ANNUAL EVOLUTION OF GLOBAL, DIRECT AND DIFFUSE RADIATION AND FRACTIONS IN TILTED SURFACES
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ABSTRACT: It was evaluated the annual evolution of global, direct and diffuse components of incident solar radiation on tilted surfaces to 12.85, 22.85 and 32.85°, facing north, in Botucatu, state of São Paulo, Brazil. The radiometric fractions were obtained for each component of the radiation in the aforementioned surfaces, through the ratio with the global and top of the atmosphere radiations. Seasonality was evaluated based on monthly averages of daily values. The measures occurred between 04/1998 and 07/2001 at 22.85°; 08/2001 and 02/2003 at 12.85°; and from 03/2003 to 12/2007 at 32.85°, with concomitant measures in the horizontal surface (reference). The levels of global and direct radiation on tilted surfaces were lower in summer and higher in the equinoxes when compared with the horizontal. The diffuse radiation on tilted surfaces was lower in most months, with losses of up to 65%. A trend of increasing differences occurred between horizontal and tilted surfaces with the increase of the angle in all the components and fractions of incident radiation. The annual evolution of rainfall and cloud cover ratio directly affected the atmospheric transmissivity of direct and diffuse components in the region.
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The knowledge of the incidence levels of solar radiation on surfaces with different topographies may be used in a wide variety of applications, including engineering designs for solar collectors, architectural projects (thermal comfort), urban planning, agronomic studies of heatstroke on vegetation (connected to the physical properties and crop physiological), models to estimate plant development, and meteorological studies on local circulation (LI et al., 2008; NOORIAN et al., 2008; SILVA et al., 2010; SOUZA et al., 2010; EL-SEBAII et al., 2010).

The economic studies of energy conversion technologies depend directly on the equipment, operating costs, the percentage of solar radiation that may be converted into energy and instant availability and daily solar radiation. Any factor that modifies the amount of incident energy at a site affects directly or indirectly the levels of solar energy available. In this context, there is influence of topography according to the variability of altitude, inclination (slope), orientation (azimuth) and shading (DUBAYAH & RICH, 1995; WENXIAN et al., 1995; KAMALI et al., 2006).

The total of incident radiation on a tilted plane consists of three components: direct radiation, diffuse radiation and reflected radiation. The direct and reflected components may be obtained with good accuracy using simple algorithms, but the diffuse component requires isotropic and anisotropic corrections (IQBAL, 1983; VERTIAINEN, 2000; DIEZ-MEDIAVILLA et al., 2005; NOORIAN et al., 2008; PANDEY & KATYIAR, 2009; EL-SEBAII et al., 2010), dependent on atmospheric change.

The atmospheric transmissivity for solar radiation components may be expressed by the ratio between global and extraterrestrial solar irradiance (IQBAL, 1983; WENXIAN et al., 1995; SCOLAR et al., 2003; CODATO et al., 2008; SOUZA et al., 2010). Typically, these values for the global, direct and diffuse components of solar radiation are obtained on horizontal surfaces. These rates represent the amount of effective radiation reaching the earth's surface in relation to the amount existent on the top of the atmosphere, considering the effects of interaction with the local atmosphere. The use of radiometric fractions eliminates much of the dependence of the place, allowing the seasonality view of the components of solar radiation, since, by its use, geographical and astronomical dependencies are minimized (day and latitude), however, the climate dependence still remains (SOARES et al., 2004; POSADILLO & LUQUE, 2009; ESCOBEDO et al., 2009; BORGES et al., 2010; ESCOBEDO et al., 2011).

In this context, there is a great need to know the variation in the components of solar radiation on various inclinations and exhibitions, as measurements of global radiation, on hourly or daily values, are made at various locations in the horizontal plane, while on tilted surfaces in Brazil are few studies (BENINCASA, 1976; ALVES, 1981; ARAGON & TOLEDO PIZA, 1992; TURKISH et al., 1997; SCOLAR et al., 2003; TURKISH & RIZATTI, 2006; SOUZA et al., 2010).

