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This article reports systematic results of corona poling measurements obtained on biaxially stretched polyvinylidene fluoride films charged in dry air. Charging was performed using the constant current corona triode. The dependence of the poling process on the sample thickness, charging current, and successive charging processes was investigated. Phase transitions from $\alpha$ to $\delta$ and to $\beta$ phases were observed when virgin samples were corona charged. The thermal pulse technique showed that the polarization profiles during charging can be made consistently almost uniform and that the ferroelectric reorientation can be associated with the rising plateau region displayed on potential buildup curves. © 1995 American Institute of Physics.

I. INTRODUCTION

Since the publication of Kawai’s work in 1969, piezoelectric and pyroelectric properties of poly(vinylidene fluoride) (PVDF) have been studied extensively because of their scientific and technological interest. PVDF is a semicrystalline polymer that may exist in five different crystalline phases ($\alpha$, $\beta$, $\delta$, $\gamma$, and $\epsilon$). The ferroelectric $\beta$ phase is readily obtained by stretching the melt-crystallized $\alpha$ phase. When poled, it is the phase with the strongest piezo and pyroelectric properties of poly(vinylidene fluoride) (PVDF) have been studied extensively because of their scientific and technological interest. PVDF is a semicrystalline polymer that may exist in five different crystalline phases ($\alpha$, $\beta$, $\delta$, $\gamma$, and $\epsilon$). The ferroelectric $\beta$ phase is readily obtained by stretching the melt-crystallized $\alpha$ phase. When poled, it is the phase with the strongest piezo and pyroelectric activity originating from polar induced ferroelectric polarization associated with the alignment of dipoles in crystals. In addition to dipolar orientation, the application of a high poling field (e.g., 300 MV/m) produces a transition from the nonpolar $\alpha$ PVDF to the polar $\delta$ PVDF. For still higher poling fields (above 300 MV/m) a transition to $\beta$ PVDF is obtained.

Several techniques have been used for producing an electric field in PVDF films. In samples with metallic electrodes on opposite surfaces, a known dc potential difference between the electrodes may be applied by means of a high-voltage supply. In the case of “hysteresis poling,” a periodically varying bipolar voltage exceeding the positive and negative coercive fields is applied. In “corona poling,” ions generated by a corona discharge can be used for charging the sample surface and no electrode is required on the sample surface exposed to the corona charges. Often cited advantages of corona poling are that nonmetallized samples can be poled to high fields in spite of localized breakdowns and that the technique is well suited for large scale film poling.

In corona charging, a metallic grid is inserted between the sample and the corona point source. Such a configuration forms a so called corona triode, which permits control over the charging process. Recently, the corona triode was improved to allow charging samples under constant current by controlling the grid voltage. The sample potential as a function of time can be readily determined from the grid voltage. As a poling method, the constant-current corona triode is a good means of obtaining information on charge storage and transport, and on electric polarization processes in dielectric foils.

In spite of the popularity of corona charging, the preponderance of experimental data has been obtained using setups without any charging control. This article gives an overview of the poling kinetics in biaxially-stretched PVDF films charged with constant current. It seeks to clarify the relationship between the potential buildup curves, polarization switching, and phase transitions. X-ray diffractograms measured during the first charging of biaxially-stretched PVDF (mixture of $\alpha$ and $\beta$ phases) allowed the observation of the well-known phase transformation from the $\alpha$ phase to the $\delta$ phase and at higher electric fields, to the $\beta$ phase. Thermal pulse (TP) measurements were also performed to investigate the development of polarization depth profiles for different charging times. Comparison of the TP results with potential buildup curves show that the rising plateau region is an indication of switching of the ferroelectric polarization of the sample.

II. EXPERIMENT

In the following sections, a brief description is given of the experimental techniques employed in this work, namely...
The corona chamber was first evacuated to \( 10^{-9} \) Pa and filled with dry air from a gas cylinder (80% and 20% of N\(_2\) and O\(_2\), respectively). A capacitive sensor was used for monitoring the air humidity inside the chamber. Samples can be charged to a maximum voltage of about \( \pm 8 \) kV. Above this voltage, Paschen discharges occur inside the triode setup.

Prior to charging, the sample surface charge was neutralized by exposing it to a corona discharge of opposite polarity with no voltage applied to the grid (from now on referred to as the neutralization process).

