Secondary Metabolites of *Miconia rubiginosa*
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ABSTRACT The *Miconia* genus is the most representative of the Melastomataceae family, and some species are commonly used in Brazilian folk medicine as anti-inflammatory agents. In this work we investigated the leaves from *Miconia rubiginosa* (Bonpl.) DC, using high-speed countercurrent chromatography, which yielded 11 substances (eight flavonoids, gallic acid, casuarictin, and schizandriside). Identification was achieved using nuclear magnetic resonance spectroscopy and high-performance liquid chromatography–circular dichroism–diode array detection analyses.
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INTRODUCTION

*Miconia* is a genus of approximately 1,000 species¹ occurring in tropical America.²,³ The genus belongs to the pantropical Melastomataceae family with more than 166 genera that include about 4,300 species.² Many of these plants are used as medicine by people living in the Cerrado area.⁴ *Miconia* infusions and extracts and compounds isolated from members of the genus have demonstrated antimicrobial,⁵ antibiotic, antitumoral, analgesic, and antimarial activities.⁶,⁷

Previous phytochemical investigations of *Miconia* species have resulted in the isolation of triterpenes,⁸,⁹ flavonoids,¹⁰,¹¹ and quinones.¹²

The isolation of the compounds present in a crude extract is often performed by repeated processes based on adsorption column chromatography. In our case, we investigate mainly polar extracts containing glycosides, which can be irreversibly adsorbed on the stationary phase or even be degraded because of the catalytic activity of some solid supports. The isolated compounds are subjected to in vivo pharmacological tests, which require fast separation and milligram to gram quantities of pure compounds. High-speed countercurrent chromatography (HSCCC) uses no solid support and has a greater maximum capacity, excellent sample recovery, efficient separation of closely related natural products, and a wider choice of solvent systems compared with conventional high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC).

A prerequisite for the full understanding of molecular events involved in the biological activity of chiral molecules is the knowledge of their absolute configuration.¹³ The pharmacological and pharmacodynamic properties of biologically active chiral compounds are often strictly related to their stereochemistry. Enantiomers usually differ in biological activities because of their disparate interactions with enzymes and other naturally occurring chiral molecules.¹⁴ HPLC with circular dichroism (CD) detection is an excellent method for the separation and detection of these chiral compounds.

There are no reports concerning the chirality of molecules isolated from *Miconia* species; therefore, the scope of our analysis was to search for an efficient method to isolate in preparative scale the secondary metabolites contained in the infusion of *Miconia rubiginosa* (Bonpl.) DC and to establish the absolute configurations of the chiral isolates.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Reagents

All solvents used for HSCCC were of analytical reagent grade from Merck (Darmstadt, Germany). The solvents used for HPLC were of analytical grade from J.T. Baker (Phillipsburg, NJ, USA). Water was nanopure quality, obtained from a Milli-Q® purification system (Millipore Corp., Bedford, MA, USA).

Preparation of crude sample and sample solution

Aerial parts of *M. rubiginosa* were collected in March 2005 at Palmeiras da Serra, Pratânia, SP, Brazil, and authenticated by Dr. Luiz Fernando Rolim de Almeida from the Botany Institute, State University of São Paulo, Botucatu,
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The dried leaves of *M. rubiginosa* (100 g) were separated, powdered, and extracted at 70°C for 10 minutes in 1 L of water, yielding an infusion. Because of the presence of tannins, the infusion (1 L) was partitioned with ethyl acetate (3 × 500 mL), with the polymeric tannins remaining in the aqueous phase and the secondary metabolites transferred to the ethyl acetate phase. The ethyl acetate fraction was evaporated at 35°C under reduced pressure, yielding crude extract (1.5 g, 1.5% yield). The ethyl acetate fraction (1.5 g) was dissolved in a mixture consisting of 20 mL lower phase + 20 mL upper phase of the solvent system ethyl acetate/n-propanol/H₂O (14:0:8.8 by volume).

