

RESSALVA

Atendendo solicitação do(a) autor(a), o texto completo desta dissertação será disponibilizado somente a partir de 13/09/2023.



UNESP – UNIVERSIDADE ESTADUAL PAULISTA
“JÚLIO DE MESQUITA FILHO”
CÂMPUS DE PRESIDENTE PRUDENTE
Programa de Pós-graduação em Ciências Cartográficas

GUSTAVO GRASSI

**COLOR PREFERENCE OF CARTOGRAPHIC SYMBOL DESIGN RELATED TO
THE URBAN LANDSCAPE CHARACTERISTICS OF SÃO PAULO WESTERN
REGION FOR 1:10,000 TOPOGRAPHIC MAPS**

Presidente Prudente – SP

2021



UNESP – UNIVERSIDADE ESTADUAL PAULISTA
“JÚLIO DE MESQUITA FILHO”
CÂMPUS DE PRESIDENTE PRUDENTE
Programa de Pós-graduação em Ciências Cartográficas

GUSTAVO GRASSI

**COLOR PREFERENCE OF CARTOGRAPHIC SYMBOL DESIGN RELATED TO
THE URBAN LANDSCAPE CHARACTERISTICS OF SÃO PAULO WESTERN
REGION FOR 1:10,000 TOPOGRAPHIC MAPS**

Master Science dissertation presented to the
Programa de Pós-graduação em Ciências
Cartográficas, Faculdade de Ciências e
Tecnologia de Presidente Prudente/SP – UNESP.

Supervisor: Prof. Dr. Edmur Azevedo Pugliesi

Presidente Prudente – SP

2021

G769c	<p>Grassi, Gustavo</p> <p>Color preference of cartographic symbol design related to the urban landscape characteristics of São Paulo western region for 1:10,000 topographic maps / Gustavo Grassi. -- Presidente Prudente, 2021 145 p.</p> <p>Dissertação (mestrado) - Universidade Estadual Paulista (Unesp), Faculdade de Ciências e Tecnologia, Presidente Prudente Orientador: Edmur Azevedo Pugliesi</p> <p>1. Topographic map. 2. Cartographic design. 3. Cartographic symbol design. 4. Landscape. 5. Color preference. I. Título.</p>
-------	--

Sistema de geração automática de fichas catalográficas da Unesp. Biblioteca da Faculdade de Ciências e Tecnologia, Presidente Prudente. Dados fornecidos pelo autor(a).

Essa ficha não pode ser modificada.



UNIVERSIDADE ESTADUAL PAULISTA

Câmpus de Presidente Prudente

ATA DA DEFESA PÚBLICA DA DISSERTAÇÃO DE MESTRADO DE GUSTAVO GRASSI, DISCENTE DO PROGRAMA DE PÓS-GRADUAÇÃO EM CIÊNCIAS CARTOGRÁFICAS, DA FACULDADE DE CIÊNCIAS E TECNOLOGIA.

Aos 13 dias do mês de setembro do ano de 2021, às 14:00 horas, por meio de Videoconferência, realizou-se a defesa de DISSERTAÇÃO DE MESTRADO de GUSTAVO GRASSI, intitulada **COLOR PREFERENCE OF CARTOGRAPHIC SYMBOL DESIGN RELATED TO THE URBAN LANDSCAPE CHARACTERISTICS OF SAO PAULO WESTERN REGION FOR 1:10,000 TOPOGRAPHIC MAPS**. A Comissão Examinadora foi constituída pelos seguintes membros: Prof. Dr. EDMUR AZEVEDO PUGLIESI (Orientador(a) - Participação Virtual) do(a) Departamento de Cartografia / Faculdade de Ciências e Tecnologia de Presidente Prudente, Profa. Dra. CLAUDIA ROBBI SLUTER (Participação Virtual) do(a) Instituto de Geociências / Universidade Federal do Rio Grande do Sul, Prof. Dr. JOÃO VITOR MEZA BRAVO (Participação Virtual) do(a) Instituto de Geografia / Universidade Federal de Uberlândia. Após a exposição pelo mestrando e arguição pelos membros da Comissão Examinadora que participaram do ato, de forma presencial e/ou virtual, o discente recebeu o conceito final: APROVADO. Nada mais havendo, foi lavrada a presente ata, que após lida e aprovada, foi assinada pelo(a) Presidente(a) da Comissão Examinadora.

Prof. Dr. EDMUR AZEVEDO PUGLIESI

To my beloved parents, Zilda and Orlando, to my sister, Júlia, to my cats (Sami, Ninim, especially Ziva), to my dogs (Kate and Mulanguim), in memoriam of Lilica.

To my sensei, dr. Daisaku Ikeda.

To my great friends, Bruno, Mari, Vito, and colleagues with whom I shared this brief journey.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This study was funded by *Conselho Nacional de Desenvolvimento Científico e Tecnológico* – CNPq. Grant: 132401/2019-3.

I would like to thank the UNESP (*Universidade Estadual Paulista*) – *Faculdade de Ciências e Tecnologia, Câmpus de Presidente Prudente* and PPGCC (*Programa de Pós-graduação em Ciências Cartográficas*) for all support and infrastructure to develop this research.

I am thankful to my supervisor, Prof. Dr. Edmur Azevedo Pugliesi, for his guidance and support in this research.

I am thankful to my friends and colleges from PPGCC for their support and collaboration in developing this work.

I am thankful to all those who kindly agreed to participate in the research as subjects.

This study was financed in part by the *Coordenação de Aperfeiçoamento de Pessoal de Nível Superior - Brasil* (CAPES) - Finance Code 001.

“Buddhism is a teaching of unsurpassed reason. Therefore, the strength of your faith must manifest itself in the form of studying, exercising your ingenuity, and making twice as much effort as anyone else. Earnest daimoku is the wellspring for the energy to challenge these things.”

