Leaf heliotropism in *Styrax camporum* Pohl from the Brazilian cerrado – distinct gas exchange and leaf structure, but similar leaf temperature and water relations
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*Styrax camporum* is a common shrub species from the cerrado regions of São Paulo State, Brazil. Although its leaves do not have a morphologically delimited pulvinus, the apical leaves are oriented parallel to the sun (paraheliotropic leaves), most notably around noon. Horizontal leaves at the base of shoots are oriented perpendicular to the sun’s rays (diapheliotropic leaves). The ecophysiological significance of this is not fully understood. We investigated how paraheliotropism influences daily CO₂ assimilation \(A\) and other gas exchange rates, water relations, leaf temperature \(T_l\), and how these relate to leaf structure as assessed by anatomical leaf descriptions. Paraheliotropic leaves had greater \(A\) and stomatal conductance \(g_s\), which led to greater transpiration rates \(E\) during most of the day. However, no categorical data proved that these responses were responsible for the lower \(T_l\) for vertical leaves, which only occurred at 1100 h and 1600 h. There was no indication that \(T_l\) influenced \(A\), \(E\), or \(g_s\). However, diapheliotropic leaves did not assimilate less carbon because of a smaller stomatal aperture. Both leaf types had satisfactory stomatal apertures and the same \(g_s\) range, resulting in similar intercellular CO₂ levels. Paraheliotropic leaves had higher \(A\) rates under increased irradiance, suggesting an avoidance of excessive irradiance. Both leaf types had compact spongy parenchyma, representing an increased photosynthetic capacity per unit leaf area. Paraheliotropism in *S. camporum* does not seem to be related to leaf temperature control, even in the stressful Brazilian cerrado habitat.
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Heliotropismo foliar em *Styrax camporum* Pohl, uma espécie do cerrado brasileiro – padrões distintos de trocas gasosas e estrutura foliar, porém com temperatura foliar e relações hídricas similares: *Styrax camporum* é uma espécie arbustiva comum dos cerrados do Estado de São Paulo, Brasil. Embora suas folhas não tenham pulvinos morfologicamente delimitados, as folhas apicais são orientadas paralelamente à incidência da radiação solar (folhas paraheliotrópicas), especialmente às 1200 h. Folhas horizontais estáticas das partes basais dos ramos são orientadas perpendicularmente aos raios solares (folhas diapheliotrópicas). O significado ecosistêmico do fenômeno não foi ainda completamente elucidado. Investigou-se como o paraheliotropismo influencia a assimilação diária de CO₂ \(A\) e outras taxas de trocas gasosas, relações hídricas, temperatura foliar \(T_l\) e suas relações com a estrutura da folha, avaliada por suas descrições anatômicas. Folhas paraheliotrópicas apresentaram maiores \(A\) e condução estomática \(g_s\), o que levou à maior taxa de transpiração \(E\) durante grande parte do dia. Todavia, não houve dados categóricos assegurando que estes respostas tenham determinado menor \(T_l\) para as folhas verticais, que ocorreu apenas às 1100 e 1600 h. Não houve nenhuma indicação de que \(T_l\) influenciou \(A\), \(E\) ou \(g_s\). Entretanto, folhas diapheliotrópicas não assimilaram menos carbono por causa de estômatos mais fechados. Ambos os tipos foliares atingiram abertura estomática satisfatória e a
mesma zona de resposta de $g_s$ resultou em concentrações de CO$_2$ intercelular similares. Folhas paraheliotrópicas tiveram maior $A$ sob elevadas irradianças, sugerindo evitação à elevada irradiança. Em ambos tipos de folhas, observou-se parênquima esponjoso compacto, representando maior capacidade fotosintética por unidade de área foliar. O paraheliotropismo de _S. camporum_ não parece estar relacionado ao controle da temperatura foliar, mesmo no estressante hábitat do cerrado Brasileiro.
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## INTRODUCTION

The cerrado, or Brazilian savanna, comprises a vertically structured mosaic of grassland, scrubland, and dense woodland physiognomies (Oliveira and Marquis, 2002; Ferreira et al, 2003). This biome demonstrates a conspicuous seasonal contrast between the dry (April to September) and rainy (October to March) seasons. The soils of these areas are ancient, deep, sandy, acid, poor in organic matter and mineral salts, and high in aluminum (Oliveira and Marquis, 2002). Water deficit, soil conditions, and fire have imposed enormous environmental pressures on this biome (Ferreira et al, 2003).