**B. The thermal pulse technique**

TP measurements involved applying heat pulses onto one of the two metallized surfaces of the sample. A modified Q-switched ruby laser is used to apply thermal pulses on 100 ns duration to either of the metallized surfaces. The absorbed heat, initially concentrated sharply on the incident electrode, diffuses through the entire thickness of the sample generating an electric response. The charge flowing in an external circuit, the TP response, is measured with the sample short-circuited through a charge amplifier with its output connected to an electronic digitizer.

A pair of response signals is obtained in the TP measurements. The first corresponds to the application of the thermal pulse to the corona-exposed surface and the second to the back surface. These two transient signals contain information about the polarization distribution, \( P(x) \), which may be obtained in a Fourier deconvolution process.\(^{15}\)

The TP charge response for long times (i.e., \( t \gg \tau \), \( \tau \) being the diffusion controlled thermal time constant of the sample) is related to the mean value of the ferroelectric polarization \( \langle P \rangle \) or to the pyroelectric coefficient, \( p \), through the equations:

\[
q(t \gg \tau) = \alpha_p T_f \langle P \rangle = p T_f, \quad (2)
\]

where \( T_f \) is the final temperature (when equilibrium has been re-established at \( t \gg \tau \) and \( p = \alpha_P \langle P \rangle \)). \( \langle P \rangle \) and \( \alpha_p \), are defined as:

\[
\langle P \rangle = \frac{1}{L} \int_0^L P(x) \, dx, \quad \alpha_p = \frac{1}{\langle P \rangle} \frac{d\langle P \rangle}{dT}. \quad (3)
\]

During poling, \( \langle P \rangle \) depends on the electric field, \( E \), whose value is also time dependent during the charging process. The total polarization induced in the sample at the end of a charging process, i.e., the remanent ferroelectric polarization, will be represented by \( P_f \) to distinguish it from \( \langle P(E) \rangle \).

**C. X-ray measurements**

X-ray measurements were performed to detect phase transformations of the PVDF samples during the charging process. To obtain the x-ray diffractions as a function of time, a modified Q-switched ruby laser is used to apply thermal pulses on 100 ns duration to either of the metallized surfaces. The absorbed heat, initially concentrated sharply on the incident electrode, diffuses through the entire thickness of the sample generating an electric response. The charge flowing in an external circuit, the TP response, is measured with the sample short-circuited through a charge amplifier with its output connected to an electronic digitizer.

A pair of response signals is obtained in the TP measurements. The first corresponds to the application of the thermal pulse to the corona-exposed surface and the second to the back surface. These two transient signals contain information about the polarization distribution, \( P(x) \), which may be obtained in a Fourier deconvolution process.\(^{15}\)

The TP charge response for long times (i.e., \( t \gg \tau \), \( \tau \) being the diffusion controlled thermal time constant of the sample) is related to the mean value of the ferroelectric polarization \( \langle P \rangle \) or to the pyroelectric coefficient, \( p \), through the equations:

\[
q(t \gg \tau) = \alpha_p T_f \langle P \rangle = p T_f, \quad (2)
\]

where \( T_f \) is the final temperature (when equilibrium has been re-established at \( t \gg \tau \) and \( p = \alpha_P \langle P \rangle \)). \( \langle P \rangle \) and \( \alpha_p \), are defined as:

\[
\langle P \rangle = \frac{1}{L} \int_0^L P(x) \, dx, \quad \alpha_p = \frac{1}{\langle P \rangle} \frac{d\langle P \rangle}{dT}. \quad (3)
\]

During poling, \( \langle P \rangle \) depends on the electric field, \( E \), whose value is also time dependent during the charging process. The total polarization induced in the sample at the end of a charging process, i.e., the remanent ferroelectric polarization, will be represented by \( P_f \) to distinguish it from \( \langle P(E) \rangle \).

**C. X-ray measurements**

X-ray measurements were performed to detect phase transformations of the PVDF samples during the charging process. To obtain the x-ray diffractions as a function of time, a modified Q-switched ruby laser is used to apply thermal pulses on 100 ns duration to either of the metallized surfaces. The absorbed heat, initially concentrated sharply on the incident electrode, diffuses through the entire thickness of the sample generating an electric response. The charge flowing in an external circuit, the TP response, is measured with the sample short-circuited through a charge amplifier with its output connected to an electronic digitizer.
TABLE I. Characteristics of the biaxially stretched PVDF (before corona poling)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Thickness (μm)</th>
<th>Ratio of β to α phase (%)</th>
<th>Degree of crystallinity (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**D. Samples**

The samples were taken from rolls of 12 and 25-μm-thick, biaxially stretched, capacitor grade, PVDF film manufactured by the Kureha Chemical Industry Company, Ltd. and supplied without electrodes. The degrees of crystallinity of the samples were determined by fitting the x-ray curves using Gaussian functions for crystalline reflection peaks and Lorentzian functions for the amorphous spectra. Table I shows that the degree of crystallinity is about 43% for the 25 μm film. Only a relatively small number of control samples of 6 and 9 μm thickness were used. They contain a relatively smaller percentage of β phase when compared to 12- and 25-μm-thick samples.

