In vitro evaluation of three different biomaterials as scaffolds for canine mesenchymal stem cells
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PURPOSE: To evaluate in vitro ability of three different biomaterials – purified hydroxyapatite, demineralized bone matrix and castor oil-based polyurethane – as biocompatible 3D scaffolds for canine bone marrow mesenchymal stem cell (MSC) intending bone tissue engineering.

METHODS: MSCs were isolated from canine bone marrow, characterized and cultivated for seven days with the biomaterials. Cell proliferation and adhesion to the biomaterial surface were evaluated by scanning electron microscopy while differentiation into osteogenic lineage was evaluated by Alizarin Red staining and Sp7/Osterix surface antibody marker.

RESULTS: The biomaterials allowed cellular growth, attachment and proliferation. Osteogenic differentiation occurred in the presence of hydroxyapatite, and matrix deposition commenced in the presence of the castor oil-based polyurethane.

CONCLUSION: All the tested biomaterials may be used as mesenchymal stem cell scaffolds in cell-based orthopedic reconstructive therapy.
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Introduction

Stem cells are defined by their ability for self-renewal and survival while maintaining genomic integrity\(^1\). The bone marrow has at least three stem cell populations - hematopoietic stem cells, endothelial progenitor cells and mesenchymal stem cells (MSCs) - which are precursors of non-hematopoietic tissues\(^1\). The MSCs are able to differentiate themselves into other phenotypes including those that produce cartilage, muscle tissue, bone, medullar stroma, tendon/ligament, and other connective tissues when exposed to an appropriate stimulus\(^1\). In vitro, the MSCs may be grown directly or after density gradient separation; however, cell density is a critical factor affecting the cell growth\(^4\). Morphologically, the MSCs are mostly fusiform and cuboidal\(^7\).

A standard protocol to isolate bone marrow MSCs is based on their expansion potential and on the adherence of marrow-derived fibroblast-like cells, and lack of adherence of hematopoietic cells, to the plastic substrate of marrow-derived fibroblast-like cells, and lack of adherence of hematopoietic cells, to the plastic substrate of the cell culture plate\(^8\). In addition, MSCs possess immunophenotype characteristics as well as specific cell-surface markers, and are negative for hematopoietic markers such as CD3, CD14, CD19, CD34, CD38 and CD66 but positive for CD105, CD166, CD54, CD55, CD13 and CD44\(^6,7,9,11,12\). The characterization is generally accomplished on culture-expanded cells and not on primary cells\(^9\).

Since the repair of large bone defects still poses a challenge for the orthopaedic, reconstructive and maxillo-facial surgeon\(^1\), several approaches have been used to treat them\(^13-17\). Although the autogenous cancellous bone graft is the most effective treatment for inducing bone regeneration and repair since it provides viable osteogenic cells\(^15,19\), it has disadvantages such as the requirement for a second surgical site, insufficient sites, and morbidity at the donor site\(^16,19,20\). Thus, bone-graft substitutes including hydroxyapatite, tricalcium phosphate, coral-collagen composite, natural coral, calcium carbonate-based ceramics and collagen combinations have been developed to treat a number of orthopedic diseases\(^19-22\). In addition, some of these materials allow direct anchorage to the local tissue. Due to this characteristic, these biomaterials have been used as scaffolds in reconstructive surgeries with excellent results while avoiding the use of bone grafts\(^23\). However, it is recognized that some biomaterials lack either osteogenic or osteoinductive properties\(^19,24\).

According to tissue engineering concepts it is possible to regenerate several tissues or organs by using mature cells or stem cells seeded onto adequate three-dimensional scaffolds\(^8,19,24\). Vats et al.\(^25\) described the steps involved in the engineering of tissues and organs. These include cell harvest from the donor site, seeding of cells onto a scaffold, stimulation of cellular proliferation, maintaining or stimulating cellular specialization or differentiation and, finally, transplant of the living tissue or organ to the patient. The final goal is the creation of a stable, complex three-dimensional construction of clinically useful size in vivo, combining all these steps.

