



Communications in Soil Science and Plant Analysis

ISSN: 0010-3624 (Print) 1532-2416 (Online) Journal homepage: https://www.tandfonline.com/loi/lcss20

Bioavailability of Nutrients in Seeds from Tropical and Subtropical Soybean Varieties

A. Moreira, L. A. C. Moraes, L. G. M. Souza & I. P. Bruno

To cite this article: A. Moreira, L. A. C. Moraes, L. G. M. Souza & I. P. Bruno (2016) Bioavailability of Nutrients in Seeds from Tropical and Subtropical Soybean Varieties, Communications in Soil Science and Plant Analysis, 47:7, 888-898, DOI: 10.1080/00103624.2016.1146899

To link to this article: https://doi.org/10.1080/00103624.2016.1146899

	0	_	1
1			
1			

Accepted author version posted online: 24 Feb 2016. Published online: 22 Apr 2016.



🕼 Submit your article to this journal 🗗

Article views: 82



View Crossmark data 🗹



Citing articles: 3 View citing articles



Bioavailability of Nutrients in Seeds from Tropical and Subtropical Soybean Varieties

A. Moreira^a, L. A. C. Moraes^b, L. G. M. Souza^c, and I. P. Bruno^d

^aDepartment of Soil Science and Plant Nutrition, Embrapa Soybean, Londrina, Paraná State, Brazil; ^bDepartment of Plant Physiology, Embrapa Soybean, Londrina, Paraná State, Brazil; ^cDepartment of Agronomy, São Paulo State University, Ilha Solteira, São Paulo State, Brazil; ^dDepartment of Agronomy, Agronomic Institute of Paraná – IAPAR, Londrina, Paraná State, Brazil

ABSTRACT

The selection of varieties or species of plants with higher nutrient uptake efficiency and nutrient concentration for biofortification of food crops is a key tool to reduce malnutrition. Soybean (Glycine max L. Merr) is one of the most important food crops, because it is consumed directly or indirectly, in the form of seeds, processed (milk and/or derivatives), or used as a protein component of animal feed worldwide. In order to select plants with higher nutrients concentration in seeds, 24 soybean varieties for tropical and subtropical conditions and different general features were assessed. There was great variability in photosynthesis rate, chlorophyll content, seed yield (SY), and concentration and uptake of nutrients by seeds between the varieties. Not genetically modified (NGM) crops showed higher nitrogen (N), cooper (Cu), and manganese (Mn) concentration and higher N, potassium (K), Cu, iron (Fe), Mn, and zinc (Zn) uptake, while for genetically modified (GM) crops only calcium (Ca) concentrations were higher. Varieties BRS 284 and BMX Magna RR showed the highest nutrients concentrations in the group with the highest nutrient efficiency. The genetic variability observed among the varieties regarding uptake and translocation of nutrients into seeds allows selecting more promising materials to be used in the biofortification of nutrients in soybean seeds.

ARTICLE HISTORY

Received 6 May 2015 Accepted 6 November 2015

KEYWORDS

Biofortification; essential elements; *Glycine max*; nutrient concentration; nutrients-use efficiency; nutrient uptake

Introduction

Being a very versatile source of protein for food uses and also with high market potential (domestic and international markets) and economic benefits, soybean is one of the world's most important agricultural crops (Silva et al. 2006; USDA 2014). Soybean is characterized by high phenotypic plasticity, with crops grown in tropical and temperate regions (Smith and Huyser 1987). World soybean yield in 2013 was 270 million tons of seed: 26.7% of it was processed into meal and 6.5% into oil (USDA – United State Department of Agriculture 2014).

Soybean is the main source of protein for animal feed (Krishnan et al. 2011). In human nutrition, soybean is a raw material used in the production of several types of oils, margarine, and vegetable fat. Thus, the relationship between soybean intake and human and animal health has been widely investigated (Krishnan et al. 2011; Silva et al. 2006; Simão et al. 2008). Through the process of purification of refined oil, lecithin is also obtained, which is used in the production of sausages, mayonnaise, ice creams, chocolate products, cereal bars, and frozen products (Mounts, Wolf, and Martinez 1987).

Bioavailability is defined as the proportion of a nutrient that is absorbed and adequately utilized for normal body functions (Fairweather-Tait and Southon 2003). Thus, the consumption of soybean

CONTACT A. Moreira adonismoreira66@gmail.com E Embrapa Soybean, Rodovia Carlos João Strass, Acesso Orlando Amaral, Caixa Postal 231, CEP 86001-970, Londrina, Paraná State, Brazil. © 2016 Taylor & Francis and derivatives or its isoflavones has beneficial effects that may act as modulators of metabolic processes, preventing the onset of degenerative diseases such as postmenopausal bone loss and osteoporosis (Childs 1995; Simão et al. 2008). Due to these special features, studies on biofortification and nutrients interactions with vitamins and minerals are needed to support the selection of varieties (Levander and Cheng 1980; Ramamurthy et al. 2014; Welch and Graham 2002). On the other hand, the demand for protein in the form of sausages, meat, and dairy products has increased exponentially in countries with growing per capita income, and, thus, the pressure on livestock and vegetable has also increased, raising soybean costs in developing countries.

Identifying and quantifying the genetic characteristics of plants is an important strategy in the selection for bioavailability of nutrients in seeds, since species and/or varieties may differ significantly in nutrient uptake efficiency and nutrient levels (Fageria, Baligar, and Clark 2002; Ramamurthy et al. 2014; Welch and Graham 2004). Genotypic differences among varieties are manifested as differences in several physiological and biochemical characteristics. To improve food quality, the programs of genetic improvement should conduct studies on the selection of productive plants and/or genetic strategies aimed to ensure higher nutrient quality and levels without negatively impacting crop yield (Fageria, Moreira, and Coelho 2012a; Welch and Graham 2002, 2004).

Given the significant phenotypic variability of soybean varieties produced around the world, with several genetic origins, different nutritional and genotypic features, the present study aimed to assess the concentration and uptake of the macronutrients nitrogen, phosphorus, potassium, calcium, magnesium, and sulfur (N, P, K, Ca, Mg, and S) and micronutrient boron, copper, iron, manganese, and zinc (B, Cu, Fe, Mn, and Zn) by seeds of tropical and subtropical soybean varieties of different agricultural and genetic characteristics.