Corona charging measurements were made on nonmetalized samples (electrodes were deposited after corona charging for TP measurements). Previous work showed no differences between results in samples with and without electrodes on both surfaces. Samples were clamped between two metallic rings and placed on the sample holder of the corona triode having a central electrode with an area of 1.8 cm². A guard ring was used to prevent spurious current from reaching the central measuring electrode.

For the TP measurements, which were performed after corona charging, both sample surfaces were metallized with 100 nm thick, vacuum-evaporated aluminum coatings. Electrodes had a circular part with an area of 1.27 cm² with tabs for making electrical connections to the TP sample holder. The tabs were made 0.2 cm wide extending 0.3 cm radially outside the circular part.

**III. SURFACE POTENTIAL BUILDUP**

**A. General characteristics of potential buildup curves**

Figure 2 shows the surface potential V(t) versus time for 12-μm-thick PVDF samples charged in dry air. Curve I corresponds to V(t) during the first positive corona charging cycle using an as received sample. After each charging operation, the sample surface charge was neutralized. Curves II and III refer to the subsequent negative and positive corona-charging processes on the same sample. Curve IV corresponds to the fourth corona-charging process obtained with the same polarity as the first positive charging performed with a sample as received. Curves II and III correspond to subsequent charging with negative and positive corona polarity, respectively. Curve IV is a further subsequent charging but with the same polarity as for Curve III. Between the charging steps, the sample surface charge was neutralized.

As pointed out in previous work, the plateau length Δt_P gives twice the value of the remanent polarization P_F. Evaluation of Δt_P of Curve II in Fig. 2 gives Δt_P~800 s which corresponds to a charge density \( Q = I_{tp}Δt_p/A = 2P_F = 130 \text{ mC/m}^2 \). Thus, the value \( P_F \approx 65 \text{ mC/m}^2 \) is in good agreement with the published values for PVDF. This estimate assumes that the charge leakage through the sample is negligible as is the case for measurements in dry air.

It is worth noting that the potential buildup depends on the relative humidity. Measurements performed in humid air showed that after long times of corona charging, V(t) saturates and its final value is inversely proportional to the air humidity. In dry air, as used in this work, V(t) rises continuously after the plateau, until electric breakdown occurs in the sample or until Paschen discharge occurs inside the setup (when V(t) reaches about 8 kV). Such a steady increase of potential indicates that the electric conduction in dry air is negligible.

Curves II and III of Fig. 2 also show that V(t) is independent of corona polarity (also observed in humid air). Thus, the potential buildup curves obtained with different polarities can be readily compared.

In hysteresis poling of PVDF, several cycles are necessary to obtain reproducible hysteresis loops. Figure 3 shows that in corona charging a similar behavior is observed (the first charging is not shown), with several charging processes being required for the potential-buildup curve to reach a reproducible shape. Curves obtained during the 2nd, 3rd, 5th, and 7th subsequent charging processes show that the potential buildup rate tends to decrease until they are very similar. The systematic increase in Δt_P (smaller than 10%) with the number of charging cycles corresponds to a gradual increase in the polarization P_F. This is consistent with hysteresis measurements that show that the remanent polarization increases for several cycles.

---
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V(t) curves are also sensitive to the interval of time between the charging cycles. Curve I of Fig. 4 was obtained immediately after a previous charging process while Curve II was obtained after the sample had been stored for approximately one day following the previous charging process. The main difference lies in the very beginning when Curve II shows a higher slope than Curve I. The difference can be explained by assuming the existence of a polarization, $P_R$, which decays after the electric field has been removed. After an immediate recharging, $P_R$ is still undiminished and its reorientation by $180^\circ$ will contribute to the neutralization of the incoming charges by $2P_R$ (slow increase). For a long storage time, $P_R$ disappears. In this case, the reorientation contribute $P_R$ to the neutralization, instead of $2P_R$, producing a faster increase in potential at the beginning compared to Curve I. Evidence of this slowly varying polarization is also provided by the observation of a potential recovery (returning voltage) after neutralization of a charged sample.