Daisaku Ikeda

Abstract

This research aims to evaluate the preference for buildings cartographic symbol designs for topographic maps at 1:10,000 scale, to define symbols that may represent part of the western urban landscape located in the state of São Paulo. Three proposals were designed to represent urban buildings in the context of topographic maps: Pink visually coherent with the national cartographic conventions; Orange visually coherent with the urban landscape of the western portion of the São Paulo state; Gray visually coherent with the digital navigational maps used frequently. The map evaluation considered the preference for the symbol designs by using two groups of participants, one named architects, and the other named non-architects. Participants gave their opinions about the designs, scored, and ordered them as well. The Orange design, understood as visually coherent with the urban landscape of the western portion of the São Paulo state, was the most preferred in all aspects, in terms of opinion, score, and order of preference. Despite that, the differences in score and order of preference between Orange and Pink designs were not statistically significant. On the other hand, the differences in score and order of preference between Gray and the two other designs were statistically significant, indicating that the Gray as the least preferred. The participants' opinions indicated that the preference for the Orange is related to the better contrast between the buildings and the other cartographic symbols on the map. Also, the preference was influenced by the visual comfort provided by the composition, for being more colorful and more attractive, when compared with Pink and Gray. Furthermore, from the statistical analysis considered, map users with background knowledge in architecture and those with other backgrounds could be considered part of the same population.

Keywords: Topographic map; Cartographic design; Cartographic symbol design; Landscape; Color preference.

Resumo

O objetivo desta pesquisa é avaliar a preferência de símbolos cartográficos de edificações em mapas topográficos na escala 1: 10.000, para definir símbolos que podem representar parte da paisagem urbana localizada no oeste do estado de São Paulo. Foram elaboradas três propostas de mapas topográficos para representação de edifícios urbanos: Rosa visualmente coerente com as convenções cartográficas nacionais; Laranja visualmente coerente com a paisagem urbana do oeste paulista; Cinza visualmente coerente com os mapas de navegação digitais usados com frequência. A avaliação do mapa considerou a preferência pelos desenhos dos símbolos usando dois grupos de participantes nomeados de arquitetos e não arquitetos. Os participantes deram suas opiniões sobre os designs, pontuaram e também os ordenaram. O design Laranja entendido como visualmente coerente com a paisagem urbana do oeste paulista foi o mais preferido em todos os aspectos, ou seja, em termos de comentários, pontuação e ordem de preferência. Apesar disso, as diferenças em pontuação e ordem de preferência entre os designs Laranja e Rosa não foram estatisticamente significativas. Por outro lado, as diferenças na pontuação e ordem de preferência entre Cinza e os dois outros designs foram estatisticamente significativas, indicando que o Cinza foi o menos preferido. As opiniões dos participantes indicaram que a preferência pelo Laranja está relacionada com o melhor contraste entre as edificações e os demais símbolos cartográficos no mapa. Além disso, a preferência foi influenciada pelo conforto visual proporcionado, por ser mais colorido e atraente que o Rosa e o Cinza. Além disso, a partir da análise estatística utilizada nesta pesquisa, os usuários do mapa com formação em arquitetura e aqueles com outras origens podem ser considerados parte da mesma população.

Palavras-chave: Mapa topográfico; Projeto cartográfico; Projeto de símbolo cartográfico; Paisagem; Preferência por cor.

Figure Index

Figure 1 – Electromagnetic spectrum.....	25
Figure 2 – Human eye components.....	26
Figure 3 – Grouping by color similarity, white circles versus black circles	28
Figure 4 – Difference between the perception of the figure and ground as a function of the contour: a) weak contour refers to the weak perception of the ground; b) better differentiation between the figure and the ground by highlighting the outline.....	29
Figure 5 – Example of simultaneous contrast or induction.....	32
Figure 6 – Example of successive contrast or color differentiation.....	33
Figure 7 – Workflow for creating the three designs.....	36
Figure 8 – Area of study in the São Paulo County highlighted by black contour selected for this research.....	38
Figure 9 – Clippings of satellite images in the true color composition of cities in the São Paulo state	44
Figure 10 – Clippings of satellite images in true color composition from the capital and metropolitan region of the São Paulo state.....	45
Figure 11 – Clippings from municipalities of the western of the São Paulo state: a, b, c, d, e, f satellite images in true color composition, 2020 g, h, i, j, k, l orthoimages at 1:10,000 scale, 2010.....	46
Figure 12 – Clippings of digital navigational maps: Google Maps above and Waze online below	47
Figure 13 – Clippings of proposed designs applied for the area 01 at 160 dots per inch resolution, zoom 100%: Pink (on the top), Orange (in the middle), Gray (at the bottom)	50
Figure 14 – Proposed designs applied for the area 01: Pink (on the top), Orange (in the middle), Gray (at the bottom).....	51
Figure 15 – Proposed designs applied for the area 02: Pink (on the top), Orange (in the middle), Gray (at the bottom).....	52
Figure 16 – Proposed designs applied for the area 03: Pink (on the top), Orange (in the middle), Gray (at the bottom).....	53
Figure 17 – Proposed designs applied for the area 04: Pink (on the top), Orange (in the middle), Gray (at the bottom).....	54
Figure 18 – Proposed designs applied for the area 05: Pink (on the top), Orange (in the middle), Gray (at the bottom).....	55
Figure 19 – Proposed designs applied for the area 06: Pink (on the top), Orange (in the middle), Gray (at the bottom).....	56
Figure 20 – Proposed designs applied for the area 07: Pink (on the top), Orange (in the middle), Gray (at the bottom).....	57
Figure 21 – Workflow of the steps used to evaluate the user’s preference for the proposed designs.....	58
Figure 22 – Steps used during the interview with the questionnaires.....	63
Figure 23 – Boxplot showing means for each design, according to the preference of architects in (a) and non-architects in (b)	76
Figure 24 – Boxplot showing the means by design assessed by architects and non-architects.....	77
Figure 25 – Mean of preference responses from the group of (a) architects (b) and non-architects.....	79

