

Exponential Decay for Kirchhoff Wave Equation with Nonlocal Condition in a Noncylindrical Domain

J. FERREIRA*

Departamento de Matemática-DMA, Universidade Estadual de Maringá-UEM
Av. Colombo, 5790-Zona 7, CEP 87020-900, Maringá-Pr., Brazil
jferreira@bs2.com.br

M. L. SANTOS

Departamento de Matemática, Universidade Federal do Pará, Campus Universitario do Guamá
Rua Augusto Corrêa 01, CEP 66075-110, Pará, Brazil
and
IESAM-Intstituto de Estudos Superiores da Amazônia
Av. Gov. José Malcher, 1148, Belém, PA, Brazil
ls@ufpa.br

M. P. MATOS

Departamento de Matemática, Universidade Federal da Paraíba, Campus Universitario
CEP 58051-900, João Pessoa, PB, Brazil
matos@mat.ufpb.br

W. D. BASTOS

Universidade Estadual Paulista - UNESP, IBILCE - Departamento de Matemática
Rua Cristóvão Colombo, 2265 CEP: 15040-000, São José do Rio Preto-SP, Brazil
waldemar@mat.ibilce.unesp.br

(Received August 2003; accepted September 2003)

Abstract—In this paper, we prove the exponential decay as time goes to infinity of regular solutions of the problem for the Kirchhoff wave equation with nonlocal condition and weak damping

$$u_{tt} - M(\|\nabla u\|_2^2) \Delta u + \int_0^t g(t-s) \Delta u(\cdot, s) ds + \alpha u_t = 0, \quad \text{in } \hat{Q},$$

where \hat{Q} is a noncylindrical domain of \mathbb{R}^{n+1} ($n \geq 1$) with the lateral boundary $\hat{\Sigma}$ and α is a positive constant. © 2004 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

*Author to whom all correspondence should be addressed.

This research was started while the first author was visiting the Federal University of Pará-UFPA (Pa-Brazil), during September 2002, the Federal University of Paraíba-UFPB (PB-Brazil) during January and February 2003 and the State University From São Paulo - UNESP (SP-Brazil) during April 2003, where this research was ended. He was partially supported by CNPq-Brasília under Grant No. 300344/92-9. The authors are thankful to the referee of this paper for valuable suggestions which improved this paper. Also, the authors would like to thank Professor J. Lorenz for this valuable attention to our paper. Finally, the authors are grateful to Professor Dr. J. E. Muñoz Rivera.

Keywords—Kirchhoff wave equation, Noncylindrical domain, Exponential decay.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let Ω be an open bounded domain of \mathbb{R}^n containing the origin and having C^2 boundary. Let $\gamma : [0, \infty[\rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a continuously differentiable function. Consider the family of subdomains $\{\Omega_t\}_{0 \leq t < \infty}$ of \mathbb{R}^n given by

$$\Omega_t = T(\Omega), \quad T : y \in \Omega \mapsto x = \gamma(t)y,$$

whose boundaries are denoted by Γ_t , and let \hat{Q} be the noncylindrical domain of \mathbb{R}^{n+1} given by

$$\hat{Q} = \bigcup_{0 \leq t < \infty} \Omega_t \times \{t\}$$

with lateral boundary

$$\hat{\Sigma} = \bigcup_{0 \leq t < \infty} \Gamma_t \times \{t\}.$$

In this work, we study the existence of strong solutions as well as the exponential decay of the energy to the Kirchhoff wave equation with a nonlocal condition given by

$$u_{tt} - M(\|\nabla u\|_2^2) \Delta u + \int_0^t g(t-s) \Delta u(\cdot, s) ds + \alpha u_t = 0, \quad \text{in } \hat{Q}, \tag{1.1}$$

$$u = 0, \quad \text{on } \hat{\Sigma}, \tag{1.2}$$

$$u(x, 0) = u_0(x), \quad u_t(x, 0) = u_1(x), \quad \text{in } \Omega_0, \tag{1.3}$$

where u is the transverse displacement and α is a positive constant. We show the existence and uniqueness of strong solutions to the initial boundary value problem (1.1)–(1.3). The method we use to prove the result of existence and uniqueness is based on transforming our problem into another initial boundary value problem defined over a cylindrical domain whose sections are not time-dependent. This is done using a suitable change of variable. Then, we show the existence and uniqueness for this new problem. Our existence result on noncylindrical domain will follow by using the inverse transformation, that is, by using the diffeomorphism $\tau : \hat{Q} \rightarrow Q$ defined by

$$\tau : \hat{Q} \rightarrow Q, \quad (x, t) \in \Omega_t \mapsto (y, t) = \left(\frac{x}{\gamma(t)}, t \right) \tag{1.4}$$