B. Dependence of the potential buildup on the sample thickness

Figure 5 shows curves of $E(t) = V(t)/L$ when $t$ is the charging time for 12- and 25-µm-thick samples. As can be seen, the curves are almost identical. Comparison of several measurements showed that no systematic deviations occur. Since the plateau length $\Delta t_p$ is proportional to $P_F$ and its height corresponds to the coercive field, $E_c$, the good reproducibility of $E(t)$ indicates that the ferroelectric properties of these samples are almost independent of their thickness. We can therefore analyze surface potential results without reference to the sample thickness (for 12 and 25 µm). The same is not true of the 6 and 9 µm thick samples. In subsidiary experiments, we observed that V(t) curves (not shown here) for these samples systematically deviate from those of Fig. 5. Even though the plateau is still observed, it occurs at a higher voltage and is longer in the 6 and 9 µm samples. It is possible that in the latter samples the ratios between the $\alpha$ and $\beta$ phases are different from those of the 12 and 25 µm samples (see Table I), leading to different values of $P_F$. Thus, results for the 6- and 9-µm-thick samples will not be further presented here.

C. Dependence on the charging current

The dependence of the potential buildup on the charging current during polarization buildup is shown in Fig. 6. Measurements were performed using only one 12 µm sample that was first subjected to several charging cycles. This procedure eliminated the discrepancies in the curves for the first charging processes (see Fig. 4), therefore permitting a direct comparison of curves for different charging currents. Figure 6 shows clearly that the length of the rising plateau region decreases when the charging current is increased (i.e., the length of time required to reverse the ferroelectric polarization decreases with increasing currents).

Figure 7 shows the same data as Fig. 6 but now with plots of $V(t)$ versus the charge $Q(t) = \int_0^t V(t) dt$. A master curve is obtained which shows that the total charge necessary to pole

---

**FIG. 3.** Potential buildup of a 12 µm PVDF sample submitted to successive charging cycles with ±30 nA.

**FIG. 4.** Potential buildup of a 12 µm PVDF sample. Curve I obtained with an immediately recharged sample. Curve II for a sample stored for 1 d after the previous charging process. Charging current: 30 nA.

**FIG. 5.** Potential buildup plotted as electric field, $V(t)/L$, vs charging time for samples of 12 and 25 µm thickness. Charging current: −30 nA.

**FIG. 6.** Potential buildup curves for several values of charging current. Sample thickness: 12 µm. Curves obtained using negative corona. Values of the current are given in the figure.
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the sample, which corresponds to the plateau length, is independent of the charging current. As discussed in Ref. 20, for a ferroelectric sample with negligible conduction, \( Q(t) \) can be expressed as

\[
Q(t) = CV(t) + A \langle P(E) \rangle,
\]

where \( \langle P(E) \rangle \) is a field-dependent mean polarization and the electric field \( E \) is dependent on the charging time \( t \). \( \langle P(E) \rangle \) is defined in Eq. (3). As shown in Ref. 20 the introduction of an electric conduction in Eq. (4) destroys the universality of \( Q(t) \) in Fig. 7. Thus, Eq. (4) confirms our previous conclusion from Sec. III B that PVDF samples charged in dry air have negligible conductivity. This permits the determination of changes in \( \langle P(E) \rangle \) from \( V(t) \) curves although for absolute values to be obtained it is necessary to know the initial value of \( \langle P \rangle \) at the beginning of charging.

IV. X-RAY DIFFRACTOGRAMS

The evolution of x-ray spectra for voltage poled PVDF is well known. Here we present the evolution as a function of potential buildup for corona poled PVDF. Figure 8 shows the x-ray scans as 3D surface plots, displaying the evolution of the x-ray pattern as a function of electric field buildup \( V(t)/d \). These measurements were taken with 12-µm-thick PVDF samples that were negatively poled for the first time (similar x-ray patterns were obtained for 25-µm-thick samples, not shown here). As discussed later, subsequent charging operations do not produce significant further changes in the x-ray patterns. After charging a given sample for the desired time, its surface potential was neutralized. The first curve of Fig. 8, for instance, corresponds to the null electric field because it refers to a virgin sample (i.e., never charged). Virgin samples contain \( \alpha \) and \( \beta \) phases as demonstrated by the peaks in the diffractograms at the following values of \( \theta \):

(i) 17.9° and 18.4° corresponding to the reflections of planes (100) and (020), respectively, of the \( \alpha \) phase;
(ii) 20° corresponding to the plane (110) of the \( \alpha \) phase;
(iii) 20.8° corresponding to unresolved reflections of planes (110) and (200) of the \( \beta \) phase; and
(iv) 25.8° (not shown in the figure) corresponding to the plane (120) of the \( \alpha \) phase.

