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ABSTRACT

The objective of this research was to develop a primer for a polymerase chain reaction specific for Xylella fastidiosa strains that cause Pierce’s Disease (PD) in grapes (Vitis vinifera). The DNA amplification of 23 different strains of X. fastidiosa, using a set of primers REP1-R (5′-IIIICGICGIATCCIGGC-3′) and REP 2 (5′-ICGICTTATCIGGCCTAC-3′) using the following program: 94 ºC/2 min; 35 X (94 ºC/1 min, 45 ºC/1 min and 72 ºC/1 min and 30 s) 72 ºC/5 min, produced a fragment of 630 bp that differentiated the strains that cause disease in grapes from the other strains. However, REP banding patterns could not be considered reliable for detection because the REP1-R and REP 2 primers correspond to repetitive sequences, which are found throughout the bacterial genome. The amplified product of 630 bp was eluted from the agarose gel, purified and sequenced. The nucleotide sequence information was used to identify and synthesize an specific oligonucleotide for

Additional keywords: oligonucleotide, polymerase chain reaction, PCR, REP-PCR.

INTRODUCTION

Pierce’s Disease (PD) in grapes (Vitis vinifera L.) is endemic to the United States wine producing regions. Initially

INTRODUÇÂO

O objetivo deste trabalho foi desenvolver um oligonucleotídeo iniciador para reação em cadeia da polimerase (PCR) específico para as estirpes de Xylella fastidiosa que causam o mal de Pierce (PD) em videira (Vitis vinifera). Amplificações de DNA de 23 diferentes hospedeiros, usando o conjunto de oligonucleotídeos REP1-R (5′-IIIICGICGIATCCIGGC-3′) e REP 2 (5′-ICGICTTATCIGGCCTAC-3′) utilizando o programa: 94 ºC/2 min; 35 X (94 ºC/1 min, 45 ºC/1 min e 72 ºC/1 min e 30 s) 72 ºC/5 min, produziram um fragmento de 630 pb que diferenciou as estirpes de videiras dos demais. Entretanto, padrões de bandeamento REP não são considerados confiáveis para detecção devido ao par de oligonucleotídeos REP 1 e REP 2 corresponderem a sequências repetitivas encontradas por todo o genoma bacteriano. Desse modo, o produto amplificado de 630 pb foi eluído do gel de agarose, purificado e sequenciado. A informação da sequência nucleotídica foi usada para identificar e sintetizar um oligonucleotídeo específico para o isolado de X. fastidiosa causadora do mal de Pierce denominado XF-1 (5′-CGGGGGTGTAGGAGGGGT-3′), que foi utilizado juntamente com o oligonucleotídeo REP-2 nas condições 94 ºC/2 min; 35 X (94 ºC/1 min, 62 ºC/1 min e 72 ºC/1 min e 30 s) 72 ºC/10 min. Os DNAs isolados de estirpes de X. fastidiosa de outros hospedeiros [amêndoa (Prumus amygdalus), citrus (Citrus spp.), café (Coffea arabica), almoele (Morus rubra), carvalho (Quercus rubra), vinca (Catharantus roseus), plums (Prumus salicina) and ragweed (Ambrosia artemisiifolia)] e also from other Gram negative and positive bacteria were submitted to amplification with a pair of primers XF-1/REP 2 to verify its specificity. A fragment, about 350 bp, was amplified only when the DNA from strains of X. fastidiosa isolated from grapes was employed.
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that attacks grapes, *X. fastidiosa* also has been detected in a great number of other economically important host plants species, such as alfalfa (*Medicago sativa* L.), citrus (*Citrus* spp.), coffee (*Coffea arabica* L.), peach (*Prunus persicae* (L.) Batsch), plum (*Prunus salicina* Lindl) and other forest and ornamental plants (Hopkins, 1989). Several methods have been used to detect the occurrence of *X. fastidiosa* in contaminated plant tissues, including electron microscopy (Rossetti et al., 1990), serology (Chang et al., 1993) and polymerase chain reaction (PCR) (Qin et al., 2001).