and $\tau^{-1} : Q \rightarrow \hat{Q}$ defined by

$$\tau^{-1}(y, t) = (x, t) = (\gamma(t)y, t). \tag{1.5}$$

Denoting by v the function

$$v(y, t) = u \circ \tau^{-1}(y, t) = u(\gamma(t)y, t), \tag{1.6}$$

the initial boundary value problem (1.1)–(1.3) becomes

$$v_{tt} - \gamma^{-2} M \left(\gamma^{n-2} \|\nabla v\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \right) \Delta v + \int_0^t g(t-s) \gamma^{-2}(s) \Delta v(\cdot, s) ds \tag{1.7}$$

$$+ \alpha v_t - A(t)v + a_1 \cdot \nabla \partial_t v + a_2 \cdot \nabla v = 0, \quad \text{in } Q,$$

$$v|_{\Gamma} = 0, \tag{1.8}$$

$$v|_{t=0} = v_0, \quad v_t|_{t=0} = v_1, \quad \text{in } \Omega, \tag{1.9}$$

where

$$A(t)v = \sum_{i,j=1}^n \partial_{y_i} (a_{ij} \partial_{y_j} v)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} a_{ij}(y, t) &= -(\gamma' \gamma^{-1})^2 y_i y_j, \quad i, j = 1, \dots, n, \\ a_1(y, t) &= -2\gamma' \gamma^{-1} y, \\ a_2(y, t) &= -\gamma^{-2} y (\gamma'' \gamma + \gamma' (\alpha \gamma + (n-1)\gamma')). \end{aligned} \tag{1.10}$$

To show the existence of strong solution, we will use the following hypotheses:

$$\gamma' \leq 0, \quad \text{if } n > 2, \quad \gamma' \geq 0, \quad \text{if } n \leq 2, \tag{1.11}$$

$$\gamma(\cdot) \in L^\infty(0, \infty), \quad \inf_{0 \leq t < \infty} \gamma(t) = \gamma_0 > 0, \tag{1.12}$$

$$\gamma' \in W^{2,\infty}(0, \infty) \cap W^{2,1}(0, \infty). \tag{1.13}$$

Note that assumption (1.11) means that \hat{Q} is decreasing if $n > 2$ and increasing if $n \leq 2$ in the sense that when $t > t'$ and $n > 2$, then the projection of $\Omega_{t'}$ on the subspace $t = 0$ contains the projection of Ω_t on the same subspace and the contrary when $n \leq 2$. The above method was introduced by Dal Passo and Ughi [1] to study a certain class of parabolic equations in noncylindrical domains. Concerning the function $M \in C^1([0, \infty[)$, we assume that

$$M(\tau) \geq m_0, \quad M(\tau)\tau \geq \hat{M}(\tau), \quad \forall \tau \geq 0, \tag{1.14}$$

where $\hat{M}(\tau) = \int_0^\tau M(s) ds$.

Unlike the existing papers on stability for hyperbolic equations in noncylindrical domain, we do not use the penalty method but work directly in our noncylindrical domain \hat{Q} . To see the dissipative properties of the system, we have to construct a suitable functional whose derivative is negative and is equivalent to the first-order energy. This functional is obtained using the multiplicative technique following Rivera's method in [2]. We only obtained the exponential decay of solution for our problem for the case $n > 2$. The main difficulty to obtain the decay for the case $n \leq 2$ is due to the influence of the geometry of the noncylindrical domain and it is an important open problem. From the physics point of view, problem (1.1)–(1.3) describes the transverse displacements of a stretched viscoelastic membrane fixed in a moving boundary device. The viscoelasticity property of the material is characterized by the memory term

$$\int_0^t g(t-s) \Delta u(\cdot, s) ds.$$

In a fixed domain, it is well known that if the relaxation function g decays to zero, then the energy of the system also decays to zero, see [3–8]. But in a moving boundary setting, the axial tension exerted by the horizontal movement of the boundary yields nonlinear terms involving derivatives in the space variable. To control these nonlinearities, we add in the system a frictional damping, characterized by u_t . This term will play an important role in the dissipative nature of the problem. A quite complete discussion in the modelling of transverse vibrations of purely elastic membranes can be found in [9]. It seems to us that there is no result concerning the asymptotic stability of solutions for system (1.1)–(1.3) in the literature. So to fill this gap we study here this topic. The notations we use in this paper are standard and can be found in Lion's book [10,11]. In the sequel by C (sometimes C_1, C_2, \dots), we denote various positive constants which do not depend on t or on the initial data. The organization of this paper is as follows. In Section 2, we prove the existence of regular solutions, and finally in Section 3, we give the proof of the uniform exponential decay.

2. EXISTENCE AND REGULARITY

In this section, we shall study the existence and regularity of solutions for system (1.1)–(1.3). For this, we assume that the kernel $g : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ is in $W^{2,1}(0, \infty)$ and satisfies

$$g, -g' \geq 0, \quad m_0\gamma_1^{-2} - \int_0^\infty g(s)\gamma^{-2}(s) ds = \beta > 0, \tag{2.1}$$

where

$$\gamma_1 = \sup_{0 \leq t < \infty} \gamma(t).$$

To simplify our analysis, we define the binary operator

$$g \square \frac{\nabla u(t)}{\gamma(t)} = \int_\Omega \int_0^t g(t-s)\gamma^{-2}(s) |\nabla u(t) - \nabla u(\cdot, s)|^2 ds dx.$$

It is convenient to establish the following.

