The influence of topic and systemic administration of copaiba oil on the alveolar wound healing after tooth extraction in rats
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Abstract
The Copaiba oil has been used as an auxiliary treatment of inflammations, skin disorders and stomach ulcers, however, in dentistry, this “alternative” medicine has not been investigated yet. The purpose of this study was to evaluate the influence of topic and systemic administration of copaiba oil on the alveolar wound healing after tooth extraction. Twenty-eight wistar male rats had their lower first molar teeth extracted. Subsequently, they were divided in four groups, according to the treatment performed: (a) alveolar socket irrigation with copaiba oil; (b) alveolar socket irrigation with physiological serum; (c) daily gavage with copaiba oil or (d) daily gavage with physiological serum. After the sacrifice, the mandibles were removed and processed in order to obtain decalcified histological sections. The results demonstrated high level of epithelial migration, small number of inflammatory cells and vascular enhancement in the animals which received systemic administration of copaiba oil. The rats treated with topic administration of copaiba oil presented ulcerations and large number of inflammatory cells. An increased bone neoformation was observed in both groups treated with copaiba oil when compared with placebo group. It could be concluded that topic or systemic administration of copaiba oil leads to a better alveolar bone healing, however the topic application on connective tissue should be carefully considered, regarding the whole socket wound healing.
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Introduction
The World Health Organization shows that over one-third of the population in developing countries lacks access to essential medicines. Consequently, the “complementary” or “alternative” medicine therapies might become a crucial tool to increase access to health care and play an important role in the therapy of many inflammatory diseases (1). The copaiba oil-resin is obtained by cutting the stem bark of Copaifera (Leguminosae), a large tree from the Amazonian region (2). It is a transparent liquid whose color varies from yellow to light brown (3).

Studies have demonstrated that copaiba oil presents anti-inflammatory (2) and antiseptic properties (4,5). This phytotherapic alternative can be used as an industrialized product or in natura, by oral administration or topical application.

Recently, Paiva et al. (6) reported that topical application of copaiba resin sped wound healing, accelerated wound contraction and increased tensile strength, facts which justify its traditional use for the treatment of wounds. However, despite copaiba oil presents desirable anti-inflammatory and antiseptic properties, there are few studies relating its use in healing process. And also, none of them evaluated hard tissues, which shows that the present study is the first to investigate the copaiba oil action in bone.

In Dentistry, studies evaluating the copaiba oil effects in different areas are extremely new. Interesting papers are seen in periodontology (7), endodontics (8,9) and dental caries (10,11). However, none investigation was found evaluating the copaiba oil resin effect in dental surgery. Thus, the aim of this work was to evaluate the copaiba oil resin effects, by topic and systemic administration, on alveolar wound healing in rats.

Material and Methods
The local Research Ethics Committee for Animal Use approved the protocol. Twenty-eight male wistar rats (8 weeks old) were kept in plastic cages and received tap water and food “ad libitum”. The surgical procedures, performed under intramuscular anesthesia (1ml/kg ketamine), were characterized by luxation and extraction of the first lower molars by adapted forceps. Then, six rats were submitted to 30μl topical irrigation of copaiba oil, with a syringe, once a day, during three days. Other six rats received 0.1ml of copaiba oil resin/100g of body weight, by daily gavage, with a syringe connected to a microtube conducted intraesophagically for systemic absorption, for a period of seven days. In both copaiba groups, topic (n=6) and systemic (n=6), the first dose was given immediately after surgery. Animals from the placebo group received the same doses of physiological serum during the same period. Afterward, the animals were sacrificed seven days after surgery, by cervical dislocation under anesthesia administration.

The mandibles were removed and fixed in 4% formaline solution during 48 hours. The specimens were demineralized and embedded in paraffin. Frontal semi-serial sections of mandible (6μm) were obtained and stained with hematoxylin-eosin. For the histological analysis, slices were selected from the median region of the alveolar socket.

The density of the area with newly-formed immature bone (D) was determined by point counting. A grid containing 100 equidistant points (total grid area = 2500μm²) was used in an image analyzer system (Image J; National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, USA). Analysis was performed following the dental sockets linearly with three random images, at 100× magnification. The area density corresponds to the fraction of the alveolar socket area occupied by immature bone:

\[
D = \frac{p}{3} \times 2500 \mu m^2 /100
\]

\(p = \) the number of points hitting immature bone in three images.

Histometric data were expressed as mean and standard deviation and were submitted to two-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) and Tukey test (p<0.05).

Additional slices were stained with Sirius red, and analyzed under polarized light, in order to better understand the real condition of the connective fibers thickness and arrangement.