Table Index

Table 1 – Specification of the feature classes selected for this work.....	39
Table 2 – Graphical specification of some feature classes presented in the T34-700 manual.	42
Table 3 – Graphical specification of the cartographic symbols	48
Table 4 – Architects' opinions about the Pink design	68
Table 5 – Architects' opinions by feature class in the Pink design	68
Table 6 – Architects' opinions about the Orange design.....	69
Table 7 – Architects' opinions by feature class in the Orange design.....	69
Table 8 – Architects' opinions about the Gray design.....	70
Table 9 – Architects' opinions by feature class in the Gray design.....	70
Table 10 – Non-architects' opinions about the Pink design.....	71
Table 11 – Non-architects' opinions by feature class in the Pink design	71
Table 12 – Non-architects' opinions about the Orange design	72
Table 13 – Non-architects' opinions by feature class in the Orange design.....	72
Table 14 – Non-architects' opinions about the Gray design	73
Table 15 – Non-architects' opinions by feature class in the Gray design	73
Table 16 – Summary of the statistical distribution employed to the mean of the datasets for the rating scores assigned by architects and non-architects. The significance level considered was 5% (≥ 0.05)	75

Summary

1. Introduction	14
1.1. Aim	18
1.2. Justification	19
1.3. Dissertation overview	20
2. Literature Review	21
2.1. Topographic Maps	21
2.2. Landscape	23
2.3. Light and receptors	24
2.4. Perceptual organization.....	26
2.5. Principles of colors for cartography.....	29
2.5.1. Color vision.....	29
2.5.2. Color components and color models.....	30
2.5.3. Color contrast and differentiation	31
2.5.4. Subjective reactions to color	33
2.6. Map evaluation.....	34
3. Cartographic design for the topographic maps	36
3.1. Planning the map.....	37
3.2. Preparing the cartographic database	38
3.3. Designing cartographic symbols for the proposed topographic maps	39
3.3.1. Visual coherence between the proposition and the national cartographic convention.....	41
3.3.2. Visual coherence between the proposition and the western landscape in the state of São Paulo	43
3.3.3. Visual coherence between the proposition and the digital navigational maps used frequently.....	46
3.4. Proposed designs and graphical specifications	48
4. Assessment of the user's preference	58
4.1. Preparing the questionnaire and commitment documents	59
4.2. Subjects	59
4.3. Experimental design.....	60
4.4. Procedure	61
5. Results	64
5.1. Analysis of the opinions regarding the characteristics of the designs	64
5.1.1. General analysis by the design composition	65

5.1.2.	Analysis by toponymy and individual cartographic symbols	66
5.2.	Analysis of the rating scores assigned to the designs	74
5.2.1.	Statistical analysis of the data distribution	74
5.2.2.	Comparison of the scores for architects and non-architects.....	75
5.2.3.	Comparison of the scores between architects and non-architects	76
5.3.	Analysis of the order of preference for the designs	77
5.3.1.	Percentage of the order of preference	78
5.3.2.	Paired comparison for architects and non-architects.....	79
5.3.3.	Paired comparison between the architects and non-architects.....	80
5.4.	Discussion	81
6.	Conclusions and recommendations	86
	REFERENCES	90
	APPENDIX A – DOCUMENTS FOR THE ETHICAL AND LEGAL PURPOSE PROVIDED FOR THE LOCAL RESEARCH AND ETHIC COMMITTEE	96
	APPENDIX B – QUALITATIVE DATA: SUBJECTS’ RESPONSES FOR WHAT THEY THINK ABOUT THE DESIGNS	106
	APPENDIX C – QUANTITATIVE AND ORDINAL DATA: SUBJECTS’ RESPONSES ABOUT SCORE RATING AND PREFERENCE ORDERING THE DESIGNS	133
	APPENDIX D – CATEGORIZATION OF DESCRIPTIONS REPORTED BY SUBJECTS IN TERMS OF AESTHETIC DIMENSIONS AND USABILITY ITEMS	142

1. Introduction

Topographic maps represent natural and anthropic geographic features of the Earth's surface (KEATES, 1973). These kinds of maps present a wide variety of landscape features, and they serve as a basis for several applications (ROBINSON et al., 1995; SSC, 2005; KENT, 2009; COLLIER, 2009). The beauty associated with topographic maps is related to how the landscape characteristics are symbolized cartographically (KENT, 2005). Each country has its symbology style, which is applied to the national topographic maps (ROBINSON et al., 1995) and the style may characterize a national identity (KENT, 2009; KENT; VUJAKOVIC, 2009).

Studies regarding the representation of topographic maps that have been conducted worldwide are limited (SLUTER et al., 2018). Some of the research in Europe focused on understanding the characteristics and peculiarities of the topographic map's styles used by the national mapping agencies in European countries (KENT, 2009; KENT; VUJAKOVIC, 2009, 2011; ORY et al., 2015). The style of a topographic map could be identified by symbology and texts, which is employed to describe landscape (KENT, 2005). Those works considered topographic maps at 1:50,000 scale to identify stylistic similarities between national symbology.

In the United States, Raposo and Brewer (2014) studied the preference of different cartographic designs by using orthoimages as background for U.S. topographic maps at 1:24,000 scale. They tested different designs for the whole U.S. considering several different landscapes. Their results identified that the preference for the topographic map design was influenced by the landscape portrayed.