LEMMA 2.1. *For $v \in C^1(0, T : H^1(\Omega))$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} \int_\Omega \int_0^t g(t-s)\gamma^{-2}(s) \nabla v \cdot \nabla v_t ds dx &= -\frac{1}{2} \frac{g(t)}{\gamma^2(0)} \int_\Omega |\nabla v|^2 dx + \frac{1}{2} g' \square \frac{\nabla v}{\gamma} \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \left[g \square \frac{\nabla v}{\gamma} - \left(\int_0^t \frac{g(s)}{\gamma^2(s)} ds \right) \int_\Omega |\nabla v|^2 dx \right]. \end{aligned}$$

The proof of this lemma follows by differentiating the term $g \square (\nabla u(t)/\gamma(t))$. The well posedness of system (1.7)–(1.9) is given by the following theorem.

THEOREM 2.1. *Let $v_0 \in H_0^1(\Omega) \cap H^2(\Omega)$ and $v_1 \in H_0^1(\Omega)$ be functions satisfying*

$$\|\nabla v_0\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \|\nabla v_1\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \leq \delta,$$

where δ is a small positive constant, and suppose that assumptions (1.11)–(1.14) and (2.1) hold. Then there exists a unique solution v of the problem (1.7)–(1.9) satisfying

$$\begin{aligned} v &\in L^\infty(0, \infty : H_0^1(\Omega) \cap H^2(\Omega)), \\ v_t &\in L^\infty(0, \infty : H_0^1(\Omega)), \\ v_{tt} &\in L^\infty(0, \infty : L^2(\Omega)). \end{aligned}$$

PROOF. Let us denote by B the operator

$$Bw = -\Delta w, \quad D(B) = H_0^1(\Omega) \cap H^2(\Omega).$$

It is well known that B is a positive self-adjoint operator in the Hilbert space $L^2(\Omega)$ for which there exist sequences $\{w_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ and $\{\lambda_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of eigenfunctions and eigenvalues of B such that the set of linear combinations of $\{w_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is dense in $D(B)$ and $\lambda_1 < \lambda_2 \leq \dots \leq \lambda_n \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Let us denote by

$$v_0^m = \sum_{j=1}^m (v_0, w_j) w_j, \quad v_1^m = \sum_{j=1}^m (v_1, w_j) w_j.$$

Note that for any $(v_0, v_1) \in D(B) \times H_0^1(\Omega)$, we have $v_0^m \rightarrow v_0$ strong in $D(B)$ and $v_1^m \rightarrow v_1$ strong in $H_0^1(\Omega)$.

Let us denote by V_m the space generated by w_1, \dots, w_m . Standard results on ordinary differential equations imply the existence of a local solution v^m of the form

$$v^m(t) = \sum_{j=1}^m g_{jm}(t)w_j$$

to the system

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\Omega} v_{tt}^m w_j \, dy + \alpha \int_{\Omega} v_t^m w_j \, dy - \gamma^{-2} M \left(\gamma^{n-2} \|\nabla v^m\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \int_{\Omega} \Delta v^m w_j \, dy \right. \\ & + \int_{\Omega} \int_0^t g(t-s) \gamma^{-2}(s) \nabla v^m(\cdot, s) \cdot \nabla w_j \, dy + \int_{\Omega} A(t) v^m w_j \, dy \\ & \left. + \int_{\Omega} a_1 \cdot \nabla v_t^m w_j \, dy + \int_{\Omega} a_2 \cdot \nabla v^m w_j \, dy = 0, \quad j = 1, \dots, m, \right. \end{aligned} \tag{2.2}$$

$$v^m(x, 0) = v_0^m, \quad v_t^m(x, 0) = v_1^m. \tag{2.3}$$

The extension of these solutions to the whole interval $[0, \infty[$ is a consequence of the first estimate which we are going to prove below.

A Priori ESTIMATE I. Multiplying equation (2.2) by $g'_{jm}(t)$ and summing up the product result, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|v_t^m\|_2^2 + \alpha \|v_t^m\|_2^2 + \frac{\gamma^{-2}}{2} M \left(\gamma^{n-2} \|\nabla v^m\|_2^2 \right) \frac{d}{dt} \|\nabla v^m\|_2^2 \\ & - \int_{\Omega} \int_0^t g(t-s) \gamma^{-2}(s) \nabla v^m(\cdot, s) \cdot \nabla v^m \, ds \, dy + \int_{\Omega} A(t) v^m v_t^m \, dy \\ & + \int_{\Omega} a_1 \cdot \nabla v_t^m v_t^m \, dy + \int_{\Omega} a_2 \cdot \nabla v^m v_t^m \, dy = 0. \end{aligned} \tag{2.4}$$