The objective of this study was to evaluate the annual evolution of global, direct and diffuse components of incident solar radiation on horizontal surfaces and tilted surfaces at 12.85, 22.85 and 32.85°, facing north, on geographical and climatic conditions from Botucatu, state of São Paulo, Brazil.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

The study was conducted at the Laboratory of Solar Radiometry of FCA/UNESP, which is inserted in a rural area of Botucatu, state of São Paulo (22.85°S latitude, 48.45°W longitude, and 786m altitude). According to the climatic classification of Köppen, the climate of Botucatu is classified as Cwa, characterized as humid temperate, with dry winter and hot summer (CEPAGRI, 2010). On tilted surfaces, the monitoring of global (Hg), direct (Hd) and diffuse (Hd) radiation occurs since April 1998, and always concomitant with horizontal measures. However, the measures were found in three tilt angles and at different periods: between 09/2001 and 02/2003; 04/1998 and

08/2001; 02/2003 and 12/2005, for angles of inclination of 12.85° \( |\varphi| - 10^\circ \), 22.85° \( |\varphi| \), and 32.85° \( |\varphi| + 10^\circ \), respectively.

These measurements were performed every second and stored in a 5-minute mean values (considered as instantaneous values) in a Micrologger CAMPBELL SCIENTIFIC, INC. data acquisition system, Model CR23X, operating at a frequency of 1 Hz. In data transfer, it was employed a SM192 memory module also of Campbell with a SC532 interface for PCs and operated by the software PC 208 W.

Instantaneous measurements of global radiation on a horizontal plane (\( I_{GH} \)) were obtained with the aid of an EPPLEY-PSP pyranometer, with the calibration factor of 7.45 µV/Wm\(^{-2}\) and linearity of ± 0.5% (0 to 2800 Wm\(^{-2}\)). For the tilted global irradiance (\( I_{GH} \)), the pyranometer was parallel positioned on tilted planes, facing north. The direct irradiance in the incidence (\( I_{DN} \)) were measured by an EPPLEY-NP piereiometer coupled to a ST3 EPPLEY solar tracker, with calibration factor of 7.59 µV/Wm\(^{-2}\) and linearity of ± 0.5% (0 to 1400 Wm\(^{-2}\)). The projection of the direct horizontal irradiance (\( I_{DH} \)) was given by the product of \( I_{DN} \) and the cosine of the zenith angle (IQBAL, 1983). The diffuse horizontal irradiance (\( I_{DH} \)) was found by the difference between the global and direct radiation.

The values of daily global horizontal \( \langle H_{dGH} \rangle \) and tilted \( \langle H_{dGB} \rangle \) radiation, direct horizontal \( \langle H_{dBH} \rangle \) and diffuse horizontal \( \langle H_{dOH} \rangle \) were obtained in MJ m\(^{-2}\) day\(^{-1}\), by integrating its respective irradiance curves, between sunrise and sunset. The incident radiations on the top of the atmosphere for horizontal \( \langle H_{dOH} \rangle \) and tilted \( \langle H_{dOB} \rangle \) surface were estimated according to IQBAL (1983). Therefore, the daily value of direct radiation on tilted planes are estimated by the product of the daily direct radiation on horizontal \( \langle H_{dBH} \rangle \) and the geometric factor \( R_b \), determined by the relation between the incident radiation at the top of the atmosphere on a tilted surface and the incident on a horizontal surface, eq.(1) (LIU & JORDAN, 1960; IQBAL, 1983; WENXIAN et al., 1995; GUPTA & RALEGAONKAR, 2004; SOUZA et al., 2010).

\[
R_b = \frac{\left(\pi/180\right) \omega_i \sin \delta \sin (\varphi - \beta) + \cos \delta \cos (\varphi - \beta) \sin \omega_i}{\left(\pi/180\right) \omega_i \sin \delta \sin \varphi + \cos \delta \cos \varphi \sin \omega_i}
\]

(1)

In which: \( \varphi \) is the local latitude; \( \beta \) is the angle of inclination; \( \delta \) is the solar declination, depending on the number of days throughout the year (Julian day); \( \omega_i \) is the horizontal daily solar time angle; \( \omega_i \) is the tilted daily solar time angle, both obtained as IQBAL (1983).