In Brazil, efforts have been engaged on studying large-scale topographic maps mainly with cartographic generalization (COMÉ; SLUTER, 2015; CASTRO; SLUTER, 2019; GRASSI; PUGLIESI, 2020b), cartographic representation at multiple scales (MENDONÇA;

AMORIM; PUGLIESI, 2017), and cartographic symbol design (NATINGUE, 2014; SLUTER et al., 2018; LEMES NETO, 2020). Brazilian researchers have, recently, concentrated on studying large-scale topographic maps representations due to the absence of cartographic conventions¹ (SLUTER et al., 2018).

Paraná state established its large-scale cartographic conventions visually coherent with the national cartographic conventions (SLUTER et al., 2018). In São Paulo, cartographic conventions are established by *Instituto Geográfico e Cartográfico do Estado de São Paulo - IGCSP* (Geographic and Cartographic Institute of the São Paulo State), a state mapping agency. Among the products made and supplied by IGCSP, one of them is the topographic maps at 1:10,000 scale, which are resulting from photogrammetric restitution by using Computer-Aided Design (CAD) tools. Observing the urban landscape of the western region of the São Paulo state through a true color composition of satellite images or orthoimages at 1:10.000 scale, some features are noticeable: buildings in a mix of orange, red, and brown shades; paved roads in dark gray; and vegetation in medium-dark green shades. Those features seem to be representative of the urban space at 1:10.000 scale.

Both state agencies mentioned previously employ different ways of representing the urban landscape. It seems that both of them seek to follow visual coherence with the conventions established by the national topographic mapping² agency instead of coherence with certain features of the urban landscape, such as those buildings symbols in shades of red. According to Sluter et al. (2018), in Parana state the *Câmara Técnica de Cartografia e Geoprocessamento – CTCG* (Technical Chamber of Cartography and Geoprocessing) agency requested from their research group a study about large-scale topographic map conventions. They declared have followed principles of legibility for topographic maps which were

¹ The definition of cartographic conventions on topographic maps follows Kent and Vujakovic (2009), “e.g. surrounding the use of color, such as blue for water, brown for contours, green for vegetation, and black for ‘cultural’ features”.

² In Brazil, the expressions “national systematic mapping” or “systematic mapping” are used for topographic mapping.

presented by Keates (1973). The result presented by the authors is similar to the national cartographic conventions.

On the other hand, to represent the portion west of the São Paulo state on large-scale maps, designing cartographic symbols and evaluating the communication quality of those symbols are necessary to recommend to the IGCSA agency appropriate ways of characterizing the landscape. Since this study is purely scientific, were sought options and non-orthodoxy possibilities for conceiving a large-scale topographic map representation, which is the first Brazilian study to explore this unknown area.

The landscape representation through topographic map symbols could be established based on different aspects of visual coherence, such as the conventions established for the national topographic mapping, the experience or habit of using online maps for navigation, the similarity of the symbol with the regional landscape. The national cartographic conventions that represent the official topographic maps, for scales between 1:25,000 and 1:250,000, are available in the technical manual T34-700, are established by the *Diretoria de Serviço Geográfico do Exército* (Brazilian Army) (BRASIL, 2000). In the absence of cartographic conventions for large-scale topographic maps, the municipalities or the states could have available a standard symbology to produce a homogeneous mapping for all municipalities that respect the regional and local landscape characteristics.

The frequent experience or the habit of using online navigational maps (such as Google Maps, Bing Maps, ArcGIS Online, or Waze) may influence the preferences when choosing the symbolized landscape through a topographic map. Experience or habit is a Gestalt law in searching for visual patterns (ELLIS, 1955; MACEACHREN, 1995). According to Dearden (1984), familiarity and habit with particular places, such as forests, farms, or cities in recreational activities and travels, influenced the landscape preference. Additionally, Hammitt, Knauf, and Noe (1989) found that recreational activity preference is strongly related to experience in that activity, not their expertise.

The beauty and aesthetic of a place can be translated into cartographic conventions and applied to topographic maps (KENT 2005). Associating the cartographic symbol with the landscape could define identities that vary through the space on a topographic map. Thus, the cartographic convention could be thought of and developed to portray a local or a regional landscape.

The landscape perception is strongly related to the scale and to the observer's cultural background, and "it could be defined as the domain of the visible, that which the view encompasses" (SANTOS, 1988). Considering individual differences, people with different characteristics or levels of knowledge, such as a professional trained in architecture, an artist, or an ordinary person, could perceive the landscape differently (SANTOS, 1988).

The process of perceiving the local landscape that is portrayed on a topographic map may be entirely dissimilar among readers with different levels of knowledge. In addition, as the topographic map symbols would be consistent with the local landscape, the readers' preference for the landscape may influence his preference for different map designs.

In research carried out by Dearden (1984), the landscape preference of a group of participants was strongly influenced by the current living environment (last five years) and past landscape experiences. Also, the recreational activities and travel, otherwise, professional expertise and socio-economic variables did not influence them. In the matter of topographic map design preference, Raposo and Brewer (2014) found out that the landscape characteristics had direct influences on the map readers' preference.

Consequently, the landscape of the living environment seems to affect the individuals' preference for the landscape. It appears that the preference for different topographic map designs is closely related to the landscape characteristics. Although the national conventions are related to the landscape, the generic character of the landscape for the whole country may not be the most appropriate at the local and regional levels.

Hence, two questions are presented by considering the visual coherence between the propositions created in this research and the concepts that they represent, intending to supply the absence of large-scale topographic map conventions for the São Paulo state. First, which kind of design best represents the landscape of the western region of the state of São Paulo: national conventions, online maps for navigation, or urban landscape? Second, could professional training influence the preference for choosing different designs?

