Further Triterpenes, Steroids and Furocoumarins from Brazilian Medicinal Plants of Dorstenia genus (Moraceae)
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As frações solúveis em hexano dos rizomas ou das folhas de cinco espécies do gênero \textit{Dorstenia} (Moraceae) (\textit{D. bahiensis} Kl., \textit{D. bryoniifolia} Mart ex. Miq., \textit{D. carautae} C.C.Berg., \textit{D. cayapiaa} Vell. e \textit{D. heringerii} Car. & Val.) foram analisadas por HRGC-MS (cromatografia gasosa de alta resolução acoplada a espectrometria de massas). Foram identificados triterpenos pentacíclicos, esteróides e furocumarinas. HRGC-MS mostrou ser uma ferramenta valiosa para a análise dos terpenóides de \textit{Dorstenia spp}. Estas substâncias podem estar ligadas à utilização tradicional de \textit{Dorstenia spp}. como plantas anti-ofídicas.

The hexane-soluble fractions from the rhizomes or from the leaves from five \textit{Dorstenia} (Moraceae) species (\textit{D. bahiensis} Kl., \textit{D. bryoniifolia} Mart ex. Miq., \textit{D. carautae} C.C.Berg, \textit{D. cayapiaa} Vell. and \textit{D. heringerii} Car. & Val) were analysed by HRGC-MS (high-resolution gas chromatography - mass spectrometry). Pentacyclic triterpenes, steroids and furocoumarins were identified. HRGC-MS is shown to be a valuable tool for the analysis of terpenoidal compounds from \textit{Dorstenia} species. These compounds may be related to the folk utilization of \textit{Dorstenia} species as antiophidicals.
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Introduction

The genus \textit{Dorstenia} L. (Moraceae) includes several Brazilian species which are utilized in folk medicine due to their alleged medicinal properties, mainly in skin diseases and as antiophidics\textsuperscript{1}. In these plants, furocoumarins are the most abundant compounds\textsuperscript{2} and these compounds support topical utilization of \textit{Dorstenia} rhizome preparations in the treatment of skin diseases such as psoriasis and vitiligo\textsuperscript{3}.

Despite the strong ethnobotanical indication concerning the antiophidical properties of some \textit{Dorstenia} species, their pharmacological evaluation is still inconclusive. However, it should be pointed out that the antiophidical utilization of some other Brazilian medicinal plants may be associated to the presence of widespread terpenoids (triterpenoids and phytosterols) with venom-inactivating action\textsuperscript{4,5}. The folk indication of triterpenoid-containing plants as “antiophidics” may also be explained by pharmacological action related to the relief of snake bite symptoms, such as analgesic and antiinflammatory effects. These effects may be related to the presence of triterpenoids, as these effects were found even in some triterpene-containing plants with no folk indication as antiophidics\textsuperscript{6}.

In face of the above mentioned data, we have focused the present work on the analysis of further terpenoidal compounds from five \textit{Dorstenia} species, using HRGC-MS. This technique may be an excellent alternative to the clas-
sical phytochemical analysis procedures based on prepara-
tive-scale chromatographic isolation combined with spec-
troscopic identification, for the analysis of low-polarity plant extracts. HRGC-MS both avoids time-consuming and relatively expensive purification steps and gives relevant structural information about compounds not easily identified by NMR, IR or UV. However, in the study of medicinal plants, HRGC-MS is still usually restricted to the analysis of low-polarity compounds of low molecular weight (MW 150-250 a.m.u.) such as monoterpenes from essential oils.

**Experimental**

**Plant Material**

*Dorstenia bahiensis* KL. (Moraceae) was collected and classified by Dr. T.S. Santos, of Bahia state, Brazil. *D. bryoniifolia* Mart ex. Miq. was collected at Araraquara, SP, Brazil and classified by Dr. Jose P. Carauta, Jardim Botânico do Rio de Janeiro. *D. carautae* C.C.Berg was collected and classified by Dr. Gert Hatschbach, at Bocaiuva do Sul, PR, Brazil. *D. cayapiaa* Vell. was collected and classified by Dr. J.P.Carauta. *D. heringerii* Car. & Val was collected by J. Elias de Paula (University Federal of Brasilia, DF, Brazil) at the Reserva Ecológica- IBGE, Brazil.