The mathematical treatments to obtain the solar radiation components are adjusted according to the obtaining of the reflected component \( \langle H_{dRB} \rangle \), which, in this case, was computed based only on the reflection isotropic, eq.(2) (Wenxian et al., 1995; KAMALI et al., 2006; Li et al., 2008; GUEYMARD, 2009).

\[
H_{dRB} = \frac{1}{2} H_{dGB} \rho (1 - \cos \beta)
\]

(2)

In which: \( \rho \) - surface albedo; The term \( (1 - \cos(\beta))/2 \) is the ratio of the incident irradiation on the tilted surface of the radiation reflected by the soil.

The inclined daily diffuse radiations were estimated by the following difference: \( \langle H_{dGB} \rangle - \langle H_{dBH} \rangle - \langle H_{dRB} \rangle \); and of the horizontal: \( \langle H_{dGH} \rangle - \langle H_{dBH} \rangle \). In this context, it was studied the following
radiometric fractions: horizontal and tilted clearness index – \( \langle K_{TH}^d \rangle = \langle H_{GH}^d \rangle / \langle H_{DH}^d \rangle \); fraction of direct radiation on tilted and horizontal global radiation – \( \langle K_{BH}^d \rangle = \langle H_{BH}^d \rangle / \langle H_{GH}^d \rangle \); index of atmospheric transmissivity of direct radiation on horizontal and tilted – \( \langle K_{BH}^d \rangle = \langle H_{BH}^d \rangle / \langle H_{GH}^d \rangle \); fraction of direct radiation on tilted and horizontal global radiation – \( \langle K_{BH}^d \rangle = \langle H_{BH}^d \rangle / \langle H_{GH}^d \rangle \); fraction of diffuse radiation on horizontal and tilted – \( \langle K_{DH}^d \rangle = \langle H_{DH}^d \rangle / \langle H_{GH}^d \rangle \); index of atmospheric transmissivity of diffuse radiation on horizontal and tilted – \( \langle K_{DH}^d \rangle = \langle H_{DH}^d \rangle / \langle H_{GH}^d \rangle \); fraction of diffuse radiation on tilted and horizontal global radiation – \( \langle K_{DH}^d \rangle = \langle H_{DH}^d \rangle / \langle H_{GH}^d \rangle \); index of atmospheric transmissivity of diffuse radiation on horizontal and tilted – \( \langle K_{DH}^d \rangle = \langle H_{DH}^d \rangle / \langle H_{GH}^d \rangle \); fraction of diffuse radiation on tilted and horizontal global radiation – \( \langle K_{DH}^d \rangle = \langle H_{DH}^d \rangle / \langle H_{GH}^d \rangle \).

The analysis of annual radiation and radiometric fractions was obtained by means of monthly mean of daily figures.

Among the factors that may affect the seasonality of annual radiation and radiometric fractions, it is observed that in periods in which measurements were taken at different inclinations, the annual trends of climate variables remained largely within the month of deviations from the overall mean of 1998 to 2005, indicating that the possible effects of radiation levels on tilted places are mainly from the effect of inclination angle of the surface (Figure 1).