It is hypothesized that people who live in the west of the São Paulo state will prefer topographic maps at 1:10,000 scale more visually related to the landscape characteristics that are present in the region where they live due to previous experience with that landscape rather than a design visually coherent with the national cartographic conventions, or visually coherent with the online navigation maps.

1.1. Aim

This research aims to evaluate the preference for cartographic symbol designs that represent buildings on topographic maps at 1:10,000 scale, to define symbols that may represent part of the western landscape of the state of São Paulo. The specific objectives for this study are summarized in:

- Design three proposals of buildings cartographic symbol for topographic maps considering three types of visual coherence: national conventions, online maps for navigation, and urban landscape.
- Analyze the subject's opinions about each proposed design.
- Analyze the subject's preference for the designs in terms of score and order of preference.

1.2. Justification

The topographic maps at 1:10,000 scale, produced by the *Instituto Geográfico e Cartográfico do Estado de São Paulo* – IGCSP (Geographic and Cartographic Institute of the São Paulo State), are available in CAD (Computer-Aided Design) and paper formats. However, those types of material have their representations limited for database purposes and for viewing in digital media. In contrast, some countries offer online platforms for topographic maps, such as the United States of America (United States Geological Survey – USGS), Germany (*Geodateninfrastruktur Deutschland* – GDI), the United Kingdom (Ordnance Survey – OS), and the Netherlands (*Publieke Dienstverlening Op de Kaar* – PDOK), also due to the digital technology advances and a stable economy.

In Brazil, an initial effort has been conducted (<https://bdgex.eb.mil.br/bdgexapp/mobile/>), but it seems that official national topographic maps are far away to be constructed and used effectively online in the following years. Since there are no national cartographic conventions for maps at 1:10,000 scale, topographic maps produced by IGCSP should have their conventions.

Kent (2009) points out the importance of representing topographic maps as a means of revealing a “socially constructed landscape,” which could be understood as “achievement of political independence”. In addition to portraying the landscape, the cartographic symbols could reveal its beauty (KENT, 2005). A beautiful, pleasant, and attractive map tends to be used more often. In the digital environment, especially on the internet, the beauty and quality of the map are vital elements to attract the user to read, return and continue visiting your content (DEEB et al., 2015).

Topographic maps can be used to implement several applications that are part of people's daily lives. It is possible to think that the availability of topographic maps on the internet that reveal the landscape features can be reached by many users. In this sense, the

construction of a symbology based on theories of cartographic communication and psychology of perception can contribute to achieving map communication and encourage the use of topographic maps.

When considering the state of São Paulo, the most populous in Brazil, the development of user-oriented symbology can positively impact many users in different areas, such as education, urbanism, business, and engineering. This work aims to take a step towards those paths and seek an initial comprehension about the topographic maps preference with a group of people who live in the west of the São Paulo state and serve as a source of inspiration and reference for further studies research on this subject.

1.3. Dissertation overview

This dissertation was structured in the following five chapters. Chapter 2 “Literature review” serves as the theoretical basis for elaborating and evaluating the cartographic designs: topographic maps, landscape, light and receptors, perceptual organization, principles of color for mapping, and map evaluation. Chapter 3 “Cartographic design for the topographic maps” considers the processes of planning the map, obtaining and preparing the cartographic database, designing the cartographic symbols. Chapter 4 “Assessment of the user’s preference” presents the steps employed to prepare questionnaires, and commitment documents that are required for the interviews, the recruitment of subjects, the experimental design, and the details of the procedure that involves the map evaluation. Chapter 5 “Results” describes the process of analyzing qualitative and ordinal data obtained from the interviews with the subjects, taking into account the statistical distribution of the data, and the type of statistical analysis that fit with the data. Chapter 6 “Conclusion and recommendations” presents the analysis of the results and bring out ideas for further research.

6. Conclusions and recommendations

Each design conceived in this research was related to a specific concept: Orange consistent with the western landscape of the state of São Paulo, Pink consistent with national cartographic conventions, and Gray consistent with frequently used navigation maps. For each one of them, it was sought to employ the basic principle of readability to achieve effective cartographic communication in terms of satisfaction. Then, it is possible to affirm that this goal was completed for the design expected to be the most appropriate concerning the visual coherence with the local landscape since the average of the scores given by the architects and non-architects was high. They were more significant than nine for the group of the architects, on a scale ranging from zero to 10.

The Orange was the most preferred by both groups in terms of scores and order of preference. It was reported as the one with the highest visual contrast between the cartographic symbols. Compared to Orange, Pink was the second most preferred by both groups, mainly because of its slightly reduced visual contrast between the map symbols. However, the difference in preference (score and order) between Orange and Pink was not statistically significant. Gray was the least preferred by architects and non-architects, mainly due to the lack of contrast between the cartographic symbols. The difference in the preference between Gray and the colored designs was statistically significant, indicating it as the least preferred for using on a topographic map.

The hypothesis was partially confirmed. The design with more remarkable similarity to the local landscape was the most preferred by the participants. However, the motivation for this preference does not have happened by the supposed visual coherence with the local landscape. The determining factor reported by the participants for that preference was related to the orange color used to represent buildings that were visually contrasting with the other cartographic symbols present on the map. From the opinions, the terms ‘pleasurable,’

‘comfortable,’ ‘readable,’ and ‘beautiful’ stood out from other adjectives they used. Thus, from the analysis of the results, the Orange design is the most appropriate for a topographic map representing the buildings from the western portion of the state of São Paulo at 1:10,000 scale, among the three proposed designs at this study.