**Extraction of plant material and fractionation of extracts**

**General**

Plant material was dried at 40 °C, with forced ventilation, during 3 days, before being powdered and sieved. Only material between 0.210-0.350 mm size was utilized. Plant material (5 g) was macerated with the solvent indicated for each species, at the ratio of 1:10 (w/v), room temperature, during 7 days. When necessary, the extracts obtained were fractionated, as described as follows.

The hexane (Hex) fraction was obtained from the crude extracts: the extract was filtered over active charcoal (Reagen, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil); the solvent was removed under vacuum; the residue was shaken with hexane (Merck), and the hexane-soluble fraction was filtrated, dried over anhydrous Na2SO4 (Merck) and analyzed by HRGC.

The terpenoidal (Terp) fractions which contained mainly triterpenes and/or steroids were obtained by silica gel open-column preparative liquid chromatography (PLC) of the crude plant extracts and selected by NMR (1H- or 13C-) analysis of the mixture. Since that the available amount of each hexanic extract obtained as above was very reduced (between 10-100 mg), all the PLC procedure was performed in reduced scale, utilizing glass Pasteur pipettes containing around 500 mg silicagel, and around 5 mL of each solvent for elution.

**Experimental procedure for each species**

* D. bahiensis - the leaves were macerated with EtOAc (Merck). The crude extract was chromatographed (PLC, charcoal, eluted with CH2Cl2 and EtOH, successively). The ethanolic fraction was further submitted to PLC (silica gel, 0.063-0.200 mm, Merck, elution with toluene, toluene-EtOAce 1:1 and EtOAce, successively).

* D. bryoniifolia - the rhizomes were macerated with hexane. The crude extract was directly analyzed by HRGC.

* D. carautae - the whole plant was macerated with EtOAce. The Hex fraction was obtained as described above (General)

* D. cayapiiaa - the leaves were macerated with CHCl3-EtOH 1:1 (v/v). The Hex fraction was obtained as described above.

* D. heringerii - the leaves were macerated with hexane. The crude extract was chromatographed (PLC, silicagel, elution with hexane, hexane-EtOAce 1:1 and EtOAce, successively).

**Chromatographic analysis**

HRGC-MS analysis were performed by using a HP 5970 MSD, with electron impact ionization (70 eV) coupled to a HP 5890 GC. The column used was a cross-linked 5% phenyl, 95% methylsilicone HP-5 (25 m x 0.2 mm x 0.33 µm), furnished by Hewlett-Packard, U.S.A.. Samples were injected using the split mode (split ratio 1:30), with injector temperature and GC-MS interface temperature both at 280 °C. Column temperature was programmed from 150 °C (2 min), at 8 °C/min to 290 °C (held during 20 min). Hydrogen was used as carrier gas. MS scan range was 50 to 500 a.m.u.. Data were processed on a HP 7946/HP 9000-300 CPU.

HRGC-FID analysis were performed on a HP 5890 GC, using the same column with the same temperature programming utilized for HRGC-MS analysis. Detector temperature was 290 °C, and data were obtained on a HP 3396A integrator. Hydrogen was utilized as carrier gas, at the average linear velocity of 40 cm/s.

**Analysis of fatty acids**

Fatty acids were identified by HRGC-MS as their methyl esters, after esterification with diazomethane of the fractions containing these compounds.

**Identification of the chemical constituents**

Identifications were made by comparison of retention times, obtained at identical chromatographic conditions of the analyzed samples and authentic standards or by co-injection. In both cases, chromatographic data were considered together with the comparison of MS data with those from authentic standards, obtained at similar ionization conditions (EI, 70 eV) in our laboratory. Tentative identi-
fications were made by analysis of the fragmentation data, comparison with literature MS data\textsuperscript{8,9,10} and computer search in the NBS library.