Therefore, the ratio of cloud that refers to the fraction of the day when the sky was overcast, obtained by: 1 - (n / N), shows that the largest deviations occurred in April, May and June (Figure 1a) due to the inputs of cold fronts in the region, from the South region of the country.
For the climatological normal of the city, the highest rainfalls occur in January, with average accumulation of 224 mm, and the lowest in July with 37.7 mm (CEPAGRI, 2010). However, during the period of this study (1998-2007), it was found minimum and maximum monthly means of 321.2 ± 89.7 and 32.3 ± 28.8 mm, in January and June (Figure 1b), and the values accumulated between October and March were 1027.9 mm (76.95% of annual total). Between 1998 and 2007, the months with highest and lowest insolation mean were August and January, totaling respectively, 252.70 ± 30.72 and 147.03 ± 27.12 hours (Figure 1d). Therefore, as the photoperiod is dependent on the season, the astronomical variation and location, with the accumulated maximum and minimum values observed in the months of summer solstice (401.53 hours) and winter (320.35 hours) respectively.

Botucatu has 4% of its territorial area (1483 km²) for sugar cane yield, with approximate income of 420,000 tons per year and its surroundings (about 70 municipalities) have an estimated production of 36 million tons of sugarcane per year. The annual evolution of the optical density pattern (Figure 1c), showed that after the onset of sugar cane burning in June/July, the aerosol concentration increased significantly in August and September, reaching a maximum value of AOD = 0.313 and which is equivalent to a concentration of PM10 of 70.0 μg m⁻³ (CODATO et al., 2008). But from October to December, the aerosol concentration decreases gradually, taking values between 0.14 and 20.0 μg m⁻³, with lower levels of concentration in May.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The greatest variations in radiation at the top of the atmosphere occurred for horizontal surfaces, with minimum and maximum peaks of 24.50 and 42.72 MJ m⁻² d⁻¹ in the winter and in the summer solstices, respectively (Figure 2). The smaller amplitudes were observed in the inclination of 22.85° with a minimum at the winter solstice (35.15 MJ m⁻² d⁻¹) and maximum of equal intensities at the equinoxes (38.91 MJ m⁻² d⁻¹), with similarity of the behavior for latitudes close to zero. At 32.85°, values of \( \langle H_{\text{GB}}^m \rangle \) were higher than 38.24 MJ m⁻² d⁻¹ between the equinox, with a maximum in 04/22 (39.43 MJ m⁻² d⁻¹) and minimum at least 20.86% less than the maximum (in 12/15).

The global radiation received by the tilted plane at 12.85° (Figure 1a) was below the horizontal plane between November and February, and in December it was found lower levels, of around 0.77 MJ m⁻² d⁻¹. In other months, the values \( \langle H_{\text{GB}}^m \rangle \) were higher than \( \langle H_{\text{Gat}}^m \rangle \), with maximum positive difference between the two surfaces in June (3.44 MJ m⁻² d⁻¹). In the measurements at 12.85° and horizontal surfaces, the lowest mean values of global radiation were recorded in May, due to the large inflow of cold fronts in the Botucatu region, which led to the increase of the ratio of cloud in 2002. On the surface tilted at 22.85° (local latitude), there was little variation throughout the year, with a difference of 5.64 m² d⁻¹ between the maximum peak (April) and minimum peak (June). It was checked the global energy gains in May, June and July, superior than 4.06 MJ m⁻² d⁻¹ when compared to the horizontal surface. The values of tilted global radiation \( \langle H_{\text{GB}}^m \rangle \) in January and February (17.53 and 18.75 MJ m⁻² d⁻¹) were similar to those seen in June, July and August. In the plane tilted at 32.85° (Figure 2c), the maximum (August) and minimum (December) peaks were around 21.82 and 14.38 MJ m⁻² d⁻¹. Between February and July, the values of \( \langle H_{\text{GB}}^m \rangle \) were stable, oscillating between 19.53 and 18.75 MJ m⁻² d⁻¹ (range 5.79%).
Among the equinoxes, the horizontal surfaces had $<K_{TH}^m>$ higher than $<K_{TP}^m>$ with inversion in the summer months. This behavior was the opposite of the global radiation, considering that the highest values of radiation at the top of the atmosphere of the tilted surfaces during the winter reduce the fractions, even with gains of global radiation on tilted planes.