Materials and methods

The experiment was conducted in greenhouse conditions at Embrapa Soybean, Londrina, Paraná State, Brazil (23°11'39"S and 51°10'40"W) with 24 soybean varieties with different growth habits (determinate and indeterminate) transgenic—genetically modified (GM) and non-transgenic—not genetically modified (NGM) (Table 1) that were grown in clay pots with 3.0 liters capacity containing a sandy, kaolinitic, Typic Quartzipsamment soil, with the following chemical properties

Table 1. Description	of 24	tropical	soybean	varieties
----------------------	-------	----------	---------	-----------

Varieties	Characteristic	Growing habit	Group maturation	Cycle
BMX Apolo RR	Transgenic	Indeterminate	5.5	Super-early
BMX Força RR	Transgenic	Indeterminate	6.2	Early
BMX Magna RR	Transgenic	Indeterminate	6.2	Early
BMX Potência RR	Transgenic	Indeterminate	6.7	Semi-early
BMX Turbo RR	Transgenic	Indeterminate	5.8	Super-early
BRS 133	Conventional	Determinate	7.3	Late
BRS 232	Conventional	Determinate	6.9	Late
BRS 245RR	Transgenic	Determinate	7.2	Late
BRS 284	Conventional	Indeterminate	6.9	Late
BRS 294RR	Transgenic	Determinate	6.3	Early
BRS 295RR	Transgenic	Determinate	6.5	Early
BRS 316RR	Transgenic	Determinate	6.5	Early
BRS 317	Conventional	Determinate	6.6	Semi-early
BRS 359RR	Transgenic	Indeterminate	6.5	Early
BRS 360RR	Transgenic	Indeterminate	6.5	Early
FTS Campo Mourão RR	Transgenic	Determinate	6.5	Early
NA 5909RR	Transgenic	Indeterminate	6.7	Semi-early
NA 6262RR	Transgenic	Indeterminate	6.4	Early
NEX 457 IPRO	Transgenic	Indeterminate	5.8	Super-early
TMG 1066RR	Transgenic	Determinate	6.6	Semi-early
TMG 1067RR	Transgenic	Determinate	6.7	Semi-early
TMG 7161RR	Transgenic	Indeterminate	6.1	Early
TMG 7262RR	Transgenic	Indeterminate	6.2	Early
Vmax RR	Transgenic	Indeterminate	6.4	Early

(Embrapa 1997): pH, calcium chloride (CaCl₂ 0.1 mol L⁻¹) = 4.4, soil organic matter (SOM—Walkley–Black) = 12.3 g kg⁻¹, phosphorus—P (Mehlich 1 extractant) = 3.0 mg kg⁻¹, potassium—K⁺ (Mehlich 1) = 0.1 cmol_c kg⁻¹, Ca²⁺ (potassium chloride (KCl) 1.0 mol L⁻¹) = 0.1 cmol_c kg⁻¹, magnesium—Mg²⁺ (KCl 1.0 mol L⁻¹) = 0.3 cmol_c kg⁻¹, aluminum—Al³⁺ (KCl extractant 1.0 mol L⁻¹) = 0.3 cmol_c kg⁻¹, potential acidity—hydrogen (H⁺)+Al³⁺ = 3.1 cmol_c kg⁻¹, sulfur—S-SO₄⁻ (CaCl₂ 0.01 mol L⁻¹) = 6.0 mg kg⁻¹, cation exchange capacity—CEC (Σ K⁺, Ca²⁺, Mg²⁺, H⁺+Al³⁺) = 3.6 cmol_c kg⁻¹, base saturation—V = 6.0%, B (hot water) = 0.18 mg kg⁻¹, Cu (Mehlich 1) = 0.5 mg kg⁻¹, Fe (Mehlich 1) = 73.0 mg kg⁻¹, Mn (Mehlich 1) = 18.4 mg kg⁻¹, Zn (Mehlich 1) = 0.4 mg kg⁻¹, clay = 86 g kg⁻¹, and sand = 870 g kg⁻¹.

The experiment followed a completely randomized design with three replicates. Two months before planting, soil acidity was corrected with the use of dolomite lime (27.78% of calcium oxide (CaO), 19.62% of magnesium oxide (MgO), and 85.5% neutralizing power) to increase base saturation $[(\Sigma K^+, Ca^{2+}, Mg^{2+})/(\Sigma K^+, Ca^{2+}, Mg^{2+}, H^++Al^{3+}) \times 100]$ to 60%. Fertilizations with P, K, S, B, cobalt (Co), Cu, Fe, molybdenum (Mo), Mn, nickel (Ni), and Zn were performed according to Moreira, Fageria, and Garcia Y Garcia (2011), adapted from Allen, Terman, and Clements (1976) for experiments conducted in greenhouse conditions: 50 mg kg⁻¹ of K (KCl); 150 mg kg⁻¹ of P (monoammonium phosphate (MAP)), 0.5 mg kg⁻¹ of B (boric acid (H₃BO₃)); 1.5 mg kg⁻¹ of Cu (copper sulfate heptahydrate (CuSO₄ × 7H₂O)); 0.1 mg kg⁻¹ of Mo (Sodium molybdate × hydrogen peroxide (Na₂Mo₄ × 2H₂O)); 2.5 mg kg⁻¹ of Fe (iron sulfate (FeSO₄) × 2H₂O); 0.01 mg kg⁻¹ of Co (cobalt chloride (CoCl₂)); 0.01 mg kg⁻¹ of Ni (nickel sulfate × NiSO₄ × 6H₂O); 5.0 mg kg⁻¹ of Mn (MnSO₄ × 3H₂O), 5.0 mg kg⁻¹ of Zn (ZnSO₄ × 6H₂O) and 50 mg kg⁻¹ of S (potassium sulfate (K₂SO₄)). Thirty days after planting, topdressing was done with 100 mg kg⁻¹ of K (K₂SO₄).

The plants were watered daily with deionized water to compensate for the losses by evapotranspiration and to keep the total porosity of soil at 70% by volume (Cassel and Nielsen 1986). Ten seeds of each variety were treated with turf containing *Bradyrhizobium elkanii* [SEMIA 587 and SEMIA 5019 (4.0×10^9 viable cells g⁻¹)] and sown in the pots and 10 days later thinning was performed leaving two plants per pot.

At R2 growth stage (Fehr et al. 1971), SPAD values were measured on the leaves (fully expanded 3rd and 4th trifoliate leaves from the apex), with the values converted into chlorophyll content units (mg cm⁻²) by the equation $\hat{y} = 16.033 + (7.5774 \times \text{SPAD})$ (Fritschi and Ray 2007) and net photosynthesis rate—A (µmol carbon dioxide (CO₂) m⁻² s⁻¹) was determined on the same leaves with a portable photosynthesis analyzer (LI-6400XT; LI-COR*). Senescent leaves were collected during the entire soybean cycle and at the end of the cycle they were dried in oven for determination of the shoot dry weight yield (SDWY, ∑leaves, pods seeds, and stems). After harvest and weighing, the seeds were ground for determination of the total N, P, K, Ca, Mg, S, B, Cu, Fe, Mn, and Zn concentration and analyzed according to the methods described by Malavolta, Vitti, and Oliveira (1997).

Based on the seed yield for the different varieties and on the nutrients concentration, the following variables were calculated:

Seed harvest index (SHI) = $\frac{\text{Seed yield}}{(\text{Seed yield}+\text{SDW yield})}$, according to Fageria, Barbosa Filho, and Moreira (2008), and nutrient-use efficiency (NUE) = $\frac{\text{Seed yield}^2}{\text{Nutrients concentration in seed}}$, equation adapted from Siddiqi, Chyan, and Freiji (1994).

The data were subjected to analysis of variance (ANOVA) and F-test, and Scott–Knott grouping test at the 5% probability level was used for the means of the 24 varieties for each assessed variable. Because of the diversity of treatments, orthogonal contrasts ($p \le 0.05$) were used in the comparisons of means of the different genetic characteristics of the varieties.

