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SUMMARY
This work focuses on the dynamic modeling of a flexible robotic manipulator with two flexible links and two revolute joints, which rotates in the horizontal plane. The dynamic equations are derived using the Newton-Euler formulation and the finite element method, based on elementary beam theory. Computer simulation results are presented to illustrate this study. The dynamic model becomes necessary for use in future design and control applications.
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1. INTRODUCTION
One matter of increasing interest to control engineers are the effects of flexibility in lightweight manipulators, machine tools and space structures, etc. To achieve the high performance requirements such as high-speed operation, increased accuracy in positioning, lower energy consumption, less weight, and safer operation due to reduced inertia flexible manipulators are recommended. However, in modeling and controlling this manipulator the flexibility of its components must be considered.1–4 The incorporation of the effects of the flexibility in the system model increases its complexity which, in turn, complicates the problem of controller synthesis. That is, due to the flexibility the position controller of the flexible manipulator must be able to control the motion of the rigid-body mode of the arm and to suppress its vibration modes.5

The purpose of this work is to obtain a dynamic model for the design and control of a flexible robotic manipulator. The dynamic model will completely describe motions of a manipulator with flexible links, including large motions, small motions, and their interactions. The robotic manipulator is modeled as being composed of two beams attached to each other, with the first beam attached to a fixed base. Each link is assumed to be symmetrical about its longitudinal axis in the absence of deformation.

The equations of motion are obtained from the Newton-Euler formulation and the finite element method is utilized to discretize the displacements so that the small motion is represented in terms of nodal displacements. A cubic shape function is assumed for a single beam element in this research. The simulation results were obtained using the Simulink, extension to MatLab software.

2. THE MATHEMATICAL MODEL
In this work it is assumed that the manipulator consists of two revolute joints, modeled as a rigid body, and two flexible links as shown in Figure 1. The original lengths of the upper arm and the lower arm are denoted by l1 and l2, respectively. The motion of the manipulator is confined to the horizontal (x,y) plane. This seen on the non-deformed configuration in Figure 1, where the upper arm makes an angle φ with respect to the x-axis, and the angle between the upper arm and the lower arm is denoted by γ. The deformed configuration of the flexible manipulator defines two new coordinate systems, (x1,y1) and (x2,y2), such that the x1-axis and x2-axis are parallel to the tangents of the upper arm and the lower arm at the origin and at the joint between the two links, respectively. Let the angle between the x2-axis and the x-direction be denoted by β. The upper arm and the lower arm are modeled by n beam elements and m beam elements, respectively. Thus there are n+m+1 nodal points and each
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Fig. 1. The configuration of a flexible robotic manipulator.
is associated with a lumped mass. The payloads may also be simulated by the masses attached to some nodal points. The position vector of the generic $i$th nodal point ($i = 0, 1, 2, \ldots, n$) of the deformed upper arm can be expressed in the $(x^i, y^i)$ coordinate system as

$$p_i^j = (x_i^j, y_i^j) = \begin{bmatrix} x_i^j \\ y_i^j \end{bmatrix}$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)$$

where $U_i^j$ is the displacement of the $i$th nodal point in the direction of $y^i$-axis and the lumped mass at this point is denoted by $M_i^j$. Similarly, the position of the $j$th nodal point ($j = 0, 1, 2, \ldots, m$) of the deformed lower arm is,

$$p_j^2 = (x_j^2, y_j^2) = \begin{bmatrix} x_j^2 \\ y_j^2 \end{bmatrix}$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)$$

and the lumped mass at this point is denoted by $M_j^2$.

The position vector for any point on the upper arm, expressed in the global coordinate system $(x, y)$, may be obtained as

$$p = \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} c\phi - s\phi \\ s\phi \ c\phi \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x^i \\ U^i \end{bmatrix} = Q^i \begin{bmatrix} x^i \\ U^i \end{bmatrix} = Q^i p^i$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)$$

where, $Q^i$ is an orthogonal transformation matrix, and $c\phi = \cos(\phi)$, $s\phi = \sin(\phi)$. The velocity $v$ and the acceleration $a$ can be obtained as,

$$v = \begin{bmatrix} \dot{x} \\ \dot{y} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -s\phi \dot{\phi} - c\phi \dot{c}\phi \\ c\phi \dot{\phi} - s\phi \dot{c}\phi \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x^i \\ U^i \end{bmatrix}$$

$$+ Q^i \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ U^i \end{bmatrix} = Q^i \dot{p}^i + Q^i v^i$$  \hspace{1cm} (4)$$

$$a = \begin{bmatrix} \ddot{x} \\ \ddot{y} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -s\phi \ddot{\phi} - c\phi \ddot{c}\phi - s\phi \dot{c}\phi + \dot{c}\phi \dot{s}\phi \\ c\phi \ddot{\phi} - s\phi \ddot{c}\phi - s\phi \dot{c}\phi - \dot{c}\phi \dot{s}\phi \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x^i \\ U^i \end{bmatrix}$$

$$+ 2Q^i v^i + Q^i \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ U^i \end{bmatrix} = Q^i \ddot{p}^i + 2Q^i v^i + Q^i a^i$$  \hspace{1cm} (5)$$

