In order to verify the carbon source and trophic position of the main species of fishes, of the Paraná River floodplain, we analysed the proportion of stable carbon ($\delta^{13}$C) and nitrogen ($\delta^{15}$N) isotopes in muscle of fishes sampled in the rainy season. We analyzed adult individuals of *Loricariichthys platymetopon*, *Schizodon borellii*, *Leporinus lacustris*, *Auchenipterus osteomystax*, *Iheringichthys labrosus*, *Leporinus friderici*, and *Serrasalmus marginatus*. These data were compared with the results obtained by the analyzing stomach contents. The primary producers found in the Baía River were the C$_3$ plants (riparian vegetation, macrophytes, periphyton, and phytoplankton) and the C$_4$ plants (macrophytes). The results of the contribution analysis revealed that the carbon used by the species was derived from C$_3$ plants. According to the trophic position estimates (diet and $\delta^{15}$N), the species primarily consumed *Loricariichthys platymetopon*, *Schizodon borellii*, *Leporinus lacustris*, and *Leporinus friderici* and, secondarily *Auchenipterus osteomystax*, *Iheringichthys labrosus*, and *Serrasalmus marginatus*. There was no significant difference between the two methods utilized.
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INTRODUCTION

Primary production in the Paraná River floodplain is supported by three main plant groups: C_3, C_4, and CAM (Lopes, 2001). The C_3 plant group is constituted by aquatic macrophytes, phytoplankton, periphyton, and riparian vegetation. The C_4 plant group is constituted only by some species of aquatic macrophytes, which occur sporadically, while the CAM plant group is abundantly distributed all over the floodplain, although represented by few species (Lopes, 2001).

Nowadays, the combined use of stable isotopes contributes in identifying the original organic nutrient sources in complex food webs (Jennings et al., 1997; Magnusson et al., 1999; Thomas & Cahoon, 1993), which have been directly related to assimilation, the natural proportions of stable isotopes in animal tissue reflect diet in a predictable way (De Niro & Epstein, 1978; Fry, 1988). Through the isotopic composition of the consumers’ tissues, an item assimilated among those ingested is precisely indicated (Fry & Arnold, 1982).

As a result of fractionation during food assimilation, the ¹⁵N isotope becomes enriched in relation to the ¹⁴N (De Niro & Epstein, 1981). Thus, the δ¹⁵N ratio increases from 3 to 4‰ for each successive trophic level. On the other hand, ¹³C becomes enriched in ¹²C with assimilation of food by about 1‰ (Fry & Sherr, 1984). Through the isotopic signature of the consumer, it is possible to identify the origin of the autotrophic carbon, while the trophic chain structure can be drawn from the nitrogen isotopes. The δ¹⁵N changes consistently along the food web, allowing inferences about the trophic position of consumers.

The subsystems in the Baía River floodplain the present all the plant groups, affording a rich opportunity for analyzing the food web of the main species of fish, belonging to different trophic groups.

The more abundant fish species in this subsystem, grouped by trophic categories are: Loricariichthys platymetopon, detritivorous/philophagous; Schizodon borellii, herbivorous; Leporinus lacustris, herbivorous/omnivorous; Auchenipterus osteomystax, insectivorous/carnivorous; Iheringichthys labrosus, benthopagous; Leporinus friderici, omnivorous; and Serrasalmus marginatus, piscivorous (Peretti, 2001). The diversified diet of the main species presumes the use of energy from more than one producer; it has been, however, difficult to evaluate which of them forms the energetic chain base.

Thus, the present work, using carbon (δ¹³C) and nitrogen (δ¹⁵N) stable isotopes analysis, proposed to establish if (1) the carbon source maintaining the biomass of the main fish species differs for each trophic group analyzed and if (2) the trophic position of the fish species, identified according to the dietary data, differs from the estimate made through the δ¹⁵N.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

The study was developed in the Upper Paraná River floodplain, located in the lower stretch in the Baía River subsystem, in the State of Mato Grosso do Sul. The Baía Channel (22°4'26.94"S; 53°13'29.34"W) extends from the confluence of the Baía River and Corutuba stretch to the Paraná River. Its depth averages 2.0 m and its marginal vegetation is pastureland. The Baía River (22°43'23.16"S; 53°17'25.5"W) presents variable width, and depth averaging 3.2 m.