**HSCCC**

The preparative HSCCC instrument used in this study was from P.C. Inc. (Potomac, MD, USA). It was equipped with a multiplexer with two coils of 1.68-mm (i.d.) polytetrafluoroethylene tubing of approximately 80 and 240 mL, respectively, with a total capacity of 320 mL. The module at a flow rate of 1.0 mL/minute. After the mobile phase front emerged 20 mg of infusion and the effluent was monitored at 254 nm. The infusion was applied to a solid-phase extraction cartridge (20 mg, Sep Pak C₁₈, Waters), which was preconditioned with 10 mL of HPLC-grade methanol and 10 mL of water (18 µL·cm⁻¹). A suitable amount of sample (to load 20 mg of infusion/g of solid phase) was loaded onto the C₁₈ cartridge, eluted with 5 mL of H₂O/methanol (8:2, fraction 1), 5 mL of H₂O/methanol (1:1, fraction 2), and 5 mL of methanol (100%, fraction 3), and dried using a stream of N₂. The residues of the three fractions were redissolved in 2 mL of H₂O/methanol (8:2, vol/vol) and filtered through a membrane polytetrafluoroethylene filter (pore size, 0.45 µm; Millipore, Millipore), and 20 µL of this filtrate was subjected to HPLC-UV-diode array detection (DAD) analysis.

The chiral study was performed with a polysaccharide-derived chiral stationary phase column (Chiralcel OD-RH; particle size, 5 µm; 250 × 4.6 mm) protected by a Chiralcel OD-RH guard column (particle size, 5 µm; 10 × 4.0 mm) (Daicel Chemical Industries, Tokyo). The CD spectra were scanned between 220 and 420 nm for the resolved enantiomers using the online CD detector. The spectra were average computed over three instrumental scans, and the intensities are presented in terms of ellipticity values.

**Structural identification of the compounds**

Nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) spectra (one- and two-dimensional) were obtained in dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO)-d₆ using a Varian (Palo Alto) INOVA 500 spectrometer operating at 500 MHz for ¹H and 125 MHz for ¹³C.

**Spectroscopic data**

Compound 9 was a white amorphous powder of composition C₁₅H₁₄O₆ with λ_max (in methanol) of 214 and 279 nm. By ¹H-NMR (DMSO-d₆): 2.50 (dd, J = 4.5; 16.0 Hz, 1H, H-4b), 2.67 (dd, J = 4.5; 16.0 Hz, 1H, H-4a), 4.02 (s, 1H, H-3), 4.74 (s, 1H, H-2), 5.73 (d, J = 2 Hz, 1H, H-6), 5.89 (d, J = 2 Hz, 1H, H-8), 6.66 (m, 1H, H-5'), 6.67 (m, 1H, H-6'), 6.89 (d, J = 1.5 Hz, 1H, H-7').

Compound 10 was a colorless amorphous powder of composition C₁₄H₂₈O₂₆ with λ_max (in methanol) of 223 and
RESULTS

A series of experiments was performed to determine a suitable two-phase solvent system for HSCCC. Small amounts of the ethyl acetate fraction were dissolved in test tubes containing each of the following solvent systems: ethyl acetate/n-propanol/H2O (14:0.8:8 by volume), ethyl acetate/n-butanol/H2O (14:0.4:8 by volume), and hexane/ethyl acetate/methanol/H2O (1:1:1:1 by volume). The test tubes were shaken, and the system was allowed to equilibrate between the two phases. An aliquot of each phase was spotted on a TLC plate (CHCl3/methanol/n-propanol/H2O [5:6:1:4 by volume]), visualized under UV light (254 nm), and developed with anisaldehyde/H2SO4 solution. The two-phase solvent system was selected according to the partition coefficients (K) of the target components. The K values were determined by TLC analysis according to Conway.16 The best result was obtained with the mixture of ethyl acetate/n-propanol/H2O (14:0.8:8 by volume), with the substances
almost equally distributed between the two phases. The relatively high proportion of ethyl acetate in the solvent mixture and the $R_f$ values of the compounds indicate the medium polarity of the metabolites of *M. rubiginosa*. Thus the upper phase was chosen as the mobile phase, and the lower phase was used as the stationary phase for the HSCCC separation of the ethyl acetate fraction of the infusion of *M. rubiginosa*. This choice has the additional advantage that the upper phase consists largely of the volatile ethyl acetate, readily eliminated by evaporation.

