

Search for R-parity violating decays of a top squark in proton–proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV

CMS Collaboration ^{*}

CERN, Switzerland



ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 13 February 2016
Received in revised form 7 June 2016
Accepted 17 June 2016
Available online 21 June 2016
Editor: M. Doser

Keywords:

CMS
Physics
Supersymmetry
Leptons
Low missing transverse energy

ABSTRACT

The results of a search for a supersymmetric partner of the top quark (top squark), pair-produced in proton–proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV, are presented. The search, which focuses on R-parity violating, chargino-mediated decays of the top squark, is performed in final states with low missing transverse momentum, two oppositely charged electrons or muons, and at least five jets. The analysis uses a data sample corresponding to an integrated luminosity of 19.7 fb^{-1} collected with the CMS detector at the LHC in 2012. The data are found to be in agreement with the standard model expectation, and upper limits are placed on the top squark pair production cross section at 95% confidence level. Assuming a 100% branching fraction for the top squark decay chain, $\tilde{t} \rightarrow t\tilde{\chi}_1^\pm, \tilde{\chi}_1^\pm \rightarrow \ell^\pm + jj$, top squark masses less than 890 (1000) GeV for the electron (muon) channel are excluded for the first time in models with a single nonzero R-parity violating coupling λ'_{ijk} ($i, j, k \leq 2$), where i, j, k correspond to the three generations.

© 2016 The Author(s). Published by Elsevier B.V. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>). Funded by SCOAP³.

1. Introduction

Supersymmetry (SUSY) [1,2] is an extension of the standard model (SM) that may provide a solution to the hierarchy problem [3,4]. In the SUSY framework, quadratically divergent radiative corrections to the Higgs boson mass, dominated by loops involving the top quark, are canceled by loops with a supersymmetric partner of the top quark (top squark). The mass of the top squark is expected to be within a few hundred GeV of the top quark mass, and the supersymmetric Higgs boson partners are also expected to have masses less than 1 TeV [5,6].

Searches for SUSY are performed in many decay channels and are classified into R-parity conserving (RPC) and R-parity violating (RPV) scenarios. The quantum number, R-parity, $P_R = (-1)^{3B+L+2s}$ has a value +1 for SM particles and −1 for superpartners, where B , L , and s are baryon number, lepton number, and spin, respectively [7]. In RPC models the top squark is expected to decay into the lightest SUSY particle, which escapes detection. This results in an event signature with substantial missing transverse momentum. Recent searches performed at the LHC at CERN in events with high missing transverse momentum have reduced the parameter space

available for a low mass top squark [8–13]. However, R-parity may not be conserved, in which case searches for SUSY particle decay-ing to SM particles without substantial missing transverse momentum are important.

The superpotential terms that result in R-parity violation are given by:

$$W_{\text{RPV}} = \frac{1}{2} \lambda_{ijk} L_i L_j \bar{E}_k + \lambda'_{ijk} L_i Q_j \bar{D}_k + \frac{1}{2} \lambda''_{ijk} \bar{U}_i \bar{D}_j \bar{D}_k + \mu_i L_i H_u; \quad (1)$$

where λ_{ijk} , λ'_{ijk} , and λ''_{ijk} are three trilinear Yukawa couplings; $i, j, k = 1, 2, 3$ are generation indices; L and Q are the $SU(2)_L$ doublet superfields of the lepton and quark; H_u is the Higgs field that gives mass to the up-type quarks; μ_i are the bilinear terms that mix lepton and Higgs superfields, and \bar{E} , \bar{D} , and \bar{U} are the $SU(2)_L$ singlet superfields of the charged lepton, down-type quark, and up-type quark. The third term violates the conservation of baryon number, while the first two violate the conservation of lepton number. If baryon number and lepton number were both violated, proton decay would proceed at a rate excluded by experimental observations [14,15]. To avoid these experimental constraints and to simplify the interpretation of results, it is commonly

^{*} E-mail address: cms-publication-committee-chair@cern.ch.




Fig. 1. Diagram for the R-parity violating, chargino-mediated decay of a top squark. The chargino decays to a lepton and two jets via an off-shell sneutrino with nonzero λ'_{ijk} coupling.

assumed that only one of the λ_{ijk} , λ'_{ijk} , or λ''_{ijk} couplings is different from zero. In this analysis only λ'_{ijk} couplings with $(i, j, k) \leq 2$ are considered.

In RPV SUSY models with the chargino $\tilde{\chi}_1^\pm$ lighter than the top squark and nonzero λ'_{ijk} , the top squark \tilde{t} can decay via $\tilde{t} \rightarrow b\tilde{\chi}_1^\pm$, with subsequent decay of the chargino to a lepton and two jets via an off-shell sneutrino ($\tilde{\chi}_1^\pm \rightarrow \ell^\pm + jj$) [16], as depicted in Fig. 1. The branching fraction of decay $\tilde{\chi}_1^\pm \rightarrow \nu + jj$ via an off-shell slepton will be negligible unless the slepton and sneutrino masses are comparable. The decay $\tilde{\chi}_1^\pm \rightarrow W^\pm \tilde{\chi}_1^0$ is suppressed for models with $\tilde{\chi}_1^\pm$ and $\tilde{\chi}_1^0$ almost degenerate in mass.

We perform a search for top squark decays, as depicted in Fig. 1, using proton–proton (pp) collisions at a center-of-mass energy of 8 TeV, corresponding to an integrated luminosity of 19.7 fb^{-1} , collected with the CMS detector at the LHC in 2012. As top squarks are expected to be dominantly pair-produced at the LHC [17], the search is performed using events with exactly two oppositely charged electrons ($e^\pm e^\mp$) or muons ($\mu^\pm \mu^\mp$), at least five jets of which one or more jets are identified as arising from hadronization of a bottom quark (b-tagged jet), and high S_T , where S_T is defined as the scalar sum of the transverse momenta of leptons and jets. As a consequence of the assumption that only one of the λ'_{ijk} couplings is nonzero, the two leptons must have opposite charge and the same flavor. Details of the event selection are described in Section 3.

