Overall-Mouth Disinfection by Photodynamic Therapy Using Curcumin

Natália Costa Araújo, M.D.,1 Carla Raquel Fontana, Ph.D.,2,3 Marleny Elizabeth Martinez Gerbi, Ph.D.1 and Vanderlei Salvador Bagnato, Ph.D.3

Abstract

Background data: Photodynamic therapy is a technique that involves the activation of photosensitizers by light in the presence of tissue oxygen, resulting in the production of reactive radicals capable of inducing cell death. Objective: The present study assessed the overall susceptibility of pathogens of salivary flora to photodynamic therapy after sensitization with curcumin and exposure to blue light at 450 nm. Methods: A randomized trial was executed with 13 adult volunteers. Three different groups were analyzed: L-D- (no light, no drug; control group), L-D+ (treated only with the drug; curcumin group) and L+D+ (treated with drug and light; photodynamic therapy group). Non-stimulated saliva samples were collected for bacterial counts at baseline and after the experimental phase, and adverse events experienced were recorded. Serial dilutions were performed, and the resulting samples were cultured on blood agar plates in microaerophilic conditions. The number of colony-forming units was then determined. Results: There was a considerable difference between the two experimental groups with regard to effectiveness of bacterial reduction. In the L-D+ group, the bacterial decline was considerably smaller (9%) than in the L+D+ group, with a 68% decrease in bacteria. A statistically significant reduction in the bacterial population was observed only in the photodynamic therapy group (p < 0.05). Conclusions: Photodynamic therapy was found to be effective in the reduction of salivary microorganisms. No significant reduction was found for the group in which only curcumin was used, proving the absence of dark toxicity of the drug. This work shows that overall disinfection of the mouth can be done with a simple procedure involving photodynamic action.

Introduction

The diversity of biological surfaces in the oral cavity provides many ecological sites for colonization by a variety of oral bacterial species. The mouth is a favorable habitat for >700 bacterial species because of the presence of nutrients, epithelial debris, and secretions. Therefore, the risk of infection is increased in intra-oral surgical procedures, because it is practically impossible to attain aseptic conditions, as a result of the large number of bacteria in the normal mouth. Local postoperative infections are one of the main causes of morbidity in oral surgery. In some cases, the mouth cavity can even be the main cause of contamination for many types of surgery. Some surgeons advocate the routine use of prophylactic systemic antibiotics to decrease the risk of postoperative infection. However, antibiotics may be associated with unfavorable side effects, ranging from gastrointestinal disturbances to anaphylactic shock and development of resistance.

Another option for decreasing the risk of postoperative infection by temporary reduction of intra-oral bacterial counts is the use of oral antiseptics. Disinfecting solutions, ideally, should be safe to use and effective against pathogens, and should not cause adverse tissue reaction. The most frequently used are chlorhexidine solutions. Chlorhexidine causes an immediate reduction in the number of salivary bacteria because of its broad activity spectrum. Its mechanism of action involves interactions with external cell components and the cytoplasmic membrane, causing a high rate of leakage of intracellular components and interactions with cytoplasmic constituents. Alteration in taste; burning sensation; increase of calculus formation; staining of the teeth and restorative materials; and, more rarely, oral mucosa desquamation and parotid swelling are effects related to its use.

Recently, alternatives that might offer the possibility of efficient intra-oral bacterial count reduction with minimum
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damage to systemic health have been sought. In these circumstances, photodynamic therapy (PDT) may offer the possibility of a new approach to oral disinfection.

PDT has been used as a treatment for cancer as well as other nonmalignant diseases. PDT involves the use of a photosensitizer (PS) that is activated by exposure to light of a specific wavelength in the presence of oxygen. The excited PS binds to the target cell and induces the formation of oxygen species, causing localized photodamage and cell death. One advantage of PDT as compared to antibiotics is that bacteria do not develop resistance to oxygen species. As compared to chlorhexidine, PDT does not cause the reported side-effects.