As Table I shows, the degree of crystallinity of the samples is about 43% while the ratio between \( \beta \) phase and \( \alpha \) phase is 45% for the 12-µm-thick sample.

Subsequent curves indicate a transition from the \( \alpha \) phase to the \( \delta \) phase and in a second stage the transition of the already created \( \delta \) phase to the \( \beta \) phase, in agreement with the results reported by Davis et al. X-ray curves for intermediate electric fields up to 300 MV/m show that the peaks at 17.9° and 18.4° disappear almost completely indicating that the sample no longer possesses the \( \alpha \) phase component (the same happens with the peak at 25.8°). Since the peak at 20° increases up to a maximum amplitude for electric fields of the order of 500 MV/m, it follows that the nonpolar \( \alpha \) phase was converted almost completely to its polar counterpart, the \( \delta \) phase. For higher fields, larger than 500 MV/m, the peak at 20° tends to disappear and the peak at 20.8° increases, indicating that the \( \delta \) phase is being transformed into the \( \beta \) phase.

It is also evident that the modification towards the \( \beta \) phase increases slowly in the range from 0 to 500 MV/m, but the \( \beta \) content grows rapidly when the field is larger than 500 MV/m. Thus, phase transformations tend to produce a sample with \( \beta \) phase content only. Similar conclusions were also obtained with FTIR measurements.

As described above, remarkable modifications in the x-ray patterns were observed when the sample was charged for the first time. For further charging operations, no recognizable changes in the x-ray patterns were noticed, thus indicating no significant variation of the phase contents. This result agrees with the fact that on the successive charging cycles shown in Fig. 3, \( V(t) \) curves present plateau lengths \( \Delta t_p \), which do not differ significantly (within 10%). \( \Delta t_p \) is proportional to \( P_F \), and hence to the ferroelectric phase content. Such phase transformations are clearly stable since no modifications of x-ray scans were noticed even several months later.

V. THERMAL PULSE (TP) RESPONSE

We present the TP responses for 25-µm-PVDF samples. They have a larger thermal time constant compared to those for 12 µm samples which makes it easier to analyze the experimental results. Different samples were used and they
were first positively charged using +30 nA up to +7 kV and then neutralized. TP measurements were performed with samples negatively charged, −30 nA, at different times (the increment was 90 s).

Figure 9 shows the TP transients for different time intervals of corona charging. The curves in Fig. 9(a) were obtained by applying the thermal pulses to the sample surface exposed to the corona ions, while in Fig. 9(b) results are shown for the thermal pulse applied to the opposite surface, the converse case. The steplike nature of the TP transients indicate that the sample is uniformly poled during the dipole switching. This result establishes that during poling there are no injected or pre-existing charges available for conduction. This is consistent with the independence of the potential buildup on the corona polarity (Sec. III A and III C). This is a remarkable result which is probably due to the use of an extremely dry and well characterized corona atmosphere.

Previous TP results on similar samples charged in ambient air showed very different polarization profiles sensitive to corona polarity. Since space charge injection is negligible, the electric field in the sample is almost uniform. The rounding in the beginning of the TP curves indicates a small nonuniformity near the surfaces. An approximate calculation, using δL ≈ (t/τ)1/2 (Ref. 22) (δ is the diffusion length) indicates that the nonuniformity extends to less than 10% of the sample thickness. This value is smaller than the ~25% observed for samples charged in humid air.22

Figure 10 shows the dependence of the long-time TP response, q(τ > τ), on the charging time. The data were taken from Fig. 9 at 9 ms which is much larger than the diffusion relaxation time, τ, which is 1 ms for 25-μm-thick samples. From these data it is possible to follow the polarization switching during the corona charging process, from Eq. (2), q(τ > τ) = αpτP. Hereafter, q(τ > τ) will be frequently referred to as the mean value of ⟨P⟩. For reference, Fig. 10 also shows the corresponding V(t) curve of a sample charged with 30 nA. At short times, ⟨P⟩ is almost constant but when the potential reaches the plateau region, ⟨P⟩ decreases with charging time until it reaches a saturation value at a high voltage. In the range between 100 and 500 s, which corresponds to the rising plateau region in the surface potential curve, ⟨P⟩ decreases almost linearly with the charging time. This result supports the view that the rising plateau of V(t) curves is attributable to the polarization switching. The finding that ⟨P⟩ is linearly dependent on time is consistent with the fact that the deposited charge, q(t), is being neutralized by the polarization, since during the plateau A⟨P⟩ is much larger than CV(t).