The sensitivity of the $e^\pm e^\mp$ ($\mu^\pm \mu^\mp$) search does not depend on which of the four RPV couplings associated with the second operator LQD ($L_i Q_j \bar{D}_k$) in Eq. (1) are nonzero: λ'_{111} , λ'_{112} , λ'_{121} , and λ'_{122} (λ'_{211} , λ'_{212} , λ'_{221} , and λ'_{222}), because the final states and kinematic distributions are the same in each case. We expect that the searches have some sensitivity to models with third-generation couplings λ'_{311} , λ'_{312} , λ'_{321} , and λ'_{322} , via leptonic τ decays; however, we do not include this possible extra contribution in this paper. The difference $\Delta M_{\tilde{t}, \tilde{\chi}_1^\pm}$ between top squark mass $M_{\tilde{t}}$, and chargino mass $M_{\tilde{\chi}_1^\pm}$, is chosen to be 100 GeV, since this value is representative of the bulk of the $M_{\tilde{t}} - M_{\tilde{\chi}_1^\pm}$ parameter space where the signal reconstruction efficiency is slowly varying. This analysis does not attempt to quantify the decrease in efficiency (and signal sensitivity) in the regions of parameter space where either $\Delta M_{\tilde{t}, \tilde{\chi}_1^\pm}$ or $M_{\tilde{\chi}_1^\pm}$ is very small ($< 100 \text{ GeV}$).

Several searches for R-parity violating top squark decays via LQD couplings have been performed by the CMS [18–20] and ATLAS [21] Collaborations. These searches have focused on top squark pairs decaying via λ'_{132} couplings into final states of two leptons (e^\pm or μ^\pm) and two jets or two leptons (e^\pm or μ^\pm) and six jets, four of which are b-tagged jets [20,21]; via λ'_{3jk} couplings into a final state including two tau leptons and two b-tagged jets [19]; and via the λ'_{233} coupling into a final state including three leptons and additional jets [18]. The analysis described in this paper is the first search for R-parity violating top squark decays via purely first- or second-generation LQD couplings; in this case, the final states

are two leptons (e^\pm or μ^\pm) and six jets, two of which are b-tagged jets.

2. The CMS detector

A detailed description of the CMS detector, together with a definition of the coordinate system used, can be found elsewhere [22]. A notable feature of the CMS detector is its 6 m internal diameter superconducting solenoid magnet that provides a field of 3.8 T. Within the field volume are a silicon pixel and strip tracker, a lead tungstate crystal electromagnetic calorimeter, and a brass and scintillator hadron calorimeter. Muon detectors based on gas ionization chambers are embedded in a steel flux-return yoke located outside the solenoid. Events are collected by a two-layer trigger system based on a hardware level-1 trigger, followed by a software-based high-level trigger.

The pseudorapidity range covered by the tracking system is $|\eta| < 2.5$, the muon detector extends up to $|\eta| < 2.4$, and the calorimeters cover a region with $|\eta| < 3.0$. The region of $3 < |\eta| < 5$ is instrumented with steel and quartz fiber forward calorimeters. The hermeticity of the detector up to large values of $|\eta|$ permits accurate measurement of the momentum balance transverse to the beam direction.

3. Trigger and event selection

Events are selected using a trigger that requires at least one electron (muon) with a transverse momentum (p_T) threshold of 27 (24) GeV, and $|\eta| < 2.5$ (2.1). All objects are reconstructed using a particle-flow (PF) algorithm [23,24], which uses information from all subsystems to reconstruct photons, electrons, muons, charged hadrons, and neutral hadrons.

To reduce the background from jets containing leptons, we impose isolation constraints on the transverse energy $E_{T,\text{cone}}$ from charged-particle tracks or deposits in the calorimeter within a cone $\Delta R = \sqrt{(\Delta\eta)^2 + (\Delta\phi)^2} = 0.3$ (0.4) around the trajectory of the electron (muon), where ϕ is the azimuthal angle. The energy from the reconstructed lepton and the average transverse energy density from pileup are subtracted from $E_{T,\text{cone}}$, where pileup is defined as additional inelastic pp collisions within the same or the adjacent LHC bunch crossing. Tracking information together with calorimeter information is used to identify and subtract hadronic energy depositions from charged particles originating from pileup. The contributions to the neutral hadron and photon energy components due to pileup are also computed and subtracted. In the electron channel, the contributions to the neutral hadron and photon energy components due to pileup interactions are subtracted from $E_{T,\text{cone}}$ using the jet area technique [25], which computes the transverse energy density of neutral particles from the median of the neutral energy distribution in jets with $p_T > 3 \text{ GeV}$ on an event-by-event basis. In the muon channel, the method assumes the pileup energy density from neutral particles to be half of that from charged hadrons, based on measurements performed in jets [24].

Electrons are reconstructed by matching an energy cluster in the ECAL with a track reconstructed using a Gaussian sum filter [26]. Electrons are required to have $p_T > 50 \text{ GeV}$ and $|\eta| < 2.5$. The transition region between the ECAL barrel and endcap is excluded ($1.444 < |\eta| < 1.566$) because the calorimeter is not well modeled in this region. Electrons are identified using a multivariate identification algorithm [26], whose input variables are sensitive to bremsstrahlung along the electron path, matching between tracks and ECAL energy deposits, and shower-shape variables. The algorithm is trained with a sample of simulated Drell–Yan (DY) events that contains true electrons and a data sample enriched in

misidentified electrons. In addition, the transverse impact parameter of the electron track is required to be less than 2 mm. To reduce backgrounds that arise from photon conversions in the inner pixel detector, at least one pixel hit in the innermost pixel layer is required and the electron must be inconsistent with the hypothesis that it resulted from photon pair creation. We ensure that the electron is isolated from other activity in the event by requiring that $E_{T,\text{cone}}$ be less than 10% of the electron p_T .