Noting that

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\gamma^{-2}}{2} M \left(\gamma^{n-2} \|\nabla v^m\|_2^2 \right) \frac{d}{dt} \|\nabla v^m\|_2^2 = n \gamma^{-n-1} \gamma' \hat{M} \left(\gamma^{n-2} \|\nabla v^m\|_2^2 \right) \\ & + \frac{d}{dt} \left(\gamma^{-n} \hat{M} \left(\gamma^{n-2} \|\nabla v^m\|_2^2 \right) \right) - (n-2) \gamma' \gamma^{-3} \|\nabla v^m\|_2^2 M \left(\gamma^{n-2} \|\nabla v^m\|_2^2 \right) \end{aligned}$$

and using Lemma 2.1, equation (2.4) can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|v_t^m\|_2^2 + \alpha \|v_t^m\|_2^2 + \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \left(\gamma^{-n} \hat{M} \left(\gamma^{n-2} \|\nabla v^m\|_2^2 \right) \right) \\ & - \frac{(n-2)\gamma'}{2\gamma^{n+1}} \left[\gamma^{n-2} \|\nabla v^m\|_2^2 M \left(\gamma^{n-2} \|\nabla v^m\|_2^2 \right) - \hat{M} \left(\gamma^{n-2} \|\nabla v^m\|_2^2 \right) \right] \\ & + \frac{\gamma'}{\gamma^{n-2}} \hat{M} \left(\gamma^{n-2} \|\nabla v^m\|_2^2 \right) + \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \left[g \square \frac{\nabla v^m}{\gamma} - \left(\int_0^t \frac{g(s)}{\gamma^2(s)} \, ds \right) \|\nabla v^m\|_2^2 \right] \\ & + \frac{1}{2} \frac{g(t)}{\gamma^2(0)} \|\nabla v^m\|_2^2 - \frac{1}{2} g' \square \frac{\nabla v^m}{\gamma} + \int_{\Omega} A(t) v^m v_t^m \, dy \\ & + \int_{\Omega} a_1 \cdot \nabla v_t^m v_t^m \, dy + \int_{\Omega} a_2 \cdot \nabla v^m v_t^m \, dy = 0. \end{aligned} \tag{2.5}$$

Taking into account (1.11)–(1.14) and (2.1), we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} E_1^m(t) + \alpha \|v_t^m\|_2^2 - \frac{(n-2)\gamma'}{2\gamma^{n+1}} \left[\gamma^{n-2} \|\nabla v^m\|_2^2 M \left(\gamma^{n-2} \|\nabla v^m\|_2^2 \right) \right. \\ & \left. - \hat{M} \left(\gamma^{n-2} \|\nabla v^m\|_2^2 \right) \right] \leq C (|\gamma'| + |\gamma''|) \left(E_1^m(t) + \|\nabla v^m\|_2^2 \right), \end{aligned} \tag{2.6}$$

where

$$E_1^m(t) = \|v_t^m\|_2^2 + \gamma^{-n} \hat{M} \left(\gamma^{n-2} \|\nabla v^m\|_2^2 \right) + g \square \frac{\nabla v^m}{\gamma} - \left(\int_0^t g(s) \gamma^{-2}(s) ds \right) \|\nabla v^m\|_2^2.$$

From (1.11) and (1.14), it follows that inequality (2.6) can be written as

$$E_1^m(t) + \alpha \int_0^t \|v_t^m(s)\|_2^2 ds \leq C \left(\|v_1^m\|_2^2 + \frac{1}{\gamma^n(0)} \hat{M} \left(\gamma^{n-2}(0) \|\nabla v_0^m\|_2^2 \right) \right) + C \int_0^t (|\gamma'| + |\gamma''|) E_1^m(s) ds.$$

Using Gronwall’s lemma and taking into account (1.13), we conclude that

$$\|v_t^m\|_2^2 + \|\nabla v^m\|_2^2 + \int_0^t \|v_s^m\|_2^2 ds \leq C, \quad \forall t \in [0, T], \quad \forall m \in \mathbb{N}. \tag{2.7}$$

A Priori ESTIMATE II. Now, if we multiply equation (2.2) by $\sqrt{\lambda_j} g'_{jm}(t)$ and summing up in $j = 1, \dots, m$ we get after some calculations

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\nabla v_t^m\|_2^2 + \alpha \|\nabla v_t^m\|_2^2 + \frac{\gamma^{-2}}{2} M \left(\gamma^{n-2} \|\nabla v^m\|_2^2 \right) \frac{d}{dt} \|\Delta v^m\|_2^2 \\ & - \int_{\Omega} \int_0^t g(t-s) \gamma^{-2}(s) \Delta v^m(\cdot, s) \Delta v_t^m ds dy + \int_{\Omega} A(t) v^m \Delta v_t^m dy \\ & + \int_{\Omega} a_1 \cdot \nabla v_t^m \Delta v_t^m dy + \int_{\Omega} a_2 \cdot \nabla v^m \Delta v_t^m dy = 0. \end{aligned} \tag{2.8}$$