This approach of translating the local landscape for the topographic map aesthetic could be applied to any region, not just in urban areas, implying a non-standardized representation when regarding the “big picture” of different symbologies that will be used in different regions in a state and between them. Still, this approach allows people from other places to see the local identity cartographically. Furthermore, thinking in the long term, the cartographic convention will follow the landscape changes, which will allow knowing the landscape cartographically through the ages.

Important to highlight the hostile critics concerning the outline of the buildings in the types of designs, especially the Pink and Gray ones. The reason may be associated with displaying maps on the used website, around 10% smaller than the originals. Furthermore, the light gray color used to fill the blocks may have slightly impacted the reading since the density of information within the block was high, considering the representation of buildings at 1:10,000 scale. Additionally, a representation with blocks filled with white could be tested, intending to improve the legibility at 1:10,000 scale. Also, reading the buildings’ outline may have been impacted by different displays that were not controlled in this research. The participants’ displays vary in material (LCD or LED) associated with different resolutions, sizes, contrasts, brightness, and ambient lighting.

Future works can enhance the proposed designs and apply an experiment with a rigorous laboratory control that considers the characteristics of the display and lighting conditions or follow without laboratory control in an approach in line with a real case of use. Since topographic maps can be accessed almost everywhere through different media, the experiment could be adapted for seeing maps on smartphone or tablet devices.

Future topographic map evaluations could also consider aesthetic parameters by using adjectives such as 'beautiful,' 'pleasant,' 'attractive,' 'colorful,' 'not loaded' and so far, like those found out by Lavie, Oron-Gilad, and Meyer (2011). Also, further studies could use usability items like 'easy to find information,' 'information is clear,' 'easy to read,' and others, as recommended by Nielsen (1994). That kind of assessment could bring a more comprehensive understanding of the user's satisfaction and involve the user-oriented design methodology.

Another possibility of research could be performing a study that evaluates the impact of the urban morphology at the topographic map reading, comparing the subjective reactions, emotions, and perceptions among different areas (e.g., urban streets: the regular pattern versus the irregular pattern; urban buildings density: low, medium, and high).

Future investigations could also add feature classes, especially the hypsometric features (e.g., contour line and spot height), and verify if a more detailed map would critically impact map reading, resulting in different findings. Similarly, map elements could be added and assessed (e.g., legend, graphic scale, north, etc.). Important to emphasize is that the implications of presenting a complex map representation are unclear.

Another approach could connect several mapping scales in a multiscale topographic map in the Brazilian context, generating the possibility of seeing together: large-scale convention (municipalities and states), medium-scale convention (DSG), and small-scale convention (IBGE). This challenging line could bring light to the impact to the map readers of adopting a symbology visually coherent with the local landscape integrated into the national convention or even observing different symbologies originated by the local landscapes (e.g., the urban: capital city, countryside city, village; the rural: forest, swamp, farm).

In that sense, more investigations at larger scale topographic maps could bring light if there will be explicit visual coherence between the cartographic representations and the local landscape by working with larger scales and a more detailed building database. Additionally, orthoimages of the cities could be taken through aerial surveys with Remotely Piloted Aircraft

System – RPAS, since this kind of technology facilitates the way data is collected at large scales (e.g.: 1:5,000 or larger). Also, the images provided by RPAS would make it possible to symbolize the roofs of the buildings with greater levels of detail, which could better demonstrate the visual coherence between the map aesthetic and the local landscape of cities located in the west of the state of São Paulo.

REFERENCES

- BECK, Jacob. **Surface Color Perception**. Ithaca, NY: Cornell University Press, 1972.
- BRASIL. **Convenções Cartográficas: Catálogo de Símbolos. Manual Técnico - T 34-700, 2a parte**. 2ª ed. Brasília: Diretoria de Serviço Geográfico, 2000.
- BRASIL. Ministério da Defesa. Exército Brasileiro. Departamento de Ciência e Tecnologia. **Norma da Especificação Técnica Para Aquisição de Dados Geoespaciais Vetoriais (ET-ADGV 3.0)**. 1. ed. Brasília, 2018. EB80-N-72.005. Disponível em: <<https://inde.gov.br/>>. Acesso em: 20 nov. 2020.
- BREWER, Cynthia A. Review of colour terms and simultaneous contrast research for cartography. **Cartographica: The International Journal for Geographic Information and Geovisualization**, v. 29, n. 3, p. 20-30, 1992.
- BRUCE, Vicki; GREEN, Patrick R. **Visual Perception: Physiology, Psychology, and Ecology**. 2nd ed. Hove, UK: Erlbaum, 1990.
- CASTRO, Mônica Cristina; SLUTER, Claudia Robbi. Determinação de dimensões mínimas para simbologia de cartas topográficas urbanas. **Revista Brasileira de Cartografia**, vol. 71, n. 2, p. 434-458, 2019.
- COLLIER, Peter. Mapping, topographic. In: **International Encyclopedia of Human Geography**. Elsevier, p. 409-420, 2009.
- COMÉ, Sérgio; SLUTER, Claudia Robbi. Uma proposição para detecção das condições geométricas em cartas topográfica de regiões urbanas na escala 1:10.000 derivadas de 1:2.000. **Revista Brasileira de Cartografia**, v. 67, n. 6, 2015.
- DEARDEN, Philip. Factors influencing landscape preferences: an empirical investigation. **Landscape planning**, v. 11, n. 4, p. 293-306, 1984.
- DECANINI, Mônica M. S.; IMAI, Nilton Nobuhiro. Mapeamento na Bacia do Alto Paraguai: Projeto e Produção Cartográfica. **Revista Brasileira de Cartografia**, v. 52, p. 65-75, 2000.

DEEB, Rasha; OOMS, Kristien; EETVELDE, Veerle Van; MAEYER, Philippe De. Towards a deeper understanding of cartographic text visualisation: Assessment of user preferences and colour influence. **The Cartographic Journal**, v.52, n. 4, p. 325-335, 2015.