An ideal PS should be nontoxic and should display local toxicity only after activation by illumination. Curcumin, a compound isolated from Curcuma longa L., has been used for centuries as a medicine, dietary pigment, and spice. The drug possesses a variety of traditional pharmaceutical applications for diseases, including wounds, liver diseases, microbial effects, and inflamed joints. Curcumin has proved nontoxic in a number of cell culture and whole animal studies. It has a rather broad absorption peak in the range of 300–500 nm (maximum ~ 430 nm) and exerts potent phototoxic effects in micromolar amounts. Therefore, curcumin has potential as a PS for treatment of localized superficial infections in the mouth or skin. In addition, this PS has economical advantages considering its low cost, simple manipulation, and great effectiveness.

The effectiveness of PDT against oral bacteria has been the subject of several studies. Zanin et al. showed the photodynamic activity of some PS on biofilms of Streptococcus mutans, Streptococcus sobrinus, and Streptococcus sanguinis. Zanin et al. evaluated the phototoxic effect of toluidine blue O (TBO) on the viability of S. mutans biofilms and observed reductions up to 99.99%. Wood et al. observed the successful photodynamic effects of erythrosine in the killing of S. mutans biofilms. Fontana et al. showed the photodynamic action of methylene blue on human dental plaque microorganisms.

The efficacy of photodynamic action on microorganisms has been extensively investigated using various sensitizers. However, none of those studies used curcumin as a PS for oral decontamination.

In this study, we aim to investigate the effects of PDT on bacteria derived from human saliva. The goal of our research was to detect the susceptibility of pathogens of salivary flora to PDT after sensitization with curcumin and exposure to blue light at 450 nm.

Materials and Methods

Subjects

Samples of saliva were taken from 13 healthy subjects aged 25 through 50 years. The study group was composed of 7 female and 6 male participants. The criteria for exclusion from the study were age <18 years, pregnancy, using partial or total dentures or orthodontic brackets, presence of systemic diseases, or smoking habit (Fig. 1). None of the subjects had used antibiotics or had undergone medical or dental treatment during the 3 months prior to sampling. The volunteers were not allowed to practice any oral hygiene technique (brushing or flossing) and followed a zero diet (no food) during the 12 h prior to sampling. Permission to collect saliva samples was authorized by the Ethics Committee of the University of Pernambuco (UPE), protocol number 033/11. All subjects also gave their informed consent.

Preparation of blood agar culture plates

An enriched agar medium was prepared, containing 20 g/L of tryptcase soy agar (Oxoid Ltd., Basingstoke, Hampshire, England), 26 g/L of brain–heart infusion agar (Oxoid Ltd., Basingstoke, Hampshire, England), 10 g/L of yeast extract (BBL), and 5 mg/L of hemin (Sigma Chemical Co., St. Louis, MO). The medium was autoclaved and cooled down to 50°C. Then, 5% defibrinated sheep blood (NewProv LTDA, Pinhais PR, Brazil) and 5 mg/mL of menadione (Sigma Chemical Co., St. Louis, MO) were added under aseptic conditions.

PS

Curcumin [1,7-bis-(4-hydroxy-3-methoxyphenyl)-1,6-heptadiene-3,5-dione] is an excellent lead compound for drug design and development on the basis of its explicit bioactivities, nontoxicity, and easy synthesis. Curcumin prepared by PDT–Pharma (Cravinhos SP, Brazil) was dissolved in sterile distilled water to give a solution at concentration of 1.5 g/L before use.

The ultraviolet-visible absorption spectra of this solution were recorded from 300 to 700 nm using quartz cuvettes with a 1-cm path length on a Cary 50 Bio UV-Vis spectrometer (Varian, Darmstadt, Germany), and were characterized by a long-wavelength maximum at 430 nm.

Light source

A blue light-emitting diode (Prototype, Project Finep/Gnatus LED Edixeon®) was purchased from Edixon Opto Corporation, New Taipei City, Taiwan) with an intensity of 67 mW/cm², a central wavelength of 450 nm and an estimated average fluence of 20.1 J/cm² was used. The system delivered light by uniform diffusion, which formed a semi-hemispheric illumination within the mouth cavity (Figs. 2 and 3). This spot of light was able to irradiate the full mouth. The power density of

FIG. 1. Selection of patients and tested groups.
incident radiation was measured using a power meter (Coherent™, Santa Clara, CA).