Muon tracks are reconstructed using the information from the muon chambers and the silicon tracker and are required to be consistent with the reconstructed primary vertex. The tracks are required to have at least one hit in both the pixel tracker and muon detector, and at least ten hits in the silicon strip tracker to ensure a precise momentum measurement. Muons are required to have $p_T > 50$ GeV and $|\eta| < 2.1$. Most cosmic ray muons are rejected by requiring that the transverse (longitudinal) impact parameter be less than 2 (5) mm relative to the primary vertex, defined as the vertex with the largest sum of the p_T^2 from all tracks associated with it. Isolation is imposed by the requirement that $E_{T,\text{cone}}$ be less than 12% of the muon p_T [27].

The differences in lepton reconstruction and trigger efficiencies between data and simulation are corrected in simulation in bins of p_T and η , using a tag-and-probe method [28].

Jets are reconstructed from PF objects [29] using the anti- k_T clustering algorithm [30] with a distance parameter of 0.5. The tracker and ECAL granularity are exploited to precisely measure the charged particles, and hence to determine jet directions at the production vertex. To remove jets arising from instrumental and non-collision backgrounds, additional criteria on charged and neutral hadron energy are applied.

The energy and momentum of each jet are corrected as a function of the jet p_T and η to account for the combined response function of the calorimeters. The average energy from pileup is subtracted from the jet [31]. Only jets within $|\eta| < 2.4$ are considered. The corrected jet p_T must be at least 100 GeV for the leading jet, 50 GeV for the second-leading jet, and 30 GeV for the remaining jets. At least five jets are required in the event.

Events with at least one b-tagged jet are selected. The combined secondary-vertex algorithm [32] uses information from the track impact parameter and vertex information to discriminate between jets that originate from b quarks and jets from light-flavor quarks and gluons. The algorithm correctly identifies jets produced by the hadronization of a b quark (b jets) with an efficiency of approximately 70% and misidentifies jets from light-flavor quarks or gluons (charm quarks) at a rate of approximately 1% (20%) [32]. The b-tagging efficiency in the simulation is scaled to match the measured efficiency in data as a function of p_T , η , and the flavor of the jet.

The missing transverse momentum \vec{p}_T^{miss} in the event is defined as the projection of the negative vector sum of the momenta of all reconstructed PF candidates on the plane perpendicular to the beams. The magnitude of \vec{p}_T^{miss} in the event is referred to as E_T^{miss} . To suppress leptonic $t\bar{t}$ decays that often have significant E_T^{miss} because of the presence of neutrinos in the final state, E_T^{miss} is required to be less than 100 GeV. The dilepton mass $M_{\ell\ell}$, computed from the two lepton four-momenta, is required to be greater than 130 GeV, based on an optimization to reduce the contribution from low-mass resonances and Z boson decays.

To enhance the statistical significance, for each lepton flavor the sample is divided into three exclusive categories of jet multiplicity: $N_{\text{jets}} = 5, 6, \text{ or } \geq 7$. To improve the sensitivity to signal decays, we compute an S_T threshold S_T^{min} optimized for each top squark mass hypothesis and for each N_{jets} bin. The S_T^{min} is determined by max-

imizing the value of $S/\sqrt{S+B}$, where S and B are the number of expected signal and background events above S_T^{min} , respectively.

4. Simulation of background and signal events

Monte Carlo (MC) simulations of background and signal events are used to optimize the selection criteria for maximum signal sensitivity and to estimate backgrounds. The simulation of the hard-scattering event is performed using the leading-order (LO) matrix element event generator MADGRAPH 5 [33], unless noted otherwise. The CTEQ6L1 [34] set of parton distribution functions (PDF) is used to describe the proton structure. The simulation of the hard-scattering event is then passed to PYTHIA 6.426 [35] with the Z2* tune [36] to model the parton shower, hadronization, and the underlying event. A full simulation of the response of the CMS detector is performed using GEANT4 [37]. Additional simulated minimum bias events are overlaid to reproduce the effects of pileup.

The main SM backgrounds for this search are DY and $t\bar{t}$ pair production. Additional SM backgrounds, which include diboson (WW, WZ, and ZZ) and single top quark production, are small. The $t\bar{t}$ sample is generated with up to three additional partons, the DY events are produced with up to four additional partons, and the diboson samples are generated with up to two additional partons. Single top quark production (t -, s -, and tW -channels) is simulated with POWHEG v1.0 [38–42]. Simulated samples of $t\bar{t}$ and DY are normalized using cross sections computed at next-to-next-to-leading-order (NNLO) [43,44]. Cross sections computed at next-to-leading-order (NLO) [45,46] are used to normalize the single top quark and diboson samples.

The signal samples are generated using MADGRAPH 5, PYTHIA 6.426, and the CTEQ6L1 PDF set. The top squark pair production cross section is computed at NLO as a function of $M_{\tilde{t}}$, including soft gluon resummation at next-to-leading logarithm (NLL) [47–50]. The uncertainty in the cross section includes uncertainties associated with the renormalization and factorization scale, and the PDF set [51].

5. Background estimation

Corrections to the normalization of $t\bar{t}$ and DY simulations are estimated by examining background enriched samples in data. A summary of the selection criteria for the signal search region and the control regions, including selections on the dilepton mass, is presented in Table 1. Diboson and single top quark production yield small contributions to the background and are estimated from simulation. In simulated $t\bar{t}$ sample, events are reweighted so that the p_T of the top quark matches the data in a dedicated control sample [52].