Results and discussion

Yield and physiological components

The number of pods (NP), seed yield (SY), SDWY, and the SHI were significantly affected by the soybean varieties (Table 2). The NP ranged from 34 in variety BRS 316RR to 101 in BRS 295RR, with

an average value of 54 pods per plant. Because of the genetic characteristics of each variety, which had different amounts of seeds per pod, the NP per plant showed positive correlation only with SDWY ($\hat{y} = 31.624 + 0.717x$, r = 0.67, $p \le 0.05$), though not converted into SY ($\hat{y} = 20.8$). Conflicting results were obtained by Fageria et al. (2012b) for common bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris*), who reported a significant and positive correlation with SY despite the high variability in the number of pods per plant. In the present experiment, the highest SY was observed for variety BRS 232 (29 g/pot) and the lowest SY for BMX Força RR (16.1 g/pot), with the average of the varieties being 22.1 g/pot (Table 2). Regarding the SHI, the range of variation was 0.20–0.45, with an average value of 0.32, with varieties BRS 284, TMG 1067RR, and BMX Magna RR obtaining the highest values and varieties BRS 133 and BMX Força RR, the lowest values (Table 2).

In the comparison of the varieties, 12.5% had SHI higher than 0.43 (BMX Magma, BRS 284 and TMG 1067RR), 29% between 0.35 and 0.42 (BRS 232, BRS 317, FTS Campo Mourão RR, BMX Turbo RR, NA 6262RR, TMG 7161RR and TMG 7262RR), 50% between 0.24 and 0.34 (BRS 294RR, BRS 295RR, TMG 1066RR, NEX 457 IPRO, BRS 316RR, BMX Apolo RR, BMX Potência RR, BRS 359RR, BRS 360RR, BRS 245RR, VMAX RR, and NA 5909RR. Corroborating Araújo and Teixeira (2012), in the process of selection of varieties, the SHI can well reflect the efficiency in the allocation of plant biomass to the seeds of a given variety and/or species. Sinclair (1998) and Fageria, Barbosa Filho, and Moreira (2008) also report that this variable can reliably indicate the partitioning of photosynthates between the seeds and the vegetative part of the plant.

The net photosynthesis rate (A) and the chlorophyll content (Cl) varied significantly between the soybean varieties, with a significant correlation between these two variables [A = 146.450 + 6.581(Cl), $r = 0.80, p \le 0.05$]. The highest values reported for photosynthesis rate were obtained in the varieties BRS 316RR (25.821 mmol CO₂ m⁻² s⁻¹) and BRS 317 (25.889 mmol CO₂ m⁻² s⁻¹) and the lowest values in

Varieties	Pods	SY	SDWY	Photosynthesis	Chlorophyll	SHI
	(n)	(g/pot)	(g/pot)	$(\mu mol CO_2 m^{-2} s^{-1})$	(mg m ⁻²)	
BRS 133	75b	24.7c	121.6a	22.151b	267.35b	0.20d
BRS 232	58c	29.0a	75.5c	20.317b	277.94b	0.38b
BRS 317	44c	24.2b	64.9c	25.889a	339.01a	0.37b
BRS 284	49c	28.1b	64.7c	19.405b	258.45c	0.43a
BRS 294RR	75b	17.9c	75.4c	12.707c	247.97c	0.24c
BRS 295RR	101a	20.6c	105.7b	11.574c	210.84d	0.27c
TMG 1066RR	78b	19.9c	69.2c	15.767c	223.84c	0.29c
BRS 245RR	65b	27.5b	113.7a	14.888c	200.50d	0.24c
TMG 1067RR	47c	24.4b	56.5c	15.151c	243.44c	0.43a
BRS 316RR	34c	18.2c	57.6b	25.821a	294.77b	0.32c
FTS Campo Mourão RR	46c	24.4b	65.1b	15.730c	257.05c	0.37b
BMX Apolo	39c	19.2c	57.4b	18.355b	300.88b	0.33c
BMX Força	53c	16.1c	78.2b	15.584c	243.73c	0.21d
BMX Potência	49c	21.2c	72.7b	16.185c	287.49b	0.29c
BMX Turbo	53c	22.9c	64.8b	13.216c	237.61c	0.35b
BRS 359RR	71b	21.1c	73.6b	14.258c	233.13c	0.29c
BRS 360RR	42c	18.5c	66.4b	16.009c	262.87c	0.28c
NA 5909RR	47c	20.1c	70.9b	12.923c	233.82c	0.28c
NA 6262RR	43c	19.1c	54.4c	14.154c	259.71c	0.35b
NEX 457 IPRO	69b	19.3c	67.7b	12.291c	219.93d	0.28c
TMG 7161RR	51c	21.2c	59.7b	15.186c	251.19c	0.36b
TMG 7262RR	42c	20.4c	59.0b	21.148b	273.22b	0.35b
VMAX RR	78b	21.0c	73.3b	13.656c	216.58d	0.29c
BMX Magna RR	47c	28.2b	62.4b	19.296b	296.60b	0.45a
Average	56	22.0	72.1	16.736	255.75	0.32
Minimum	34	16.1	54.4	11.574	200.50	0.20
Maximum	101	29.0	121.6	25.889	339.01	0.45
CV%	17.18	9.78	12.06	12.98	7.97	14.21

Table 2. Yield and physiological components of 24 soybean varieties.

Means followed by different letters in the same column differ by Scott-Knott test at 5% probability. SHI, seed harvest index; SY, seed yield; SDWY, shoot dry weight yield.

892 👄 A. MOREIRA ET AL.

BRS 295RR (11,574 mmol CO₂ m⁻² s⁻¹) and NEX 457 IPRO (12.291 mmol CO₂ m⁻² s⁻¹), respectively (Table 2). Under ideal or adverse environmental conditions, genetic variation may lead to differences in the use efficiency of solar radiation by plants and in the partition of photoassimilates, impacting growth and yield in the comparison with another species or variety (Fageria 1998; Taiz and Zaiger 2010). Bottrill, Possingham, and Kriedemann (1970) found that nutritional imbalance alters the assimilation of carbon (C) in the plants, negatively interfering with photosynthesis and chlorophyll content.

Nutrient concentration and uptake in the seeds

The concentrations (nutrient content per unit dry weight) and uptake (nutrient concentration in seed \times seed weight per pot) are shown in Tables 3 and 4. Differences were observed between the varieties, with BMX Apolo RR showing the highest number of nutrients with the highest concentrations (P, Ca, Mg, B, and Fe), while the lowest values were obtained by BRS 232 (Zn), BRS 284 (P), BRS 245RR (S), NEX 457 IPRO (P), and BMX Força RR (P). Regarding uptake, the highest nutrients number was observed in BRS 232 and the lowest in BRS 294RR and BMX Força RR. N and K concentrations ranged from 49.47 to 69.70 g kg⁻¹ and from 15.39 to 20.97 g kg⁻¹ and the average concentration was 55.99 and 17.58 g kg⁻¹, respectively. Among the varieties. BRS 317 and BRS 316RR showed the highest N and K concentrations in the seeds. Regarding biofortification, in the animal body K acts mainly in the distribution of fluids inside and outside the cell and also participates in the regulation of acid–base balance, being involved in cell growth, protein synthesis, among other metabolites (Navarro and Vaquero 2003). As for N, it is part of amino acids (AAs) composition, and, consequently, of proteins that have several functions such as deoxyribonucleic acid (DNA) replication, component of the plasma membrane, and formation of cytoskeleton and chromosomes (Caballero, Trugo, and Finglas 2003).

