Effect of Chronic Treatment with Clomipramine on Food Intake, Macronutrient Selection and Body Weight Gain in Rats
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Long-term treatment with clomipramine (CMI), a tricyclic antidepressant, induces food craving and body weight gain in patients. The present study investigated the effects of chronic treatment with CMI on total food intake, macronutrient selection, and body weight gain in rats. Male Wistar rats were maintained on a dietary self-selection regime with separate sources of protein, fat and carbohydrate. Animals received i.p. injections of CMI (0, 3, 10, 30 mg/kg) during 27 consecutive days. Food consumption and body weight were recorded daily and results were calculated as average of three consecutive days, namely during pre-treatment (3 d before pharmacological treatment), treatment (7th—9th; 16th—18th and 25th—27th days), and post-treatment (28th—33rd days). Results showed that CMI (30 mg/kg) significantly decreased energy intake during all treatment period, an effect that was related to a decrease in both carbohydrate-rich diet intake and body weight gain. At dose of 3 mg/kg CMI increased the total energy intake in the 16th—18th days, suggesting an apparent biphasic effect of chronic treatment with CMI on caloric intake. Chronic administration with CMI (27 d) did not alter protein-rich or fat-rich diet consumption. The main result of this study indicated that chronic treatment with CMI decreases rather than increase food consumption and body weight gain in rats exposed to a macronutrient self-selection procedure.
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Weight gain is a frequent side effect observed during drug treatment of psychiatric disorders. 1) It often contributes towards patient non-compliance and discontinuation of the pharmacological treatment. 2) Weight gain may also contribute to comorbidities such as hypertension, coronary heart disease, diabetes, and stroke. 3,4) Weight gain is also a relatively common problem during both acute and long-term treatment with antidepressants. It appears that tricyclic antidepressants (TCA) may be more likely to cause weight gain as compared to selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors (SSRIs), a class of antidepressant widely used in clinical practice. 5,6) Among them amitriptiline, doxepin, imipramine, trimipramine, maprotiline, nortriptyline, and clomipramine are reported to cause marked weight gain. 2)

TCA may affect appetite by blocking the reuptake of serotonin and noradrenaline. Serotonin is recognized to have an influence on food intake and macronutrient selection. 7,8) Noradrenaline has also been shown to have an effect on food intake that opposes the effects of serotonin, but its effects on macronutrient selection are not clear. 7,9,10) TCA may also affect appetite by blocking histaminergic (H1) pathways. 11) However, the mechanisms underlying the weight gain induced by antidepressants treatment have yet to be understood. In clinical studies, it has been found that antidepressant drugs alter nutrient selection, inducing in particular a preference for high-carbohydrate or sweet foods. 12—14) Early efforts to model these effects in rats have led to contradictory results. For example, it has been shown that acute systemic injections of clomipramine selectively enhance carbohydrate intake. 15) On the other hand, it has been reported that food intake was initially and significantly decreased by desmethyl-imipramine treatment but this effect progressively returned towards pretreatment levels over the course of the drug administration (30 d). 16) Such discrepancies may be related to brain monoamine receptor neuroadaptations following chronic treatment with antidepressants. 17—21) Nobrega and Coscina 22) evaluated the effects of the chronic treatment with two other TCA, amitriptyline and desipramine on food selection. They showed that desipramine decreased food intake and body weight, while amitriptyline did not change food intake and body weight or slightly reduced them in comparison to vehicle-treated controls. However, strict macronutrient protocols (using separate sources of pure macronutrients) have rarely been tested in rats following the chronic treatment with TCA. Therefore, it remains unclear whether this class of antidepressants change macronutrient selection and weight gain in rats. Given that and there is only limited evidence on the effects of TCA on macronutrient selection especially over long periods of drug treatment, the present study was carried out to explore the effects of chronic treatment with clomipramine (CMI) on food intake, macronutrient selection and body weight in male rats allowed to self-select among macronutrients. The development of animal models is crucial to investigate the underlying neural mechanisms involved in the weight gain produced by antidepressants drugs. Clomipramine was chosen for the present study due to the following reasons: (i) it has high potential to induce weight gain; (ii) it is a reference drug for treatment of emotional disorders such as obsessive-compulsive disorder and panic disorder 23) and (iii) it has been widely used for treatment of psychiatric disorders as well as in clinical researches in Brazil 24—28) and recent evidence from our research group has indicated that CMI induces weight gain in healthy volunteers (results not published).
MATERIALS AND METHODS