Using Lemma 2.1, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\Omega} \int_0^t g(t-s) \gamma^{-2}(s) \Delta v^m(\cdot, s) \Delta v_t^m dy = -\frac{1}{2} g(t) \gamma^{-2}(0) \|\Delta v^m\|_2^2 \\ & + \frac{1}{2} g' \square \frac{\Delta v^m}{\gamma} - \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \left[g \square \frac{\Delta v^m}{\gamma} - \left(\int_0^t g(s) \gamma^{-2}(s) ds \right) \|\Delta v^m\|_2^2 \right]. \end{aligned} \tag{2.9}$$

Substituting (2.9) into (2.8) and taking into account (1.14), we get

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} E_2^m(t) + \frac{\alpha}{2} \|\nabla v_t^m\|_2^2 \leq C \|\nabla v^m\|_2^2 + C (|\gamma'| + |\gamma''|) E_2^m(t), \tag{2.10}$$

where

$$E_2^m(t) = \|\nabla v_t^m\|_2^2 + \gamma^{-2} M \left(\gamma^{n-2} \|\nabla v^m\|_2^2 \right) \|\Delta v^m\|_2^2 - \left(\int_0^t g(s) \gamma^{-2}(s) ds \right) \|\Delta v^m\|_2^2.$$

From (2.7), it follows that inequality (2.10) can be written as

$$E_2^m(t) + \alpha \int_0^t \|\nabla v_s^m\|_2^2 ds \leq C_1 + C_2 \int_0^t (|\gamma'| + |\gamma''|) E_2^m(s) ds.$$

Using Gronwall’s Lemma and taking into account (1.13), we get

$$E_2^m(t) + \alpha \int_0^t \|\nabla v_s^m\|_2^2 ds \leq C, \quad \forall t \in [0, T], \quad \forall m \in \mathbb{N}. \tag{2.11}$$

A Priori ESTIMATE III. From equation (2.2), we get

$$\|v_{tt}^m(0)\|_2^2 \leq C, \quad \forall m \in \mathbb{N}. \tag{2.12}$$

Finally, we differentiate (2.2) with respect to t , multiply it by $g''_{jm}(t)$, and use similar arguments as (2.7) to obtain

$$E_3^m(t) + \int_0^t \|v_{ss}^m\|_2^2 ds \leq C, \quad \forall t \in [0, T], \quad \forall m \in \mathbb{N}, \tag{2.13}$$

where

$$E_3^m(t) = \|v_{tt}^m\|_2^2 + \gamma^{-2} M \left(\gamma^{n-2} \|\nabla v^m\|_2^2 \right) \|\nabla v_t^m\|_2^2 + g \square \frac{\nabla v_t^m}{\gamma} - \left(\int_0^t g(s) \gamma^{-2}(s) ds \right) \|\nabla v_t^m\|_2^2.$$

From estimates (2.7), (2.11), and (2.13), it follows that v^m converge strongly to $v \in L^2(0, \infty : H_0^1(\Omega))$. Moreover, since $M \in C^1(0, \infty)$ and ∇v^m is bounded in $L^\infty(0, \infty : L^2(\Omega)) \cap L^2(0, \infty : L^2(\Omega))$, we have

$$\int_0^t \left| M \left(\gamma^{n-2} \|\nabla v^m\|_2^2 \right) - M \left(\gamma^{n-2} \|\nabla v\|_2^2 \right) \right| ds \leq C \int_0^t \|v^m - v\|_{H^1(\Omega)}^2 ds,$$

where C is a positive constant independent of m' at t , so that

$$M \left(\gamma^{n-2} \|\nabla v^m\|_2^2 \right) (\Delta v^m, w_j) \rightarrow M \left(\gamma^{n-2} \|\nabla v\|_2^2 \right) (\Delta v, w_j).$$

Therefore, v satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} v &\in L^\infty(0, \infty : H_0^1(\Omega)), \\ v_t &\in L^\infty(0, \infty : H_0^1(\Omega)), \\ v_{tt} &\in L^\infty(0, \infty : L^2(\Omega)). \end{aligned}$$

Letting $m \rightarrow \infty$ in equation (2.2), we conclude that v satisfies (1.7) in the sense of $L^\infty(0, \infty : L^2(\Omega))$. Therefore, we have

$$v \in L^\infty(0, \infty : H_0^1(\Omega) \cap H^2(\Omega)).$$

The uniqueness follows by using standard arguments. ■

To show the existence in noncylindrical domain, we return to our original problem in the noncylindrical domain by using the change variable given in (1.4) by $(y, t) = \tau(x, t)$, $(x, t) \in \hat{Q}$. Let v be the solution obtained from Theorem 2.1 and u defined by (1.6), then u belongs to the class

$$u \in L^\infty(0, \infty : H_0^1(\Omega_t)), \tag{2.14}$$

$$u_t \in L^\infty(0, \infty : H_0^1(\Omega_t)), \tag{2.15}$$

$$u_{tt} \in L^\infty(0, \infty : L^2(\Omega_t)). \tag{2.16}$$

Denoting by

$$u(x, t) = v(y, t) = (v \circ \tau)(x, t),$$

then from (1.6) it is easy to see that u satisfies equation (1.1) in the sense of $L^\infty(0, \infty : L^2(\Omega_t))$. Let u_1, u_2 be two solutions of (1.1), and v_1, v_2 be the functions obtained through the diffeomorphism τ given by (1.4). Then v_1, v_2 are solutions of (1.7). By the uniqueness result Theorem 2.1, we have $v_1 = v_2$, so that $u_1 = u_2$. Therefore, we have the following result.