Under the conditions described, the retention of the stationary phase in the HSCCC was 87%. This method led to the isolation of the compounds in approximately 3.5 hours, and the whole process was completed in 5 hours. Spectroscopic analyses allowed identification of 11 main compounds, determined by comparison with literature data: quercetin-3-O-α-arabinofuranoside (1),17 quercetin-3-O-β-arabinofuranoside (2),18 quercetin-3-O-α-arabinopyranoside (3),18 quercetin-3-O-α-arabinopyranosyl-(1→4)-O-β-galactopyranoside (4),19 quercetin-3-O-β-galactopyranoside (5),18 quercetin-3-O-α-rhamnopyranosyl-(1→4)-O-β-galactopyranoside (6),19 kaempferol-3-O-β-galactopyranoside (7),19 gallic acid (8),19,20 (+)-epicatechin (9),4 casuaricin (10),21 and schizandriside (11)22 (Fig. 1).

The identity and purity of the isolated substances were verified by HPLC-CD-DAD analyses using authentic samples from a collection of our laboratory. All substances were obtained with purity >95%.

Figure 2 shows the HPLC chromatographic analysis of fraction 2 of the infusion of *M. rubiginosa* aerial parts. The peaks were identified by co-injection with purified metabolites.

The compounds isolated—(+)-epicatechin (9), casuaricin (10), and schizandriside (11)—were analyzed by HPLC-CD-DAD using a chiral column (OD-RH) with methanol/H$_2$O (4:6, vol/vol) as eluent. The chromatograms showed only one peak, indicating that all the substances were present in enantiomerically pure forms in this species. The CD spectra were recorded for the establishment of the absolute configuration of these substances (Fig. 3).

**DISCUSSION**

Several classes of natural products have been isolated by HSCCC, including flavonoids.23,24 Our group reported the isolation of naphthopyranone glycosides from *Paepalanthus microphyllus* (Family Eriocaulaceae),25 quercetin glycosides and the biflavonoid amantoflavone from *Byrsonima crassa* (Family Malpighiaceae),26 the flavones 6-methoxy-luteolin-7-O-β-d-allopyranoside and 6-methoxyapigenin-7-O-β-d-allopyranoside from *Eriocaulon ligulatum* (Family Eriocaulaceae),27 and quercetin-3-O-β-L-rhamnopyranosyl and myricetin-3-O-α-L-rhamnopyranoside from *Davilla elliptica* (Family Dilleniaceae).28

The separation mechanism of HSCCC is based mainly on the differential solubility of the compound between the two immiscible phases, one flowing along the other, allowing the fast and efficient isolation of several compounds without decomposition. HSCCC, being a support-free liquid–liquid partition chromatographic technique, eliminates irreversible adsorption of the sample onto the solid support, and

![FIG. 2. Chromatogram of the infusion of *M. rubiginosa* by high-performance liquid chromatography analysis: quercetin-3-O-α-rhamnopyranoside (1), quercetin-3-O-β-arabinofuranoside (2), quercetin-3-O-α-arabinopyranoside (3), quercetin-3-O-β-arabinopyranoside (4), quercetin-3-O-β-galactopyranoside (5), and quercetin-3-O-α-rhamnopyranosyl-(1→4)-O-β-galactopyranoside (6). Eluent A, acetonitrile + 0.05% trifluoroacetic acid; eluent B, H$_2$O + 0.05% trifluoroacetic acid. Method: 13–23% B in A (40 minutes); 23–100% B in A (45 minutes); flow rate, 1.0 mL/minute; injection volume, 20 μL. Phenomenex Luna C$_{18}$ column; detection at 254 nm. *Might be inverted.](image)

![FIG. 3. Circular dichroism (CD) spectra of (a) (+)-epicatechin (9), (b) casuaricin (10), and (c) schizandriside (11). mdeg, ellipticity value.](image)
therefore this method has been widely used for the preparative separation of natural products.29,30

Despite the similar polarities of the compounds, they were well separated, with the separation of the quercetin and kaempferol glycosides dependent on the total number of the hydroxyl groups. Our results showed that the conditions used provided an efficient method for the separation of the metabolites from M. rubiginosa.