Molecular markers such as random amplified polymorphic DNA (RAPD) (Pooler & Hartung, 1995), arbitrary-primed (AP-PCR) (Costa et al., 2000), restriction fragment length polymorphism (RFLP) (Chen et al., 1992), variable number of tandem repeated (VNTR) (Coleta-Filho et al., 2001), repetitive sequence based-PCR (rep-PCR) (Hendson et al., 2001), PCR-RFLP (Qin et al., 2001), intergenic spacer (ITS) 18S-23S rDNA sequencing (Hendson et al., 2001), clamped homogenous electric field - CHEF (Hendson et al., 2001), plasmidial profile (Chen et al., 1992) have been used to study the genetic diversity amongst *X. fastidiosa* strains.

Efficient and economical methods for detection of such pathogen are essential for establishing a quarantine program to adequately screen of contaminated plants with low bacterial population (Purcell & Hopkins, 1996). Among other available detection methods, the PCR-based markers have been the most widely used in molecular genetic studies as they are simple, quick, flexible and sensitive. One such marker is the SCAR (sequence characterized amplified region) (Karp et al., 1996), which has the advantage of being less sensitive to the conditions of a standard PCR due to its primer size when compared to RAPD. Moreover SCARs have other desirable characteristics as markers since they require no radioactive isotopes and in addition, detect only a single locus. The development of a straightforward PCR protocol to check grape tissues potentially infected by *X. fastidiosa* causing PD is of fundamental importance to prevent spreading this pathogen in Brazil, by importing propagating plant materials from regions where this phytopathogen is endemic. In the course of previous studies on the genetic diversity between isolates of *X. fastidiosa* carried out in our laboratory, using the repetitive sequences REP, ERIC and BOXA amplified by PCR, it was possible to make a distinction between the *X. fastidiosa* isolated from grapevine and those isolated from plum, coffee and citrus.

As a result, a fragment of nearly 630 bp was amplified by the REP-PCR and used as template for the construction of a specific oligonucleotide primer for detecting *X. fastidiosa* isolated from grapevines.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Bacterial strains and DNA extraction**

The *X. fastidiosa* strains used in this study are listed in Table 1. All *X. fastidiosa* strains were grown in PW broth medium (Davis et al., 1981) for six days on a rotatory shaker at 28 °C. The DNA samples of *Escherichia coli* (JM 83::pHT 408, MM 294, MM 294::pAMP and MM 294::pKAN), *Xanthomonas axonopodis* pv. *citri* (Hasse) Vanterin et al., *Xanthomonas campestris* pv. *campestris* (Hasse) Gabriel et al and *Erwinia* spp. used for the primer specificity tests were kindly supplied by Dr. Manoel V. F. Lemos (*E. coli* and *Erwinia* sp.) and Dr. Jesus A. Ferro (strains of *Xanthomonas* sp.).

The genomic DNA extraction from the *X. fastidiosa* strains followed the method proposed by Ausubel et al. (1987) with some modifications, which included the addition of 100 µl of RNAse solution (200 µg/ml) soon after the treatment with proteinase K, and incubation at 37 °C for 1 h. The isolated DNA samples were used as template for standard PCR.

**PCR amplification procedure**

Repetitive sequence based-PCR technology (REP-PCR) was used to identify unique PCR products for *X. fastidiosa* causing the PD. The DNA from *X. fastidiosa* strains were amplified with REP1-R (5′-IIIICGCIATCCGCGC-3′) and REP 2 (5′-ICGCIATCCGCGC-3′) primers (Versalovic et al., 1991). The overall reactions were adjusted to a final volume of 20 µl, containing 1 X PCR buffer (50 mM KCl, 200 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.4), 2 mM MgCl2, 200 µM of each dNTP (Invitrogen, CA, USA), 1 U of *Taq* DNA polymerase (Invitrogen, CA, USA), 30 ng of each primer (REP1-R and REP 2) and 50 ng of template DNA. The reactions were then taken to a programmable thermocycler (MJ Research, Inc., model PTC 100™) using the following program: 94 °C for 2 min; 35 cycles at 94 °C for 1 min, 45 °C for 1 min and 72 °C for 1 min and 30 s for denaturation, annealing and extension; respectively, and a final extension step at 72 °C for 5 min. The products were visualized in 1.5% agarose gels 1 X TBE buffer containing 0.5 µg/ml ethidium bromide (Sambrook et al., 1989) and photographed using Gel-doc 1000 digital photodocumentation equipment (BioRad).