As in other savanna–type ecosystems, cerrado plants are subjected to high irradiance stress and respond with several general strategies (Oliveira and Marquis, 2002), including heliotropic leaf adjustments (Rodrigues and Machado, 2006). Heliotropism can be divided into two classes: (i) diaheliotropism, in which the leaf lamina become oriented at an angle perpendicular to incoming light; and (ii) paraheliotropism, where leaf lamina orient parallel to the light (Koller, 1986, 1990; Liu et al., 2007). Light interception is maximized by diaheliotropism and minimized by paraheliotropism (Koller 1986, 1990; Bielenberg et al., 2003; Pastenes et al., 2005). However, under natural conditions, some solar-tracking plants can also move their leaves to avoid full exposure to sunlight, minimizing heating and water stress (Caldas et al., 1997).

In a previous study of _Styrax camporum_, basal leaves were seen to be diaheliotropic, whereas apical leaves were diaheliotropic early in the morning, but gradually changed to a paraheliotropic orientation at midday (Machado, 1991). In this paper, we hypothesized that, under natural conditions, the paraheliotropic leaves of _S. camporum_ have advantageous daily CO$_2$ assimilation rates and water relations and cooler lamina temperatures compared to those of the static diaheliotropic leaves. Leaf gas exchange, leaf water potential, and relative water content (RWC) were therefore measured in solar tracking and non-tracking leaves of _S. camporum_. Anatomical and ultra-structural leaf analyses were also performed as a framework for the functional studies.

## MATERIAL AND METHODS

**Plant material and samplings:** The study was conducted on adult plants of _Styrax camporum_ Pohl. from a cerrado area characterized by scattered trees and shrubs and a large proportion of grassland (“closed field”) in the municipality of Botucatu (22º51’S, 48º26’W), São Paulo State, Brazil. Vouchers were deposited at the BOTU Herbarium of the Department of Botany at the São Paulo State University (UNESP).

Five individual plants between 1.5 and 2 m tall were
used. Plants were completely leafy at the beginning of the fall season on April 20\textsuperscript{th}, 2000, when measurements were taken. Leaves were numbered from the apex to the base of each branch, using three branches per plant. The youngest fully-expanded leaves (leaf number 3 or 4) were considered paraheliotropic leaves, whereas mature leaves (leaf number 9, 10, or 11) were diheliottropic.

Light and electron microscopy: For light microscopy, samples (ca. 3 mm\textsuperscript{2}) from the middle region of the para- and diheliottropic leaves were fixed in FAA (formalin-acetone-alcohol 50), dehydrated in an ethyl series, embedded in glycolmethacrylate historesin (Gerrits, 1991), and sectioned with a rotary microtome. The sections (3-5 µm) were stained with 0.05% toluidine blue solution (O'Brien et al., 1964) and mounted in Permount. Freehand sections obtained from fresh leaves were stained with safranin and astra blue (Bukatsch, 1972); with ruthenium red (Jensen, 1962) to detect pectin; Sudan IV for lipids (Johansen, 1940); acid phloroglucin (Sass, 1951) for lignin; iodine zinc chloride for cellulose, and ferric chloride for phenolic substances (Johansen, 1940). For stomatal density (stomata mm\textsuperscript{-2}) determinations on the abaxial leaf surface, paradermal freehand sections were sliced with razor blades and counted using a light microscope.

For scanning electron microscopy (SEM), samples were fixed in glutaraldehyde (2.5% with 0.1 M phosphate buffer, at pH 7.3; overnight at 4°C), dehydrated in a graded acetone series, dried to the critical point, mounted on aluminum stubs, gold-coated and examined with a Phillips 515 scanning electron microscope at 20 kV.

For transmission electron microscopy, samples were fixed in glutaraldehyde (2.5% with 0.1 M phosphate buffer, at pH 7.3; for 6-12 h at 4°C); post-fixed with 1% osmium tetroxide, in the same buffer, for 2 h at room temperature; dehydrated in a graded series of ethanol solutions; and embedded in Araldite resin. Ultrathin sections were contrasted with uranyl acetate and lead citrate. Sections were observed on a Philips CM 100 transmission electron microscope at 80 kV.