The leptonic $t\bar{t}$ decays contribute to 89% of the total background. Since the signal produces only same-flavor leptons, we estimate the $t\bar{t}$ background from a control sample of $e^\pm\mu^\mp$ events after correcting it for the small contributions of DY, diboson, and single top events using simulations. We use this control sample to compute correction factors for the $t\bar{t}$ simulation for different jet multiplicities in the signal region. The $e^\pm\mu^\mp$ control sample is well modeled by the simulation, thus correction factors are statistically consistent with unity.

The Drell–Yan production constitutes approximately 8% of the SM background in the signal region, and is reduced by requiring at least one b-tagged jet. The contribution from this source is estimated using a control sample of two oppositely charged same-flavor leptons, which have an invariant mass $M_{\ell\ell}$ in the range 50–130 GeV. We perform a fit to the $M_{\ell\ell}$ distribution to estimate the number of DY events. The DY shape is obtained from

Table 1

Summary of the selection criteria for the signal region and the control regions. Data in the control regions described as $t\bar{t}$, DY normalization, and DY shape are used to estimate SM backgrounds in the signal region.

		Lepton selection	N_{jets}	$N_{\text{b-tags}}$
Signal region		$e^\pm e^\mp (\mu^\pm \mu^\mp)$, $M_{\ell\ell} > 130$ GeV	≥ 5	≥ 1
Control regions	$t\bar{t}$ shape	$e^\pm \mu^\mp$	≥ 5	≥ 1
	DY normalization	$e^\pm e^\mp (\mu^\pm \mu^\mp)$, $50 < M_{\ell\ell} < 130$ GeV	≥ 5	≥ 1
	DY shape	$e^\pm e^\mp (\mu^\pm \mu^\mp)$, $50 < M_{\ell\ell} < 130$ GeV	≥ 5	0

background-subtracted data using a DY-enriched sample with no b-tagged jets. The background from diboson decays including leptonic Z boson decays is estimated from simulation and is constrained in the fit. The $M_{\ell\ell}$ shape for the remaining backgrounds does not exhibit a Z boson mass peak, and is described by a linear function. The fit determines the number N_{DY} of DY events and the number of all other background events. To check that the procedure is insensitive to a potential signal contamination, we performed a fit with signal events included, and observed that the obtained N_{DY} is independent of the presence of the potential signal in the control sample. The ratio of N_{DY} from the fit to the simulated number of DY events is calculated for each value of N_{jets} and is used to correct the simulation. This correction factor ranges from 1.2 ± 0.1 to 2.1 ± 0.6 and increases with jet multiplicity.

We checked that the corrections to the DY normalization are valid in the signal region with $M_{\ell\ell} > 130$ GeV. We compared the numbers of events in different mass ranges using a DY-enriched sample with at least five jets and no b-tagged jets. The ratio of the number of events with $M_{\ell\ell}$ in the Z-peak (normalization region) to the number with $M_{\ell\ell}$ in the high-mass tail (signal region) is predicted from simulation to be 11.8 ± 0.4 and observed to be 14.0 ± 3.5 in data, in reasonable agreement.

6. Systematic uncertainties

We evaluate systematic uncertainties related to each background and to the signal reconstruction efficiency; these are summarized in Table 2.

Since the $t\bar{t}$ correction factor for the simulated sample is estimated from a control sample of $e^\pm \mu^\mp$ events in data, the systematic uncertainty in this background is given by the statistical uncertainty in the control sample. This uncertainty ranges from 10 to 50%, depending on the values of N_{jets} and of S_T^{min} . The uncertainties related to lepton trigger, identification, and isolation are negligible. For the small DY background, we take 50 (100)% of correction factor as the systematic uncertainty on the correction in 5 (≥ 6) jet bin(s). We assign a 30% uncertainty to the diboson and single top quark background contributions to account for the difference between the NLO theoretical calculation and the CMS measurements of the WW and ZZ cross sections [53] and the single top cross sections [54]. The statistical uncertainty due to the finite size of the simulated background samples is 10–30%, depending on the N_{jets} bin and S_T^{min} value.

The following systematic uncertainties in the signal efficiency are included: jet energy scale (5%) [31], jet b-tagging efficiencies (3%), integrated luminosity (2.6%) [55], lepton identification and reconstruction efficiency (3%), electron energy scale (2%), muon momentum scale (0.9%), and trigger efficiency (1%). Note that the effect of the b-tagging uncertainty on the signal prediction is evaluated by varying the efficiency and misidentification rates by their uncertainties [32,56] and the effect on the signal prediction. The uncertainty related to the lepton isolation requirement for signal events with many jets is estimated using a $t\bar{t}$ control sample selected as shown in Table 1, but with ≥ 7 jets, and is determined to be 5%. The uncertainty due to the limited size of the simulated signal sample varies from 2 to 7%. The impact of uncertainties related

Table 2

Systematic uncertainties for background and expected signal yields.

	Source	Uncertainty (%)
Background estimates	$t\bar{t}$ +jets	10–50
	Drell–Yan	50–100
	Diboson	30
	Single top quark	30
	MC statistics	10–30
	Jet energy scale	5
Expected signal yield	b tagging scale factor	1–3
	Integrated luminosity	2.6
	Lepton identification	3
	Electron energy scale	2
	Muon momentum scale	0.9
	Trigger efficiency	1
	Lepton isolation	5
	MC statistics	2–7

to the PDF set choice, modeling of the top quark p_T spectrum, and pileup modeling is determined to be negligible.

7. Results

Fig. 2 shows the observed distributions of jet multiplicity, the estimated background distributions, and the expected distributions for signals with a mass $M_{\tilde{t}}$ of either 300 GeV or 900 GeV. In Tables 3 and 4 we present the numbers of expected and observed events for each value of N_{jets} , for each $M_{\tilde{t}}$ hypothesis and corresponding S_T^{min} value. The signal expectations are based on NLO cross sections [51]. The data are in agreement with the SM expectation in each bin. The corresponding distributions are displayed graphically in Fig. 1 of the supplementary material.