Animals and Diets Twenty-eight male adult Wistar rats weighing 230—250 g were used. Animals were housed in individual cages under controlled temperature (22 ± 1 °C) and constant 12:12-h light/dark cycle with lights on at 7:00 a.m.

The experimental procedures carried out in this study comply with the norms of the Brazilian Neuroscience and Behavior Society (SBNeC) that is in accordance to US National Institutes of Health Guide for Care and Use of Laboratory Animals.

A Diet Scan data acquisition system (Insight®, Brazil) connected to a PC was used to record food consumption. The system consisted of five individual acrylic cages (40×40×40 cm) equipped with electronic balances for each macronutrient-rich diet (see diets composition in Table 1). A food tray was placed on each balance and filled with a macronutrient-rich diet. Rats had access to the food through 3 circular sets. The macronutrient’s trays were replaced daily with fresh food and placed in the experimental cage in a different position to avoid any place preference. To ensure minimal disturbance to the animals during the active dark period, delivery of fresh food, body weight measurements, cleaning of cages, and resetting the Diet Scan system were scheduled daily between 10:00 a.m. and 11:00 a.m. Food intake was measured in 30-min intervals across 23 h (starting at 11:00 a.m.) and the data were automatically recorded by the Diet Scan data acquisition system.

Rats were randomly divided into four groups; Saline (n = 7); CMI 3 mg/kg (n = 8), CMI 10 mg/kg (n = 7), and CMI 30 mg/kg (n = 6). Animals were provided ad lib with water and the three macronutrient-rich diets. Protein-rich diets were offered in a granular form, which were prepared by adding a fixed amount of water to powdered casein (50 ml water to 100 g protein diet). The mixture was then stirred to obtain a granular consistency. Granular diets were left to dry overnight. Weights of granular diet were checked in the following day to ensure that granular diet weights were similar to the weight of the powder diets before the addition of water.29) Carbohydrate and fat diets were offered respectively in a powder and paste form.

Experimental Design and Treatment Animals were transferred to the laboratory and allowed a 5-d period to adapt to the laboratory conditions and diets. Animals that had failed to gain weight during this period were excluded from the study. The experimental procedure consisted of three phases: a) pre-treatment: macronutrient intakes were recorded during three consecutive days before the beginning of drug treatment; b) treatment: on the fourth day, the drug treatment started. Animals received i.p. injections of saline or CMI (3-chloro-10,11-dihydro-N,N-dimethyl-5H-dibenzo[f,h]azepine-5-propanamine hydrochloride, Tocris, U.K.), (3, 10 or 30 mg/kg) during 27 consecutive days. Food intake was recorded daily between 10:00 a.m. and 11:00 a.m. Food intake was disturbed to the animals during the active dark period, delivery of fresh food, body weight measurements, cleaning of cages, and resetting the Diet Scan system were scheduled daily between 10:00 a.m. and 11:00 a.m. Food intake was measured in 30-min intervals across 23 h (starting at 11:00 a.m.) and the data were automatically recorded by the Diet Scan data acquisition system.

Results

Changes in total daily energy intake for each block are shown in Fig. 2. ANOVA revealed a significant main effect of treatment [F(3, 24) = 7.05, p = 0.001] and also a significant treatment×period interaction [F(12, 96) = 10.0, p < 0.001]. Body weight was significantly lower in animals treated with 30 mg/kg of CMI as compared to the control group during both the treatment and post-treatment periods. In fact, during the whole experiment CMI 30 mg/kg group showed lower body weight gain (2.26 ± 0.5 g/d) when compared to the saline group (4.09 ± 0.7 g/d; p < 0.001).