**Results and Discussion**

HRGC-MS was applied both to the analysis of crude extracts and of fractions obtained from PLC. For the analysis of crude extracts, due to the presence of more polar compounds, only the hexane-soluble compounds were analyzed, since this solvent can selectively extract compounds appropriate to GC analysis, including low-functionalized steroids and triterpenoids. Despite a relatively longer analysis time, preliminary work with standards showed that derivatization of the triterpene and steroid alcohols is not essential, with the advantage of allowing direct comparison with literature data of underivatized compounds\textsuperscript{9}.
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\caption{Chemical structure of the compounds detected by HRGC-MS in \textit{Dorstenia} samples.}
\end{figure}
The HRGC-MS-TIC profile of the Dorstenia crude extracts showed some similarity between the chemical pattern of leaves and rhizomes, even from different species: the furocoumarins are eluted first, followed by the steroids, while the triterpenes are eluted later. FID integration of the peaks indicated a predominance of the furocoumarins over the aliphatic compounds.

HRGC-MS-TIC allowed identifying several compounds from Dorstenia samples (Table 1 and Fig. 1). The chemical composition of all Dorstenia samples herein examined showed to be very similar (Table 1), and some of the identified compounds have already been reported from other Dorstenia species. All the triterpenes were found in small amounts (yield from vegetal material: 0.1% or less).

The procedure herein adopted limited the scope of the compounds analyzed to the less polar constituents. More highly functionalized or polar molecules such as syriogenin, compounds analyzed to the less polar constituents. More small amounts (yield from vegetal material: 0.1% or less).

Identification of the compounds

The prenylated derivative of bergapten, 1d, was found only in D. bahiensis. Its identity was confirmed by comparison of retention time and MS data with those of an authentic sample isolated from D. cayapii. Using only MS data, it was not possible to identify the furocoumarins 1a-c and 2a-b, due to the similarity of the fragmentation patterns of the linear and angular isomers. Their identification also required comparison with tR data of authentic samples of some furocoumarins using the same chromatographic conditions as in this work.

Most of the triterpenes found belong to the oleanane/ursane series, characterized by a base peak at m/z = 218, due to the similarity of the fragmentation patterns of the linear and angular isomers. Their identification also required comparison with tR data of authentic samples of some furocoumarins using the same chromatographic conditions as in this work.

Table 1. Compounds identified by HRGC-MS in Dorstenia samples (crude extracts or terpenoidal fractions).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Compounds</th>
<th>furocoumarins</th>
<th>triterpenes</th>
<th>steroids</th>
<th>others</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>D. bahiensis</td>
<td></td>
<td>tBg</td>
<td>α-Am, β-Am, α-Ac, β-Ac, GlAc</td>
<td>Stn</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D. bryoniifolia</td>
<td></td>
<td>Bg, iBg, Pi, iP</td>
<td>α-Am, β-Am</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D. carautae</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>α-Am, β-Am</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D. cayapii</td>
<td></td>
<td>α-Am, β-Am, α-Ac, β-Ac, TxAc, β-Ar</td>
<td>Si</td>
<td>FA (C16 and C18)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D. heringerii</td>
<td></td>
<td>α-Ac, β-Ac, LpAc, GlAc</td>
<td>a</td>
<td>α-tocopherol</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*a tentative identification

B: bergapten; iB: isobergapten; P: pimpinelin; iP: isopimpinelin; α-Am: α-amyrin; β-Am: β-amyrin; α-Ac: α-amyrin acetate; β-Ac: β-amyrin acetate; β-Ar: β-amyrone; GlAc: glutinol acetate; TxAc: taraxerol acetate; LpAc: lupeol acetate; Stn: sitosterone; Si: sitosterol; FA: fatty acids.
Glutinol acetate was identified by analysis of its fragmentation data and comparison with an authentic sample of simiarenol, isolated from *Dorstenia asaroides*\(^1\). Despite the lupane-type ring E of the latter, which leads to some characteristic peaks related to the isopropyl group, both glutinol and simiarenol have great similarities in their MS spectra due to the similar structure of rings A to D\(^1\), the most important feature being the base peak at m/z 274, followed by a (274-Me) peak at m/z 259, which characterizes \(\Delta^5\)-unsaturated skeletons\(^10\).

Taraxerol acetate was identified only by MS, mainly due to the base peak at m/z 204, which originates from rings D and E of an \(\Delta^{14}\)-taraxerene. Another important peak is m/z 344, which originates from a retro Diels–Alder decomposition with ring-D opening and confirms both the unsaturation and the presence of an acetoxy group at C-3\(^9\).