The Baía subsystem presents numerous lagoons along its course and its entirety is strongly controlled by the Paraná River (Fig. 1). Samples were collected in the channel and in the Baía River in February 2000 and February 2001 (the rainy season). In this period of the year, the water level increases and, consequently, there is a greater contribution from allochthonous sources to the aquatic food webs.

Fish were sampled with different size gill nets. From each adult individual, we extracted a sample of muscle from near the base of dorsal fin insertion. The species and the number of individuals analyzed were: Auchenipterus osteomystax (Spix, 1829) (n = 10); Serrasalmus marginatus, Valenciennes, 1847 (n = 10); Iheringichthys labrosus (Kroezer, 1874) (n = 10); Leporinus lacustris, Campos, 1945 (n = 12); Loricariichthys platymetopon, Isbrucker & Nijssen, 1979 (n = 10); Leporinus friderici (Bloch, 1794) (n = 7); Schizodon borellii (Boulenger, 1895) (n = 6).

Samples from allochthonous sources were constituted of 52 plants of riparian vegetation with a C_3 photosynthetic pathway, while the autochthonous sources corresponded to 95 aquatic macrophytes and 42 periphyton with a C_4 photosynthetic pathway, and 39 with a C_4 photosynthetic pathway, besides 40 samples of particulated organic carbon (POC).
The isotopic values of phytoplankton were determined through the zooplankton, considering a 1‰ fractionation for a trophic level of $\delta^{13}C$. The zooplankton, cladocerous, and filtrator copepods were sampled with a zooplankton net (Lopes, 2001).

After being dried in an oven (60°C), the samples were sent to the Centro de Energia Nuclear na Agricultura (CENA), in Piracicaba, São Paulo, for carbon ($\delta^{13}C$) and nitrogen ($\delta^{15}N$) isotopic value analysis.

For the Baía subsystem, the carbon mean isotopic value of samples from $C_4$ plants (aquatic macrophytes) was $-12.6‰$, and from $C_3$ plants (periphyton, aquatic macrophytes, and riparian vegetation) it was $-29.4‰$. The primary producer group with the most negative $\delta^{13}C$ value (phytoplankton) was used to estimate the maximum contribution from $C_4$ plants to the biomass of the fish species, while the most positive (aquatic macrophytes) was used to determine the minimum contribution expected (Forsberg et al., 1993):

$$%C_4 = \left[ 1 - \frac{\delta^{13}C_{\text{fish}} - \delta^{13}C_{C_4}}{\delta^{13}C_{C_3} - \delta^{13}C_{C_4}} \right] \times 100$$

where $\delta^{13}C_{\text{fish}}$ is the carbon mean isotopic value of each species; $\delta^{13}C_{C_4}$ and $\delta^{13}C_{C_3}$ are the $\delta^{13}C$ mean values from $C_4$ and $C_3$ plants, respectively.

For the trophic position estimate through $\delta^{15}N$ isotopic values ($PT_{\delta^{15}N}$) and dietary ($PT_{\text{Md}}$), we used the expression proposed by Vander-Zanden et al. (1997):

$$PT_{\delta^{15}N} = \left( \frac{\delta^{15}N_{\text{source}}}{3.4} \right) + 1$$

where, $\delta^{15}N_{\text{source}}$ is the $\delta^{15}N$ mean value of each species; $\delta^{15}N_{\text{source}}$ is the $\delta^{15}N$ mean value of allochthonous and autochthonous sources. The 3.4 and 1 values represent the fractionation for the trophic level (De Niro & Epstein, 1981; Minagawa & Wada, 1984) and one level above the producers, respectively:

$$PT_{\text{Md}} = \sum (C_i \cdot T_j) + 1$$

Fig. 1 — Localization of the Baía River in the Paraná River floodplain.
where $C_i$ represents the contribution in percentage of food item $i$; $T_i$ is the trophic position of food item $i$.