The varieties also differed significantly in the P, Ca, Mg, and S concentration (Table 3), with variation of 63.1% in P (BRS 284 and BRS 359RR), 190.8% in Ca (BRS 133 and BMX Apolo RR), 50.5% in Mg (BMX Magna RR and BMX Apolo RR), and 71.3% in S (BMX Magna RR and TMG 7262RR). Similarly, uptake was also influenced by the varieties ($p \le 0.05$). P ranged from 0.09 to 0.15 mg/pot, Ca ranged from 0.03 to 0.09 mg/pot, Mg from 0.04 to 0.07 mg/pot, and S from 0.04 to 0.08 mg/pot (Table 4). On average, the concentration and uptake of macronutrients in soybean seeds was $N > K > P > Ca \sim S > Mg$, a sequence different from the one obtained by Fageria et al. (2013) in a Xanthic Ferralsol with 403 g kg⁻¹ of clay. Inside the body, P acts in the formation of bones and teeth, as a component for molecule regulation and in the composition of ATP (adenosine triphosphate), especially in the mitochondria, among other functions (Anderson 2003). The Ca element is mainly present in the structural part, such as bones and teeth, and prolonged deficiency of the nutrient leads to the development of diseases such as osteoporosis (L'Abbé 2003). It should be stressed that the selection of varieties with high Ca concentrations in soybean water soluble extract (WSE) can be a good alternative to bovine milk, since 75% of the population have different degrees of lactose intolerance (Casé et al. 2005). Regarding Mg, this nutrient performs several physiological and biochemical functions in humans and animals, and its higher concentration inside the cell is in the mitochondria, and is essential cofactor for carboxylase and coenzyme Q (Griffin 2003), while the S in the body is part of the structures of some essential AAs (cysteine, methionine, and taurine), forming the disulfide bridge between polypeptides, a very important bond for the formation of the spatial structures of proteins. (Taiz and Zeiger 2010).

The concentration and uptake of B, Cu, Fe, Mn, and Zn in the seeds were also significantly influenced by soybean varieties (Tables 3 and 4). The varieties BMX Apolo RR and TMG 7262RR showed the highest concentrations of B (34.38 and 36.23 mg kg⁻¹) and BMX Magna RR (10.06 mg kg⁻¹), being 118.3%, 130.0%, and 438.0% higher than those of FTS Campo Mourão RR (B) and BMX Força RR (Cu), respectively. In the B and Cu uptake by the seeds (Table 4), the highest amount of B was reported in TMG 7262RR (0.74 µg/pot) and Cu in BMX Magna RR (0.28 µg/pot) and the lowest amounts in BMX Força RR (0.32 and 0.03 µg/pot). According to Hunt (2003), in the human body, B modifies and possibly

	z	d	×	Ca	Mg	S	в	Cu	Fe	Mn	Zn
Varieties	(g kg ⁻¹)	(mg kg ⁻¹)									
BRS 133	60.60b	4.52b	18.61b	1.53d	2.85a	3.34a	20.44c	5.46c	49.20b	45.03d	46.07b
BRS 232	62.18b	5.14b	16.98c	1.64d	2.22d	2.53b	22.75c	7.38b	66.60b	204.40b	59.14a
BRS 317	66.07a	3.93c	20.97a	2.16d	2.21d	3.23a	21.81c	5.91c	55.39b	43.89d	43.76b
BRS 284	55.65c	5.46a	17.58b	1.62d	2.14d	2.35b	22.58c	7.66b	68.80a	130.80c	49.32b
BRS 294RR	55.77c	5.45a	16.64c	3.38c	2.21d	2.24b	20.03c	3.90d	85.15a	38.86d	58.54a
BRS 295RR	54.37c	5.84a	16.28c	3.86b	2.37c	2.56b	27.82b	3.28d	83.12a	44.00d	48.32b
TMG 1066RR	52.73d	5.68a	17.09b	2.9c3	2.30c	2.51b	30.92b	2.28d	65.49b	49.98d	54.06a
BRS 245RR	61.30b	4.59b	18.02b	1.57d	2.60b	2.99a	25.02c	7.55b	49.13b	35.27d	47.65b
TMG 1067RR	56.58c	4.82b	16.44c	1.82d	2.11d	2.72b	17.25c	6.22c	57.80b	249.80a	62.32a
BRS 316RR	69.70a	5.61a	20.37a	1.80d	2.55b	3.37a	23.64c	6.81c	48.60b	39.43d	47.75b
FTS Campo Mourão RR	57.05c	5.58a	17.94b	2.14d	2.16d	2.36b	15.75c	8.08b	64.40b	267.40a	57.66a
BMX Apolo RR	55.07c	5.65a	18.50b	4.45a	2.86a	3.29b	34.38a	3.10d	83.27a	57.04d	45.78b
BMX Força RR	55.67c	5.89a	16.38c	3.00c	2.31c	2.38b	20.11c	1.87d	64.29b	38.19d	45.86b
BMX Potência RR	53.90c	5.50a	17.22b	3.11c	2.42c	2.31b	25.88b	2.02d	85.82a	42.88d	49.10b
BMX Turbo RR	52.73d	5.66a	18.19b	2.81c	2.31c	3.00a	26.07b	2.12d	78.56a	42.32d	44.36b
BRS 359RR	56.93c	6.41a	17.66b	2.73c	2.58b	2.82a	20.83c	1.94d	71.70a	36.04d	44.56b
BRS 360RR	54.13c	5.80a	17.68b	3.14c	2.44c	2.61b	28.62b	2.62d	75.82a	43.44d	56.90a
NA 5909RR	52.97d	5.76a	15.74c	4.05a	2.74a	2.82a	27.27b	2.08d	68.84b	44.96d	48.56b
NA 6262RR	53.43d	6.08a	18.04b	3.53b	2.49b	3.15a	30.23b	2.34d	58.10b	54.48d	40.26b
NEX 457 IPRO	50.87d	5.82a	17.31b	4.07a	2.55b	2.52b	20.94c	2.92d	68.98a	51.90d	44.46b
TMG 7161RR	49.47d	5.84a	17.80b	2.99c	2.34c	3.41a	29.20b	2.24d	55.28b	45.56d	42.86b
TMG 7262RR	49.70d	5.74a	17.51b	2.96c	2.42c	3.24a	36.23a	2.46d	71.60a	44.36d	40.82b
VMAX RR	55.53c	5.68a	15.39c	3.72b	2.59b	2.86a	27.86b	1.90d	61.68b	42.92d	49.34b
BMX Magna RR	51.33d	5.10	17.64b	2.03d	1.90d	1.99b	22.92c	10.06a	65.40b	186.20b	48.02b
Average	55.99	5.48	17.58	2.79	2.40	2.78	24.94	4.26	66.79	78.30	48.98
Minimum	49.47	3.93	15.39	1.53	1.90	1.99	15.75	1.87	48.60	35.27	40.26
Maximum	69.70	6.41	20.97	4.45	2.86	3.41	36.23	10.06	85.82	267.40	62.32
CV%	4.30	6.81	6.10	10.69	6.55	13.53	14.58	22.77	14.06	18.17	12.33
Means followed by differen	t letters in the	same column	differ by Scoti	Knott test at	5% probabilit	×.					

Table 3. Nutrient concentration (N, P, K, Ca, Mg, S, B, Cu, Fe, Mn, and Zn) in the seeds of the 24 soybean varieties.

