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Defining Resistance in \textit{Acinetobacter calcoaceticus}-\textit{Acinetobacter baumannii} Complex Strains

Carlos Henrique Camargo, Ariane Bruder-Nascimento
Botucatu Biosciences Institute, Universidade Estadual Paulista (UNESP), Botucatu, São Paulo, Brazil

We read with great interest the recent publication of Tien and colleagues in which they describe the antimicrobial susceptibilities of a large number of \textit{Acinetobacter baumannii}-\textit{Acinetobacter calcoaceticus} strains isolated from a medical center in Taiwan (1). They suggested that antimicrobial susceptibility was negatively associated with virulent potential because more-susceptible strains were recovered from invasive infections (i.e., from sterile areas, such as the cerebrospinal fluid [CSF] or the bloodstream) than from noninvasive infections. The authors presented a rate of 7% of all-susceptibility strains from nonsterile areas, while this rate reached 35% for isolates from sterile areas. On the other hand, the percentage of all-resistant strains fell from 46% of isolates from nonsterile areas to 22% of isolates from sterile areas. This difference was shown to be statistically significant ($P < 0.05$).

Our question lies with the definition of “all-resistant” adopted by Tien and coworkers. As reported by those authors in the same report, the colistin resistance rate was 0%. How can they define their isolates as “all-resistant” if they did not find any strain resistant to colistin (colistin is included in the drugs evaluated in a footnote presented in their Table 1)?

Furthermore, having in mind the recently published consensus of resistance definitions (2), the strains categorized by Tien and colleagues as all-resistant should be categorized as extensively drug resistant (XDR). Finally, we believe that we have to pay attention to adopted definitions (in this case, perhaps a simple imprecision) used in the scientific literature in order to prevent future misunderstandings.
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