Physiological measurements: Gas exchange was measured with a closed-system portable infrared gas analyzer (LI-6200, LI-COR, Lincoln, Nebraska, USA). Rates of net CO\textsubscript{2} assimilation (A) and transpiration (E), stomatal conductance (g\textsubscript{s}), and intercellular CO\textsubscript{2} (C\textsubscript{i}) were determined by the LI-6200 data analysis program, which uses the Von Caemmerer and Farquhar (1981) general gas-exchange equations. Water-use efficiency (WUE, A/E) and intrinsic water use efficiency (IWUE, A/g\textsubscript{s}) were also calculated. The leaf temperature (T\textsubscript{l}) was obtained with a thermocouple inside the LI-6200 cuvette (0.25 dm\textsuperscript{3}), which also had an external quantum sensor that was used to measure the photosynthetic photon flux (PPF) intercepted by leaves.

The leaf water potential at 0600 h (Ψ\textsubscript{pd}) and 1500 h (Ψ\textsubscript{md}) were measured by the pressure chamber method (Kaufmann, 1968), with a DIK-7000 (Daiki Rika Kogyo, Tokyo, Japan) pressure chamber.

For Ψ\textsubscript{w} and leaf gas-exchange measurements, an average of three paraheliotropic leaves and three diheliottropic leaves were assessed for each of the five plants (replicates).

The relative leaf water content (RWC) was assessed through five para- and diheliottropic leaf discs per replication. This value was calculated as RWC (%) = [(FW-DW)/(TW-DW)] x 100, where FW is the fresh weight, TW is the turgid weight after rehydrating samples for 24 h into 100-mL dark glass bottles (for photosynthesis inhibition) with a 0.01 M KCN solution (for respiration inhibition), and DW is the dry weight after oven-drying discs at 60°C for 48 h (Barrs and Weatherley, 1962). After leaf sampling, FW was immediately obtained (gravimetrically) at the experimental site. The RWC and leaf gas-exchange variables were determined at 0900 h, 1100 h, 1400 h and 1600 h.

Statistical analyses: Five S. camporum individuals were randomly selected in an area of one hectare. Leaf gas-exchange variables (A, E, g\textsubscript{s}, C\textsubscript{i}, T\textsubscript{l}, WUE and IWUE), RWC, and Ψ\textsubscript{w} were calculated (mean and standard deviation) were subjected to one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) followed by Tukey’s test (P ≤ 0.05).

RESULTS

The abaxial surface of diheliottropic leaves of S. camporum were densely covered with stellate trichomes (Figures 1A,B), whereas the adaxial surface was glabrous, shiny, and characterized by prominent epicuticular striae (Figures 1A-C). In paraheliotropic leaves, the stellate-hair indumentum was seen on both surfaces of the leaf blade (Figure 1D).
Anatomical features of *S. camporum* leaves include elevated stomata distributed in clusters inside slight crypts (Figure 1E), which are covered by stellate trichomes (Figure 1F). These depressions are located in the intercostal areas on the leaf blade’s abaxial surface. There are 950 ± 30 stomata per mm² on the abaxial surfaces of both leaf types. Each stoma has ring-like protuberances of wax substances over the pore, delimiting the front cavity above the aperture, which results in an outer stomatal ledge (Figure 1E) with a dense pectin network (Figures 1G,H). In cross-section, the epidermis of the adaxial surface is formed by tall, tetragonal cells (Figures 1I,J), in which both the outer and inner periclinal walls are thick and rich in pectic substances. The thick cuticle has two distinct layers: a thin outer layer, constituted by cutin that is only detected by the Sudan IV test, and a thick inner stratum, formed by a dense pectin network (Figure 1K), which is detected by the ruthenium red test. Mesophyll tissues are differentiated into a unistratified palisade and a two- to three-layered spongy chlorenchyma (Figure 1I); these cells have numerous wall ingrowths, which develop into slit-like gas spaces within their apparent infolds (Figure 1L). Such cells are thin-walled and possess a peripheral cytoplasm with numerous chloroplasts and a single central vacuole that can be translucent or dense if it is filled with phenolic compounds (Figures 2A,B). Anticlinal cell walls contain well-developed plasmodesmata (Figure 2C).

The vascular system in the mesophyll is composed of collateral bundles surrounded by bundle sheath cells, which extend to the adaxial epidermis (Figure 2D). In paraheliotropic leaves, the parenchymatous bundle sheath cells and their extensions are thin-walled (Figure 2E), but in diaheliotropic leaves, the parenchymatous bundle sheath cells have thickened, sclerified walls (Figure 2D). Prismatic crystals of calcium oxalate occur in the bundle sheath cells.