Pre-treatment, Treatment, and Post-treatment Diet Intakes Total Food Intake Changes in total daily energy intake for each block are shown in Fig. 2. ANOVA revealed a significant main effect of treatment [F(3, 24) = 6.93, p = 0.001], block [F(4, 96) = 18.1, p < 0.0001] and treatment×block interaction [F(12, 96) = 2.98, p = 0.001]. While 3 mg/kg

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ingredientsa</th>
<th>Carbohydrate</th>
<th>Protein</th>
<th>Fat</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Casein, high nitrogen</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>92.6</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corn starch</td>
<td>62.8</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Powdered sugar</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Malemethionine</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vegetable shortening</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>92.8</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AIN-76 vitamin mixb</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AIN-76 mineral mixb</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Choline chloride</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cellulose</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Energy density (kcal/g diet)</td>
<td>3.70</td>
<td>3.70</td>
<td>8.40</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a) Rhoster—Industry and Trade—SP—Brazil. b) The vitamin and mineral mixes contain 97% and 12% sucrose, respectively.
of CMI increased the caloric intake on 16th—18th-day block, the highest dose (30 mg/kg) decreased food intake at the 7th—9th, 16th—18th and 25th—27th blocks of the treatment phase when compared to saline. The other blocks did not show statistical significant differences.

**Intake of Macronutrient-Rich Diets** Figure 3 illustrates the average energy intake from each macronutrient-rich diet during the pre-treatment, treatment and post-treatment phases. For carbohydrate intake, ANOVA revealed a significant main effect of treatment \( F(3, 24) = 3.06, p < 0.05 \), block \( F(4, 96) = 18.4, p < 0.0001 \) and treatment×block interaction \( F(12, 96) = 2.17, p < 0.02 \). Post hoc comparisons revealed that CMI (30 mg/kg) significantly decreased carbohydrate intake during the three blocks of drug treatment (7th—9th, 16th—18th, 25th—27th days) when compared to saline. Both fat \( F(3, 24) = 2.38, p = 0.09 \) and protein \( F(3, 24) = 0.45, p = 0.71 \) intakes were not significantly changed by CMI.

**Effects of CMI on Carbohydrate Intake during the Early, Middle and Late Phases of the Dark Period** Figure 4 shows carbohydrate intake during early (19—23 h),
middle (23—03 h) and late (03—07 h) dark phases. ANOVA revealed a significant effect of treatment \([F(3, 24)=4.37, p<0.02]\), block \([F(4, 96)=7.40, p<0.001]\) and treatment × block interaction \([F(12, 96)=3.05, p<0.002]\) during the early phase. Contrast comparisons revealed that 30 mg/kg of CMI reduced carbohydrate intake during treatment period (from 7th to 27th day) when compared to saline. During post-treatment carbohydrate intake resumed to that recorded in the pre-treatment. Treatment with CMI did not significantly affect carbohydrate intake during both middle \([F(3, 24)=0.75, p=0.52]\) and late \([F(3, 24)=0.38, p=0.76]\) phases.

### Food Intake in Dark and Light Phases during 16th—18th Day Block

Since CMI caused opposite effects on total food intake during the 16th—18th day block (see Fig. 2), a detailed analysis of the specific treatment effects was performed. Thus, the percentages of total energy intake (\%E) and total kcal consumption either in the light or dark phases were analyzed. ANOVA revealed a significant main effect of treatment (Table 2) for caloric consumption \([F(3, 24)=9.25, p=0.002]\) during the dark phase. Contrast comparisons revealed that 3 mg/kg and 30 mg/kg of CMI, respectively, increased and decreased total energy consumption (i.e. the caloric sum of the three macronutrients) when compared to the saline group. Such reduction of caloric consumption is likely to be due to the drug effect on carbohydrate intake, since CMI 30 mg/kg significantly decreased this intake when compared to the saline group (see Table 2).