An ideal scaffold for bone tissue engineering must provide an appropriate environment for tissue development; it should favor cell attachment, growth and differentiation and have biocompatible components\(^19,26\). Scaffolds may be derived from biological materials such as extracelullar matrix, plants and algae; or synthetic materials including hydroxyapatite, tricalcium phosphate ceramics, polylactide and polyglycolide, or a combination of these\(^27\). However, the scaffolds that deliver MSCs should have the following characteristics: be mechanically sensitive to the implantation site, be able to osteointegrate into the host tissues or disappear, be porous, allow cell attachment, growth and differentiation, permit bioactive molecules to have access to cells, provide maximal bone growth through osteoinduction and/or osteoconduction, and be sterilizable without loss of properties\(^5,19\). The differentiation capacity of cultured bone marrow-derived MSCs coupled with the apparent ease of ex vivo culture manipulation has engendered considerable interest in potential therapeutic applications of these cells in a wide range of settings\(^28\). Furthermore, the anti-proliferative and anti-inflammatory effects shown by these cells have also provided a basis for their application to disease therapies\(^7\). Therefore, the association of these biomaterials and cells with osteogenic potential may be a viable and promising alternative in the treatment of bone defects as observed in animal models\(^29,19,24\). Thus, the aim of this study was to investigate three different types of commercially available biomaterials as biocompatible 3D scaffolds for canine MSCs, intending bone tissue engineering.

Methods

The present study was performed according to the guidelines for the care and use of laboratory animals and was approved by the Ethics Committee from the School of Veterinary Medicine and Animal Science, UNESP at Botucatu.

Scaffolds

BioOsteo\(^\circ\) (Biomecânica Indústria e Comércio de Produtos Ortopédicos Ltda., Brazil) in granule presentation (800 µm in diameter) with pores of 1 to 2µm, is a polyurethane
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containing castor oil (soft segment), associated with calcium carbonate (34.5% concentrated/concentration). The 500 mg sample of polyurethane used in each culture flask is ethylene oxide sterilized.

Bonefill® (Bionnovation Produtos Biomédicos SA, Brazil.) is a granular biomaterial (0.6-1.5 mm in diameter) composed of inorganic matrix obtained from bovine bone and ethylene oxide sterilized. A 500 mg sample was used in each culture flask.

Hydroxyapatite® (Bionnovation Produtos Biomédicos SA, Brazil) (granules of approximately 0.6 mm in diameter) is a ceramic mineral (hydroxyapatite) produced by precipitation of calcium phosphate and ethylene oxide sterilized. A 500 mg sample was used in each culture flask.

Cell Isolation

Canine MSCs were isolated and expanded using a modification of methods previously reported. Bone marrow aspirate was obtained from an adult healthy dog humerus under general anesthesia. A bone marrow biopsy needle was inserted through the cortical bone of the humeral major tuberculum and 5 ml of bone marrow was aspirated into a syringe containing 1 ml of sodium heparin. The aspirate was centrifuged at 1,500 rpm for 10 min to remove serum and fat. The cell-rich sediment was then diluted at the proportion of 1/1 with Dulbecco’s Modified Eagle Medium (DMEM) high glucose with L-glutamin (GIBCO BRL; Grand Island, USA). Four ml was transferred to a tube containing 4 ml of Ficoll-Paque (1.077 g/ml) for density gradient centrifugation at 300 g for 40 min. Immediately afterwards, the mononuclear cell ring was collected and washed with DMEM twice. The cells were then diluted in 1 ml DMEM with 20% fetal calf serum (FCS), transferred to culture flasks of 25 cm² with 5 ml of DMEM (low glucose with L-glutamine), FCS, penicillin and streptomycin and cultured in a humidified 5% CO₂ atmosphere at 37.5°C. MSCs were selected on the basis of adhesion and proliferation on tissue culture plastic substrate. Once the cells achieved 80% confluence at seven days of culture, they were re-suspended to a concentration of 2 x 10⁶ cells/ml. To confirm the mesenchymal stem cell lineage, CD34 and CD44 specific surface antibodies (AbD Serotec, Oxford, UK) were used to mark the mesenchymal cells. The cell populations isolated on first passage culture were prepared according to the antibodies manufacturer protocols. The CD34 antibody FITC (Fluorescein Isothiocyanate) conjugated was negative at direct immunofluorescence staining for flow cytometry. The CD44 antibody associated with RPE secondary antibody (R. Phycoerythrin) was positive at indirect immunofluorescence staining for flow cytometry. In the following experiments the MSCs were used prior to passage 2.