Table 2. Relevant MS data of the compounds identified from *Dorstenia* samples (EI, 70 eV).

### Furocoumarins

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>Fragments , m/z (relative abundance)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>psoralen, 1a</td>
<td>186 (M(^{+}),100), 158 ([M-CO](^{+}),78),130 ([158-CO](^{+}), 19), 102 ([130-CO](^{+}), 30)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bergapten, 1b</td>
<td>216 (M(^{+}), 100), 201 ([M-CH(_3)](^{+}), 38), 188([M-CO](^{+}), 15), 173 ([188-CH(_3)](^{+}), 53), 145 ([173-CO](^{+}), 18)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>isopimpinellin, 1c</td>
<td>246 (M(^{+}),100), 231 ([M-CH(_3)](^{+}),98), 203 ([231-CO](^{+}), 13), 188 ([203-CH(_3)](^{+}), 18), 175 ([203-CO](^{+}), 16), 147 ([175-CO](^{+}), 10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1d</td>
<td>368 (M(^{+}), 76), 201 ([M-C(_5)H(_8)O(_2)](^{+}),23), 167 ([M-C(_5)H(_7)O(_4)](^{+}),32), 139 ([167-C(_2)H(_4)](^{+}),100), 111 ([139-2CH(_3)](^{+}), 7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>isobergapten, 2a</td>
<td>216 (M(^{+}), 100), 201 ([M-CH(_3)](^{+}),24), 188 ([M-CO](^{+}), 37), 173 ([188-CH(_3)](^{+}), 59), 145 ([173-CO](^{+}), 17)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pimpinellin, 2b</td>
<td>246 (M(^{+}),100), 231 ([M-CH(_3)](^{+}),69), 203 ([231-CO](^{+}), 8), 175 ([203-CO](^{+}), 21), 147 ([175-CO](^{+}), 25)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Terpenoids

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>Fragments , m/z (relative abundance)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(\alpha)-amyrin, 3a</td>
<td>426 (M(^{+}), 9), 218 (RDA, 100), 207 ([C(<em>{14})H(</em>{28})O(_2)](^{+}), 28), 203 ([218-CH(_3)](^{+}), 29), 189 ([207-H(_2)O](^{+}), 31)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\beta)-amyrin, 3b</td>
<td>426 (M(^{+}), 6), 218 (RDA, 100), 207 ([C(<em>{14})H(</em>{28})O(_2)](^{+}), 29), 203 ([218-CH(_3)](^{+}), 47), 189 ([207-H(_2)O](^{+}), 25)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\alpha)-amyrin acetate, 3c</td>
<td>468 (M(^{+}), 11), 408 ([M-HOAc](^{+}), 41), 365 (36), 249 ([C(<em>{16})H(</em>{25})O(_2)](^{+}), 4), 218 (RDA,100), 203 ([218-CH(_3)](^{+}), 38), 189 ([249-HOAc](^{+}), 54)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\beta)-amyrin acetate, 3d</td>
<td>468 (M(^{+}), 10), 408 ([M-HOAc](^{+}), 19), 249 ([C(<em>{16})H(</em>{25})O(_2)](^{+}),10), 218 (RDA, 100), 203 ([218-CH(_3)](^{+}), 48), 189 ([249-HOAc](^{+}), 20).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\alpha)-amyrone, 3e</td>
<td>424 (M(^{+}), 24), 409 ([M-CH(<em>3)](^{+}), 11), 218 (RDA, 100), 205 ([C(</em>{14})H(_{28})O(_2)](^{+}), 24), 203 ([218-CH(_3)](^{+}),29), 189 ([218-CH(_2)CH(_3)](^{+}), 22)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\beta)-amyrone, 3f</td>
<td>424 (M(^{+}), 13), 409 ([M-CH(<em>3)](^{+}), 8), 218 (RDA, 100), 203 ([218-CH(<em>3)](^{+}), 53), 205([C(</em>{14})H(</em>{20})](^{+}), 17), 189 ([218-CH(_2)CH(_3)](^{+}), 18)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>glutinol acetate, 4</td>
<td>468 (M(^{+}), 5), 408 ([M-HOAc](^{+}), 27), 393 ([408-CH(_3)](^{+}), 10), 274 (RDA, 100), 259 ([274-CH(_3)](^{+}), 81)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>taraxerol acetate, 5</td>
<td>468 (M(^{+}),16), 408 ([M-HOAc](^{+}), 35), 393 ([408-CH(<em>3)](^{+}),43), 344 (RDA, 53), 329 ([344-CH(<em>3)](^{+}), 30), 269 ([329-HOAc](^{+}), 43), 204 ([C(</em>{14})H(</em>{24})](^{+}), 100)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>lupeol acetate, 6</td>
<td>468 (M(^{+}),26), 408 ([M-HOAc](^{+}), 45), 365 ([M-HOAc-iPr](^{+}), 67), 218 ([C(<em>{14})H(</em>{28})O(<em>2)](^{+}), 49), 189 ([C(</em>{14})H(_{28})O(_2)](^{+}), 100)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sitosterol, 7a</td>
<td>414 (M(^{+}), 100), 399 ([M-CH(_3)](^{+}), 39), 396 ([M-H(_2)O](^{+}), 68), 329 (40), 303 (47), 255 (39), 145 (52), 81 (53)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sitostenone, 7b</td>
<td>412 (M(^{+}), 49), 313 (44), 285 (57), 229 (60), 124 (100), 55 (82)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\alpha)-tocopherol, 8</td>
<td>430 (M(^{+}), 100), 205 (17), 165 (93), 164 (41)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