Catechins are an important class of secondary metabolites. They show strong antioxidant activity and have various health-related activities, such as anticarcinogenic, anti-allergic, anti-atherogenic, antibacterial, and antiviral activities.31 These biological activities are significantly influenced by stereochemical differences, thus justifying the determination of the chirality of these metabolites.

Catechin derivatives have two stereocenters, resulting in four diastereomers. These substances have two aromatic chromophores, namely, the benzene A- and B-rings, with the absorption bands of these chromophores found at approximately 280 and 284 nm.32 The positive Cotton effect in the 240 nm region (\( L_\alpha \) transition, chromophore B) and the negative Cotton effect in the 280 nm (\( L_\beta \) transition, chromophore A) regions indicate \((2R,3R)\) absolute configuration, elucidating 9 as \((-\)\)-epicatechin (Fig. 3). This is the first report of the isolation of catechins from plants of the Melastomataceae family.

Casuarictin (10) is an example of a hydrolyzable tannin. Plants with high tannin content are used in traditional medicine to treat various diseases such as diarrhea, hypertension, rheumatism, bleeding, wounds, burns, stomach problems, kidney problems, and inflammatory processes.33 Several studies have shown the presence of hydrolyzable tannins in species of the Melastomataceae family.34,35

Casuarictin (10) (which is a monomeric \( \beta \)-glucosyl ellagitannin) presents atropisomerism due to the restricted rotation of the biphenyl bond of the hexahydroxydiphenoyl (HHDP) group. Casuarictin (10) displayed three Cotton effects, around 235, 265, and 285 nm. These Cotton effects are associated with the biphenyl conjugation bands in the UV spectra. The Cotton effect at 235 nm is diagnostic for the absolute configuration of the HHDP group [positive for the \((S)\)- and negative for the \((R)\)-configuration].21

The CD spectra of 10 exhibited a positive Cotton effect at 235 nm, thus indicating the \((S)\)-configuration for HHDP groups.

Schizandriside (11) is an aryltetralin-type glycosylated lignan. This compound exhibited moderate antioxidant activity and moderate antibacterial activity against Staphylococcus aureus, Staphylococcus aureus, Micrococcus luteus, and Bacillus cereus using the paper disk method.36

The absolute structure of 11 was established based on CD spectroscopic evidence. Klyne et al.37 proposed a CD empirical rule that states that all \( 7\beta \)-aryl derivatives in \( 7\alpha \)-aryltetralin-type lignans give a positive Cotton effect at 280–290 nm, whereas all \( 7\beta \)-aryl groups display a negative Cotton effect. The CD spectrum of 11 afforded a negatively signed maximum at 284 nm, indicating \( 7\beta \) (\( 7S \)) configuration. The large \( J_{7\beta,8'} \) and \( J_{8,8'} \) coupling constants \( (J = 11.0 \text{ Hz}) \) established the absolute configuration as \((7'S,8'R,8'R)\).

The results of our studies clearly demonstrate the potential of HSCCC for the preparative isolation of secondary metabolites from the leaves of M. rubiginosa. In particular, preparative HSCCC, with its speedy separation and minimum solvent consumption, offers an efficient method for the separation and purification of natural products.

The compounds 1, 8, and 9 were previously isolated from the Miconia cabucu species.10 The others were isolated for the first time from Miconia species. There has been no report about the optical study of metabolites isolated from species of the Melastomataceae family. The HPLC-CD-DAD analyses showed that all the chiral substances isolated from M. rubiginosa were presented in enantiomerically pure forms. Their absolute configurations were established as \((-\)\)-epicatechin, casuarictin \([(S)-\text{HHDP}]\), and \((7S,8R,8'R)\)-schizandriside, respectively.
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