THEOREM 2.2. *Let us take $u_0 \in H_0^1(\Omega_0) \cap H^2(\Omega_0)$, $u_1 \in H_0^1(\Omega_0)$ and let us suppose that assumptions (1.11)–(1.13) and (2.1) hold. Then there exists a unique solution u of problem (1.1)–(1.3) satisfying (2.9)–(2.11) and equation (1.1) in the sense of $L^\infty(0, \infty : L^2(\Omega_t))$. ■*

3. EXPONENTIAL DECAY

In this section, we show that the solution of system (1.1)–(1.3) decays exponentially. To this end, we will assume that the memory g satisfies

$$-c_1 g(t) \leq g'(t) \leq -c_2 g(t), \quad (3.1)$$

for all $t \geq 0$, with positive constants c_1, c_2 . Additionally, we assume that the function $\gamma(\cdot)$ satisfies the conditions

$$\gamma' \leq 0, \quad t \geq 0, \quad n > 2, \quad (3.2)$$

$$0 < \max_{0 \leq t < \infty} |\gamma'(t)| \leq \frac{1}{d}, \quad (3.3)$$

where $d = \text{diam}(\Omega)$. Condition (3.3) implies that our domain is “time like” in the sense that

$$|\underline{\nu}| < |\bar{\nu}|,$$

where $\underline{\nu}$ and $\bar{\nu}$ denote the t -component and x -component of the outer unit normal of $\hat{\Sigma}$. Let us suppose that

$$m_0 - \int_0^\infty g(s) ds = \beta_1 > 0. \quad (3.4)$$

To facilitate our calculations, we introduce the following notation:

$$(g \square \nabla u)(t) = \int_{\Omega_t} \int_0^t g(t-s) |\nabla u(t) - \nabla u(\cdot, s)|^2 ds dx.$$

First of all, we will prove the following two lemmas that will be used in the sequel.

LEMMA 3.1. *Let $F(\cdot, \cdot)$ be the smooth function defined in $\Omega_t \times [0, \infty[$ ($t \in [0, \infty[$). Then,*

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega_t} F(x, t) dx = \int_{\Omega_t} \frac{d}{dt} F(x, t) dx + \frac{\gamma'}{\gamma} \int_{\Gamma_t} F(x, t) (x \cdot \bar{\nu}) d\Gamma_t, \quad (3.5)$$

where $\bar{\nu}$ is the x -component of the unit normal exterior ν .

PROOF. We have by a change variable $x = \gamma(t)y$, $y \in \Omega$,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega_t} F(x, t) dx &= \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} F(\gamma(t)y, t) \gamma^n(t) dy \\ &= \int_{\Omega} \left(\frac{\partial F}{\partial t} \right) \gamma^n(t) dy + \sum_{i=1}^n \int_{\Omega} \frac{\gamma'}{\gamma} x_i \left(\frac{\partial F}{\partial t} \right) \gamma^n(t) dy \\ &\quad + n \int_{\Omega} \gamma'(t) \gamma^{n-1}(t) F(\gamma(t)y, t) dy. \end{aligned}$$

If we return to the variable x , we get

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega_t} F(x, t) dx = \int_{\Omega_t} \frac{\partial F}{\partial t} dx + \frac{\gamma'}{\gamma} \int_{\Omega_t} x \cdot \nabla F(x, t) dx + n \frac{\gamma'}{\gamma} \int_{\Omega_t} F(x, t) dx.$$

Integrating by part in the last equality, we obtain formula (3.5). ■

LEMMA 3.2. For any function $g \in C^1(\mathbb{R}_+)$ and $u \in C^1((0, T) : H^2(\Omega_t))$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega_t} \int_0^t g(t-s) \nabla u(\cdot, s) \cdot \nabla u_t \, ds \, dx &= -\frac{1}{2} g(t) \int_{\Omega_t} |\nabla u(t)|^2 \, dx + \frac{1}{2} g' \square \nabla u \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \left[g \square \nabla u - \left(\int_0^t g(s) \, ds \right) \int_{\Omega_t} |\nabla u|^2 \right] \\ &\quad + \frac{\gamma'}{2\gamma} \int_{\Gamma_t} \int_0^t g(t-s) |\nabla u(t) - \nabla u(\cdot, s)|^2 (\bar{\nu} \cdot x) \, d\Gamma_t. \end{aligned}$$

The proof of this lemma follows by differentiating the term $g \square \nabla u$ and applying Lemma 3.1. Let us introduce the functional

$$E(t) = \|u_t\|_{L^2(\Omega_t)}^2 + \hat{M} \left(\|\nabla u\|_{L^2(\Omega_t)}^2 \right) - \left(\int_0^t g(s) \, ds \right) \|\nabla u\|_{L^2(\Omega_t)}^2 + g \square \nabla u.$$