We use these results to determine 95% confidence level (CL) limits, as a function of $M_{\tilde{t}}$, on the product of the top-squark pair-production cross section and the square of the branching fraction \mathcal{B} for the decay $\tilde{t} \rightarrow b\ell^\pm q\bar{q}$. We use the modified frequentist CL_s method [57] with profiling of nuisance parameters. For each $M_{\tilde{t}}$ hypothesis, the Poisson likelihoods of the three N_{jets} bins are combined. Systematic uncertainties are incorporated into the test statistic as nuisance parameters. The nuisance parameter probability density function (pdf) for the $t\bar{t}$ background normalization, which is estimated from background control regions containing limited numbers of events in high N_{jets} bins, is described by a gamma function. All other uncertainties are treated with log-normal pdfs. With the exception of uncertainties related to the finite size of a control sample, we assume the systematic uncertainties are fully correlated across different N_{jets} bins.

The observed and expected limits on the product of the cross section and the branching fraction squared are shown in Fig. 3. The green (yellow) band corresponds to a variation of one (two) standard deviation(s) on the expected limit. The dotted curve shows the signal cross section, with the width of the associated band showing the sensitivity to uncertainties in the renormalization




Fig. 2. Jet multiplicity distributions for e^+e^- (left) and $\mu^+\mu^-$ (right) for selections optimized for $M_{\tilde{t}}$ hypotheses of 300 GeV (top) and 900 GeV (bottom). The expected signal is shown by an open histogram superimposed on the expected SM background. The asymmetric error bars indicate the central confidence intervals for Poisson-distributed data. The systematic uncertainties for the SM contributions are indicated by hatched bands. Under each histogram is shown a plot in gray as the ratio of difference of data from background expectation to the sum of their uncertainties, including the systematic uncertainties in background expectation.




Fig. 3. Observed and expected 95% CL upper limits on the product of the cross section and the branching fraction (B) squared, for e^+e^- (left) and $\mu^+\mu^-$ (right). The green (inner) and yellow (outer) bands show the 1 s.d. and 2 s.d. uncertainty ranges in the expected limits, respectively. The dotted curve shows the expected top squark cross section computed at NLO+NLL. The difference $M_{\tilde{t}} - M_{\tilde{\chi}_1^0}$ is assumed to be 100 GeV for the signal model. (For interpretation of the references to color in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)

and factorization scales and the PDF uncertainties [51]. Comparing the observed cross section limits to the signal cross section, we exclude top squarks with masses less than 890 (1000) GeV for the electron (muon) channel. The expected mass exclusion is 950 (970) GeV for the electron (muon) channel.

These cross section limits strictly apply to models with mass difference $\Delta M_{\tilde{t}, \tilde{\chi}_1^0} = 100$ GeV; however, the sensitivities for models with $\Delta M_{\tilde{t}, \tilde{\chi}_1^0} > 50$ GeV are similar. The mass exclusions assume $B = 100\%$. As described earlier, the limits for the electron channel apply equally to models with nonzero λ'_{111} , λ'_{112} , λ'_{121} , or λ'_{122}

and the limits for the muon channel apply equally to models with nonzero λ'_{211} , λ'_{212} , λ'_{221} , or λ'_{222} . Because the coupling strength does not affect the production cross section and the branching fraction is assumed to be 100%, the value of λ'_{ijk} is not important as long as it is sufficiently large to ensure that the sneutrino decays promptly. For coupling values smaller than 10^{-5} , the decay lengths are of order 1 mm or greater, resulting in a decreased signal reconstruction efficiency and sensitivity. These are the first limits on chargino-mediated top squark decays via a single LQD coupling λ'_{ijk} with $(i, j, k \leq 2)$.

Table 3

Observed events, estimated background, and expected signal yields, for $N_{\text{jets}} = 5, 6$, and ≥ 7 , along with the optimized value of S_T^{\min} , for different $M_{\tilde{\tau}}$ in the electron channel. The signal and background uncertainties include both statistical and systematic contributions.

$M_{\tilde{\tau}}$ (GeV)	N_{jets}	S_T^{\min} (GeV)	Data	Estimated background	Expected signal	Signal efficiency (%)
300	5	325	39	38.1 ± 5.9	622 ± 49	2.4 ± 0.2
300	6	325	13	9.0 ± 3.3	442 ± 41	1.8 ± 0.1
300	≥ 7	325	4	2.9 ± 1.7	266 ± 33	0.9 ± 0.1
400	5	525	27	28.7 ± 5.6	256 ± 14	5.6 ± 0.2
400	6	325	13	9.0 ± 3.3	245 ± 13	5.3 ± 0.2
400	≥ 7	325	4	2.9 ± 1.7	180 ± 11	3.8 ± 0.2
500	5	725	12	14.1 ± 3.3	69.2 ± 3.3	6.0 ± 0.2
500	6	675	9	5.3 ± 2.5	88.1 ± 3.7	7.9 ± 0.3
500	≥ 7	675	4	2.2 ± 1.4	89.7 ± 3.8	8.1 ± 0.3
600	5	925	1	3.4 ± 1.1	19.0 ± 0.9	5.8 ± 0.2
600	6	875	3	2.7 ± 1.0	28.8 ± 1.1	8.9 ± 0.3
600	≥ 7	825	4	1.8 ± 0.9	38.7 ± 1.3	11.6 ± 0.3
700	5	1025	1	1.6 ± 0.5	7.1 ± 0.3	6.6 ± 0.2
700	6	975	2	1.3 ± 0.5	10.5 ± 0.4	9.6 ± 0.3
700	≥ 7	975	2	1.1 ± 0.6	14.8 ± 0.5	13.6 ± 0.3
800	5	1225	1	0.4 ± 0.2	2.7 ± 0.1	7.0 ± 0.2
800	6	1175	0	0.4 ± 0.2	3.6 ± 0.2	9.5 ± 0.3
800	≥ 7	1075	2	0.7 ± 0.4	5.7 ± 0.2	15.1 ± 0.4
900	5	1325	1	0.2 ± 0.1	1.0 ± 0.1	6.7 ± 0.3
900	6	1375	0	0.2 ± 0.1	1.5 ± 0.1	10.1 ± 0.3
900	≥ 7	1375	1	0.2 ± 0.1	2.4 ± 0.1	16.4 ± 0.4
1000	5	1475	0	0.06 ± 0.07	0.34 ± 0.10	5.7 ± 0.2
1000	6	1425	0	0.18 ± 0.10	0.61 ± 0.09	10.6 ± 0.3
1000	≥ 7	1525	0	0.05 ± 0.06	0.98 ± 0.09	16.6 ± 0.4
1100	5	1475	0	0.06 ± 0.07	0.12 ± 0.04	5.3 ± 0.2
1100	6	1425	0	0.18 ± 0.10	0.26 ± 0.04	11.2 ± 0.3
1100	≥ 7	1525	0	0.05 ± 0.06	0.42 ± 0.04	17.6 ± 0.4