The increase in tilt angle resulted in seasonality differences between $<K_{TH}^m>$ and $<K_{TP}^m>$. Mean values of transmissivity may be established for global radiation for each season and for each inclination: summer - 0.48, 0.49, 0.51 and 0.56; autumn - 0.58, 0.56, 0.56 and 0.55; winter - 0.55, 0.55, 0.51 and 0.47; and spring - 0.54, 0.53, 0.55, and 0.57, for horizontal surfaces and tilted surfaces at 12.85, 22.85 and 32.85°, respectively.

In Jaboticabal, state of São Paulo, TURKISH & Rizzatti (2006) found that for a surface tilted at 20° North in the clear sky of autumn and winter, the mean global irradiation were around 22.80 MJ m⁻² (five days), 20.52 MJ m⁻² (6 days), 18.36 MJ m⁻² (11 days) 19.24 MJ m⁻² (12 days) and 21.78 MJ m⁻² (8 days) for April, May, June, July and August 2002. However, the analysis only on days with clear skies was not reflected in the monthly means, especially for the low number of days each month that showed clear sky coverage. In Kowloon (Hong Kong), the largest gains occurred on surfaces facing south and 20° tilt, allowing throughout March and October, sums of 328.30 MJ m⁻² day⁻¹ and 615.20 MJ m⁻² day⁻¹, with daily means of 10.59 and 19.84 MJ m⁻².
respectively (LI & LAM, 2007). Similar results, however, with the climatic and geographical influences were presented by LI et al. (2002) in Hong Kong, KAMALI et al. (2006) in Karaj, Iran, FORERO et al. (2007) in Bogota, Colombia, EL SEBAII et al. (2010) in Jeddah, Saudi Arabia, among others.

Between the equinoxes, horizontal surfaces had $\langle K_{m_{TH}} \rangle$ higher than $\langle K_{m_{TH}} \rangle$ with inversion in the summer months. This behavior was the opposite of the global radiation (Fig. 2), for high values of the radiation at the top of the atmosphere of tilted surfaces during the winter period, reduces the fractions with the same global radiation gain on tilted planes in the winter. The increase in tilt angle provided an increase in the seasonality difference between $\langle K_{m_{TH}} \rangle$. The mean monthly evolutions of direct and diffuse radiation (Table 1) in horizontal and tilted planes, showed variations dependent on local weather conditions, especially cloudiness and precipitation, its seasonality was similar to the global radiation.