COMMUNICATIONS IN SOIL SCIENCE AND PLANT ANALYSIS 🛞 893

Table 4.	Nutrient	uptake	(N, P	Р, K,	Ca, M	g, S,	Β,	Cu,	Fe,	Mn,	and Z	n) in	seeds	of t	he 24	I soybean	varieties.
----------	----------	--------	-------	-------	-------	-------	----	-----	-----	-----	-------	-------	-------	------	-------	-----------	------------

	Ν	Р	К	Ca	Mg	S	В	Cu	Fe	Mn	Zn
	(mg/	(mg/	(mg/	(mg/	(mg/	(mg/	(µg/	(µg/	(µg/	(µg/	(µg/
Varieties	pot)										
BRS 133	1.50b	0.11b	0.46b	0.04c	0.07a	0.08a	0.51a	0.14c	1.22c	1.11d	1.14b
BRS 232	1.81a	0.15a	0.49a	0.05c	0.06b	0.07a	0.66a	0.21b	1.93a	5.94a	1.72a
BRS 317	1.60a	0.09b	0.51a	0.05c	0.05c	0.08a	0.53a	0.14c	1.34c	1.06d	1.06b
BRS 284	1.57a	0.15a	0.49a	0.05c	0.06b	0.07a	0.63a	0.22b	1.93a	3.68c	1.39a
BRS 294RR	1.00c	0.10b	0.30c	0.06b	0.04d	0.04b	0.36b	0.07c	1.52b	0.70d	1.05b
BRS 295RR	1.12c	0.12b	0.33c	0.08a	0.05c	0.05b	0.57a	0.07c	1.71b	0.90d	0.99b
TMG 1066RR	1.05c	0.11b	0.34c	0.06b	0.05c	0.05b	0.62a	0.05c	1.31c	1.00d	1.08b
BRS 245RR	1.68a	0.13a	0.50a	0.04c	0.07a	0.08a	0.69a	0.21b	1.35c	0.97d	1.31a
TMG 1067RR	1.38b	0.12b	0.40c	0.04c	0.05c	0.07a	0.42b	0.15c	1.41c	6.10a	1.52a
BRS 316RR	1.27c	0.10b	0.37c	0.03c	0.05c	0.06a	0.43b	0.12c	0.88d	0.72d	0.87b
FTS Campo Mourão RR	1.39b	0.14a	0.44b	0.05c	0.05c	0.06a	0.38b	0.20b	1.57b	6.52a	1.40a
BMX Apolo RR	1.06c	0.11b	0.35c	0.09a	0.05c	0.06a	0.66a	0.06c	1.60b	1.09d	0.88b
BMX Força RR	0.90c	0.09b	0.26c	0.05c	0.04d	0.04b	0.32b	0.03c	1.04d	0.62d	0.74b
BMX Potência RR	1.14c	0.12b	0.37c	0.07b	0.05c	0.05b	0.55a	0.04c	1.82a	0.91d	1.04b
BMX Turbo RR	1.21c	0.13a	0.42b	0.06b	0.05c	0.07a	0.60a	0.05c	1.80a	0.97d	1.01b
BRS 359RR	1.20c	0.14a	0.37c	0.06b	0.05c	0.06a	0.44b	0.04c	1.52b	0.76d	0.94b
BRS 360RR	1.00c	0.11b	0.33c	0.06b	0.05c	0.05b	0.53a	0.05c	1.40c	0.80d	1.05b
NA 5909RR	1.06c	0.12b	0.32c	0.08a	0.06b	0.06a	0.55a	0.04c	1.38c	0.90d	0.98b
NA 6262RR	1.02c	0.12b	0.34c	0.07b	0.05c	0.06a	0.58a	0.04c	1.11d	1.04d	0.77b
NEX 457 IPRO	0.98c	0.11b	0.33c	0.08a	0.05c	0.05b	0.40b	0.06c	1.33c	1.00d	0.86b
TMG 7161RR	1.05c	0.12b	0.38c	0.06b	0.05c	0.07a	0.62a	0.05c	1.17d	0.97d	0.91b
TMG 7262RR	1.01c	0.12b	0.36c	0.06b	0.05c	0.07a	0.74a	0.05c	1.46c	0.90d	0.83b
VMAX RR	1.17c	0.12b	0.32c	0.08a	0.05c	0.06a	0.59a	0.04c	1.30c	0.90d	1.04b
BMX Magna RR	1.45c	0.14a	0.50a	0.06b	0.05c	0.06a	0.65a	0.28a	1.84a	5.25b	1.35a
Average	1.23	0.12	0.39	0.06	0.05	0.06	0.54	0.10	1.45	1.87	1.08
Minimum	0.90	0.09	0.26	0.03	0.04	0.04	0.32	0.03	0.88	0.62	0.74
Maximum	1.81	0.15	0.51	0.09	0.07	0.08	0.74	0.28	1.93	6.52	1.72
CV%	10.89	11.29	9.72	12.31	10.29	17.16	15.94	23.40	13.95	22.70	16.92

Means followed by different letters in the same column differ by Scott-Knott test at 5% probability.

regulates the energy substrates and mineral metabolism, and acts in immune functions, while the metabolism and functions of Cu are related to the heart, blood vessels, nervous system, immune functions, hemoglobin formation, and bone health (Johnson 2003; Klevay 1980).

Among the 24 varieties (Tables 3 and 4), Fe concentration and uptake in the seeds increased by 76.6% from 48.60 (BRS 316RR) to 85.82 mg kg⁻¹ (BMX Potência RR) and by 119.3% from 0.88 (BRS 316RR) to 1.93 μ g/pot (BRS 284); Mn by 658.1% from 35.27 (BRS 245RR) to 267.40 (FTS Campo Mourão RR) and by 951.6% from 0.62 (BMX Força RR) to 6.52 μ g/pot (FTS Campo Mourão RR); and Zn by 54.8% from 40.26 (NA 6262RR) to 62.32 mg kg⁻¹ (TMG 1067RR) and by 132.4% from 0.74 (BMX Força RR) to 1.72 μ g/pot (BRS 232). The mineral elements most commonly lacking in human diets are Fe and Zn, which rank fifth and sixth, respectively, among the top 10 risk factors contributing to diseases, especially in developing countries. (Fageria et al. 2012b). The deficiency of Mn in humans causes, among other consequences, impact on growth and reproduction (Keen and Zidenberg-Cherr 2003). Fe plays a key role in the metabolic processes for transport and storage of oxygen (O₂), as well as in oxidative metabolism and cell growth (Lynch 2003), and the main symptom of deficiency of this mineral is iron deficiency anemia (Rios et al. 2009). Zn participates in the replication of all cells, transcription and DNA synthesis, messenger ribonucleic acid translation into proteins and stability of RNA structures. Zn deficiency causes delayed growth and immunological problems, neuropsychological manifestation, and increased morbidity (Ruz 2003).