Table 4

Observed events, estimated background, and expected signal yields, for $N_{\text{jets}} = 5, 6$, and ≥ 7 , along with the optimized value of S_T^{\min} , for different $M_{\tilde{\tau}}$ in the muon channel. The signal and background uncertainties include both statistical and systematic contributions.

$M_{\tilde{\tau}}$ (GeV)	N_{jets}	S_T^{\min} (GeV)	Data	Estimated background	Expected signal	Signal efficiency (%)
300	5	475	43	46.4 ± 7.2	696 ± 52	2.5 ± 0.2
300	6	475	10	11.3 ± 3.8	450 ± 43	1.7 ± 0.1
300	≥ 7	325	4	4.1 ± 1.9	261 ± 33	0.9 ± 0.1
400	5	525	39	36.8 ± 7.2	266 ± 13	5.4 ± 0.2
400	6	525	10	10.8 ± 3.9	281 ± 14	5.3 ± 0.2
400	≥ 7	325	4	4.1 ± 1.9	223 ± 12	4.3 ± 0.2
500	5	725	16	16.0 ± 3.8	81.1 ± 4.0	6.3 ± 0.3
500	6	675	9	7.3 ± 3.2	114.4 ± 4.8	8.8 ± 0.3
500	≥ 7	675	3	3.1 ± 1.6	101.8 ± 4.5	8.3 ± 0.3
600	5	875	5	5.2 ± 1.5	23.7 ± 1.1	6.6 ± 0.3
600	6	825	5	4.6 ± 1.6	36.0 ± 1.3	10.0 ± 0.3
600	≥ 7	825	2	2.4 ± 1.0	44.2 ± 1.5	12.3 ± 0.3
700	5	1075	2	1.3 ± 0.4	7.7 ± 0.4	6.3 ± 0.2
700	6	975	4	2.4 ± 0.8	13.2 ± 0.5	11.2 ± 0.3
700	≥ 7	975	2	1.0 ± 0.5	17.8 ± 0.5	14.9 ± 0.4
800	5	1175	0	0.9 ± 0.3	2.9 ± 0.2	6.8 ± 0.3
800	6	1175	2	0.8 ± 0.3	4.5 ± 0.2	10.6 ± 0.3
800	≥ 7	1125	1	0.4 ± 0.3	7.3 ± 0.2	17.6 ± 0.4
900	5	1475	0	0.1 ± 0.1	0.9 ± 0.1	5.6 ± 0.2
900	6	1325	0	0.4 ± 0.2	1.8 ± 0.1	11.0 ± 0.3
900	≥ 7	1175	1	0.4 ± 0.3	2.9 ± 0.1	18.1 ± 0.4
1000	5	1575	0	0.07 ± 0.06	0.4 ± 0.1	5.9 ± 0.2
1000	6	1525	0	0.01 ± 0.04	0.6 ± 0.1	10.0 ± 0.3
1000	≥ 7	1425	0	0.25 ± 0.16	1.2 ± 0.1	18.9 ± 0.4
1100	5	1575	0	0.07 ± 0.06	0.13 ± 0.04	5.2 ± 0.3
1100	6	1525	0	0.01 ± 0.04	0.25 ± 0.04	9.9 ± 0.3
1100	≥ 7	1425	0	0.25 ± 0.16	0.50 ± 0.04	19.7 ± 0.4

8. Summary

A search for new phenomena using events with two oppositely charged electrons or muons, at least five jets, with at least one b-tagged jet, and low missing transverse momentum has been performed. No excess over the estimated background is observed. The results are interpreted in the framework of chargino-mediated, R-parity violating top squark decays, assuming a 100% branching fraction for the top squark decay chain, $\tilde{t} \rightarrow b\tilde{\chi}_1^\pm, \tilde{\chi}_1^\pm \rightarrow \ell^\pm + j$. In models with a single nonzero λ'_{ijk} coupling with $(i, j, k \leq 2)$, the results exclude top squarks with mass less than 890 (1000) GeV for the electron (muon) channel at 95% confidence level. These limits are the first obtained for this model.