In Fig. 11, the data in Fig. 10, αpτ⟨P⟩, are plotted as a function of the electric field, E(t) = V(t)/L. The data correspond to the curve ⟨P⟩ versus E which contain, therefore, the same information as polarization-voltage hysteresis curves. The dashed line in Fig. 11 represents the theoretical response for a sample possessing a unique coercive field (Ec = 120 MV/m). It can be seen from the experimental results (open circles) obtained here that this hypothesis does not hold for PVDF, as the curve is far from a steplike response. From the experimental data in Fig. 11, the value of ~120 MV/m corresponding to the coercive field is slightly

![Figure 9](image1.png)  
**FIG. 9.** Thermal pulse transients obtained after interrupting the charging process at different times. (a) corresponds to the data obtained applying the thermal pulse on the sample side exposed to corona ions. (b) data obtained applying the thermal pulse on the opposite side.

![Figure 10](image2.png)  
**FIG. 10.** The long-time response (obtained from the data in Fig. 9) dependence on the charging time. The continuous curve corresponds to the potential buildup of a 25 μm sample charged with 30 nA.

![Figure 11](image3.png)  
**FIG. 11.** The long-time TP response (circles) dependence on the electric field, E(t) = V(t)/L. The dashed line is the response for a sample with a unique coercive field. The full line represents ⟨P(E)⟩ calculated from Eq. (4) (arbitrary units).
larger than the 100 MV/m measured using the hysteresis method. The determination of the absolute value of $P_F$ is only possible with the calibration of TP measurements which were not performed in this work.

Figure 11 also shows the curve corresponding to $\langle P(E) \rangle$ calculated using Eq. (4) (full line). The scale which represents $\langle P(E) \rangle$ was chosen to allow comparison of the results with the TP data. Although the agreement is good $\langle P(E) \rangle$ gives a smaller coercive field compared with the value obtained from TP measurements. The difference could be attributed to the fact that TP data were obtained after short circuiting the samples and $\langle P(E) \rangle$ derives directly from the potential buildup curves. At the beginning of charging the electric field increases more slowly than expected because of the effect of the reversible polarization, as discussed in Sec. III A.

VI. CONCLUSION

This article describes a carefully controlled corona charging process for biaxially stretched PVDF films employing the constant current corona triode. In dry air, 12-μm-thick samples were charged up to ~8 kV which corresponds to an electric field of the order of 650 MV/m. Samples which are being charged for the first time undergo a phase transition from α to δ and on a second stage, at fields above 300 MV/m, to the β phase.

The potential buildup curves exhibit a rising plateau which corresponds to ferroelectric polarization switching. Samples charged in dry air showed that $V(t)$ versus time can be scaled to a master curve by plotting the electric field versus the charge deposited onto the sample. The master curve was obtained for different charging currents regardless of sample thickness (12 and 25 μm) and polarity of charging. It was demonstrated that the electric conduction is negligible and the variation of the polarization during the charging could be determined using Eq. (4). The master curve also indicates that the field-dependence $\langle P(E) \rangle$ exists which means that the relaxation time for the dipole switching process is rather short when compared to the time scale of the experiments at each field value. Similar results were found in measurements with the copolymer $P$(VDF-TrFE). Measurements of TP responses indicated that the polarization distribution is almost uniform even during its switching while the sample is being charged. This is expected only if the electric conduction is negligible and if no space charge is injected to distort the internal field. All transient curves are rounded for short times indicating small polarization depletion near the surfaces. A careful inspection of the TP transients in Figs. 9(a) and 9(b) shows that the initial rounding is more pronounced on the side exposed to the corona ions. Fourier deconvolution of the TP responses has not sufficient resolution to account for such non uniformity. The number of Fourier coefficients which can be determined in the deconvolution process is about five.

The long-time TP response values, $q(t) = \alpha_p T \langle P \rangle$, which also correspond to the sample pyroelectric responses, show that during the corona charging the polarization is switched to the reverse direction. Clearly, such a switching is associated with the rising plateau of the potential buildup curves.
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