DENSITY AND SOWING SEASON OF TWO BRACHIAORIA SPECIES ON THE SOYBEAN CULTURE

Densidade e Época de Semeadura de Duas Espécies de Brachiaria sobre a Cultura da Soja


ABSTRACT - The aim of this study was to evaluate the growth and yield of soybean cultivar M-8766 in consortium with Brachiaria brizantha. BRS Piata and Brachiaria ruziziensis at different densities and sowing dates. The experimental design was randomized blocks with treatments arranged in a factorial 2 x 2 x 3 with four replications. Used as factors grass species (Brachiaria brizantha Piata and Brachiaria ruziziensis BRS) intercropped with soybean M-8766, sowing dates (12 and 24 days after soybean emergence) and three seeding rates (0, 5, 10 kg ha\(^{-1}\) of seed). At 71 days after soybean emergence were evaluated plant height, stem diameter, dry mass of leaves, stems and shoots, and 4 months after sowing determined the weight of 100 grains and soybean yield. The results showed that when seeded at a density of 10 kg ha\(^{-1}\) at 12 and 24 DAE soy, Brachiaria brizantha cv. BRS Piata caused reduction in yield in the order of 6.71% and 3.03% respectively, while the Brachiaria ruziziensis was one that caused a greater reduction in productivity in the order of 13.42 and 16.23%, respectively, of these values expression when considering the price of soybean sack. B. ruziziensis expressed less competitive with soybean. However, the large biomass production of this grass provides deployment system till the next harvest.
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RESUMO - O objetivo deste trabalho foi avaliar o crescimento e a produtividade do cultivar de soja M-8766 em consórcio com Brachiaria brizantha cv. BRS Piata e Brachiaria ruziziensis, em diferentes densidades e épocas de semeadura. O delineamento experimental utilizado foi em blocos casualizados, com os tratamentos distribuídos em esquema fatorial 2 x 2 x 3, com quatro repetições. Utilizaram-se como fatores espécies de capim-braquiária (Brachiaria brizantha cv. BRS Piata e Brachiaria ruziziensis) consorciada com a cultura da soja M-8766, épocas de semeadura (12 e 24 dias após a emergência da soja) e três densidades de semeadura (0, 5 e 10 kg de semente ha\(^{-1}\)). Aos 71 dias após a emergência da soja, foram avaliados a altura das plantas, o diâmetro do caule e a massa seca de folhas, caule e parte aérea; quatro meses após a semeadura, determinou-se o peso de 100 grãos e a produtividade da soja. Os resultados evidenciaram que, quando semead a densidade de 10 kg ha\(^{-1}\) aos 12 e 24 DAE da soja, Brachiaria brizantha cv. BRS Piata ocasionou redução na produtividade na ordem de 6,71% e 3,03% respectivamente, enquanto Brachiaria ruziziensis foi a que mais reduz a produtividade: na ordem de 13,42 e 16,23%, respectivamente – valores estes de expressão quando se considera o preço da saca de soja. B. ruziziensis expressou menor competitividade com a cultura da soja; contudo, a grande produção de biomassa dessa gramínea propiciou a implantação do sistema de plantio direto na safra seguinte.

Palavras-chave: competição, Glycine max, Brachiaria brizantha, Brachiaria ruziziensis, integração lavoura-pecuária, sistema Santa Fé.
INTRODUCTION

Soybean is of great importance for humanity, because of the abundant applicability of its products, ease of cultivation and international appreciation, and that is why it has been expanding in our country (Sediyama et al., 1993).

Due to a well developed production technology, this culture has expanded its cultivation in areas previously regarded as unsuitable and it has been used as a tool for the improvement of degraded pasture areas, mainly in the Cerrado (Mata et al., 2011). However, management before the planting of soybeans in no-till system is critical to the development of this culture. The removal of weeds before sowing allows initial development free from interference, while providing greater operational efficiency and uniformity of sowing (Jaremtchuk et al., 2008).

One of the alternatives for the expansion of soybean sowing, without having to open new areas, has been the intercropping of legume with forages, thus resulting in crop-livestock integration. According to Macedo (2009), such integration meets the complex needs of both livestock and agriculture, and it is an alternative for the recovery of degraded pastures, employment of no-tillage, breaking of pest and disease cycles, improvement of soil properties, full use of equipment, increasing of jobs and income in rural areas.

Among the options for crop-livestock integration, there is the Santa Fe system. In this system, forage is sown together or after crop sowing (Mata et al., 2011). According to Gorgen et al. (2010), besides promoting the production of fodder for offseason, the Santa Fe system enables the acquisition of high quality mulch, suitable for conducting no-tillage in tropical conditions.