Acknowledgements

We congratulate our colleagues in the CERN accelerator departments for the excellent performance of the LHC and thank the technical and administrative staffs at CERN and at other CMS institutes for their contributions to the success of the CMS effort. In addition, we gratefully acknowledge the computing centers and personnel of the Worldwide LHC Computing Grid for delivering so effectively the computing infrastructure essential to our analyses. Finally, we acknowledge the enduring support for the construction and operation of the LHC and the CMS detector provided by the following funding agencies: BMWFW and FWF (Austria); FNRS and FWO (Belgium); CNPq, CAPES, FAPERJ, and FAPESP (Brazil); MES (Bulgaria); CERN; CAS, MOST, and NSFC (China); COLCIENCIAS (Colombia); MSES and CSF (Croatia); RPF (Cyprus); MoER, ERC IUT and ERDF (Estonia); Academy of Finland, MEC, and HIP (Finland); CEA and CNRS/IN2P3 (France); BMBF, DFG, and HGF (Germany); GSRT (Greece); OTKA and NIH (Hungary); DAE and DST (India); IPM (Iran); SFI (Ireland); INFN (Italy); MSIP and NRF (Republic of Korea); LAS (Lithuania); MOE and UM (Malaysia); CINVESTAV, CONACYT, SEP, and UASLP-FAI (Mexico); MBIE (New Zealand); PAEC (Pakistan); MSHE and NSC (Poland); FCT (Portugal); JINR (Dubna); MON, RosAtom, RAS and RFBR (Russia); MESTD (Serbia); SEIDI and CPAN (Spain); Swiss Funding Agencies (Switzerland); MST (Taipei); ThEPCenter, IPST, STAR and NSTDA (Thailand); TUBITAK and TAEK (Turkey); NASU and SFFR (Ukraine); STFC (United Kingdom); DOE and NSF (USA).

Individuals have received support from the Marie-Curie program and the European Research Council and EPLANET (European Union); the Leventis Foundation; the A.P. Sloan Foundation; the Alexander von Humboldt Foundation; the Belgian Federal Science Policy Office; the Fonds pour la Formation à la Recherche dans l'Industrie et dans l'Agriculture (FRIA-Belgium); the Agentschap voor Innovatie door Wetenschap en Technologie (IWT-Belgium); the Ministry of Education, Youth and Sports (MEYS) of the Czech Republic; the Council of Science and Industrial Research, India; the HOMING PLUS program of the Foundation for Polish Science, cofinanced from European Union, Regional Development Fund; the OPUS program of the National Science Center (Poland); the Compagnia di San Paolo (Torino); MIUR project 20108T4XTM (Italy); the Thalís and Aristeia programs cofinanced by EU-ESF and the Greek NSRF; the National Priorities Research Program by Qatar National Research Fund; the Rachadapisek Sompot Fund for Post-doctoral Fellowship, Chulalongkorn University (Thailand); the Chulalongkorn Academic into Its 2nd Century Project Advancement Project (Thailand); and the Welch Foundation, contract C-1845.

Appendix A. Supplementary material

Supplementary material related to this article can be found online at <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2016.06.039>.