Along the revolute leaf margins, the epidermal cells are elongated and the chlorenchyma cells are irregular in shape (Figure 2F). These cells present sinusous and thickened walls. The outer periclinal epidermal cell wall is covered by a very thick cuticle with deposits of pectic substances (Figure 2G), as identified by the ruthenium red test.

The midvein is dorsiventral and has narrow and elongated adaxial epidermal cells, whereas the abaxial cells are smaller and irregular in shape. Parenchyma cells that are lacking in chloroplasts and angular collenchyma are more developed in paraheliotropic (Figure 2H) than in diaheliotropic leaves (Figure 2I). The vascular tissue is surrounded by a thick ring of sclerenchymatous cells in the diaheliotropic leaves (Figure 2I).

The rate of *A* was significantly higher for paraheliotropic leaves than for diaheliotropic leaves at 0900 h, 1100 h and 1400 h, but the rates of *A* were similar at the end of the day (Figure 3A). The *g*ₘ and *E* followed almost the same response pattern (Figures 3B,C), with paraheliotropic leaves having higher values. These leaves showed higher *C₅* but only at 0900 h (Figure 3D). With regard to WUE, it was essentially the same until 1600 h, when paraheliotropic leaves assimilated more carbon per unit of water lost (Figure 3E). The IWUE (*A*/*g*ₘ) was higher for paraheliotropic leaves at 0900 h and 1600 h, and was similar between leaf types at 1100 h and 1400 h (Figure 3F).

Figure 4 shows that paraheliotropic leaves have some tendency to respond with greater *A*, *E*, and *g*ₘ as the vapor pressure deficit VPD increases during the day, whereas in diaheliotropic leaves these variables seem to be unresponsive to changing VPD. In the same way, *A* of paraheliotropic leaves improved (responded with higher values) from a rise in *g*ₘ (Figure 5A,B); the same was true for *E* (Figure 5C,D). In contrast, *C₅* was not dependent on stomatal aperture (Figure 5E,F).

Neither *E* nor *g*ₘ determined the *T*ᵢ of paraheliotropic leaves (Figure 6A,C), which was statistically lower than in the diaheliotropic leaves at 1100 h and 1600 h (Figure 7B). During most of the day, both leaf types had the same range of *E* and *g*ₘ, with *T*ᵢ around 35°C (Figure 6A-D). The *T*ᵢ did not show any clear influence on *A* of either leaf type (Figure 6E,F), which was maximal at 35°C. For paraheliotropic leaves, greater PPF resulted in higher *A* rates, whereas diaheliotropic leaves did not change their rate of *A* based on the time of day or on PPF (Figure 6G,H).

Both *Ψ*ₚₛ or *Ψ*ₚᵣ and the RWC were similar for both leaf types (Figure 7A,C).

**DISCUSSION**

The anatomical features of *S. camporum* leaves include revolute borders, stellate trichomes, thick cuticles, stomata inside crypts on the abaxial leaf surface, compactly-arranged chlorenchyma cells, and bundle-sheath extensions. Many of these features were described for Styracaceae species from the Mediterranean
Figure 1. Aspects of the paraheliotropic and diapheliotropic leaves of *Styrax camporum*. **A.** Vegetative twig of *Styrax camporum* showing apical paraheliotropic leaves and basal diapheliotropic leaves. **B.** Leaf abaxial surface covered with stellate pubescence. **C.** Leaf adaxial surface with epicuticular striae. **D.** Paraheliotropic leaf covered with dense pubescence. **E.** Cluster of stomata in crypts. **F.** Cross-section of a leaf blade showing salient stomata covered with stellate hairs. **G.** Stomate cross-section showing outer stomatal ledge (arrows). **H.** Outer stomatal ledge. Notice the network (arrow) of pectic substances. **I.** Cross-section of a leaf blade showing adaxial epidermal surface and dorsiventral mesophyll. **J.** Pectic substance accumulation between the cuticle and the outer epidermal cell wall. **K.** Cuticle detail showing a network of pectic substances. **L.** Cross-section of a leaf blade showing compact spongy parenchyma. Bars = 90 μm (B); 60 μm (C); 200 μm (D); 20 μm (E,F); 3 μm (G); 1 μm (H); 50 μm (I); 5 μm (J); 0.25 μm (K); 10 μm (L).
Figure 2. Aspects of paraheliotropic and diaheliotropic leaves of *Styrax camorum*. A. Spongy parenchyma cell with prominent chloroplasts. B. Spongy parenchyma cell with heterogeneous material in the vacuole. C. Plasmodesmata in the anticlinal walls of the epidermal cells. D. Sclerenchyma extension bundle sheath in a diaheliotropic leaf. E. Parenchyma extension bundle sheath in a paraheliotropic leaf. F. Revolute leaf border. G. Revolute leaf border, detail. Notice the dense substance between the very thick cuticle and the outer periclinal epidermal cell wall. H. Midvein cross-section of the paraheliotropic leaf. I. Midvein cross-section of the diaheliotropic leaf. Notice the well-developed sclerenchyma ring around the phloem. Bars = 0.5 μm (A,B,C); 0.2 μm (D); 50 μm (E,F); 30 μm (G); 200 μm (H,I).
region and have been associated with adaptation to xeric environments (Schadel and Dickson, 1979).