Some of the most commonly used tropical forage species in this kind of intercropped system has been the Brachiaria species (Pariz et al., 2009). According to Portes et al. (2000), Brachiaria brizantha has excelled in intercropping of tropical forage species. However, Silva et al. (2005) emphasize that the feasibility of intercropping soybean and B. brizantha depends on the proper management of forage, minimizing competition and allowing good soybean and B. brizantha biomass yield. Another species recommended for this type of cultivation, according to Pariz et al. (2010), is Brachiaria ruizianensis, because it provides rapid ground cover, good chemical composition, excellent nutrient recycling, ease in its drying, and uniform seed production.

Within this context, for the establishment of the intercropping system, it is crucial to assess the factors that can alter the competitive balance of the system, such as the time and density of forage sowing in relation to culture, so that it does not cause economic losses in the production of the main crop. However, such coexistence forces the sharing of the same growth resources, and it can create a competitive relationship where one of the intercropped species may protrude over the other, depending on the type of cultivar used, on density and on the time of emergence of the species involved.

In view of the above, we may raise the hypothesis that forage in intercropping system can be considered similar to those weeds that arise spontaneously in the areas of soybean cultivation. Due to their low initial growth, soybean can be affected by the competition of Brachiaria, and one of the alternatives to reduce this competition is sowing of fodder in post-emergence of legume.

Thus, the aim of this study was to evaluate the growth and yield of soybean cultivar M 8766 intercropped with Brachiaria brizantha cv. BRS Piata and Brachiaria ruizianensis at different densities and sowing dates.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The experiment was conducted from January to May 2010, at 280 m altitude and Aw climate, according to the climatic classification of Rubel & Kottek (2010), defined as equatorial and dry winter. The average annual temperature is 29.5 °C, and average annual rainfall of 1804 mm. The soil was classified as Red-yellow Oxisol Latosol and presented as chemical characteristics: pH (CaCl2) of 4.7, Ca, Mg, Al and Al + H of 2.1, 0.4, 0.2 and 2.7 cmol, dm⁻³, respectively; P (Melish) and K of 7.1 and 51.6 mg dm⁻³, respectively;
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CTC of 2.8 cmol$_e$ dm$^{-3}$; V and MO of 49 and 1.9%, respectively.

The experimental design was randomized blocks with treatments arranged in a factorial $2 \times 2 \times 3$ with four replications. Species factors were used of branchiaria grass (Brachiaria brizantha cv. BRS Piata and Brachiaria ruziziensis) intercropped with soybean M-8766, sowing dates (12 and 24 days after soybean emergence) and seeding rates (0, 5, 10 kg seed ha$^{-1}$).

Soil preparation was conducted in two stages: disc plow (0.40 m) and harrowing before planting. Liming was performed according to soil analysis and to the method of base saturation of CFSEMG (1999), to which was applied 1.485 t ha$^{-1}$ of lime with 90% PRNT. Soybean seeds were inoculated with Bradyrhizobium japonicum. At the time of sowing, fertilization was carried out with 600 kg ha$^{-1}$ of 0-20-20, as recommended by soil test, and applied at plantation furrow.

Soybean sowing was conducted using the Semeato - SHM 11/13 drill on 01/07/2010, set to furrow openings spaced at 0.40 m and density of 12 seeds per linear meter, corresponding to 300,000 plants ha$^{-1}$, the emergence occurred on 01/12/2010. Each plot consisted of 12 m$^2$, with 2.0 m wide (five rows of 0.40 m) by 6 m long.

At 12 and 24 days after seeding (DAS), the grass species were seeded with the same equipment, as proposed by the statistical design, in furrows spaced at 20 cm from the line of culture and in the depth of 3 cm.

To determine soybean yield (5/9/2010), three central lines were harvested, and 2 m of each row was assessed. To assess height (H), stem diameter (SD) and aerial part dry mass (APDM), stem dry mass (SDM) and leaves dry mass (LDM), six plants per portion were collected at 71 days after soybean emergence, to be the destructive sample. The assessment of plant height was performed using graduated scale, taking the measurement from the ground level to the apex of the leaves (with leaf blade distended) and stem diameter, using caliper from 5 cm of soil.

To determine the dry mass of the vegetative components, we separated the stem from the leaves from each plant; later, the plant material was packed in paper bags and placed in an oven with forced air circulation at 60 °C until constant weight.

Regarding production assessments, all plants from the useful area of the parcel were collected, and the following were determined: the weight of 100 grains for a fixed humidity of 13%, and productivity, by calculating the weight of the grains collected in the area useful portion, expressed in kg ha$^{-1}$.

Data were subjected to analysis of variance and the means were compared by Tukey test ($p \leq 0.05$) using Sisvar.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Data regarding rainfall and temperature are shown in Figure 1, emphasizing favorable conditions to the development of soybean plants.