The genetic differences detected in the varieties are important to define which types of soybean should be grown to obtain the highest concentration and uptake of a given nutrient by seeds (Table 5). The results indicated significant differences in the N, Cu, Mn, and Zn concentrations in NGM varieties and in the concentrations of Ca in the GM variety, while regarding uptake, the introduction of the gene that confers glyphosate resistance affected N, K, Cu, Fe, Mn, and Zn uptake. Regarding soybean growth habit in NGM varieties, effects on nutrient concentrations in the seeds were observed only for S, Fe, and Mn concentrations; in the GM varieties for Ca, Cu, Mn, and Zn concentrations; and on the average of NGM and GM varieties, for Ca, Cu, Mn, and Zn concentrations. Regarding uptake, these effects were significant in Cu, Fe, and Mn concentrations in NGM; in N, Cu, Mn, and Zn concentrations in GM; and in N, Cu, Mn, and Zn concentrations on the average of NGM and GM varieties (Table 5). Fageria, Barbosa Filho, and Moreira (2008), Fageria, Moreira, and Coelho (2012a), and Rios et al. (2009) also reported the presence of genetic factors on the efficiency of nutrient uptake by seeds.

Classification of varieties for nutrient-use efficiency

Using the nutrient-use efficiency (NUE) in soybean seeds in the classification of varieties, significant differences were observed between these varieties, with BRS 284 (N, P, K, CA, Mg, and Zn), BRS 245RR (P, K, Ca, Fe, Mn, and Zn), and BMX Magna RR (N, P, K, Mg, S, and Zn) showing the highest NUE values, with six nutrients in the group with highest efficiency. The opposite was observed for BRS 294RR, BRS 316RR, BMX Apolo RR, BMX Força RR, BMX Potência RR, BRS 360RR, and NEX 457 IPRO, that had no nutrient in the group of highest efficiency and were classified, according to analysis by Scott–Knott (Scott and Knott 1974) in the groups of intermediate or low NUE (Table 6). Corroborating Welch and Graham (2002, 2004), Rios et al. (2009) and Fageria et al. (2012b), the identification of genetic differences between soybean varieties can be an important strategy for the biofortification of seeds of food crops (fresh or processed,) or indirectly as ingredients for animal feeds or similar products.

Table 5. Significance of F—contrast tests between the characteristics of cultiva	vars and the means for the concentrations and uptake
of nutrients in soybean seeds.	

Contrasts	Ν	Р	К	Ca	Mg	S	В	Cu	Fe	Mn	Zn
		Cond	entratio	on, g k	g ⁻¹		(Concer	ntration,	mg kg ⁻¹	
Conventional (determinate + indeterminate)—a	61.13	4.76	18.53	1.74	2.36	2.86	21.90	6.60	60.00	106.03	49.57
Transgenic (determinate + indeterminate)—b	54.96	5.62	17.39	3.00	2.41	2.76	25.55	3.79	68.15	72.75	48.86
a vs. b	*	ns	ns	*	Ns	ns	ns	*	ns	*	ns
Determinate (transgenic + conventional)—c	59.64	5.12	17.93	2.28	2.36	2.78	22.54	5.69	62.49	101.81	52.53
Indeterminate (transgenic + conventional)—d	53.38	5.74	17.33	3.16	2.44	2.77	26.65	3.24	69.87	61.51	46.44
c vs. d	ns	ns	ns	*	ns	ns	ns	*	ns	*	*
Conventional determinate—e	62.95	4.53	18.85	1.78	2.43	3.03	21.67	6.25	57.06	97.78	49.66
Transgenic determinate—f	58.21	5.37	17.54	2.50	2.33	2.68	22.92	5.45	64.81	103.53	53.76
e vs. f	ns	ns	ns	*	Ns	*	ns	Ns	ns	ns	*
Conventional indeterminate—g	62.95	4.53	18.85	1.78	2.43	3.03	21.67	6.25	57.06	97.78	49.66
Conventional determinate—e	55.65	5.46	17.58	1.62	2.14	2.35	22.58	7.66	68.80	130.80	49.32
g vs. e	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns	*	ns	ns	*	*	ns
Determinate transgenic—f	58.21	5.37	17.54	2.50	2.33	2.68	22.92	5.45	64.81	103.53	53.76
Indeterminate transgenic—g	53.21	5.76	17.31	3.28	2.46	2.80	26.96	2.90	69.95	56.18	46.22
f vs. g	ns	ns	ns	*	ns	ns	ns	*	ns	*	*
		U	ptake, r	ng/pot	t			Up	otake, µg	g/pot	
Conventional (determinate + indeterminate)—a	1.61	0.13	0.49	0.05	0.06	0.07	0.58	0.18	1.61	2.94	1.32
Transgenic (determinate +indeterminate)—b	1.16	0.12	0.37	0.06	0.05	0.06	0.53	0.08	1.42	1.65	1.03
a vs. b	*	ns	*	ns	ns	ns	ns	*	*	*	*
Determinate (transgenic + conventional)—c	1.37	0.12	0.41	0.05	0.05	0.06	0.52	0.13	1.42	2.37	1.20
Indeterminate (transgenic + conventional)—d	1.13	0.12	0.37	0.06	0.05	0.06	0.56	0.07	1.47	1.41	0.99
c vs. d	*	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns	*	ns	* = 0	*
Conventional determinate—e	1.63	0.12	0.49	0.05	0.06	0.08	0.56	0.16	1.50	2.70	1.30
Transgenic determinate—f	1.25	0.11	0.38	0.05	0.05	0.06	0.50	0.12	1.38	2.22	1.16
e vs. f	*	ns	*	ns	ns	ns	ns	*	ns	ns	*
Conventional indeterminate—g	1.63	0.12	0.49	0.05	0.06	0.08	0.56	0.16	1.50	2.70	1.30
Conventional determinate—e	1.57	0.15	0.49	0.05	0.06	0.07	0.64	0.21	1.93	3.64	1.39
g vs. e	ns 1 ac	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns	0 1 2	1 20	^ 	ns
Determinate transgenic—T	1.25	0.11	0.38	0.05	0.05	0.06	0.50	0.12	1.38	2.22	1.16
indeterminate transgenic—g	1.10	0.12	0.36	0.07	0.05	0.06	0.55	0.06	1.44	1.24	0.95
n vs. g	*	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns	*	ns	*	*

*Significant; ^{ns}Non significant at 5% probability.