The light parameters used in this study for saliva pathogen reduction were 67 mW/cm² (power density) and an estimated average fluency of 20.1 J/cm² (energy fluence).

Saliva samples and photodynamic treatment

Non-stimulated saliva (2 mL) was collected from each participant using the spitting method at two different periods of time and in three different situations.

Saliva samples for quantitative microbiological analysis at baseline were taken before any oral procedure (L-D-). For the group to which only the drug was administered (L-D+), samples were collected for microbiological analyses after mouth rinse with curcumin; for the group in whom the drug was used in association with light (L+D+), samples were collected after PDT (Fig. 1). The group L-D- (only light) was omitted based on previous experience of our group that detected unnoticeable variation with this level of light.

Subjects were instructed to rinse the oral cavity with 20 mL of curcumin solution (1.5 g/L) for 5 min. Then, for the PDT group (L+D+), the solution was expelled and a light source was introduced to activate the PS for 5 min. During this period, the temperature did not increase >1.8°C.

The saliva samples of the participants, before and after oral cavity washing, with or without PDT, were collected in sterile containers. After illumination of the mouth, saliva samples underwent serial dilutions in brain-heart infusion broth, and 100-microliter aliquots were plated on blood agar plates and then incubated under microaerophilic conditions for 48 h at 36 ± 1°C. After incubation, the total number of colony-forming units (CFU) was determined.

Statistical analysis

The Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS, Chicago, IL, 2006), version 13.0, was used for processing the data; p < 0.05 was used as a cutoff level for statistical significance. The data were analyzed for normality of distribution through the use of the Kolmogorov–Smirnov test. The statistical method used for correlating changes in bacterial counts was assessed by Student’s t test.

Results

The action of PDT and curcumin for each subject was determined, verifying the reduction caused in each situation based on the following expression:

\[
\text{Survival Fraction} = \frac{\text{Mean of test group}}{\text{Mean of control group}} 
\times 100,
\]

Survival fractions were evaluated using repeated measures analysis of variance to compare treatment groups. Pairwise comparisons were performed using least significant difference tests.

Figures 4 and 5 and Table 1 show the obtained bacterial reduction for all subjects (L-D+, L+D+) and Fig. 6 shows the mean of survival fractions of saliva samples of the study groups. Each value represents the mean survival fraction from triplicate experiments. Whereas for drug alone (L-D+), a reduction of well below 40% was observed in most
The synergism of light and curcumin is confirmed in our study: photodynamic therapy killed 68.3% of bacteria \((p<0.05)\), whereas in the L-D+ group with the same volunteers, the effect of the drug alone did not result in an effective reduction of microorganisms.

### Table 1. Bacterial Numbers (CFU) of Each Subject at Baseline and After Photodynamic Therapy (L+D+) or Mouth Rinse with Curcumin (L-D+)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subjects</th>
<th>L+D+ Before</th>
<th>L+D+ After</th>
<th>L-D+ Before</th>
<th>L-D+ After</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>117</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>234</td>
<td>234</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>223</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>338</td>
<td>295</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>348</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>489</td>
<td>461</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>805</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>800</td>
<td>668</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>278</td>
<td>241</td>
<td>136</td>
<td>107</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>206</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>214</td>
<td>117</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>298</td>
<td>323</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>172</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>206</td>
<td>206</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>219</td>
<td>185</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>283</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>800</td>
<td>800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>354</td>
<td>216</td>
<td>800</td>
<td>668</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>238</td>
<td>116</td>
<td>800</td>
<td>800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>260.79</td>
<td>75.85</td>
<td>400.92</td>
<td>368.46</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Discussion

The preoperative use of antiseptics in oral surgery is controversial. Many studies confirm that they reduce intraoral bacteria and decrease bacteremia during surgical procedures. However, most surgeons are not convinced of their effect on reduction of postoperative infections.14

There is no generally accepted universal protocol for preoperative antimicrobial prophylaxis in oral surgery, but chlorhexidine gluconate is the most frequently used antiseptic solution and the most effective compound that shows pronounced antimicrobial effects both on Gram-positive and Gram-negative bacteria, as well as on fungi and some viruses. However, it has not been recommended for use over long intervals because of the related side effects.