Table 1 describes the average height and diameter of soybean stem grown alone and intercropped with Brachiaria brizantha cv. BRS Piata and Brachiaria ruziziensis at different densities and sowing dates.

The stem diameter of soybean plants was not significantly affected by any of the varieties of Brachiaria planted, nor by the density and sowing dates compared to soybean.

The height of soybean plants did not differ significantly in relation to the time of branchiaria sowing, yet in each sowing date there were differences among the seeding rates of forages.

In soybean sowing conducted both at 12 and 24 DAE, the largest reductions in plant height were observed in soybean seeding rate of 10 kg ha$^{-1}$ of Brachiaria brizantha and Brachiaria ruziziensis. At this density, B.brizantha caused a significant reduction in the height of soybeans: 7.08 and 6.55% compared to control (soybean growing up without the presence of grass) when sown at 12 and 24 DAE of soybean, respectively. In the same situation, when soybean was intercropped with B. ruziziensis, these reductions corresponded to 7.08 and 5.48%, respectively.
A seeding rate of 5 kg ha$^{-1}$ of *B. brizantha* differed significantly from the control in both sowing dates, but no differences were found for *B. ruziziensis*. According to Zanine & Santos (2004), a higher or lower density of plants, in a particular area, generates a distinctive
productive behavior, because of competition for space, water, light and nutrients that is established in the plant community.

The increased competitive pressure from *B. brizantha* observed in the previous analysis confirms the results found by Santos et al. (2008) when assessing competition of soybean resistant to glyphosate and two weed species (*Bidens pilosa* and *B. brizantha*) in compacted soil. They found that the grass caused greater reduction in height, number of leaves and dry weight of soybean plants.

Silva et al. (2008), while studying weed densities and times of control over the components of soybean production, found that *Brachiaria plantaginea*, in areas of low infestation, was responsible for 80% of the total dry matter produced by plants. Its presence directly affects crop yields, and the damage varies according to the size of the crop, the duration of the competition and soil and weather conditions.

Considering the sowing date of brachiaria in relation to that of soybean, which was still in the vegetative stage, and taking into account the higher shading power of this culture, we believed that the depressive effect caused mainly by *B. brizantha* may be related to the difference in the speed and volume of root production in relation to the culture. It is crucial to consider the increased demand for nutrients caused by the forage.

*B. brizantha* has good shade tolerance, promoting elongation of stems and leaves as a form of light exposure, thus increasing the height of the grass, which may contribute for this species to present high competitive potential (Andrade et al., 2004; Soares et al., 2009; Martuscello et al., 2009).

A hypothesis may be raised on the allelopathic effect of *B. brizantha* in relation to soybean crop, due to the production of allelochemicals by the forage, causing lower development of soybean plants.

Pacheco et al. (2008) state that cover crops, like *B. ruziziensis* and *B. brizantha* should be seeded when soybeans present R7 growth stage, which is characterized by early defoliation, so that they are still in suitable moist conditions for development; consequently they will have suitable plant height for the mechanical harvesting of soybean.

With regard to the dry matter of the soybean shoot (aerial part), the intercropping with *Brachiaria brizantha* sown at 24 DAE, at density of 10 kg ha$^{-1}$, caused an increase of 18.45% compared to the same density in sowing of soybean at 12 DAE (Table 2).

The sowing densities of *B. brizantha* significantly affected the dry mass accumulation of leaves, stems and shoots of soybeans, compared to control, in the sowing of soybeans at 12 DAE, but they did not differ between each other. In density of 10 kg ha$^{-1}$, reductions in these variables, compared to control, were 27.31, 33.57 and 27.76%, respectively. When the roots of grass and soybeans compete for the same resources of soil, the one to stand out is that with greater root volume and increased nutritional requirements, resulting in greater potential for exploitation.

As for *B. ruziziensis*, these differences were only significant for density of 10 kg ha$^{-1}$ in the variables of leaves dry matter, stems dry matter and aerial part dry matter, in sowing of soybean at 12 DAE, with reduction of 19.75, 29.81 and 24.19% respectively, in relation to control.

Silva et al. (2009), while studying sowing dates of *B. brizantha* intercropped with soybean in pots, found lower dry matter accumulation of soybean leaves (18 g pot$^{-1}$) when the forage emerged 21 days after soybean, which corresponded to 38% of the greater accumulation obtained by *B. brizantha*, which occurred when it emerged 21 days before soybean (47 g pot$^{-1}$).

*B. ruziziensis* expressed less competitiveness with soybean. However, the large biomass production of this grass encourages the employment of no-till system to the next crop. The lower competitive potential of *B. ruziziensis* may be related to seeding depth, which was 3 cm. According to Pacheco et al. (2010), this grass provides satisfactory performance when sown on the surface (0 cm).