Cell seeding and morphology

The scaffolds were placed in a 75 cm² cell culture flask at the previously described concentration. MSCs were seeded onto the scaffolds at a density of 2 x 10⁶ cells/flask and the medium containing DMEM (low glucose with L-glutamine), 20% FCS, penicillin, streptomycin and amphotericin B was added until reaching 15 ml. The cells and scaffolds were maintained at 37.5°C in a humidified 5% CO₂ atmosphere for seven days. To observe the cell morphology, phase contrast microscopy was used at days 2, 4 and 7 of cell culture.

Cell differentiation and adhesion

At day seven, the scaffolds were removed from the cell culture flasks by gently washing with complete culture medium then soaked in a buffered 2.5% glutaraldehyde solution for scanning electron microscopy (SEM). The adherent cells in the culture flask were washed twice with phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) to completely remove the biomaterials granules and then stained with Alizarin Red solution to detect calcium deposits. Furthermore, adherent cells were also re-suspended and marked with Sp7/Osterix surface marker antibody for osteogenic differentiation.

SEM was used to evaluate the cell attachment and growth. The biomaterial samples associated with the mesenchymal stem cells were assessed in a Quanta 200 3D Scanning Electron Microscope (Fei Company, Hillsboro, USA). The samples were prepared according to the usual protocol. In addition, isolated samples of all biomaterials were evaluated by SEM.

Results

In vitro evaluation of cell response with biomaterial

After two days of cell culture, cell growth in monolayer was verified in all areas of the culture flask, independently of the BioOsteo granule concentration in the area. The cells reached 60-70% confluence and showed morphological preservation despite the vacuoles of different sizes found inside their cytoplasm. After being cultured for four days, the cells reached 70-80% confluence and the morphology was maintained. On day seven, several...
granules of the BioOsteo had adhered to the surface of the culture flask and there were cells in contact with the granules. The cells reached approximately 80-90% confluence in all areas of the culture flask, and around the BioOsteo granules (Figure 1a).

On the second day of cell culture, intense cell proliferation was verified throughout the culture flask containing Bonefill samples. The cells had fibroblastoid morphology with 60-70% confluence. After being cultured for four days, the cells reached 90% confluence in all areas of the culture flask and maintained fibroblastoid morphology. On day seven, the cells showed about 90% confluence and some of them had commenced growing toward the surfaces of the Bonefill granules (Figure 1b).

On day two of cell culture, the cells with hydroxyapatite showed slower cell proliferation than BioOsteo and Bonefill. The cells showed a fibroblastoid phenotype, with a confluence ranging from 40 to 60%. After being cultured for four and seven days, the cells reached 80% confluence (Figure 1c), and the granules of the hydroxyapatite showed fragmentation giving rise to small fragments throughout the culture flask.

**Cell differentiation markers**

After seven days of culture, Alizarin Red staining revealed no calcium deposition in the cell monolayer for the BioOsteo and Bonefill (Figures 2a and 2b). However, the hydroxyapatite showed little deposition of extracellular calcium in the hydroxyapatite (Figure 2c). Anti-Sp7/Osterix antibody was positive only in relation to cells cultivated in the presence of hydroxyapatite granules (Figure 2d).

**FIGURE 1** - Phase microscopy at seven days of cell culture. Cell morphology and spreading in the presence of the BioOsteo (a), Bonefill (b), and Hydroxyapatite (c) granules (*). Observe the high cellular confluence with the three biomaterials.

**FIGURE 2** - Comparison at seven days of cell culture of the Alizarin red solution staining among the cells cultured in the presence of BioOsteo (a), Bonefill (b), and Hydroxyapatite (c) granules. Observe calcium deposition stained only when the hydroxyapatite was added to the cell culture. Observe the osteogenic differentiation of one cell cultured with hydroxyapatite granules confirmed by the anti-Sp7/Osterix cell surface antibody (d).

**SEM**

The isolated samples of BioOsteo showed irregular granules with no pores, diameters ranging from 300 to 800μm (Figure 3a), and surface areas varying from smooth to rough (Figure 3b). The evaluation of BioOsteo combined with the MSCs revealed cells with fibroblastoid morphology aggregated at the surface of all granules (Figure 3c). Furthermore, approximately 90% of the granules had spherical hydroxyapatite-like structures adhering to their surface, surrounding the cells (Figure 3d).