| TABLE 1. Daily means of direct and diffuse radiation on tilted and horizontal surfaces measured at different times, facing north. |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| Months | Horizontal Surface | Angle of inclination * |
| | Overall Mean | 04/09 - 08/01 | 09/01 - 02/03 | 03/03 - 12/05 | 22.85 | 12.85 | 32.85° |
| Direct radiation (MJ m$^{-2}$ d$^{-1}$) |
| Jan. | 8.04 ± 6.69 | 9.95 ± 7.01 | 8.31 ± 7.12 | 9.18 ± 7.42 | 8.58 ± 6.09 | 7.78 ± 6.64 | 6.950 ± 5.60 |
| Apr. | 11.46 ± 5.54 | 13.74 ± 5.14 | 14.78 ± 3.00 | 9.76 ± 4.95 | 16.49 ± 6.20 | 16.71 ± 3.30 | 12.23 ± 6.31 |
| May | 9.64 ± 5.13 | 10.54 ± 4.20 | 8.66 ± 5.25 | 9.14 ± 5.08 | 14.13 ± 5.54 | 10.49 ± 6.38 | 13.43 ± 7.38 |
| Diffuse radiation (MJ m$^{-2}$ d$^{-1}$) |
| Jan. | 10.36 ± 3.08 | 9.84 ± 2.78 | 10.60 ± 3.15 | 10.62 ± 3.32 | 9.08 ± 2.53 | 10.88 ± 2.68 | 8.58 ± 2.71 |
| Feb. | 9.74 ± 4.08 | 9.68 ± 3.08 | 9.47 ± 4.63 | 10.07 ± 4.54 | 9.05 ± 2.43 | 8.47 ± 2.68 | 7.78 ± 2.45 |
| Mar. | 7.50 ± 3.05 | 8.38 ± 2.66 | 5.67 ± 2.40 | 8.46 ± 4.08 | 8.15 ± 2.52 | 5.66 ± 2.50 | 7.20 ± 3.01 |
| Apr. | 5.75 ± 2.52 | 5.73 ± 2.16 | 4.51 ± 1.98 | 7.03 ± 3.41 | 5.49 ± 2.22 | 4.16 ± 0.82 | 6.36 ± 2.31 |
| May | 5.09 ± 2.28 | 5.25 ± 1.83 | 5.01 ± 2.40 | 5.01 ± 2.61 | 4.91 ± 1.84 | 5.22 ± 2.33 | 5.21 ± 1.83 |
| Jun. | 4.28 ± 2.28 | 4.92 ± 2.00 | 3.36 ± 2.08 | 4.56 ± 2.76 | 4.95 ± 2.11 | 3.37 ± 1.54 | 5.32 ± 1.34 |
| Jul. | 4.53 ± 2.62 | 4.78 ± 2.44 | 3.99 ± 2.56 | 4.82 ± 2.85 | 4.59 ± 2.00 | 4.13 ± 1.81 | 5.17 ± 2.16 |
| Aug. | 5.44 ± 3.13 | 5.82 ± 2.38 | 5.51 ± 2.25 | 4.99 ± 3.76 | 5.69 ± 2.20 | 5.19 ± 1.97 | 4.98 ± 2.42 |
| Sep. | 7.90 ± 3.06 | 8.31 ± 3.25 | 7.79 ± 2.70 | 7.60 ± 3.44 | 7.48 ± 2.20 | 8.36 ± 2.63 | 7.12 ± 2.70 |
| Nov. | 10.67 ± 3.70 | 10.51 ± 3.78 | 11.59 ± 3.67 | 9.90 ± 3.64 | 9.16 ± 2.72 | 10.86 ± 2.38 | 9.05 ± 2.61 |
| Dec. | 10.64 ± 3.30 | 10.70 ± 2.21 | 9.99 ± 3.75 | 11.24 ± 3.94 | 9.75 ± 1.86 | 10.55 ± 2.62 | 9.05 ± 2.61 |

*The periods of measures presented for the horizontal surface correspond to the periods of measures of tilted planes, respectively.

Between the equinoxes (March-September), the mean levels of $\langle H_{m_{BH}} \rangle$ were higher than the monthly values of $\langle H_{m_{BH}} \rangle$, with mean daily gain in June (winter solstice) of 2.85, 3.42 and 5.24 MJ m$^{-2}$ d$^{-1}$ when inclined to 12.85, 22.85 and 32.85°, respectively. The maximum values of $\langle H_{m_{BH}} \rangle$ of 12.85° and 22.85° were observed in April (16.71 and 16.49 MJ m$^{-2}$ d$^{-1}$), while on the inclination of 32.85° these levels were observed in August (16.23 MJ m$^{-2}$ day$^{-1}$), i.e., the highest
values are similar, but with seasonality influenced by the ratio of cloudiness. These results corroborate the findings of GUPTA & RALEGAONKAR (2004), in six geographical conditions in India.

The variation of reflected radiation throughout the year followed the evolution of global radiation, because the levels of $\langle H^d_B \rangle$ trapped by a tilted surface depend on the surface albedo and on the values of $\langle H^d_H \rangle$ and in this case, in general, it was equivalent to 0.28, 0.94 and 1.97% of total incident radiation at 12.85, 22.85 and 32.85°.