DENT, Borden D.; TORGUSON, Jeffrey S.; HOLDER, Thomas W. **Cartography: Thematic Map Design**. 6^a ed. Boston: McGraw Hill Higher Education, 2009.

DONDIS, Donis A.; CAMARGO, Jefferson Luiz. **Sintaxe da linguagem visual**. 2^a edição, 4^a tiragem. São Paulo: Martins fontes, 2003.

DOWNS, Roger M.; STEA, David. **Image and Environment: Cognitive Mapping and Spatial Behavior**. Chicago, IL: Aldine, 1973.

EDLER, Dennis; BESTGEN, Anne-Kathrin; KUCHINKE, Lars; DICKMANN, Frank. The Effects of Grid Line Separation in Topographic Maps for Object Location Memory. **Cartographica**, v. 49, n. 4, p. 207-217, 2014.

ELLIS, Willis D. **Sourcebook of Gestalt Psychology**. London: Routledge & Kegan Paul, 1955.

GOLDSTEIN, E. Bruce; BROCKMOLE, James. **Sensation and perception**. 9th ed., Cengage Learning, 2016.

GOMES FILHO, João. **Gestalt do Objeto: sistema de leitura virtual da forma João Gomes Filho**. Escrituras Editora e Distribuidora de Livros Ltda., 2015.

GRASSI, Gustavo; PUGLIESI, Edmur Azevedo. ANÁLISE DE CONCEITOS GESTALT NA SIMBOLOGIA DE FEIÇÕES ANTRÓPICAS EM TOPOGRÁFICOS ONLINE. In: COBRAC – 14^o Congresso de Cadastro Multifinalitário e Gestão Territorial, 2020, Florianópolis, **Anais de Evento**. Available in: <http://www.ocs.cobrac.ufsc.br/index.php/cobrac/cobrac2020/paper/view/795/330>. Access in: 07 Nov. 2021, 2020a.

GRASSI, Gustavo; PUGLIESI, Edmur Azevedo. Generalização Cartográfica Aplicada às Edificações e Vias para Mapa Interativo Multi Escala. In.: XI Colóquio Brasileiro de Ciências Geodésicas, 2020. **Anais de Evento**, Curitiba, p. 123-124, 2020b.

GÜNTHER, Hartmut. Como elaborar um questionário. Série: Planejamento de pesquisa nas ciências sociais. **Planejamento de pesquisa nas ciências sociais**, v. 1, p. 1-15, 2003.

HALLIDAY, David; RESNICK, Robert; WALKER, Jearl. **Fundamentos de Física, volume 3 – Eletromagnetismo**. Jearl Walker: tradução e revisão técnica Ronaldo Sérgio de Biasi. Rio de Janeiro: LTC, p. 395, 2009.

HELSON, Harry; LANSFORD, T. The Role of Spectral Energy of Source and Background Color in the Pleasantness of Objects Colors. **Applied Optics**, v. 9, n. 7, p. 1513-1562, 1970.

ITTEN, Johannes. **The Elements of Color**. John Wiley & Sons. 1970.

KEATES, John S. **Cartographic Design and Production**. 2nd ed. New York: Wiley. 1973.

KENT, Alexander J. Aesthetics: a lost cause in cartographic theory? **The Cartographic Journal**, v. 42, n. 2, p. 182-188, 2005.

KENT, A.J. “Topographic Maps: Methodological Approaches for Analyzing Cartographic Style”. **Journal of Map & Geography Libraries**, v. 5, n. 2, p. 131-156, 2009.

KENT, Alexander J.; VUJAKOVIC, Peter. “Stylistic Diversity in European State 1:50,000 Topographic Maps”. **The Cartographic Journal**, v. 46, n. 3, p.179-213, 2009.

KENT, Alexander J.; VUJAKOVIC, Peter. “Cartographic Language: Towards a New Paradigm for Understanding Stylistic Diversity in Topographic Maps”. **The Cartographic Journal**, v. 48, n. 1, p. 21–40, 2011.

KENT, Alexander J. Understanding aesthetics: the cartographers' response. **Bulletin of the Society of Cartographers**, v. 46, n. 1-2, p. 31-43, 2013.

LAVIE, Talia; ORON-GILAD, Tal; MEYER, Joachim. Aesthetics and usability of in-vehicle navigation displays. **International Journal of Human-Computer Studies**, v. 69, n. 1-2, p. 80-99, 2011.

LEMES NETO, N. **Avaliação da leitura de símbolos cartográficos para as feições de áreas de cultivo cana e café em mapa topográfico na escala 1:25.000**. (Dissertação de Mestrado). Master Science Thesis. Universidade Estadual Paulista, Faculdade de Ciências e Tecnologia de Presidente Prudente. 2018.

LYNCH, K. **The image of the city**. MIT Press, 1960.

MACEACHREN, Alan. M. **Some truth with maps: A primer on symbolization and design.** Washington, DC: Association of American Geographers, 1994.

MACEACHREN, Alan M. **How Maps Work: Representation, Visualization, and Design.** New York: Guilford Press. 1995.

MENDONÇA, Caio Henrique Chrisóstomo; PUGLIESI, Edmur Azevedo; AMORIM, Fabrício Rosa. Projeto e produção de mapa topográfico interativo entre as escalas 1:10.000 e 1:25.000. XXVII Congresso Brasileiro de Cartografia, 2017. **Anais de Evento**, Rio de Janeiro, p. 174-178, 2017.

MORIN, Karen M. Landscape Perception. In: **International Encyclopedia of Human Geography.** Elsevier, 2009, p. 409-420, 2009.