The proportion of food items constituting the diet of each species was taken from Peretti (2001), while the estimated trophic position values for the preys was 1.0 (producers), 2.0 (herbivorous), 2.5 (omnivorous), and 3.0 (carnivorous), according to the classification proposed by Vander-Zanden & Rasmussen (1996).

**RESULTS**

*Fishes*

The highest $\delta^{13}C$ isotopic variability was verified for the species *L. lacustris* (–25.5 to –33.2‰) and *L. platymetopon* (–22.2 to –30.1‰) while the lowest was identified for *L. friderici* (–26.4 to –30.23‰). There was no significant difference found for the $\delta^{13}C$ mean values among the species belonging to different trophic groups analyzed (ANOVA: Gl = 6; F = 1.57; p = 0.17). The maximum and minimum $\delta^{13}C$ isotopic values revealed outliers. This happened in the case of the $\delta^{13}C$ minimum value for *L. lacustris* (–33.1) and the maximum value for *L. platymetopon* (–22.2).

For the $\delta^{15}N$ mean values, there were significant differences among the species analyzed (ANOVA: Gl = 6; F = 13.96; p < 0.05). The highest variability was found for *S. marginatus* (9.7 to 16.4‰), *I. labrosus* (9.1 to 15.4‰), *S. borellii* (6.06 to 12.44‰), and *A. osteomystax* (9.7 to 15.1‰). Lowest variability was found for *L. platymetopon* (7.0 to 8.2‰) and *L. friderici* (7.41 to 9.18‰).

*Primary producers*

The phytoplanktonic algae presented the most depleted $\delta^{13}C$ values (–35.6 ± 2.33‰) compared to the other $C_3$ plant groups, while the $C_4$ aquatic macrophytes were the most enriched (–12.6 ± 0.62‰). The riparian vegetation, $C_3$ aquatic macrophytes, and periphyton showed intermediate values: –29.5 ± 0.87‰, –28.9 ± 1.21‰, –28.7 ± 2.70‰, respectively. The nitrogen isotopic mean values were: riparian vegetation, 1.3 ± 1.31‰; $C_3$ and $C_4$ aquatic macrophytes, 1.2 ± 2.32‰; periphyton, 2.7 ± 1.38‰; and phytoplankton, 3.1 ± 2.15‰.

![Fig. 2 — $\delta^{13}C$ and $\delta^{15}N$ mean values and standard deviation of primary producers from the Baía subsystem (Ph = phytoplankton, VR = riparian vegetation, P = periphyton, M = aquatic macrophyte, M = aquatic macrophyte, POC = particulate organic carbon). Carbon and nitrogen isotopic values for the samples of the fishes analyzed (Sm = *Serrasalmus marginatus*, Sb = *Schizodon borellii*, Lp = *Loricariichthys platymetopon*, Ll = *Leporinus lacustris*, Ao = *Auchenipterus osteomystax*, Il = *Iheringichthys labrosus*, Lf = *Leporinus friderici*).](image-url)
Carbon sources
The highest carbon contributions for the fishes, regardless of the trophic group, analyzed from the Baía subsystem were provided by C₃ plants, with the exception of the phytoplankton. This fact was confirmed by the overlapping isotopic values of fishes and C₃ plants (Fig. 2). C₄ plants contributed with a 6% minimum, for *S. borellii* and *I. labrosus* specie only, while the maximum contribution (between 36% and 38%) were also recorded for these species, besides *L. lacustris* (Table 1).

Trophic position
The estimate of the trophic position through the δ¹⁵N ranged from 2.1 (*L. platymetopon*) to 3.8 (*A. osteomystax*), while the one obtained for the diet ranged from 2.0 (*S. borellii* and *L. platymetopon*) to 3.9 (*S. marginatus*) (Table 2). The trophic position obtained for the dietary data was noticeably lower than that observed for the δ¹⁵N analysis, except for *S. marginatus*. The mean values of trophic positions obtained by the two methods of analysis correlated significantly (PTδ¹⁵N = 0.86 + 0.77 * PTMD; r² = 0.82; p < 0.05).