**FIGURE 3** - SEM images of the isolated samples of BioOsteo. (a) Irregular granules with no pores, diameters ranging from 300 to 800μm. (b) Surface areas varying from smooth to rough. (c) Cells with fibroblastoid morphology aggregated at the surface of all granules. (d) Spherical hydroxyapatite-like structures adhering to the granules.
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FIGURE 3 - Ultrastructure of the BioOsteo granules under scanning electron microscopy. Observe irregular granules (a), with both smooth and rough surface (b). After cultured for seven days with MSC, observe cells attached to the biomaterial surface (c) and calcified matrix deposition around the cells (d).

The Bonefill samples without the MSCs showed irregular granules with diameters between 1000 and 2000 μm (Figure 4a). The granules presented rough irregular surfaces and showed few pores on its surface (Figure 4b). The MSC-Bonefill combination showed marked cell aggregation covering almost the entire granular surface (Figure 4c). The cells had fibroblastoid morphology with several cytoplasmatic extensions directed toward both adjacent cells and the biomaterial’s surface (Figure 4d).

SEM of the isolated hydroxyapatite sample revealed irregular granules ranging from 500 to 1000 μm in diameter (Figure 5a). The granules presented irregular topography, rough surfaces and pores up to 5 μm in diameter (Figure 5b). The evaluation of Hydroxyapatite combined with the MSCs showed few cells aggregated on the granular surface (Figure 5c). Although the cells had fibroblastoid morphology, their diameters (approximately 50 μm) were smaller (Figure 5d) than those observed in the presence of the other biomaterials (about 80-100 μm).

FIGURE 4 - Scanning electron microscopy of Bonefill granules. Observe irregular granules (a), and Havers and Volkmann channels at the biomaterial surface (b). After cultured for seven days with MSC, observe marked cell aggregation covering almost the entire granular surface (c) and fibroblastoid morphology of the attached cells (d).

FIGURE 5 - Scanning electron microscopy of the hydroxyapatite granules showing irregular granules (a) with rough surfaces and pores up to 5 μm in diameter (b). After cultured for seven days with MSC, observe few cells (arrows) aggregated on the granular surface (c) with fibroblastoid morphology and small diameter (approximately 50 μm) (d).

Discussion

We investigated three different scaffolding biomaterials for canine MSCs. Castor oil-based polyurethane (soft segment) is developed from two basic components (polyol and pre-polymer), both obtained by modification of the castor oil plant30. Calcium
carbonate to the polyurethane in order to improve its capacity to integrate with the osseous tissue. Many commercial presentations of BioOsteo are available, allowing multiple uses, while the granule presentation used in this study is indicated as bone filler. In the presence of this polyurethane, the MSCs maintained the fibroblastoid phenotype, which is the typical MSC morphology throughout the evaluation time. Under phase microscopy, the MSCs showed proliferation sufficient to achieve widespread distribution in all culture flasks, even near the biomaterial granules. At day seven of cell culture, many granules were attached to the culture flask surface and surrounded by cells. The calcium carbonate of the polyurethane formulation may be responsible for this attachment, since it enhances the osseous integration of the polyurethane. Furthermore, the surrounding cells should also support the adhesion of the granules. It was reported that the chemical composition of the castor oil-based polyurethane did not affect the rat bone marrow-cell adhesion to its surface, but the cell proliferation and mineralized nodule formation under osteoinductive medium was prominent when the calcium carbonate or, better yet, calcium phosphate was added to the polyurethane composition.

The BioOsteo presented irregular granules whose surfaces varied from smooth to rough, as revealed by SEM. Although the exact biomaterial surface characteristics that allow great adhesion to cells are not completely elucidated, the roughness and topography of this surface influence the cell adhesion and proliferation. In the present study, the MSCs adhered preferentially to the smooth surfaces of the BioOsteo. In addition, almost 90% of the BioOsteo granules cultured with the MSCs showed spherical hydroxyapatite-like structures. According to the manufacturer, after the polymerization, the final product shows a quantity of urethane chains that attract the organic calcium compounds in the process of bone integration, which may favor the crystal deposition by the adhering cells. Although the Alizarin Red staining and Osterix/Sp7 marking were negative, the intense deposition of hydroxyapatite-like crystals by the adhering cells suggests the commencement of osteogenic differentiation and the osteoinductive property of BioOsteo. On the other hand, no pores were detected on the granular surface, which is considered undesirable. The biomaterial permeability enables the influx of nutrients and the removal of metabolic waste. Furthermore, the minimal pore size that facilitates cell penetration is 20 µm, and the pores must be larger than 50 µm to allow new bone formation within them.