LEMMA 3.3. Let us take $u_0 \in H_0^1(\Omega_0) \cap H^2(\Omega_0)$, $u_1 \in H_0^1(\Omega_0)$ and let us suppose that assumptions (1.11)–(1.13) and (2.1) hold. Then any regular solution of system (1.1)–(1.3) satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} E(t) + 2\alpha \|u_t\|_{L^2(\Omega_t)}^2 - \int_{\Gamma_t} \frac{\gamma'}{\gamma} (\bar{\nu} \cdot x) \left(|u_t|^2 + M \left(\|\nabla u\|_{L^2(\Omega_t)}^2 \right) |\nabla u|^2 \right) \, d\Gamma_t \\ - \int_{\Gamma_t} \frac{\gamma'}{\gamma} (\bar{\nu} \cdot x) \int_0^t g(t-s) |\nabla u(t) - \nabla u(\cdot, s)|^2 \, ds \, d\Gamma_t \\ = - \int_{\Omega_t} g(t) |\nabla u|^2 \, dx + g' \square \nabla u. \end{aligned}$$

PROOF. Multiplying equation (1.1) by u_t , performing an integration by parts over Ω_t , and using Lemma 3.1, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|u_t\|_{L^2(\Omega_t)}^2 + \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\nabla u\|_{L^2(\Omega_t)}^2 + \alpha \|u_t\|_{L^2(\Omega_t)}^2 \\ - \int_{\Omega_t} \int_0^t g(t-s) \nabla u(\cdot, s) \, ds \cdot \nabla u_t \, dx \\ - \int_{\Gamma_t} \frac{\gamma'}{2\gamma} (\bar{\nu} \cdot x) (|u_t|^2 + |\nabla u|^2) \, d\Gamma_t = 0. \end{aligned} \tag{3.6}$$

Taking into account Lemma 3.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} M \left(\|\nabla u\|_{L^2(\Omega_t)}^2 \right) \int_{\Omega_t} \frac{d}{dt} |\nabla u|^2 \, dx = \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \hat{M} \left(\|\nabla u\|_{L^2(\Omega_t)}^2 \right) \\ - \frac{\gamma'}{2\gamma} \int_{\Gamma_t} M \left(\|\nabla u\|_{L^2(\Omega_t)}^2 \right) |\nabla u|^2 (\bar{\nu} \cdot x) \, d\Gamma_t. \end{aligned} \tag{3.7}$$

Substituting equality (3.6) into (3.5) and taking into account Lemma 3.2, we conclude the proof. ■

Let us consider the functional

$$\psi(t) = 2 \int_{\Omega_t} u_t u \, dx + \alpha \|u_t\|_{L^2(\Omega_t)}^2.$$

LEMMA 3.4. *Let us take $u_0 \in H_0^1(\Omega_0) \cap H^2(\Omega_0)$, $u_1 \in H_0^1(\Omega_0)$ and let us suppose that assumptions (1.11)–(1.13) and (2.1) hold. Then any regular solution of system (1.1)–(1.3) satisfies*

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \psi(t) \leq & \|u_t\|_{L^2(\Omega_t)}^2 - \hat{M} \left(\|\nabla u\|_{L^2(\Omega_t)}^2 \right) + \left(\int_0^t g(s) ds \right) \|\nabla u\|_{L^2(\Omega_t)}^2 \\ & + \|\nabla u\|_{L^2(\Omega_t)} \left(\int_0^t g(s) ds \right)^{1/2} (g \square \nabla u)^{1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

PROOF. Multiplying (1.1) by u , integrating over Ω_t , and using (1.14), we obtain

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \psi(t) = \|u_t\|_{L^2(\Omega_t)}^2 - \hat{M} \left(\|\nabla u\|_{L^2(\Omega_t)}^2 \right) + \int_{\Omega_t} \int_0^t g(t-s) \nabla u(\cdot, s) \cdot \nabla u ds dx.$$

Noting that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega_t} \int_0^t g(t-s) \nabla u(\cdot, s) \cdot \nabla u ds dx = & \int_{\Omega_t} \int_0^t g(t-s) (\nabla u(\cdot, s) - \nabla u(t)) \cdot \nabla u ds dx \\ & + \int_{\Omega_t} \left(\int_0^t g(s) ds \right) |\nabla u|^2 dx, \end{aligned}$$

and taking into account that

$$\left| \int_{\Omega_t} \int_0^t g(t-s) (\nabla u(\cdot, s) - \nabla u(t)) \cdot \nabla u dx \right| \leq \|\nabla u\|_{L^2(\Omega_t)} \left(\int_0^t g(s) ds \right)^{1/2} (g \square \nabla u)^{1/2},$$

we conclude the proof. ■

Let us introduce the functional

$$\mathcal{L}(t) = NE(t) + \psi(t), \tag{3.8}$$

with $N > 0$. It is not difficult to see that $\mathcal{L}(t)$ verifies

$$k_0 E(t) \leq \mathcal{L}(t) \leq k_1 E(t), \tag{3.9}$$

for k_0 and k_1 positive constants. Now we are in a position to show the main result of this paper.