**TABLE 1**
δ¹³C mean values (± standard deviation) for the fish species from Baía subsystem and the respective minimum and maximum contributions from C₄ plants.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Specie</th>
<th>Mean ± std</th>
<th>Minimum</th>
<th>Maximum</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>A. osteomystax</em></td>
<td>–29.2 ± 1.20</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>I. labrosus</em></td>
<td>–29.9 ± 2.34</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>L. lacustris</em></td>
<td>–28.4 ± 2.29</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>L. platymetopon</em></td>
<td>–28.0 ± 2.19</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>L. friderici</em></td>
<td>–28.3 ± 1.19</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>S. borellii</em></td>
<td>–26.8 ± 2.46</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>S. marginatus</em></td>
<td>–27.6 ± 1.42</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TABLE 2**
Estimate of the trophic position through dietary and δ¹⁵N for the most abundant species from the Baía subsystem.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Specie</th>
<th>Dietary</th>
<th>δ¹⁵N</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>S. marginatus</em></td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>3.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>A. osteomystax</em></td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>3.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>I. labrosus</em></td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>3.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>L. lacustris</em></td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>2.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>S. borellii</em></td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>2.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>L. friderici</em></td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>2.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>L. platymetopon</em></td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>2.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
DISCUSSION

In aquatic animal, populations the occurrence of small or no variation among individuals of the same species has been observed (Fry & Sherr, 1984; Michener & Schell, 1994). On the other hand, Forsberg et al. (1993) and Gu et al. (1997) found great variation in isotopic composition of fish populations. In the Baía subsystem, the population of Leporinus lacustris and Loricariichthys platymetopon presented wide isotopic variability (7.7%). The high food plasticity that fish species from tropical regions exhibit (Lowe McConnell, 1975) shows that individuals from the same population can ingest food from different sources, widely varying in isotopic composition (Gu et al., 1997).

Greater variability is usually observed in isotope nitrogen results rather than in those for carbon (Leite, 2000; Vander-Zanden et al., 1997). For the fish species from the Baía subsystem, this was also observed for I. labrosus (omnivorous), perhaps because of the great diversity of food items in its diet, including higher plants, detritus/sediment, besides prey from several different trophic levels. The lowest δ15N variability was found for L. friderici (omnivorous) and L. platymetopon (detritivorous), due to the lower food plasticity, compared to that of the other species. The isotopic range found for the detritivorous species does not fit with the a generalization made by Lake et al. (2001), who assumed that direct consumption of sediment, integrated particles, and dead organisms provided by the water column, is responsible for the less clear trophic position of fishes belonging to the lowest trophic levels.

For its part, S. borellii (herbivorous) exhibited high δ15N variability, although presenting low diversity of food items in its diet, which is based predominantly on higher plants (92.2%). The primary regional group can correspond to either aquatic macrophytes or riparian vegetation. For both, Lopes (2001) observed high δ13N variability in the Paraná River floodplain.

According to δ13C isotopic variability for the fish species analyzed, we found that the highest and lowest variation amplitudes of this isotope coincide with the variability observed for the C3 plants, specifically, riparian vegetation, C3 aquatic macrophytes, periphyton, and POC. Such overlapping complicates identifying the allochthonous or autochthonous origin of the carbon constituting the biomass of fish species of the Baía subsystem.

In that subsystem, the plant portion of POC is basically constituted by C3 plants except for phytoplankton. On the contrary, Araújo-Lima et al. (1986) and Vaz et al. (1999) affirm that the particulate organic carbon is predominantly of phytoplanktonic origin.