Table 6. N, P, K, Ca, Mg, S,	, B, Cu, Fe, Mn,	, and Zn use e	fficiency in see	eds of 24 soybe	ean varieties.						
	Z	Ρ	К	Ca	Mg	S	В	Cu	Fe	Mn	Zn
Varieties	(g g ⁻¹)	(mg g ⁻¹)	(mg g ⁻¹)	$(mg g^{-1})$	(mg g ⁻¹)	(mg g ⁻¹)					
BRS 133	0.41b	5.47a	1.33a	16.16a	8.67c	7.42c	1.21b	4.53d	0.50a	0.55b	0.54a
BRS 232	0.47b	5.65a	1.71a	17.70a	13.08a	11.49b	1.28b	3.93d	0.44b	0.14d	0.49a
BRS 317	0.37c	6.15a	1.15b	11.19c	10.94b	7.48d	1.11b	4.09d	0.44b	0.55b	0.55a
BRS 284	0.50a	5.15a	1.60a	17.35a	13.13a	11.94b	1.24b	3.67d	0.41b	0.21d	0.57a
BRS 294RR	0.32d	3.28b	1.08b	5.29d	8.11c	7.98c	0.89c	4.59d	0.21c	0.46c	0.31b
BRS 295RR	0.45b	4.21b	1.51a	6.38c	10.36b	9.60c	0.88c	7.50a	0.30c	0.56b	0.51a
TMG 1066RR	0.38c	3.51b	1.17b	6.81c	8.67c	7.93c	0.64c	8.74a	0.30c	0.40c	0.37b
BRS 245RR	0.45b	5.98a	1.52a	17.44a	10.58b	9.20c	1.10b	3.64d	0.56a	0.78a	0.58a
TMG 1067RR	0.43b	5.07a	1.49a	13.42b	11.59b	8.97c	1.42a	3.93d	0.42b	0.10d	0.39b
BRS 316RR	0.26c	3.24b	0.89b	10.09c	7.12c	5.39d	0.77c	2.67d	0.37b	0.46c	0.38b
FTS Campo Mourão RR	0.43b	4.36b	1.36a	11.39c	11.26b	10.34b	1.55a	3.02d	0.38b	0.09d	0.42b
BMX Apolo RR	0.35c	3.39b	1.04b	4.31d	6.70c	5.83d	0.56c	6.18b	0.23c	0.34c	0.42b
BMX Força RR	0.29d	2.74b	0.98b	5.38d	6.98c	6.77d	0.80c	8.64a	0.25c	0.42c	0.35b
BMX Potência RR	0.39c	3.86b	1.23b	6.82c	8.76c	9.20c	0.82c	10.51a	0.25c	0.50c	0.43b
BMX Turbo RR	0.43b	4.04b	1.26b	8.15c	9.92c	7.62c	0.88c	10.79a	0.29c	0.54c	0.52a
BRS 359RR	0.37c	3.30b	1.20b	7.73c	8.20c	7.50c	1.01c	10.89a	0.29c	0.59c	0.47a
BRS 360RR	0.34c	3.19b	1.05b	5.89d	7.59c	7.08d	0.65c	7.06b	0.24c	0.43c	0.33b
NA 5909RR	0.38c	3.49b	1.28b	4.96d	7.33c	7.12d	0.74c	9.66a	0.29c	0.45c	0.41b
NA 6262RR	0.36c	3.14b	1.06b	5.41d	7.67c	6.07d	0.63c	8.16a	0.33c	0.35c	0.47a
NEX 457 IPRO	0.38c	3.32b	1.12b	4.75d	7.57c	7.67c	0.92c	6.61b	0.28c	0.37c	0.43b
TMG 7161RR	0.43b	3.63b	1.19b	7.11c	9.06c	6.22d	0.73c	9.48a	0.38b	0.47 c	0.50a
TMG 7262RR	0.41b	3.56b	1.17b	6.88c	8.44c	6.29d	0.56c	8.29a	0.28c	0.46c	0.50a
VMAX RR	0.38c	3.70b	1.37a	5.65d	8.11c	7.35c	0.76c	11.07a	0.34c	0.49c	0.43b
BMX Magna RR	0.55a	5.53a	1.60a	13.87b	14.86a	14.15a	1.23b	2.80d	0.43b	0.15d	0.59a
Average	0.40	4.12	1.27	9.08	9.36	8.21	0.93	6.55	0.34	0.39	0.45
Minimum	0.26	2.74	0.89	4.31	6.70	5.39	0.56	2.67	0.21	0.09	0.31
Maximum	0.55	6.15	1.71	17.70	14.86	14.15	1.55	11.07	0.56	0.78	0.59
CV%	10,2	13,5	12,9	16,8	13,6	15,2	18,2	26,8	17,4	16,0	13,3
Means followed by differer	nt letters in the	e same column	differ by Scot	:t–Knott test at	: 5% probabilit	y.					

Conclusions

The selection of varieties and/or species of different agricultural characteristics, with higher concentration and greater nutrient uptake efficiency, can be an important alternative in the process of biofortification of food. Soybean is one of the most widely cultivated leguminous crops, being an important direct and indirect source of nutrients, including proteins and minerals. Great variability was observed in photosynthesis rate, chlorophyll content, seed yield (SY), and concentration and uptake of nutrients among the varieties. The NGM varieties had the highest N, Cu, and Mn concentrations and uptake (nutrient concentration in seed × seed weight per pot) of N, K, Cu, Fe, Mn, and Zn in the seeds, while GM varieties had the highest Ca concentrations. Varieties BRS 284 and BMX Magna RR had the highest nutrients concentration in the group with the highest NUE; the opposite was observed for BRS 294RR, BMX Apolo RR, BMX Força RR, BMX Potência, BRS 360RR, and NEX 457 IPRO, demonstrating that the use of NUE can be an appropriate strategy in the selection of varieties with different nutrients concentrations in the seeds for use as biofortification of foods.

Acknowledgments

The authors acknowledge Concita Campelo from Embrapa Western Amazon (CPAA) for the laboratory analyses.

Funding

The authors acknowledge the National Counsel of Technological and Scientific Development (CNPq) for granting the Productivity Research Scholarship to the first author.

References

- Allen, S. E., G. L. Terman, and L. B. Clements. 1976. *Greenhouse techniques for soil-plant fertilizer research*. Muscle Shoals, USA: Tennessee Valley Authority.
- Anderson, J. J. B. 2003. Phosphorus/physiology. In *Encyclopedia of food sciences and nutrition*, ed. B. Caballero, L. T. Trugo, and P. M. Finglas, 4539–46. Waltham, USA: Academic Press.
- Araújo, A. P., and M. G. Teixeira. 2012. Variability of nutrient harvest indices in common bean genotypes and their relationship with seed yield. *Revista Brasileira de Ciência do Solo* 36:137–46.
- Bottrill, D. E., J. V. Possingham, and P. E. Kriedemann. 1970. The effect of nutrient deficiencies on photosynthesis and respiration in spinach. *Plant and Soil* 32:424–38. doi:10.1007/BF01372881.
- Caballero, B., L. T. Trugo, and P. M. Finglas. 2003. *Encyclopedia of food sciences and nutrition*. Waltham, USA: Academic Press.
- Casé, F., R. Deliza, A. Rosenthal, D. Mantovani, and I. Felberg. 2005. Production of calcium enriched soymilk. *Ciência e Tecnologia de Alimentos* 25:86–91. doi:10.1590/S0101-20612005000100014.
- Cassel, D. K., and D. R. Nielsen. 1986. Field capacity and available water capacity. In *Methods of soil analysis: Physical and mineralogical methods*, ed. A. Klute, 901–26. Madison, USA: America Society of Agronomy.
- Childs, N. M. 1995. Functional foods and market entry. The World of Ingredients 1:36-9.
- EMBRAPA (Empresa Brasileira de Pesquisa Agropecuária). 1997. *Manual of Soil Analysis Methods*. 2nd ed. Rio de Janeiro: National Soil Research Center.
- Fageria, N. K. 1998. Optimizing nutrient use efficiency in crop production. *Revista Brasileira de Engenharia Agrícola e Ambiental* 2:6–16.
- Fageria, N. K., V. C. Baligar, and R. B. Clark. 2002. Micronutrients in crop production. Advances in Agronomy 77:85–268.
- Fageria, N. K., M. P. Barbosa Filho, and A. Moreira. 2008. Screening upland rice genotypes for manganese-use efficiency. *Communications in Soil Science and Plant Analysis* 39:2873–82. doi:10.1080/00103620802432790.
- Fageria, N. K., M. F. Moraes, E. P. B. Ferreira, and A. M. Knupp. 2012b. Biofortification of trace elements in food crops for human health. *Communications in Soil Science and Plant Analysis* 43:556–70. doi:10.1080/ 00103624.2012.639431.
- Fageria, N. K., A. Moreira, C. Castro, and M. F. Moraes. 2013. Optimal acidity indices for soybean production in Brazilian Oxisols. Communications in Soil Science and Plant Analysis 44:2941–51. doi:10.1080/ 00103624.2013.829484.
- Fageria, N. K., A. Moreira, and A. M. Coelho. 2012a. Nutrient uptake in dry bean genotypes. Communications in Soil Science and Plant Analysis 43:2289–302. doi:10.1080/00103624.2012.701689.