THEOREM 3.1. *Let us take $u_0 \in H_0^1(\Omega_0) \cap H^2(\Omega_0)$, $u_1 \in H_0^1(\Omega_0)$, and let us suppose that assumptions (1.12), (1.13), (2.1), (3.2), and (3.3) hold. Then any regular solution of system (1.1)–(1.3) satisfies*

$$E(t) \leq Ce^{-\xi t} E(0), \quad \forall t \geq 0,$$

where C and ξ are positive constants.

PROOF. Using Lemmas 3.3 and 3.4, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \mathcal{L}(t) \leq & -2N\alpha \|u_t\|_{L^2(\Omega_t)}^2 - C_1 N g \square \nabla u + \|u_t\|_{L^2(\Omega_t)}^2 \\ & - \hat{M} \left(\|\nabla u\|_{L^2(\Omega_t)}^2 \right) + \left(\int_0^t g(s) ds \right) \|\nabla u\|_{L^2(\Omega_t)}^2 \\ & + \|\nabla u\|_{L^2(\Omega_t)} \left(\int_0^t g(s) ds \right)^{1/2} (g \square \nabla u)^{1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

Using Young inequality, we obtain for $\epsilon > 0$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \mathcal{L}(t) &\leq -2N\alpha \|u_t\|_{L^2(\Omega_t)}^2 - C_1 N g \square \nabla u + \|u_t\|_{L^2(\Omega_t)}^2 \\ &\quad - \hat{M} \left(\|\nabla u\|_{L^2(\Omega_t)}^2 \right) + \left(\int_0^t g(s) ds \right) \|\nabla u\|_{L^2(\Omega_t)}^2 \\ &\quad + \frac{\epsilon}{2} \|\nabla u\|_{L^2(\Omega_t)}^2 + \frac{\|g\|_{L^1(0,\infty)}}{2\epsilon} g \square \nabla u. \end{aligned}$$

Choosing N large enough and ϵ small, we obtain

$$\frac{d}{dt} \mathcal{L}(t) \leq -\lambda_0 E(t), \quad (3.10)$$

where λ_0 is a positive constant independent of t . From (3.9) and (3.10) it follows that

$$\mathcal{L}(t) \leq \mathcal{L}(0) e^{-(\lambda_0/k_1)t}, \quad \forall t \geq 0.$$

From equivalence relation (3.9), our conclusion follows. ■

REFERENCES

1. R.D. Passo and M. Ughi, Problèmes de Dirichlet pour une classe d'équations paraboliques non linéaires dans des ouverts non cylindriques, *C. R. Acad. Sc. Paris* **308**, 555–558, (1989).
2. J.E. Muñoz Rivera, Energy decay rates in linear thermoelasticity, *Funkc. Ekvacioj* **35** (1), 19–30, (1992).
3. M.M. Cavalcanti, M. Assila and J.A. Soriano, Asymptotic stability and energy decay rates for solutions of the wave equation with memory in a star-shaped domain, *SIAM Journal on Control and Optimization* **38** (5), 1581–1602, (2000).
4. M.M. Cavalcanti, V.N. Domingos Cavalcanti, J.S. Prates Filho and J.A. Soriano, Existence and uniform decay rates for viscoelastic problems with non-linear boundary damping, *Differential and Integral Equations* **14** (1), 85–116, (2001).
5. M.M. Cavalcanti, V.N. Domingos Cavalcanti and J. Ferreira, Existence and uniform decay for a non-linear viscoelastic equation with strong damping, *Math. Meth. Appl. Sci.* **24**, 1043–1053, (2001).
6. J.E. Muñoz Rivera, Global solutions on a quasilinear wave equation with memory, *Bolletino Unione Matematica Italiana* **7** (8-B), 289–303, (1994).
7. M.L. Santos, Decay rates for solutions of a system of wave equations with memory, *Elect. Journal of Differential Equations* **2002** (38), 1–17, (2002).
8. M.L. Santos, J. Ferreira, D.C. Pereira and C.A. Raposo, Global existence and stability for wave equation of Kirchhoff type with memory condition at the boundary, *Nonlinear Anal. T. M. A.* **54**, 959–976, (2003).
9. R. Benabidallah and J. Ferreira, On hyperbolic-parabolic equations with nonlinearity of Kirchhoff-Carrier type in domains with moving boundary, *Nonlinear Analysis, T. M. A.* **37**, 269–287, (1999).
10. J.L. Lions, *Quelques Méthodes de Résolution des Problèmes aux Limites Non Linéaires*, Dunod, Paris, (1969).
11. J.L. Lions and E. Magenes, *Problèmes aux Limites non Homogènes et Applications, Volume 1*, Dunod, Paris, (1968).
12. C.M. Dafermos and J.A. Nohel, Energy methods for nonlinear hyperbolic Volterra integrodifferential equations, *Comm. Partial Differential Equations* **4**, 218–278, (1979).