It is unlikely that the S. camporum plants evaluated here were facing a soil water deficit on April 20th, 2000. Chaves et al. (2002) reaffirmed some of her previous results, adding very convincing data showing that for many species photosynthetic capacity is only decreased at leaf RWC around 60%. The RWC of both leaf types remained around 86%, which is suggestive of well-hydrated leaves. Typical $A$ responses for S. camporum were found to be around 4 $\mu$mol m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$, with great stomatal restriction on a drought season day in August, 2006 (data not published). Prior et al. (1997) described $\Psi_{pd} < -0.5$ MPa as the initial water deficit transitional stage for

Figure 3. Daily variations in $CO_2$ assimilation ($A$, stomatal conductance ($B$), transpiration rates ($C$), intercellular $CO_2$ ($D$), water-use efficiency ($A/E$) ($E$) and intrinsic water-use efficiency ($A/g_s$) ($F$) of para- (O) and dia- (●) heliotropic leaves of Styrax camporum in the field, on April 20th 2000, in Botucatu, southeastern Brazil. For each time point, same letters indicate lack of statistical significance between leaves by the Tukey test ($P > 0.05$). (Vertical bars = SD).
woody species from northern Australian savannas, but our data show \( \Psi_{pd} > -0.3 \) MPa for both leaf types. Moreover, \( S. \) camporum that are 2.5 m. tall may have roots that reach more than 12 m deep (Machado et al., 1997). Thus, good leaf hydration may explain the constant and high \( A \) values during the day, especially for diapheliotropic leaves. This is in agreement with Prado et al. (2004) who found 8.6 \( \mu \)mol m\(^{-2}\) s\(^{-1}\) of net photosynthesis for \( S. \) camporum during the wet season. However, paraheliotropic leaves seemed to benefit from the vertical position, with higher \( A \) at 0900 h, 1100 h, and 1400 h.

Paraheliotropism avoids leaf heating (Bielenberg et al., 2003; Pastenes et al., 2005) and reduces transpiration rates (Forseth and Ehleringer, 1982). Pastenes et al. (2005) observed that restrained horizontal leaves of \( Phaseolus vulgaris \) intercepted more irradiance and had higher \( A \), in spite of having lower \( g_s \). Our data show opposite results: paraheliotropic leaves had greater \( g_s \), which led to greater \( E \) rates for most of the day, but there were no categorical data to confirm that these responses resulted in lower \( T_i \).
for vertical leaves. Moreover, there was no direct indication that $T_1$ influenced $A$, $E$, or $g_s$, and, additionally, for paraheliotropic leaves, $g_s$ and $E$ became higher as VPD increased, whereas VPD caused no such response on diapheliotropic leaves, contrarily to what is expected from laboratory and field measurements covering a wide range of species in which it has been demonstrated that $g_s$ decreases with increasing VPD (Schulze and Hall, 1982; Grantz, 1990). Nevertheless, Meinzer et al. (1999) presented some data from Sclerochalamium paniculatum, a solar-tracking woody cerrado plant that showed a positive correlation between $g_s$ and VPD, similar to our results for paraheliotropic leaves. They observed that $T_1$ was markedly lower (4°C) than air temperature at midday, as compared with other species that had a negative $g_s$ x VPD correlation. This caused a large difference between leaf-to-air VPD and air VPD, partly explaining the positive correlation. We have also seen this positive correlation for S. camporum on a drought season day in August, 2006 (data not published). However, in the present study,
Figure 6. Individual readings (replicates) of leaf temperature in relation to the transpiration rate (A,B) and stomatal conductance (C,D); and CO₂ assimilation in relation to leaf temperature (E,F) and photosynthetic photon flux (G,H) for para- (○) and dia- (●) heliotropic leaves of *Styrax camporum* in the field, on April 20th 2000, in Botucatu, southeastern Brazil. Only regression equations with $R^2 > 0.5$ were shown.
LEAF HELIOTROPISM IN *Styrax camporum* FROM THE BRAZILIAN CERRADO