The position vector of any point on the lower arm, expressed in the global coordinate system $(x, y)$, may be obtained as

$$p = \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} c\beta - s\beta \\ s\beta \ c\beta \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} X^2 \\ U^2 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$+ \begin{bmatrix} c\phi - s\phi \\ s\phi \ c\phi \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} l^i \\ U^i \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= Q^2 p^2 + Q^2 p^i$$  \hspace{1cm} (6)$$

where, $U^i = U_i^j$. Then the velocity and the acceleration can be obtained as

$$v = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ U^2 \end{bmatrix}, \quad a = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ U^2 \end{bmatrix}$$  \hspace{1cm} (7)$$

$$v^i = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ U^i \end{bmatrix}$$

$$a^i = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ U^i \end{bmatrix}$$  \hspace{1cm} (9)$$

The total force acting on a generic point is equal to the inertia force acting on the point, i.e.

$$f = -Ma$$  \hspace{1cm} (10)$$

The total force acting on $i$th nodal point of the upper arm, expressed in the $(x^i, y^i)$ coordinate system, can be calculated as

$$f_i^1 = (Q^i)^T f_i = -M_i^j (Q^j)^T \left[ \ddot{Q}^i p^i + 2\dot{Q}^i v^i + Q^i a^i \right]$$  \hspace{1cm} (11)$$

Explicitly, eq. (11) can be rewritten as

$$f_i^1(x) = M_i^j \left[ U_i^j \dot{\phi} + X_i^j \dot{\phi}^2 + 2U_i^j \dot{\phi} \right]$$  \hspace{1cm} (12)$$

$$f_i^1(y) = -M_i^j \left[ U_i^j + X_i^j \dot{\phi} - U_i^j \dot{\phi}^2 \right]$$  \hspace{1cm} (13)$$

The total force acting on the $j$th nodal point of the lower arm can be expressed in the $(x^j, y^j)$ coordinate system and the $(x^i, y^i)$ coordinate system, respectively, as follows

$$f_j^2 = -M_j^i (Q^j)^T \left[ \ddot{Q}^i p^i + 2\dot{Q}^i v^i + Q^i a^i \right]$$  \hspace{1cm} (14)$$

$$f_j^2 = -M_j^i (Q^j)^T \left[ \ddot{Q}^i p^i + 2\dot{Q}^i v^i + Q^i a^i \right]$$  \hspace{1cm} (15)$$

Explicitly, eqs. (14–15) can be rewritten as

$$f_j^2(x) = +M_i^j \left[ U_i^j \dot{\phi} + l_i^j \dot{\phi}^2 + 2U_i^j \dot{\phi} + SU_i^j \right]$$

$$+ 2CBU_i^j + (SX_i^j + CU_i^j) \dot{\phi}$$

$$+ (CX_i^j - SU_i^j) \dot{\phi}^2$$  \hspace{1cm} (16)$$

$$f_j^2(y) = -M_i^j \left[ U_i^j + l_i^j \dot{\phi} - U_i^j \dot{\phi}^2 + CU_i^j \right]$$

$$- 2SBU_i^j + (CX_i^j - SU_i^j) \dot{\phi}$$

$$- (SX_i^j + CU_i^j) \dot{\phi}^2$$  \hspace{1cm} (17)$$
where, \( C = \cos(\beta - \phi) \) and \( S = \sin(\beta - \phi) \).

The total force acting on the lower arm is equivalent to a force in the \( x\)-direction, \( F_x \), a force in the \( y\)-direction, \( F_y \), and a bending moment \( T_2 \), acting at the joint between the upper arm and the lower arm (see Figure 2). These resultant forces and moment may be written as

\[
F_x = \sum_{j=1}^{m} f^x_j (x) = +G(\dot{U} + \dot{\theta}^2) + 2U\dot{\phi} + 2U^2 \phi)
\]

\[
F_y = \sum_{j=1}^{m} f^y_j (y) = -G(U\dot{\phi} + \dot{\theta} \dot{\phi} + U \dot{\phi}^2)
\]

\[
T_2 = \sum_{j=1}^{m} \{ f^{22}_j (x)U^2_j - f^{22}_j (y)X^2_j \}
\]

\[
= \sum_{j=1}^{m} M_j^2 X_j^2 U_j^2 + (C X_j^2 - S U_j^2) U_j^2
\]

\[
+ (C I^y + S U_j^2 - S I^y U_j^2 + C U_j^2 U_j^2) \dot{\phi} + ((X_j^2 + (U_j^2) \dot{\phi} + 2U^2 (S X_j^2 + C U_j^2)) \dot{\phi}
\]

\[
+ (S I^y U_j^2 - C U_j^2 + C I^y U_j^2 + S U_j^2) \dot{\phi}^2
\]

\[
+ 2U^2 U_j^2 \beta
\]

where, \( I = \sum_{j=1}^{m} M_j^2 \).