Results
Histologic analysis of alveolar sockets sections obtained from topic and systemic placebo groups presented similar healing behaviors. They were characterized by epithelial migration (Fig. 1). The group submitted to topic administration of copaiba oil demonstrated a deficient epithelial migration, with ulcerated areas and large number of inflammatory cells in the adjacent connective tissue (Fig. 1). Nevertheless, the immature bone formation was increased (Fig. 1) when compared with the samples of the placebo group (Fig. 1). The immature bone in these specimens was characterized by discrete bone formation, represented by irregularly distributed thin trabeculae (Fig. 1).

In contrast, rats treated with copaiba oil systemically showed high level of epithelial migration, small number of inflammatory cells (Fig. 1) associated to thicker bone trabeculae (Fig. 1).

Bone neoformation means and standard deviation are shown in Table 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Topic</th>
<th>Systemic</th>
<th>Topic</th>
<th>Systemic</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Placebo</td>
<td>20.91±7.53</td>
<td>22.45±7.00</td>
<td>27.82±5.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Copaiba</td>
<td>22.35±6.43</td>
<td>26.12±5.34</td>
<td>30.27±1.74</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. Relative frequency of bone formation from placebo and copaiba groups, topic and systemic treatment (x̄ ± standard deviation).
Copaiba oil on the alveolar wound healing

After tooth extraction, bone formation plays an important role in alveolar socket wound healing. The metabolism of bone tissue depends on maintaining a delicate balance between bone resorption by osteoclasts and bone formation by osteoblasts (16). Our study was the first to demonstrate that copaiba oil-resin promotes an enhancement on alveolar socket wound healing in rats after tooth extraction. In addition, we also observed that when copaiba oil-resin was used, not only endogenous but also topical treatments lead to an increase in bone formation.

The composition of vegetal oils may vary depending on its geographic location of extraction (17). In this study...
we have used copaiba oil collected around Belem / PA / Brazil area. Studying the oil collected from the same area Veiga et al. (3) observed its capability to reduce edema in rats’ paw. In the same study they also demonstrated that, between several other samples collected in Brazil, the samples from this region presented the major amounts of sesquiterpenes, more specifically α-bergamotene, concluding that this compound may be the responsible by copaiba oil anti-inflammatory activity. Terpenes are known to inhibit the activity of the nuclear factor kappaB (18). The nuclear factor kappaB also known as RANK has been shown to be a key component of innate immunity (6), promoting the expression by macrophages of a set of genes involved in host defense, such as pro-inflammatory cytokines (19), NOS-2, cyclooxygenase2, cell adhesion molecules, and various matrix metalloproteinases (20,21).

Moreover, RANK is present on the surface of osteoclast precursors and mature osteoclasts and plays a critical role in promoting osteoclast differentiation (18,21) and activation, leading to bone resorption. Consequently, it could be suggested that the positive influence on alveolar bone formation is probably explained by the fact that copaiba oil terpenoids inhibited the RANK actions, facilitating alveolar bone formation.

It can also be considered that the understanding of wound healing process still requires further investigations to evaluate the part played by copaiba oil components in both sides of bone metabolism, by inhibition of other osteoclastogenic cytokines, as IL-1, IL-6, IL-11, IL-13, IL-17 (22) or stimulation of osteoblasts.

The present study reveals that the animals treated with topical copaiba oil presented a reduced epithelial migration and, despite copaiba oil resin antibacterial activities (15), the presence of this oil may supposedly interfere in basal membrane reconstruction or act as a lamina propria irritant agent. This data disagree with Paiva et al. (6), who reported that topical application of copaiba sped wound healing and accelerated wound contraction. Nevertheless, Paiva et al. evaluated skin wound healing, and in the present study, the topical application was inside the oral cavity. It is hypothesized that in oral cavity, the action of copaiba oil may be prejudiced by saliva presence, which could interfere on its positive role in wound healing.

Corroborating to these suppositions, our polarized light observations show that connective fibers exposed directly to the oil, by topical treatment, presented lack of organization and thickness. On the other hand, the similar regions on rats oral tissue submitted to endogenous treatment showed more mature and organized collagen fibers.

It can be concluded that the copaiba oil topical or systemic administration facilitated the maintenance of alveolar bone width and height following tooth loss, fact that is essential to the subsequent restorative procedures, such as dental implants (23). In addition, the systemic copaiba treatment seems to be more effective than the topical one, due to the best organization of the neoproduced tissue seen in Sirius red slices and the absence of ulcerations in HE slices.

Based on the present findings, it might also be inferred that the anti-septic properties of copaiba oil resin may have an important role on the results observed in the topically treated animals, moreover, these results also suggest that some copaiba oil components, such as Kaurenoic acid (the main diterpene in this oleo-resin) (1), might restrain the inflammatory process and, thus, accelerate the alveolar socket repair.

The findings of this study may suggest that topic, and also, systemic administration of copaiba oil might promote better results after oral surgical interventions, due to increased bone neoformation when compared with placebo group; despite these good data, the alveolar irrigation directly on connective tissue should be carefully considered, regarding the odd oral mucosa wound healing.
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