## Discussion

This study demonstrated that chronic treatment with CMI significantly decreased body weight gain and energy intake. Reduction of total energy intake was markedly related to a decrease in the intake of carbohydrate-rich diet. An expected increase in food consumption followed by chronic treatment with CMI was not confirmed in the present study, since only the lower dose of this TCA increased total energy intake, an effect that was observed during a single block (16th—18th day) of drug treatment.

Considering food intake during pre-treatment period, the present results corroborate previous findings showing that rats consume more food during the dark phase than in the light period.\(^{30,31}\) Food intake was significantly higher in the dark phase than in the light phase during pre-treatment \((65.9±2.2 \text{ kcal vs. } 15.1±2.2 \text{ kcal}, p<0.001)\), which corresponds to 81.3% and 18.7% of ingested calories, respectively. In fact, carbohydrate diet was preferentially consumed when compared to protein and fat diets throughout the experiment (see Fig. 3). This result corroborates previous studies showing that rats choose a large amount of calories as carbohydrate-diet in self-selecting paradigms.\(^{31}\) However, there are also conflicting data with reports showing that rats distributed their daily caloric intakes equally eating nutrients in a way that each one represents one third of daily calories.\(^{30}\) Moreover, it has been shown that rats select macronutrients by consuming more fat and protein diets than carbohydrate diet.\(^{32}\) Such discrepancies may be related to the fact that food choice varies with the type of macronutrients used in the diet, the number of available choices and the sensorial and hedonic aspects of the food.\(^{33,35}\)

Casein was used as the protein source in present experiment and in previous studies.\(^{36,38}\) Although we have used it in a granular preparation, a form that has been suggested to be more readily acceptable by rats,\(^{29}\) our results showed a small amount of protein intake. It has been demonstrated\(^{33,39}\) that protein intake is more tightly regulated than fat or carbohydrate intake. Moreover, under a dietary self-selection regimen rats are more likely to develop conditioned aversion to the protein source than the carbohydrate source.\(^{40}\) Fat intake was also low when compared to previous studies.\(^{32,41}\) It is important to note that protein and fat intake displayed by rats in this experiment was lower than that observed in humans. In human beings, protein intake is limited to 15—20% while lipids provide up to 40% and carbohydrate up to 50% of macronutrient intake.\(^{42,43}\)

When establishing the protocol of the dietary self-selection, we anticipated that CMI-induced neuroadaptations might affect food intake and increase the preference for carbohydrates, a mechanism that could be related to the increase in caloric intake, carbohydrate craving, and body weight gain in humans treated with CMI. However, in the present study, chronic administration of CMI at the highest dose (30 mg/kg) resulted in a consistent decrease of total energy intake, an effect likely related to a reduction of carbohydrate intake during the early dark phase (see Fig. 4). This short period effect of CMI could be related to its pharmacokinetics in rats. Fujita et al.\(^{44}\) demonstrated that CMI half-life is about 4 h. Weigmann et al.\(^{45}\) also investigated the pharmacokinetics of CMI and its active metabolite desmethylclopromazine in rats. They showed that maximal concentrations of CMI and desmethylclopromazine occurred at 2—3 h following oral administration. Serum and brain half-lives were similar in serum and brain with 7.8 and 6.2 h for CMI and 5.5 and 5.0 h for desmethylclopromazine. Thus, CMI might produce more consistent acute effects in procedures involving twice i.p. drug administration a day or by mixing it with food. Nevertheless, although other TCA (e.g. imipramine and desipramine) also present short intracerebral half-lives,\(^{46,47}\) it