MORRISON, Joel L. A theoretical framework for cartographic generalization with emphasis on the process of symbolization. **International Yearbook of Cartography**, p. 115–127, 1974.

MUEHLENHAUS, Ian. **Web cartography: map design for interactive and mobile devices.** Boca Raton: CRC Press, 2013.

NATINGUE, Gilaida Rafael. **Proposta de Simbologia para as Cartas na Escala 1:5,000 no Contexto de Mapeamento Topográfico do Estado do Paraná.** 2014. Tese (Doutorado em Ciências Geodésicas) – Universidade Federal do Paraná, Setor de Ciências da Terra, Programa de Pós-Graduação em Ciências Geodésicas, Curitiba, 2014.

NIELSEN, Jacob. Heuristic evaluation. In: Nielsen, J., Mack, R.L. (Eds.), **Usability Inspection Methods.** New York, NY: John Wiley & Sons, 1994.

ORY, Jérémie; CHRISTOPHE, Sidonie; FABRIKANT, Sara Irina; BUCHER, Benedicte. How do Map Readers Recognize a Topographic Mapping Style? **The Cartographic Journal**, v. 52, n. 2, p. 193-203, 2015.

PENNA, Antônio Gomes. **Introdução ao gestaltismo.** Rio de Janeiro: Imago Ed., 2000.

PETERSON, Gretchen. **GIS cartography: a guide to effective map design.** 2nd ed., Boca Raton: CRC Press, 2014.

PRESTON, V. Questionnaire Survey. In: **International Encyclopedia of Human Geography**. Elsevier, p. 409-420. 2009.

PUGLIESI, Edmur Azevedo; DECANINI, Mônica Modesta Santos; RAMOS, Ana Paula Marques; TSUCHIYA, Ítalo. Métodos para Avaliação da Usabilidade de Sistemas de Navegação e Guia de Rota. **Revista Brasileira de Cartografia**, v. 65, n. 3, p. 571-589, 2013.

PUGLIESI, Edmur Azevedo; REIS, Yuri Correa dos; DECANINI, Mônica Modesta Santos; TACHIBANA, Vilma Mayumi. Drivers' Requirements for In-Car Route Guidance Information: Gender and Individual Differences. **Revista Brasileira de Cartografia**, v. 66, n. 5, p. 681-690, 2014.

PUGLIESI, Edmur Azevedo; MORETTI, Aline; RAMOS, Ana Paula Marques; TACHIBANA, Vilma Mayumi. Pontos de Referência para Navegação por Motoristas com Deficiência na Visão de Cores. **Revista Brasileira de Cartografia**, v. 71, n. 1, p. 31-53, 2019.

RAPOSO, Paulo; BREWER, Cynthia A. Landscape preference and map readability in design evaluation of topographic maps with an orthoimage background. **The Cartographic Journal**, v. 51, n. 1, p. 25-37, 2014.

ROBINSON, Arthur H. Psychological Aspects of Color in Cartography. **International Yearbook of Cartography**, v. 7, p. 50-59, 1967.

ROBINSON, Arthur H.; MORRISON, Joel L.; MUEHRCKE, Philip C.; KIMERLING, A. John; GUPTILL, Stephen C. **Elements of Cartography**. 6th Ed., New York, John Wiley & Sons, 1995.

SANTOS, M. Metamorfoses do espaço habitado. **São Paulo: Hucitec**, v. 4, 1988.

SHARPE, Deborah T. **The Psychology of Color and Design**. Chicago: Nelson-Hall. 1974.

SIMENSEN, Trond; HALVORSEN, Rune; ERIKSTAD, Lars. Methods for landscape characterisation and mapping: A systematic review. **Land use policy**, v. 75, p. 557-569, 2018.

SLOCUM, Terry A., MCMASTER, Robert B., KESSLER, Fritz C.; HOWARD, Hough H. **Thematic Cartography and Geographic Visualization**. 2nd ed., London: Prentice Hall. 2009.

SLUTER, Claudia Robbi; CAMBOIM, Silvana Philippi; IESCHECK, Andrea Lopes; PEREIRA, Luciane Borges; CASTRO, Monica Cristina; YAMADA, Melissa Midori; ARAÚJO, Vitor Silva “A proposal for Topographic Map Symbols for Large-Scale Maps of Urban Areas in Brazil”. **The Cartographic Journal**, v. 55, n. 4, p. 362-377, 2018.

STANTON, Neville A. Behavioral and Cognitive Methods. In: STANTON, N. A.; HEDGE, Alan; BROOKHUIS, Karel; SALAS, Eduardo; HENDRICK, HAL. **The handbook of human factors and ergonomics methods**, Boca Raton: CRC PRESS, cap. 27, 2005.

SUCHAN, Trudy A.; BREWER, Cynthia A. Qualitative methods for research on mapmaking and map use. **The Professional Geographer**, v. 52, n. 1, p. 145-154, 2000.

SWISS SOCIETY OF CARTOGRAPHY – SSC. **Topographic Maps - Map Graphics and Generalization**. Cartographic Publication Series No. 17, 2005.

TYNER, Judith A. **Principles of map design**. New York: Guilford Press, 2010.

WADE, Nicholas J.; SWANSTON, Mike T. **Visual Perception: An Introduction**. 2nd Ed. New York: Routledge, 2001.

WILLIAMS, Leon G. The effects of target specification on objects fixated during visual search. **Acta Psychologica**, v. 27, p. 355-360, 1967.

YOUNG, Mark S.; STANTON, Neville A. Applying Interviews to Usability Assessment. In: STANTON, N. A.; HEDGE, Alan; BROOKHUIS, Karel; SALAS, Eduardo; HENDRICK, HAL. **The handbook of human factors and ergonomics methods**, Boca Raton: CRC PRESS, cap. 29, 2005.