**Sequencing, data analysis and primer design**

After electrophoresis, a band of about 630 bp in size from *X. fastidiosa* strain 8935 was cut out of the gel and the DNA recovered and purified using a Concert™ Gel extraction systems kit (Invitrogen, CA, USA). For sequencing, 4 µl of the big dye terminator cycle sequencing ready reaction (PE Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA), 1.6 pmols of the primers REP1-R and REP 2, and 20 ng of DNA from the purified fragment (not cloned) of the strain 8935 were used to set up a final 10 µl volume sequencing reaction. The reactions were then performed at the same conditions previously described. After fragment precipitation and washing for removal of the ddNTPs unincorporated through sequencing reaction, the samples were sequenced on an ABI PRISM™ 377 automatic DNA sequencer (Applied Biosystems, Inc., Foster City, CA, USA). Sequenced data were analyzed using BLAST – Basic local alignment search tools (version 2.2) program (Altschul et al., 1997) through the network service of the National Center for Biotechnology Information (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov). The OLIGO 4.0 software (National
Bioscience, Inc. Plymouth, MN) was used to design a X. fastidiosa PD specific 21-mer primer, herein denominated Xf-1 (5’-CGGGGGTGTAGGAGGGTGT-3’) and subsequently synthesized by BIO-SYNTHESIS Inc.

**SCAR amplification**

The SCAR amplifications of the genomic DNA samples were performed using 50 ng of DNA template in 20 µl reactions containing 1 X PCR buffer (50 mM KCl, 200 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.4), 2 mM MgCl₂, 200 µM each dNTP (Invitrogen, CA, USA), 1 U of Taq DNA polymerase (Invitrogen, CA, USA), 30 ng each primer (Xf-1 and REP 2). The amplification was performed at the same conditions described before, except annealing was at 62 °C and the final step was for 10 min. The products were visualized and photographed as described before.

**Accuracy of the oligonucleotides Xf-1/REP 2**

Twenty microliter of each product of a serial dilution of a cell suspension (OD₆₀₀ = 0.92) of the strain 8935 of X. fastidiosa in sterile «distilled» water was centrifuged and used to perform PCR, following the same protocol described before. Dilutions (100 µl) were plated on PW medium. After 14 days of incubation at 28 °C, colony-forming units (CFU) were determined.
RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Identification of a differential fragment of *X. fastidiosa* strain isolated from grapevine using REP-PCR

The genetic diversity of 23 strains of *X. fastidiosa* isolated from four hosts plants (plum, coffee, citrus and grapevine) was analyzed by the amplification of repetitive extragenic palindromic sequences (REP) found in the bacterial genome (Figure 1). The molecular profiles obtained by the REP-PCR amplification showed three distinct groups among the *X. fastidiosa* strains. The first group was outlined only by *X. fastidiosa* isolated from citrus (9a5c, 11347, 10348, 10438, 11066, 11067, 11834, 11380, 11775 and 11779), with amplifying fragments ranging from 300 to 4,000 bp. The second group was composed of seven strains of *X. fastidiosa* from grapevine (8935 and 9713) and plum (9746), showing a sufficiently distinct profile from citrus (11037, 11038, B-14, M2-1, 11399, 11400, CVC-5) and one from coffee trees (12288). The distinction of this group was possible due to the presence of a 300 bp amplified fragment. The third group, comprised of strains from grapevine (8935 and 9713) and plum (9746), showed a sufficiently distinct profile from the others, with a 630-bp fragment (Figure 1). The strain 11348 from citrus (line 12) showed a unique profile, differing from the others, with a 630-bp fragment (Figure 1). The strain 11348 from citrus (12) showed a unique profile, differing from the other groups here analyzed. Differences among strains of *X. fastidiosa* isolated from sweet orange [*Citrus sinensis* (L.) Osbeck] and coffee (*Coffeea arabica* L.) trees with symptoms of citrus variegated chlorosis and coffee leaf scorch, respectively, were not detected, using the REP1-R and REP 2 oligonucleotides (Qin et al., 2001). The 630-bp product, only amplified from the grapevine strains, could be used to develop a SCAR marker suitable for amplifying specifically strains of *X. fastidiosa* causing PD.