In most months, the tilted diffuse radiation $\langle H^m_{Dp} \rangle$ was less than the horizontal (Table 1). The peak of diffuse radiation observed in May for both the tilted surface at 12.85° and horizontal were due to the increase of the ratio of cloudiness in Botucatu, during the year of 2002. In May, June and July, in this inclination, it was observed levels of $\langle H^m_{Dp} \rangle$ equal to 5.22, 3.37 and 4.13 MJ m$^{-2}$ d$^{-1}$. In the winter months, at the angle of 22.85°, the values were similar to those seen in the horizontal plane, with intensities of 4.95, 4.59 and 5.69 MJ m$^{-2}$ d$^{-1}$ in May, June and July. In November, December and January, at the inclination of 22.85°, there were losses of 12.90, 8.93 and 7.77%, respectively, when compared to the horizontal. The increase of the inclination angle provided an increase in the difference between the levels of $\langle H^m_{Dp} \rangle$ and $\langle H^m_{DH} \rangle$ especially in the summer months, with further loss of diffuse radiation on higher inclinations. In general, during the summer months the grounds of cloudiness are higher (Figure 1), the diffuse component of radiation increases and the direct component decreases, however, the increase in diffuse radiation levels recorded in September (the period with low precipitation) was a result of increased optical density pattern (aerosols) from the burning of sugar cane in the region (CODATO et al., 2008).

January was the month which presented the lowest ratios of direct and global radiation, $\langle K^m_{BH} \rangle$, for horizontal surfaces, indicating that in this period around 37% of the total incident radiation is provided by direct component (Figure 3). Regardless of the angle of inclination, the highest mean values of $\langle K^m_{BH} \rangle$ occurred between the equinoxes. In June, at the inclinations of 12.85° and 32.85°, the values of direct radiation were above 70% of the total incised on the surface. At 22.85°, the maximum values occurred in April and May, coinciding with the horizontal surface (68% of global radiation).

There were no differences in direct atmospheric transmissivity between the tilted and horizontal surfaces, depending on the application of the geometric factor $\langle R^d_B \rangle$ for obtaining the inclined direct radiation (Equation 1). The differences between the monthly mean values were due to climatic variations between the different periods of measures. These rates are also indicative of sky conditions, since the smaller the value, the lower the contribution of direct radiation in total of incident radiations. At angle 12.85° and on the corresponding horizontal, there were annual means of $\langle K^m_{BH} \rangle$ and $\langle K^m_{Bp} \rangle$ equivalent to 0.32 and 0.30, being able to reach up to 0.69 (Figure 3). The lowest variations of $\langle K^m_{BH} \rangle$ over the year were observed at the inclination of 32.85°, with a peak in August (0.41). In general, in this same tilted plane, from the total radiation incident on the top of the atmosphere, 26% was transmitted directly to the surface in spring and summer and around 31% and 37% in autumn and winter. These values do not differ significantly from the average percentages (between 1999 and 2005) for horizontal surfaces, with the exception of the autumn, which presented a mean $\langle K^m_{BH} \rangle$ of 0.36.
FIGURE 3. Monthly mean fractions of direct radiation on tilted surfaces at 12.85, 22.85 and 32.85° facing north and on horizontal surface.