Similarly, the total moment acting on the origin, \( T_1 \), can be obtained as

\[
T_1 = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \{ f^i_j (x)U^i_j - f^i_j (y)X^i_j \} + F_x l_1 + F_y + T_2
\]

\[
= + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} M_i^2 X_i^2 U_i^2 + (M_i^2 + \Gamma) l_1 U_i^2
\]

\[
+ (C I^y + S U_j^2) \sum_{j=1}^{m} M_j^2 U_j^2
\]

\[
+ \dot{\phi} \left[ \Gamma((l_1)^2 + (U_i)^2) + \sum_{i=1}^{n} M_i^2 ((X_i^2)^2 + (U_i)^2) \right]
\]

\[
+ \beta \left[ \sum_{j=1}^{m} M_j^2 (C I^y + S U_j^2) X_j^2 - (S I^y - C U_j^2) U_j^2 \right]
\]

\[
+ 2 \dot{\phi} (I U_j^2 U^2 + \sum_{i=1}^{n} M_i^2 U_i^2 U_i^2)
\]

\[
- 2 \beta (S I^y - C U_j^2) \sum_{j=1}^{m} M_j^2 U_j^2
\]

\[
- \beta^2 \left[ \sum_{j=1}^{m} M_j^2 ((C I^y + S U_j^2) U_j^2 + (S I^y - C U_j^2) X_j^2) \right]
\]

\[
+ T_2
\]

3. ANALYSIS BY FINITE ELEMENT METHOD

Now, the two link manipulator can be treated as two cantilever beams on which the forces and moment, acting, as shown in Figure 2. Following standard procedures in finite element analysis and the elementary beam theory, one may obtain the governing equations for the lower arm (beam 2), as follows

\[
K^2 U^2 = f^{22}
\]
where

\[ U^i = (U_1^i, U_2^i, U_3^i, \ldots, U_m^i)^T \] (25)

\[ f^{22} = (f_{21}^{22}(y), f_{22}^{22}(y), \ldots, f_{2n}^{22}(y))^T \] (26)

and \( K^2 \) is the \((m \times m)\) stiffness matrix for a cantilever beam subjected to applied forces only. For the upper arm (beam 1), because there is a bending moment, \( T_2 \), acting at the free end of beam 1 (see Figure 2), following the same procedure outlined in reference 7, the governing equations may be written as

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
K' & K \\
K' & K
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
U^i \\
U^T
\end{bmatrix} =
\begin{bmatrix}
f' \\
T_2
\end{bmatrix} \tag{27}
\]

where

\[ U^i = (U_1^i, U_2^i, U_3^i, \ldots, U_m^i)^T \] (28)

\[ f' = (f_{11}^{p1}(y), f_{12}^{p1}(y), f_{13}^{p1}(y), \ldots, f_{1n}^{p1}(y) + F_i) \] (29)

and \( s \) is the slope at the free end of beam 1; \( K' \) is a \((n \times n)\) matrix; \( K \) is a vector of length \( n \) and it can be written as \((K_1, K_2, \ldots, K_n)^T\). By eliminating \( s \) from equation (27), the following is obtained

\[ K'U^i = f' - \frac{KT_2}{k} \tag{30} \]

and, \( K' = K' - \frac{KK^T}{k} \).

Now equations (24), (30), (22) and (23) may be rewritten in a more compact form as follows

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
a_1 & 0 & b \\
0 & a_2 & c \\
b^T & c^T & a_1
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
\dot{\omega} \\
\dot{\phi}
\end{bmatrix} = \Omega \tag{31}
\]

where

\[ \omega = (U_1^i, U_2^i, \ldots, U_{n-1}^i, U_1^f, U_2^f, \ldots, U_m^f, U_1^\beta, \beta, \phi)^T \] (32)

\[ a_1 = \begin{bmatrix}
M_1^i & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\
0 & M_2^i & \cdots & 0 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
0 & 0 & \cdots & M_{n-1}^i
\end{bmatrix} \tag{33}
\]

