, Antarctic Research Series, 56, 7–30. American Geophysical Union, Washington, DC.
- McLoughlin, S. (2001) The breakup history of Gondwana and its impact on pre-Cenozoic floristic provincialism. *Australian Journal of Botany*, 49, 271–300.
- Montet, G. (1922) Thynnidés nouveaux du Muséum d'Histoire Naturelle de Genève. *Revue Suisse de Zoologie*, 29, 176–226.

- Morrone, J.J. (2006) Biogeographic areas and transition zones of Latin America and the Caribbean Islands based on panbiogeographic and cladistic analyses of the entomofauna. *Ann. Rev. Entomol.*, 51, 467–494.
- Nixon, K.C. (2015). Winclada version 1.99. Published by the author. Ithaca, NY.
- Nores, M. (1992) Bird speciation in subtropical south America in relation to forest expansion and retraction. *Auk* 109, 346–357.
- Oliveira-Filho, A. & Ratter, J.A., 1995. A study of the origin of central Brazilian Forest by the analysis of plant species distribution patterns. *Edinburgh J. Bot.* 52, 141–194.
- Pascual, R., Ortiz-Jaureguizar, E. & Prado, J.L. (1996) Land mammals: paradigm for Cenozoic South American geobiotic evolution. In: Arratia, G. (Ed.) *Contribution of Southern South America to Vertebrate Paleontology*. Munchen Geowiss Abh (A), 30, p. 265–319.
- Pilgrim, E.M., von Dohlen C.D. & Pitts, J.P. (2008) Molecular phylogenetics of Vepoidea indicate paraphyly of the superfamily and novel relationships of its component families and subfamilies. *Zoologica Scripta*, 37 (5), 539–560.
- Prates, I., Melo-Sampaio, P.R., Drummond, L.O., Teixeira Jr, M., Rodrigues, M.T. & Carnaval, A.C. (2017) Biogeographic links between southern Atlantic Forest and western South America: Rediscovery, re-description, and phylogenetic relationships of two rare montane anole lizards from Brazil. *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution*, 113, 49–58.
- QGIS Development Team (2021) QGIS.org, QGIS Geographic Information System. QGIS Association. <http://www.qgis.org>
- Rafael, J.A., Melo, G.A.R., Carvalho, C.J.B. (de), Casari, S.A. & Constantino, R. (2012) Insetos do Brasil – Diversidade e Taxonomia. *Holos* Editora, 810 p.
- Rasnitsyn, A.P. (1986) Review of the fossil Tiphiidae with description of a new species (Hymenoptera). *Psyche*, 93, 91–101.
- Rivas-Martínez, S. & Tovar, O. (1983) Síntesis biogeográfica de los Andes. *Collectanea Botanica* (Barcelona), 14, 515–521.
- Ronquist, F. (1997) Dispersal–vicariance analysis: a new approach to the quantification of historical biogeography. *Systematic Biology*, 46, 195–203.
- Sanmartín, I. & Ronquist, F. (2004) Southern hemisphere biogeography inferred by event-based models: plant versus animal patterns. *Systematic Biology*, 53, 216–243.
- Schneider, H. (2003) Métodos de análise filogenética: um guia prático. Ribeirão Preto, *Holos* Editora, 114 p.

- Schuh, R.T. (2000). *Biological Systematics: Principles and Applications*. Cornell University Press, Ithaca, NY.
- Scotese, C.R., Gahagan, L.M. & Larson, R.L. (1988) Plate tectonic reconstructions of the Cretaceous and Cenozoic ocean basins. *Tectonophysics*, 155, 27–48.
- Scotland, R. W. & Pennington, T. (2000) Homology and Systematics: Coding Characters for Phylogenetic Analysis (Systematics Association Special Volume, 58). *Taylor and Francis*, London, 232 p.
- Sequeira, A.S. & Farrell, B.D. (2001) Evolutionary origins of Gondwanan interaction: how old are Araucaria beetle herbivores? *Biological Journal of the Linnean Society*, 74, 459–474.
- Smith, F. (1859) Family 6. Thynnidae, Erichson. Hymenoptera. Catalogue of the Hymenopterous Insects in the Collection of the British Museum, part 7, Dorylidae and Thynnidae, 10–72.
- Smith, F. (1879) Thynnidae, Erichson Descriptions of New Species of Hymenoptera in the British Museum, London, 158–177.
- Triplehorn, C.A. & Johnson, N.F. (2011) Estudo dos Insetos – Tradução da 7ª edição de Borror and DeLong's Introduction to the Study of Insects. *Cengage Learning*. 809 p.
- Trujillo-Arias, N., Dantas, G.P.M., Arbeláez-Cortés, E., Naoki, K., Gómez, M.I., Santos, F.B., Miyaki, C.Y., Aleixo, A., Tubaro, P.L. & Cabanne, G.S. (2017) The niche and phylogeography of a passerine reveal the history of biological diversification between the Andean and the Atlantic forests. *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution*, 112, 107–121.
- Turner, R.E. (1908a) Notes on the Thynnidae with remarks on some aberrant genera of the Scoliidae. *The Transactions of the Entomological Society of London*, 63–87.
- Turner, R.E. (1908b) A revision of Thynnidae of Australia, Part II. *Proceedings of the Linnean Society of New South Wales*, 209–256.
- Turner, R.E. (1909) Notes on fossorial Hymenoptera. I. *Annals and Magazine of Natural History including Zoology, Botany and Geology*, 4, 338–343.
- Turner, R.E. (1910a) Hymenoptera: Fam. Thynnidae. In: *Genera Insectorum V*, 1–62.
- Turner R.E. (1910b) On the Thynnidae and Scoliidae collected in Paraguay. *Zoologische Jahrbücher Abteilung Für Systematik, Geographie Und Biologie Der Tiere Herausgegeben*, 179–218.
- Turner, R.E. (1927) On a new Thynnid wasp from Paraguay. *Entomologische Mitteilungen*, XVI, 449 p.

Upchurch, P. (2008) Gondwana break-up: legacies of a lost world? *Trends Ecol. Evol.*, 23, p. 229–236.

Varón, A. Vinh, L.S. & Wheeler, W.C. (2010) POY version 4: phylogenetic analysis using dynamic homologies. *Cladistics*, 26, 72–85

Wheeler, W.C. (2003) Implied alignment: a synapomorphy-based multiple-sequence alignment method and its use in cladogram search. *Cladistics*, 19 (3), 261–268.

Yu, Y., Harris, A.J. & He, X.J. (2010) S-DIVA (Statistical Dispersal-Vicariance Analysis): a tool for inferring biogeographic histories. *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution*, 56, 848–850.

Yu Y., Blair C. & He XJ. (2020) RASP (Reconstruct Ancestral State in Phylogenies): a tool for historical biogeography. *Molecular Biology and Evolution*. 37 (2), 604–606.