As the global radiation on tilted surfaces is dependent on the sum of the direct, diffuse and reflected incident radiation, the months in which direct radiation had the lowest mean values was an increase of the mean values of diffuse radiation and consequently of the fraction \( K^{m}_{DH} \) (Figure 4). Between February and November, \( K^{m}_{DH} \) showed minimum of 0.440 ± 0.30 (November) and maximum 0.699 ± 0.30 (January). Between the fall and spring equinoxes, the values of \( K^{m}_{DH} \) ranged from 0.20 ± 0.09 (June) and 0.48 ± 0.27 (October). At the angle of 12.85°, independent of the month, the values of \( K^{m}_{DH} \) lower than \( K^{m}_{DH} \), with minor differences in the winter. At this inclination, the maximum diffuse fraction in relation to the global was of 64.12% in January and a minimum of 21.91% in June. The increase of the inclination angle increased diffuse fraction of global radiation on the horizontal compared to the tilted planes during the summer months (periods with higher ratios of cloudiness and rainfall).
The same seasonal effects were observed for fractions $\langle K'^m_{DH} \rangle$ and $\langle K'^m_{DP} \rangle$, with higher values of $\langle K'^m_{DP} \rangle$ in summer, with increasing differences with increasing of the tilt angle. In winter, higher angles led to lower values of $\langle K'^m_{DP} \rangle$, depending on the significant increase of $\langle H'_{DP} \rangle$ (Figure 2) together with the smaller contributions of diffuse radiation at that time. At 12.85°, the highest values of $\langle K'^m_{DH} \rangle$ and $\langle K'^m_{DP} \rangle$ were recorded in December (0.232) and lowest values in June (0.112). The levels verified in May showed a rise in 12.85° and horizontal surfaces (0.1461 and 0.1751, respectively), according to the increasing cloudiness in May (0.44), when compared to the mean of 1999 to 2005 (0.33 ± 0.1), as shown in Figure 1. Therefore, low levels of diffuse radiation occurred in August, depending on the low ratios of cloudiness. Between October and February, during the period of measurements at inclination 22.85°, the values of $\langle K'^m_{DP} \rangle$ were above 0.23 and the mean was 12% higher than the values of $\langle K'^m_{DH} \rangle$.

The daily monthly mean relative deviations showed that the gains in the three radiations studied on tilted surfaces occurred in autumn and winter, and the losses in the spring and summer (Figure 5), depending on the angle of inclination. The maximum gains of global radiation were 19.20, 23.91 and 28.20%, and the maximum losses were -3.80%, -13.05% and -24.30%, equivalent
Annual evolution of global, direct and diffuse radiation and fractions in tilted surfaces

... in energy terms to 4.00; 6.50 and 7.00 MJ m\(^{-2}\) d\(^{-1}\) and -2.00; -4.50 and -6.00 MJ m\(^{-2}\) d\(^{-1}\) to 12.85, 22.85 and 32.85°, respectively.

![](image)

**FIGURE 5.** Monthly mean deviations of global, direct and diffuse radiations on surfaces tilted at 12.85, 22.85 e 32.85°.

In all inclinations, the maximum gains and losses of direct radiation were observed in June and December, with values ranging from 20.40, 29.90 and 37.50% for the positive deviations and -8.0, -19.10 and -37.80% for the negative deviations at 12.85, 22.85 and 32.85°, respectively. The greatest losses of diffuse radiation occurred on tilted plane at 32.85°, with deviations of up to -29.37% (in February), -24.12% (in December) and -23.73% (in January). The maximum positive deviations were observed at inclination of 22.85° in March and June (30.35% and 32.15%).

**CONCLUSIONS**

The tilted surfaces led to gains on incident global radiation of 23.75, 31.42 and 39.24% in winter/autumn and losses of 3.69, 11.70 and 19.55% in spring/summer at 12.85, 22.85 and 32.85°. The gains of direct radiation on tilted surfaces were 25.63, 42.65 and 60.08% and losses of 1.87, 11.32 and 20.80%, following the same seasonal variation of global radiation. The diffuse radiation of tilted surfaces was less than in the horizontal plane in most months, with losses estimated at 65%.

The values of \(\overline{R_m}\) were higher than those of \(\overline{K_{th}}\) between the equinoxes, with the increase of the difference with the increase of tilt angle. The conditions of sky coverage affected directly the annual evolution of the direct and diffuse atmospheric transmissivity for horizontal and tilted surfaces. The radiometric fractions showed the reverse annual evolution to the solar radiation components.
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