Both intraspecific and interspecific competition resulting from spatial competition...
among groups of plants that occupy the same site within a given period of time, cause considerable reduction in the growth of species (Zanine & Santos, 2004).

*B. brizantha* has greater capacity of nutrient uptake when soil pH is in an alkaline medium (6.5), making it more competitive (Souza Filho et al. 2000). However, these present results show that even at acidic pH (4.7) plants of *B. brizantha* proved quite competitive when intercropped with soybean.

Table 3 shows data on the weight of 100 grains and yield of soybean grown alone and intercropped with *Brachiaria brizantha* cv. BRS Piata and *Brachiaria ruziziensis* at different densities (5 and 10 kg ha
$^{-1}$) and sowing dates (12 and 24 DAE of soybean). Gurupi-TO. 2009/2010 Crop

| Treatment        | Density (kg ha
$^{-1}$) | Soy MSF (kg ha
$^{-1}$) | DAE\(^2\) |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>12</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Witness</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>798.14 aA</td>
<td>798.14 aA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>B. brizantha</em></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>632.92 bcdB</td>
<td>762.34 aA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10</td>
<td>580.12 dA</td>
<td>660.9 aA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>B. ruziziensis</em></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>737.26 abcA</td>
<td>751.18 aA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10</td>
<td>640.46 bcdA</td>
<td>725.08 aA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cause of variation</th>
<th>F calculated</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Brachiara</td>
<td>0.011**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Density</td>
<td>2.078**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Period</td>
<td>4.117*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brachiara x density</td>
<td>0.028**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brachiara x period</td>
<td>0.024**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Density x period</td>
<td>8.425**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brachiara x density x period</td>
<td>5.720**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CV (%)</td>
<td>27.84</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^2\) Days after soybean emergence - DAE. * Means followed by the same letters, uppercase on rows and lowercase in columns, do not differ by Tukey test at 5% probability. * e ** significant at 5 and 1% probability, respectively. ns: not significant.

---

Ferreira et al. (2009), in competition period effect studies for the morphological characteristics of soybean, found that both the coexistence period and the cultivation system may affect the size and weight of grains.

In an experiment conducted in pots, while assessing the influence of the sowing date of *Brachiaria brizantha* intercropped with soybean, Silva et al. (2005) found reductions in grain yield per plant of 80, 34, 27 and 15%, corresponding, respectively, to 0, 7, 14 and 21 days of emergency of *B. brizantha* in relation to soybean.

Silva et al. (2008), when studying weed densities and times of control over the production components of soybean, have found in areas of low, medium and high infestation, reductions of up to 58, 71 and 78%, respectively, in the number of pods per plant.

The seeding rate of 10 kg ha
$^{-1}$ has caused the greatest reductions in the variables studied for soybean. The competitive effect of soybean M-8766 RR was higher when...
Table 3 - Weight of 100 grains and soybean M-8766 RR yield grown alone and intercropped with *Brachiaria brizantha* cv. BRS Piata and *Brachiaria ruziziensis* at different densities (5 and 10 kg ha$^{-1}$) and sowing dates (12 and 24 DAE of soybean). Gurupi-TO. 2009/2010 Crop

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Density (kg ha$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>Soy</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Weight 100 grãos (g)</td>
<td>Yield (kg ha$^{-1}$)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>12</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B. brizantha CVBRS Piata</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>12.17</td>
<td>12.17</td>
<td>3609.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>12.09</td>
<td>12.10</td>
<td>3500.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10</td>
<td>12.03</td>
<td>12.09</td>
<td>3367.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B. ruziziensis</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>11.71</td>
<td>11.99</td>
<td>3414.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10</td>
<td>11.40</td>
<td>11.90</td>
<td>3125.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cause of variation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>F calculated</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brachiaria</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.127$^{**}$</td>
<td>0.221$^{**}$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Density</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.459$^{**}$</td>
<td>1.999$^{**}$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Period</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.006$^{**}$</td>
<td>0.068$^{**}$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brachiaria x density</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.265$^{**}$</td>
<td>0.057$^{**}$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brachiaria x period</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.192$^{**}$</td>
<td>0.670$^{**}$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Density x period</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.452$^{**}$</td>
<td>0.045$^{**}$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brachiaria x density x period</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.695$^{**}$</td>
<td>0.628$^{**}$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CV (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td>4.40</td>
<td>14.98</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^{1}$ Days after soybean emergence - DAE; ns: not significant.

*Brachiaria brizantha* cv. BRS Piata and *Brachiaria ruziziensis* were sown 24 days after crop emergence. *Brachiaria brizantha* cv. BRS Piata expressed the most competitive behavior with soybean.
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