- Fairweather-Tait, S. J., and S. Southon. 2003. Bioavailability of nutrients. Norwich, UK: Elsevier Science.
- Fehr, W. R., C. E. Caviness, D. T. Burmood, and J. S. Pennington. 1971. Stage of development descriptions for soybeans, Glycine max (L.) Merrill1. Crop Science 11:929–31. doi:10.2135/cropsci1971.0011183X001100060051x.
- Fritschi, F. B., and J. D. Ray. 2007. Soybean leaf nitrogen, chlorophyll content, and chlorophyll a/b ratio. Photosynthetica 45:92–8. doi:10.1007/s11099-007-0014-4.
- Griffin, I. J. 2003. Magnesium. In Encyclopedia of food sciences and nutrition, ed. B. Caballero, L. T. Trugo, and P. M. Finglas, 3641–6. Waltham, USA: Academic Press.
- Hunt, C. D. 2003. Boron. In *Encyclopedia of food sciences and nutrition*, ed. B. Caballero, L. T. Trugo, and P. M. Finglas, 566-74. Waltham, USA: Academic Press.
- Johnson, M. A. 2003. Copper/physiology. In Encyclopedia of food sciences and nutrition, ed. B. Caballero, L. T. Trugo, and P. M. Finglas, 1640–7. Waltham, USA: Academic Press.
- Keen, C. L., and S. Zidenberg-Cherr. 2003. Manganese. In *Encyclopedia of food sciences and nutrition*, ed. B. Caballero, L. T. Trugo, and P. M. Finglas, 3686–91. Waltham, USA: Academic Press.
- Klevay, L. M. 1980. Interaction of cooper and zinc in cardiovascular disease. In *Micronutrient interactions: Vitamins minerals and hazardous elements*, ed. O. A. Levander, and L. Cheng, 40–51. New York, USA: The New York Academy of Science.
- Krishnan, H. B., S. Jang, W. S. Kim, M. S. Kerley, M. J. Oliver, and H. N. Trick. 2011. Biofortification of soybean meal: Immunological properties of the 27 kDa γ-Zein. *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry* 59:1223–8. doi:10.1021/ jf103613s.
- L'Abbé, M. R. 2003. Calcium/physiology. In *Encyclopedia of food sciences and nutrition*, ed. B. Caballero, L. T. Trugo, and P. M. Finglas, 771–9. Waltham, USA: Academic Press.
- Levander, O. A., and L. Cheng. 1980. *Micronutrient interactions: Vitamins minerals and hazardous elements.* New York, USA: The New York Academy of Science.
- Lynch, S. R. 2003. Iron/physiology. In *Encyclopedia of food sciences and nutrition*, ed. B. Caballero, L. T. Trugo, and P. M. Finglas, 3373–9. Waltham, USA: Academic Press.
- Malavolta, E., G. C. Vitti, and S. A. Oliveira. 1997. Evaluation of nutritional status of plants; principles and application. Piracicaba, Brazil: Associação Brasileira para Pesquisa da Potassa e do Fosfato.
- Moreira, A., N. K. Fageria, and A. Garcia Y Garcia. 2011. Effect of liming on the nutritional conditions and yield of alfalfa grown in tropical conditions. *Journal of Plant Nutrition* 34:1107–19. doi:10.1080/01904167.2011.558155.
- Mounts, T. L., W. J. Wolf, and W. H. Martinez. 1987. Processing and utilization. In Soybeans: Improvement, production and uses, ed. J. R. Wilcox, 819–66. Madison, WI: American Society of Agronomy.
- Navarro, M. P., and M. P. Vaquero. 2003. Potassium/physiology. In *Encyclopedia of food sciences and nutrition*, ed. B. Caballero, L. T. Trugo, and P. M. Finglas, 4650–8. Waltham, USA: Academic Press.
- Ramamurthy, R. K., J. Jedlicka, G. L. Graef, and B. M. Waters. 2014. Identification of new QTLs for seed mineral, cysteine, and methionine concentrations in soybean [Glycine max (L.) Merr.]. *Molecular Breeding* 34:431–45. doi:10.1007/s11032-014-0045-z.
- Rios, S. A. R., K. R. Alves, N. M. B. Costa, and H. S. D. Martino. 2009. Biofortification: Micronutrient enriched crops by genetic improvement. *Revista Ceres* 56:713–8.
- Ruz, M. 2003. Zinc/properties and determination. In *Encyclopedia of food sciences and nutrition*, ed. B. Caballero, L. T. Trugo, and P. M. Finglas, 6272–7. Waltham, USA: Academic Press.
- Scott, A. J., and M. A. A. Knott. 1974. A cluster analysis method for grouping means in the analysis of variance. *Biometrics* 30:507–12. doi:10.2307/2529204.
- Siddiqi, M. Y., Y. Chyan, and F. G. Freiji. 1994. Utilization index: A modified approach to the estimation and comparison of nutrient utilization efficiency in plants. *Journal of Plant Nutrition* 4:289–302. doi:10.1080/ 01904168109362919.
- Silva, M. S., M. M. V. Naves, R. B. Oliveira, and O. S. M. Leite. 2006. Chemical composition and protein value of the soybean residue in relation to the soybean grain. *Ciência Tecnologia de Alimentos* 26:571–6. doi:10.1590/S0101-20612006000300014.
- Simão, A. N. C., D. S. Barbosa, L. B. Nunes, P. Godeny, M. A. B. Lozovoy, and I. Dichi. 2008. The importance of soy ingestion in postmenopausal symptoms, osteoporosis, and cardiovascular diseases. Arquivos de Ciência da Saúde da UNIPAR 12:67–75.
- Sinclair, T. R. 1998. Historical changes in harvest index and crop nitrogen accumulation. *Crop Science* 38:638–43. doi:10.2135/cropsci1998.0011183X003800030002x.
- Smith, K. J., and W. Huyser. 1987. World distribution and significance of soybean. In Soybeans: Improvement, production and uses, ed. J. R. Wilcox, 1–22. Madison, WI: American Society of Agronomy.
- Taiz, L., and E. Zeiger. 2010. Plant physiology. New York, EUA: Sinauer Associates
- USDA United State Department of Agriculture. 2014. National Resources Conservation Service. Washington, DC: USDA.
- Welch, R. M., and R. D. Graham. 2002. Breeding crops for enhanced micronutrient content. *Plant and Soil* 245:205– 14. doi:10.1023/A:1020668100330.
- Welch, R. M., and R. D. Graham. 2004. Breeding for micronutrients in staple food crops from a human nutrition perspective. *Journal of Experimental Botany* 55:353–64. doi:10.1093/jxb/erh064.