Demineralized bone matrix produced by acid extraction of allograft cortical bone contains osteoinductive factors such as bone morphogenetic protein, growth factors and TGF-β, and noncollagenous proteins that are considered osteoconductive. In the present study these characteristics may have contributed to the faster cell proliferation in the presence of the Bonefill granules, which allowed 90% confluence at day four. This was also confirmed by SEM after seven days in culture, when almost all granules were covered by adhering MSCs. Similar results were reported when human MSCs were seeded over three resorbable biomaterials (calcium-deficient hydroxyapatite, β-tricalcium phosphate and demineralized bone matrix) of which demineralized bone matrix produced the best cell adhesion. The demineralized bone matrix is presented in several forms such as granules, powder, gel, putty and strips, in which the potency of the preparations depends on the manufacturing process. The one used in this study was granular, obtained from bovine cortical bone, with a rough irregular surface, and no pores except the Havers and Volkmann channels. The phase microscopy at day seven of cell culture with the Bonefill granules showed the maintenance of the fibroblastoid phenotype, as observed in the other tested biomaterials. Although the bone morphogenetic proteins - considered osteogenic differentiation promoters - are constituents of the demineralized bone matrix, the Alizarin Red staining and Osterix/Sp7 marking were negative in relation to the cells cultured with the Bonefill granules. In addition, no hydroxyapatite-like crystal deposits were detected by SEM. Thus, the tested demineralized bone matrix was not able to induce osteogenic differentiation of the MSCs.

The biomaterial hydroxyapatite may be of natural origins (derived from corals or bovine bone) or synthetic (hydroxyapatite, unsintered apatite or calcium-deficient apatite). Synthetic hydroxyapatite may be prepared as a dense non-porous or porous form, in either blocks or granules. In the present study, a granular synthetic hydroxyapatite produced by the precipitation method was utilized. The use of hydroxyapatite for tissue engineering has been described for many years, especially due to its osteoconductive property. In addition, hydroxyapatite may be used in combination with stem cells, since it supports osteogenic induction. In the present study, the in vitro MSC growth was slower in the presence of hydroxyapatite granules in comparison with the other tested biomaterials. This was evidenced by the lower cellular confluence at all evaluation moments. The phenotype evaluation under phase microscopy showed cells with fibroblastoid morphology, confirming that the presence of hydroxyapatite did not affect cell growth. The Alizarin Red staining was positive, indicating the commencement of extracellular calcified matrix deposition. Furthermore, the Sp7/Osterix protein expression, detected exclusively in the presence of hydroxyapatite granules,
showed osteogenic differentiation, thus confirming the ability of hydroxyapatite to induce osteogenesis. Cellular adhesion to the hydroxyapatite granules was confirmed by SEM, although only a few cells had been observed. This finding stands in contrast to a prior study in which 95% of the cells seeded in hydroxyapatite matrix had adhered to its surface when observed only one day after seeding, and another one in which transparent hydroxyapatite ceramics allowed cellular adhesion, proliferation and osteogenic differentiation of rat MSCs. Small pores up to 5 μm in diameter were observed, by SEM, on the surface of the hydroxyapatite granules. The distribution, regularity and size of these pores are critical factors in cell adhesion and proliferation. The pore size that optimizes the adhesion, differentiation and growth of osteoblasts in the presence of hydroxyapatite granules is about 300-400 μm, so that in ceramics with pores smaller or larger than this mean, the amount of newly formed bone tissue is diminished. Thus, the low porosity of this hydroxyapatite may have negatively influenced the cellular adhesion and proliferation on its surface.

Although all of the tested biomaterials allow MSC adhesion and proliferation on their surfaces, these characteristics are more intense in the presence of the demineralized bone matrix. Furthermore, the cells differentiate into osteogenic lineage when cultured with hydroxyapatite granules, and commence bone matrix deposition on the surface of castor oil-based polyurethane granules.

Conclusions

All tested biomaterials were able to support mesenchymal stem cell adhesion and proliferation, and may be used as scaffolds for MSCs in bone tissue engineering. BioOsteo enhances hydroxyapatite-like crystal deposition and hydroxyapatite promotes osteogenic differentiation in early evaluation (seven days).
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