## Table 2. Macronutrient Intake, Total kcal and Percent of Total Energy in Light and Dark Phases

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>C</th>
<th>P</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>Total (kcal)</th>
<th>% E</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Light phase</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saline</td>
<td>21.09±1.2</td>
<td>0.97±0.4</td>
<td>2.32±0.8</td>
<td>24.38±1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CMI 3</td>
<td>16.51±2.0</td>
<td>1.31±0.5</td>
<td>2.41±0.6</td>
<td>20.24±2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CMI 10</td>
<td>18.07±5.2</td>
<td>0.74±0.1</td>
<td>1.50±0.3</td>
<td>20.31±4.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CMI 30</td>
<td>20.17±1.2</td>
<td>0.52±0.1</td>
<td>1.79±0.5</td>
<td>22.49±1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Dark phase</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>Total (kcal)</td>
<td>% E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>-----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saline</td>
<td>51.49±3.2</td>
<td>3.04±0.4</td>
<td>6.58±1.3</td>
<td>61.11±2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CMI 3</td>
<td>56.52±3.6</td>
<td>5.70±1.7</td>
<td>12.93±2.9</td>
<td>75.16±4.1*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CMI 10</td>
<td>55.94±2.9</td>
<td>4.68±2.0</td>
<td>9.92±3.1</td>
<td>70.55±4.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CMI 30</td>
<td>37.43±5*</td>
<td>2.25±0.3</td>
<td>4.78±1.1</td>
<td>44.46±5.4*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data are means (±S.E.M.) of 3 d, between 16th—18th day of treatment. Rats chronically treated with CMI (0, 3, 10, 30 mg/kg; i.p.) during 18 d. C=carbohydrate, P=protein, F=fat. Total energy light or dark (\%E)=[carbohydrate + fat + protein (kcal g⁻¹)]/[total food intake (kcal g⁻¹)]×100. *p<0.05 versus saline.
must be emphasized that when given once or twice a day for 14 d they are present in the brain for the whole interval between injections at concentrations sufficient to inhibit nor-adrenaline and serotonin uptake.\(^\text{18}\)

In contrast, the lowest dose (3 mg/kg) induced a significant, but transient, increase in total caloric intake. This result was observed in the 16th to 18th day of CMI treatment, an effect that was neither macronutrient-specific nor followed by body weight gain (Table 2).

Although it has been shown that acute injections of CMI increase carbohydrate intake\(^\text{20}\) most studies concerning long-term administration of TCA have shown either no changes or a reduction of food and carbohydrate intake. Indeed, a more detailed analysis showing the effects of desmethylimipramine on food intake has revealed that this TCA initially reduces food consumption, but loses its effects over a long-term treatment (30 d) with animals returning food intake towards pretreatment levels.\(^\text{19}\) Nobrega and Coscina\(^\text{21}\) showed that despite manipulations such as drug dosage, route and regime of administration, diet composition and palatability, animal gender and housing conditions, chronic treatments with TCA did not increase food intake or rates of weight gain in rats. The food intake reduction and weight loss observed in CMI-treated animals may be related to the selectivity of this TCA in blocking serotonin reuptake.\(^\text{22}\) In fact, selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors were thought to induce weight loss rather than weight gain in patients without psychiatric disorders.\(^\text{23}\) Therefore, it is relevant to identify the mechanisms by which CMI decrease food intake and body weight. However, it must be emphasized that CMI effects on body weight and food consumption observed in the present study were obtained only with the highest dose (30 mg/kg). At 30 mg/kg/d, CMI may be toxic for rats. Thus, both reductions on food intake and body weight are likely related to the drug toxicity. The recovery in total energy intake observed after drug treatment period (see Fig. 2; group CMI-30 mg/kg) seems to corroborate this hypothesis.

In conclusion, the use of macronutrient self-selection protocol in this study provided results that corroborate previous findings demonstrating that chronic treatment with TCA does not increase food consumption and body weight gain in experimental animals.\(^\text{24}\)\(^\text{25}\) It has been demonstrated that chronic treatment with tricyclic antidepressants elicit brain monoamine receptor adaptations.\(^\text{17—21}\) However, present results suggest these possible neurochemical changes neither influence food consumption nor body weight gain. In addition, the present results do not support clinical findings, which have indicated that long-term treatment with TCA can induce body weight gain and carbohydrate craving in a significant proportion of patients. Further studies, particularly those resembling human regimens of macronutrient intake, should be designed to examine the mechanisms by which TCA like CMI affects macronutrient consumption. Moreover, besides affecting serotonergic and noradrenergic neurotransmissions CMI also binds to other receptors such as H1 and muscarinic receptors. Thus it is also relevant to investigate the mechanisms by which this TCA affects food consumption.
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