Therefore, the study of new technologies for use in association with mouth rinse is very important. The technique of PDT may offer several advantages compared to traditional antimicrobial mouth rinses.

First, bacterial killing is fast, reducing the necessity for high concentrations of chemical substance. Second, as the death of the bacteria is linked to the mediation of reactive species, the development of bacterial resistance is unlikely. As demonstrated in our study, the PS by itself is not bactericidal, and bacterial reduction can be controlled by restricting the irradiated area.

Therefore, PDT may be an interesting alternative to antibiotics and antiseptics used for oral bacteria reduction. Several studies have demonstrated the susceptibility of oral bacteria to PDT, but despite studies that have shown the effectiveness of this procedure, some factors can influence the success of this treatment, such as type, concentration, and incubation time of the sensitizers; the microorganism species; the light source; and the given dose.

Most studies evaluating the efficacy of PDT on oral bacteria used TBO and methylene blue as the PS, followed by irradiation with a red LED light. Williams et al. noted 100% death of \(S.\) mutans in a planktonic suspension, using an LED with TBO as the PS. Neither TBO dye nor light alone had a significant antibacterial effect under the experimental conditions used. These results and our findings highlight the need for dye–light conjugation to ensure the effectiveness of PDT. The photodynamic effects of the binomial dye–light were also confirmed by Giusti et al. Photogem and TBO activated by red light caused bacterial reduction of \(L.\) acidophilus and \(S.\) mutans in carious dentin.

The TBO dye is a common substance used in PDT, however, its use in the mouth can change the color of tooth surfaces and restorations of resin-based composite. In this article, a blue LED (450 nm) with an intensity of 67 mW/cm² was used together with a curcumin solution with a concentration of 1.5 g/L. The dyes work as optical absorption agents and are activated by irradiation with light of a specific wavelength, resulting in the generation of cytotoxic species, including singlet oxygen and free radicals, that exert a bactericidal effect but that are not toxic to host cells.

As there is no published work, to our best knowledge, reporting the use of curcumin as a mouth rinse followed by illumination to promote oral cavity decontamination, it was our aim to perform a pilot study. Although this study has been conducted with only 13 patients, our intention was to show that the procedure using mouthwash is safe and that
there is no dark toxicity effect. In this pilot study, the effective bacterial reduction provided by the photodynamic effect was significantly higher than that provided by other groups. These results are quite significant, encouraging us to perform more specific studies involving clinical periodontal parameters (i.e., pocket depth, bleeding on probing, and attachment level) with more patients and long-term observations.

Curcumin is shown to be a PS that is attached to the bacterial walls, drawing to itself the light at the time of irradiation with an essential antimicrobial action on oral bacteria. The concentration of curcumin was chosen based on another study that determined a safe concentration in terms of damage to the mucosa and of discoloration of the teeth. The concentration of 1.5 g/L is in fact a very low concentration; even at such concentration, the results were surprisingly good. A reduction of >65% of microorganisms contained in saliva using a simple procedure is quite encouraging for a further development of the technique. The reduction is comparable to the results for rinsing with traditional oral solutions containing chlorhexidine and alcohol. The great advantage to using curcumin is that it is a natural substance and harmless to the oral tissues.

Neither a burning sensation, nor oral soreness, nor aphthous ulcers were reported by any subjects; however, oral itching was reported by a few volunteers. There was no significant difference for occurrence of adverse events between the two experimental groups (L-D+ and L+D+).

Overall, decontamination using this simple procedure is a desirable technique for general use in everyday dentistry practice. It should allow great improvement in avoiding complications during oral surgical procedures.

An important aspect of our demonstration is the very low price of curcumin (US $5/micrograms), making possible the production of a PS at affordable prices. That is an important requirement for making a PDT-type procedure a reality.

**Conclusions**

In conclusion, in this study, the results indicate that PDT is a promising alternative for reducing the risk of postoperative infection by temporary reduction of intra-oral bacterial counts.
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