Although $A$ rates of paraheliotropic leaves increased with a rise in $g_s$, diapheliotropic leaves did not assimilate less carbon because of decreased stomatal aperture. Both leaf types had satisfactory (0.2 - 0.3 mmol m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$) stomatal apertures; moreover, the same $g_s$ range resulted in similar $C_i$ for both leaf types (Figures 3D, 5E and 5F). Thus, paraheliotropic leaves have a higher photosynthetic capacity per unit leaf area, if compared to diapheliotropic leaves.

The direct effect of paraheliotropism as shown by Bielenberg et al. (2003) and Liu et al. (2007) is a reduction in the PPF intercepted by the leaf, which other studies have demonstrated lower leaf temperature (Pastenes et al., 2005) and improved water status (Meinzer et al., 1999). It has been well accepted that leaf movement in parallel to irradiance is an action that effectively minimizes photoinhibition. When water stress occurs with high light and high temperatures, it exerts some of its effect through oxidative damage. This may be associated with an increase in the Mehler reaction, which contributes to superoxide production, increasing the damage to photosystem II (PSII) (Chaves et al., 2002). Pastenes et al. (2005) found a clear protective effect of paraheliotropism in water-stressed leaves of *P. vulgaris* with higher recovery of the maximum quantum yield and higher values of photochemical quenching of chlorophyll fluorescence. In well-watered plants, those authors found higher D1 protein concentrations (lower degradation) in PSII when leaves were not horizontally restrained. Thus, well-documented findings support the theory that *S. camporum* paraheliotropic leaves had a lower irradiance load, with lower photoinhibition, partly explaining the higher rates of CO$_2$ assimilation. In the present study, leaf movement did not substantially change $T_s$, RWC, or $\Psi_w$, but did reduce the intercepted PPF, as described by Caldas et al. (1997) for *P. pubescens*. Indeed, *S. camporum* paraheliotropic leaves showed higher $A$ rates when under the highest PPF (Figure 6G).

An alternative explanation for higher $A$ rates of paraheliotropic leaves is based on leaf structure. Our anatomical leaf analyses for both leaf types showed compact spongy parenchyma. A more compact leaf tissue could also represent increased photosynthetic capacity per unit leaf area. Chaves et al. (2002) suggested that compact leaf tissue permits better light interception and...
higher WUE. Thus, paraheliotropic leaf movement could permit the optimization of CO₂ assimilation, except at 1600 h. At this time, WUE was significantly higher for vertical leaves because of lower transpiration rates, which seemed to have a weak relationship with the intensive reduction in leaf temperature. It is more reasonable to propose that this reduction in Tl was due to lower irradiance (at the end of the day), which was still parallel to paraheliotropic leaves, but perpendicular to diapheliotropic leaves, thereby leading to a higher Tl.

One could still argue that leaf age, rather than leaf movement, determined the differences in gas exchange, since leaves from the bottom part of branches tend to be older. However, the diapheliotropic leaves that we studied were not more than five or six internodes from the completely expanded paraheliotropic leaves. Veneklaas and Boogaard (1994) observed two wheat cultivars with different leaf age-structures, but found no significant age-dependent differences in gas-exchange performance. Still, Constable and Rawson (1980) showed that the decline in A rates in response to leaf age was associated with a reduction in gs. However, lower gs did not fully explain the lower A rates of diapheliotropic leaves.

Leaf angle adjustment of S. camporum could maintain higher gas exchange performance. Our data strongly indicate that S. camporum paraheliotropic leaf movement avoids an excessive irradiance load, with great supportive evidence from literature. Our anatomical leaf analysis also corroborates better light interception with increased photosynthetic capacity per unit leaf area. In conclusion, it seems that the paraheliotropic leaves of S. camporum have categorically higher E and gs, especially under maximum VPD. However, greater E and gs did not assure lower Tl for vertical leaves, and there was no direct evidence that Tl influenced carbon assimilation, E, or gs. Thus, paraheliotropic leaf movement in S. camporum does not seem to be related to leaf temperature control, even in a stressful habitat such as the Brazilian cerrado.
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