Regardless of the trophic group, the C3 plants contribute significantly to the carbon supply of the fish species in the Baía subsystem. Forsberg et al. (1993) and Vaz et al. (1999) also recorded minor importance of the carbon provided by C4 plants in the aquatic food chains in the Amazon Basin. They identified the highest contributions of C4 plants as those of Schizodon borellii (herbivorous) and Ilheringichthys labrosus (omnivorous). In the Amazon floodplain were also found contributions from C4 plants, mainly to the herbivorous species, Schizodon fasciatus (Forsberg et al., 1993). Furthermore, Jepsen (1999) showed that Schizodon isognathus uses more than 70% of the carbon from C4 plants in the Apure River in Venezuela. Thus, essentially herbivorous species utilize most intensely carbon from C4 plants. The presence of digestive enzymes, microorganisms, or digestive system modifications allows use of C4 plants, in spite of their poor digestibility and low nutritional value (Caswell et al., 1973).

For the detritivorous Characiformes of the Amazon basin, the highest carbon contribution is provided by a food chain originating in phytoplankton (Araújo-Lima et al., 1986). The same was observed for Prochilodus lineatus in the Paraná River floodplain (Lopes, 2001). On the contrary, L. platymetopon, belonging to the Siluriformes, in the Baía subsystem and also considered detritivorous, received the highest contribution from C4 plants, with the exception of phytoplankton. This fact shows the specificity in the exploitation of a very narrow ecologic niche, confirmed in both the digestive system analysis and the size of the particles ingested by this group that feeds from the bottom (Fugi et al., 2000).

The trophic position calculated through the δ15N was greater than the mean values of trophic positions obtained through the diet, contrary to the findings of Leite (2000) for fish larvae of Amazon (PTM > PTδ15N). Gu et al. (1997) observed that the δ15N and δ13C isotopic results presented higher...
variability in muscles than in samples of stomach content of Oreochromis aureus. They found no significant correlation between the δ13C of the food content and the muscle tissue. These results, along with those of the present work, indicate that stomach content represents only food recently ingested and can include a fraction that either is not digestible or was not yet incorporated into the animal tissues. Thus, the food assimilated and incorporated into the muscle tissue can present distinct isotopic values from those of the gastric content. On the other hand, evaluating of the trophic position through the δ15N shows no influence of the trophic position of the food item, but depends on the estimate of δ15N of primary producers and on constant isotopic fractionation.

The determination coefficient (r²) obtained among the trophic positions, estimated through the diet and δ15N, was superior to that found by Leite (2000) and Vander-Zander et al. (1997), indicating that 82% of the diet was incorporated into the muscle tissue. The trophic position obtained, from either diet or δ15N, revealed that L. lucustris, L. platymetopon, L. friderici, and S. borellii were characterized as primary consumers. On the other hand, A. osteomystax, S. marginatus, and I. labrosus occupied the highest trophic levels, as shown by the calculation of trophic position using δ15N. With the dietary data, S. marginatus had a superior trophic level to that of A. osteomystax, indicating that although both species ingest a wide variety of preys belonging to several trophic levels, proteic utilization of the carnivorous specie may be superior to that of the piscivorous specie.

Considering the high isotopic variability observed for the fish species of this subsystem, changes in the trophic hierarchy dependent on the environment are expected. Species like L. friderici have the capability to change their trophic position in the food chain, in response to local circumstances and dietary ontogenetic variations (Benedito-Cecilio et al., subm.). Thus, food chain dynamic characteristics, such as seasonal alterations in trophic interactions and participation in the microbial loop, suggest that a species’ trophic positions are likely to be dynamic, thus precluding generalizations about food strategies of this fish species which would extend to other subsystems of the Paraná River floodplain.

The fish species biomass of the trophic groups in the Baía subsystem is maintained by the same C3 carbon sources. The high isotopic amplitude exhibited by the analyzed species indicates tolerance to the environmental changes being imposed by the Paraná River basin dams. However, the effects of irregular oscillations of the water level resulting from the hydroelectric plant operation on primary producer biomass, and also over rainy season patterns, responsible for allochthonous nutrient input into the aquatic communities, are unknown. Lack of such information compromises the management and, consequently, sustainability of fish species biomass in the system.

The results of methodologies used in trophic position identification do not present differences, nevertheless the correlation between both although high indicates that there is still a difference between ingested food and that assimilated. In order to more precisely characterize the food web, the isotopic variability of other biological compartments, such as macroinvertebrates, must be investigated.
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