\[ a_2 = \begin{bmatrix}
M_1^f & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\
0 & M_2^f & \cdots & 0 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
0 & 0 & \cdots & M_m^f
\end{bmatrix} \tag{34}
\]

\[ a_3 = \begin{bmatrix}
A_1 & A_4 & l^A_1 \\
A_4 & A_2 & A_5 \\
l^A_1 & A_5 & A_3
\end{bmatrix} \tag{35}
\]

\[ b = \begin{bmatrix}
0 & 0 & M_1^X_1^i \\
0 & 0 & M_2^X_1^i \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
0 & 0 & M_m^X_1^i
\end{bmatrix} \tag{36}
\]

\[ \Omega = \begin{bmatrix}
p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_{n-1}, q_1, q_2, \ldots, q_m, \gamma_1, \gamma_2, \gamma_3
\end{bmatrix} \tag{38}
\]

\[ A_1 = M_1^i + I \tag{39} \]

\[ A_2 = \sum_{j=1}^{m} M_j^1 [(X_j^1)^2 + (U_j^1)^2] \tag{40} \]

\[ A_3 = \sum_{j=1}^{n} M_j^1 [(X_j^i)^2 + (U_j^i)^2] + \Gamma [(l_j^1)^2 + (U_j^1)^2] \tag{41} \]

\[ c_{1j} = CM_j^i, \quad c_{2j} = M_j^1 X_j^i, \quad c_{3j} = M_j^1 (Cl_1 + SU^1) \tag{44} \]

\[ p_i = - \sum_{j=1}^{n} K_{ij}^U U_j^i + M_i^1 \dot{U}_j^i \ddot{\phi} - \left( \frac{K_i}{k} \right) T_2 \tag{45} \]

\[ q_i = - \sum_{j=1}^{n} K_{ij}^U U_j^i - 2SU^i \phi M_i^1 + \beta^2 U_j^i M_i^2 \\
- (Sl_1 - CU^i) \ddot{\phi} M_i^2 \tag{46} \]

\[ \gamma_i = - \sum_{j=1}^{n} K_{ij}^U U_j^i + (M_i^1 + I) U_j^i \ddot{\phi} \\
- \left( \frac{K_i}{k} \right) T_2 + 2S\beta \sum_{j=1}^{m} M_j^1 U_j^j \\
+ \beta^2 \sum_{j=1}^{m} (SX_j^2 + CU_j^2) M_j^2 \tag{47} \]

\[ \gamma_2 = T_2 - 2U^i \ddot{\phi} \sum_{j=1}^{m} M_j^1 (SX_j^1 + CU_j^1) - 2\beta \sum_{j=1}^{m} M_j^1 U_j^j U_j^j \\
- \beta \sum_{j=1}^{m} M_j^1 (Sl_1 X_j^1 + CU_j^1 X_j^1 + Cl_1 U_j^1 + SU^1 U_j^j) \tag{48} \]
4. SIMULATION RESULTS

To illustrate the effects of the flexibility in the robotic manipulator the parameters of Table I are used. The simulation was done to maneuver the arm from $\phi_1 = 0$ [rad] to $\phi_1 = 0.768$ [rad] and $\beta_1 = 0$ [rad] to $\beta_1 = 0.384$ [rad], during 1.5 seconds. Figures 3 and 4 show that the system response is obtained with a simple joint control PD; this illustrates the importance of the flexibility effects.

![Fig. 3. Flexible manipulator model simulation: Tip vibrations.](image)

$$\gamma_j = T_j - T_2 - 2\phi \left( \Gamma U'U'' + \sum_{i=1}^{n} M_i^j U_i U_i' \right)$$

$$+ 2\beta(Sl^j - CU') \sum_{j=1}^{n} M_j^j U_j^j$$

$$+ \beta^2 \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^{n} M_j^j [(Cl^j + SU')U_j^2 +$$

$$\left( Sl^j - CU' \right)X^2 \right\}$$

(49)

5. CONCLUSION

In this work, we obtained a dynamic model of a planar flexible robotic manipulator which has two revolute joints and two flexible links. The governing equations of the system are nonlinear. Axial deformations are neglected and only the transverse bending displacements are dominant in the theoretical modeling of this manipulator. Viscous damping at the joints is ignored.

The flexibility of the system degrades the function of the position controller of the end-effector; thus, it must be taken into account, since flexibility limits the system stability, the accuracy of operations and control gains. Here the flexible manipulator is under a co-located PD output feedback control using hub angle measurements. The PD controller parameters are adjusted relative to the arm flexibility to illustrate the effects of flexibility.

For digital control systems, flexibility will also affect the sampling rate. However, one may have to construct an estimator (observer) based on the linear version of the system which involves few variables. Hence, for practical purposes, real-time control of flexible robotic manipulator is feasible.
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