Conversion of a REP-PCR marker into a SCAR marker

The 630-bp fragment was purified and sequenced from both ends (GenBank - http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov and access number AY593993). The sequence was compared with those already present in the Genomic Data Bank (GenBank - http://www.lbi.ic.unicamp.br) using the BLASTx, a sub-program of BLAST (Altschul et al., 1997), which compares nucleotide sequences with protein sequences. Similarities were verified between *X. fastidiosa* strain 9a5c from citrus (Simpson et al., 2000) and Temecula strain from grapevine (Van Sluys et al., 2003) with scores and e-values of 259 and 7e\(^{-71}\) and 280 and 4e\(^{-71}\), respectively. The 630-bp fragment is present in the intergenic spacer of the *X. fastidiosa* strain 9a5c, covering the ORFs (Open Reading Frame) XF2486 and XF0726 (access number NP 298016) which codifies a hypothetical protein whereas in the “Temecula-1” strain this sequence is present in ORF PD 1095 (access number gi28197945), which codifies a conserved hypothetical protein.

The Xf-1 oligonucleotide was designed, with 66.7% CG content, limited to the positions 290 to 311 bp. It was used jointly with the REP-2 primer to check the *X. fastidiosa* DNAs isolated from a wide number of host plants (Figures 2 and 3). The amplification yielded a fragment about 350 bp. Concentrations of 50 and 100 ng of DNA samples were tested to optimize PCR conditions. Both DNA concentrations produced indistinguishable amplification fragments for all DNA samples (data not shown). Assays were carried out using four annealing temperatures (50, 52, 55 and 62 °C). A fragment about 350 bp was positively amplified for all *X. fastidiosa* isolates at 50, 52 and 55 °C. However it was present only for the grapevine strains at 62 °C, indicating the primers specificity. Pooler & Hartung (1995) observed that low annealing temperatures resulted in the amplification of identical RAPD bands for all analyzed *X. fastidiosa* strains, including other bacterial strains as well. The Xf-1/REP 2 primer pair showed specificity towards *X. fastidiosa* isolated from grapes and no amplification was observed for the other *X. fastidiosa* strains from almond (*Prunus amygdalus* Batsch), coffee, elm (*Ulmus americana* L.), mulberry (*Morus rubra* L.), periwinkle (*Catharanthus roseus* L.), plum (*Prunus salicina* Lindl), oak (*Quercus rubra* L.), ragweed (*Ambrosia artemisiifolia* L.), and *Erwinia* sp., *E. coli*, *X. axonopodis* pv. *citri* and *X.
Development of a scar marker for Pierce’s Disease...

campestris pv. campestris (Figures 2 and 3). This SCAR fragment generated by Xf-1/REP 2 was not observed for the other bacterial strains tested such as: Bacillus thuringiensis, Bradyrhizobium elkanii, Bradyrhizobium japonicum, Staphylococcus aureus, Staphylococcus sp. (data not shown).

**Sensitivity of Xf-1/REP-2 primers**

The 350 bp fragment was detected up to a dilution of 1 x 10^5, corresponding to 130 CFU/ml. However, only 20 µl were added to the PCR mix, allowing the detection of 1-3 CFU. Due to the sensitivity of the Xf-1/REP 2 primers, they could be useful in the bio-PCR technique by speeding up identification of plant material infected by this phytopathogenic organism. Our results encourage the application of this PCR-based identification method in setting up a reliable diagnostic assay for detection of X. fastidiosa in grapevines grown in Brazil.
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**FIG. 2** - Agarose gel electrophoresis of PCR products with primers Xf-1/REP 2. NC: Negative Control, MW: standard molecular weight of 1 Kb DNA ladder (Invitrogen); 1-7: grapevine (Vitis vinifera) 8935, 9713, 6068, 6750, 6753 and SLO, 8-9: citrus (Citrus sinensis) 9a5c and 11400, 10-11: mulberry (Morus rubra) 6744 and 6745, 12: almond (Prunus amygdalus) 6746, 13: plum (Prunus salicina) 6747, 14: elm (Ulmus americana) 6748, 15: ragweed (Ambrosia artemisiifolia) 6749, 16: periwinkle (Catharanthus roseus) 6751, 17: coffee (Coffea arabica) 12888, 18: oak OK, 19-20: oak (Quercus rubra) OG and OF.