RDA: retro Diels–Alder.
in our laboratory during the present work), since this compound is present at a very low level, which prevents its isolation through usual phytochemical procedures.

Figure 2 shows a typical chromatographic profile from dechlorophyllated crude *Dorstenia* extracts. The extracts obtained from the leaves require a clean-up procedure for removal of the chlorophylls (filtration over active charcoal, described in Experimental), while the crude extracts obtained from the rhizomes could be directly analyzed by HRGC-MS. In a previous work we have shown that SFE-CO$_2$ (supercritical fluid extraction using CO$_2$) also furnishes rhizome extracts suitable for direct analysis.\(^1\)

The TIC-MS data obtained from the **Hex**-fraction and from the **Terp**-fractions were almost the same for the terpenoidal compounds (Fig. 3), and the identification of most of the triterpenes and steroids was possible even in **Hex**-fraction. As the **Terp**-fractions required more isolation steps (with greater consumption of solvents and silica gel adsorbent) than the **Hex**-fractions, the HRGC-MS analysis of a crude dechlorophyllated hexane extract from *Dorstenia* samples is sufficient to obtain relevant information concerning their chemical composition. The utilization of small amounts of plant material is very important in the case of *Dorstenia* genus, since the analysis of the rhizomes (the most valuable part of these plants, according to folk medicine indications) leads to the destruction of the plant, and the native *Dorstenia* population is diminishing in Brazil.

**Conclusions**

Bergapten, as well as the triterpenes β-amyrin and sitosterol, have already been associated with the snake-venom inactivating action of some Brazilian medicinal plants.\(^5\) Thus, the utilization of *Dorstenia* plants as antiphilodicals may be inferred to be both due to a venom-inactivating action and to analgesic and antiinflammatory properties of the several triterpenes. These results also pose the question if biologically-guided fractionation is an appropriate procedure in the search for the active compounds of medicinal plants such as those of *Dorstenia* genus, since these active molecules may be minor compounds, with small and/or non-specific pharmacological actions when studied as isolated compounds.

HRGC-MS has proved to be a very powerful tool affording both the separation and the individual characterization of isomers which could not to be separated by conventional PLC procedures. Further to the preliminary report of some of the data herein presented,\(^1\) a paper concerning the analysis of triterpenes from Brazilian Polypodiaceae was published, but with the aid of preparative purification steps and chemical transformations, for obtaining of reference samples of some triterpenes not found commercially.\(^1\)

In the screening of medicinal plants from the same genus, which often requires the analysis of many species with similar chemical composition (as in the case of *Dorstenia* genus), and specially in the particular case of the pentacyclic triterpenes, MS data furnish a fast differentiation among important skeletons, some of them with potential biological interest.
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