References

- [1] P. Fayet, S. Ferrara, Supersymmetry, Phys. Rep. 32 (1977) 249, [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0370-1573\(77\)90066-7](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0370-1573(77)90066-7).
- [2] S.P. Martin, A supersymmetry primer, Adv. Ser. Dir. High Energy Phys. 21 (2010) 1, http://dx.doi.org/10.1142/9789814307505_0001, arXiv:hep-ph/9709356.
- [3] S. Dimopoulos, G.F. Giudice, Naturalness constraints in supersymmetric theories with nonuniversal soft terms, Phys. Lett. B 357 (1995) 573, [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0370-2693\(95\)00961-J](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0370-2693(95)00961-J), arXiv:hep-ph/9507282.
- [4] B. de Carlos, J.A. Casas, One-loop analysis of the electroweak breaking in supersymmetric models and the fine-tuning problem, Phys. Lett. B 309 (1993) 320, [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0370-2693\(93\)90940-J](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0370-2693(93)90940-J), arXiv:hep-ph/9303291.
- [5] M. Papucci, J.T. Ruderman, A. Weiner, Natural SUSY endures, J. High Energy Phys. 09 (2012) 035, [http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/JHEP09\(2012\)035](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/JHEP09(2012)035), arXiv:1110.6926.
- [6] R. Kitano, Y. Nomura, Supersymmetry, naturalness, and signatures at the CERN LHC, Phys. Rev. D 73 (2006) 095004, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.73.095004>, arXiv:hep-ph/0602096.
- [7] R. Barbier, C. Berat, M. Besancon, M. Chemtob, A. Deandrea, E. Dudas, P. Fayet, S. Lavignac, G. Moreau, E. Perez, Y. Sirois, R-parity violating supersymmetry, Phys. Rep. 420 (2005) 1, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.physrep.2005.08.006>, arXiv:hep-ph/0406039.
- [8] CMS Collaboration, Search for top-squark and higgsino production using diphoton Higgs boson decays, Phys. Rev. Lett. 112 (2014) 161802, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.112.161802>, arXiv:1312.3310.
- [9] CMS Collaboration, Search for top-squark pairs decaying into Higgs or Z bosons in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV, Phys. Lett. B 736 (2014) 371, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2014.07.053>, arXiv:1405.3886.
- [10] CMS Collaboration, Search for top-squark pair production in the single-lepton final state in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV, Eur. Phys. J. C 73 (2013) 2677, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1140/epjc/s10052-013-2677-2>, arXiv:1308.1586.
- [11] ATLAS Collaboration, Search for direct pair production of the top squark in all-hadronic final states in proton–proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV with the ATLAS detector, J. High Energy Phys. 09 (2014) 015, [http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/JHEP09\(2014\)015](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/JHEP09(2014)015), arXiv:1406.1122.
- [12] ATLAS Collaboration, Search for direct top squark pair production in final states with two leptons in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV with the ATLAS detector, J. High Energy Phys. 06 (2014) 124, [http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/JHEP06\(2014\)124](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/JHEP06(2014)124), arXiv:1403.4853.
- [13] ATLAS Collaboration, Search for top-squark pair production in final states with one isolated lepton, jets, and missing transverse momentum in $\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV pp collisions with the ATLAS detector, J. High Energy Phys. 11 (2014) 118, [http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/JHEP11\(2014\)118](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/JHEP11(2014)118), arXiv:1407.0583.
- [14] H. Nishino, et al., Super-Kamiokande Collaboration, Search for proton decay via $p \rightarrow e^+ \pi^0$ and $p \rightarrow \mu^+ \pi^0$ in a large water Cherenkov detector, Phys. Rev. Lett. 102 (2009) 141801, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.102.141801>, arXiv:0903.0676.
- [15] K. Abe, et al., Super-Kamiokande Collaboration, Search for proton decay via $p \rightarrow \nu k^+$ using 260 kiloton-year data of Super-Kamiokande, Phys. Rev. D 90 (2014) 072005, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.90.072005>, arXiv:1408.1195.
- [16] J.A. Evans, Y. Kats, LHC coverage of RPV MSSM with light stops, J. High Energy Phys. 04 (2013) 028, [http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/JHEP04\(2013\)028](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/JHEP04(2013)028), arXiv:1209.0764.
- [17] J. Germer, W. Hollik, J.M. Lindertb, E. Mirabellaa, Top-squark pair production at the LHC: a complete analysis at next-to-leading order, J. High Energy Phys. 09 (2014) 22, [http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/JHEP09\(2014\)022](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/JHEP09(2014)022), arXiv:1404.5572.
- [18] CMS Collaboration, Search for top-squarks in R-parity-violating supersymmetry using three or more leptons and b-tagged jets, Phys. Rev. Lett. 111 (2013) 221801, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.111.221801>, arXiv:1306.6643.
- [19] CMS Collaboration, Search for pair production of third-generation scalar leptosquarks and top squarks in proton–proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV, Phys. Lett. B 739 (2014) 229, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2014.10.063>, arXiv:1408.0806.
- [20] CMS Collaboration, Search for pair production of first and second generation leptosquarks in proton–proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV, Phys. Rev. D 93 (2016) 032004, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.93.032004>, arXiv:1509.03744.
- [21] ATLAS Collaboration, A search for $B - L$ R-Parity violating scalar top decays in $\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV pp collisions with the ATLAS experiment, Technical Report ATLAS-CONF-2015-015, CERN, Geneva, 2015. URL: <https://cds.cern.ch/record/2002885>.
- [22] CMS Collaboration, The CMS experiment at the CERN LHC, J. Instrum. 3 (2008) S08004, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1748-0221/3/08/S08004>.
- [23] CMS Collaboration, Commissioning of the particle-flow event reconstruction with the first LHC collisions recorded in the CMS detector, CMS Physics Analysis Summary CMS-PAS-PFT-10-001, CERN, 2010. URL: <http://cdsweb.cern.ch/record/1247373>.
- [24] CMS Collaboration, Commissioning of the particle-flow reconstruction in minimum-bias and jet events from pp collisions at 7 TeV, CMS Physics Analysis Summary CMS-PAS-PFT-10-002, CERN, 2010. URL: <http://cdsweb.cern.ch/record/1279341>.

- [25] M. Cacciari, G.P. Salam, Pileup subtraction using jet areas, *Phys. Lett. B* 659 (2008) 119, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2007.09.077>, arXiv:0707.1378.
- [26] CMS Collaboration, Performance of electron reconstruction and selection with the CMS detector in proton–proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV, *J. Instrum.* 10 (2015) P06005, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1748-0221/10/06/P06005>, arXiv:1502.02701.
- [27] CMS Collaboration, Performance of CMS muon reconstruction in pp collision events at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV, *J. Instrum.* 7 (2012) P10002, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1748-0221/7/10/P10002>.
- [28] CMS Collaboration, Measurements of inclusive W and Z cross sections in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV, *J. High Energy Phys.* 01 (2011) 080, [http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/JHEP01\(2011\)080](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/JHEP01(2011)080).
- [29] CMS Collaboration, Particle-flow event reconstruction in CMS and performance for jets, taus, and $E_{\text{T}}^{\text{miss}}$, CMS Physics Analysis Summary CMS-PAS-PFT-09-001, CERN, 2009. URL: <http://cdsweb.cern.ch/record/1194487>.
- [30] M. Cacciari, G.P. Salam, G. Soyez, The anti- k_t jet clustering algorithm, *J. High Energy Phys.* 04 (2008) 063, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1126-6708/2008/04/063>, arXiv:0802.1189.
- [31] CMS Collaboration, Determination of jet energy calibration and transverse momentum resolution in CMS, *J. Instrum.* 6 (2011) P11002, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1748-0221/6/11/P11002>, arXiv:1107.4277.
- [32] CMS Collaboration, Identification of b-quark jets with the CMS experiment, *J. Instrum.* 8 (2013) P04013, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1748-0221/8/04/P04013>, arXiv:1211.4462.
- [33] J. Alwall, M. Herquet, F. Maltoni, O. Mattelaer, T. Stelzer, MadGraph 5: going beyond, *J. High Energy Phys.* 06 (2011) 128, [http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/JHEP06\(2011\)128](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/JHEP06(2011)128), arXiv:1106.0522.
- [34] J. Pumplin, D.R. Stump, J. Huston, H.-L. Lai, P. Nadolsky, W.-K. Tung, New generation of parton distributions with uncertainties from global QCD analysis, *J. High Energy Phys.* 07 (2002) 012, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1126-6708/2002/07/012>, arXiv:hep-ph/0201195.
- [35] T. Sjöstrand, S. Mrenna, P. Skands, PYTHIA 6.4 physics and manual, *J. High Energy Phys.* 05 (2006) 26, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1126-6708/2006/05/026>, arXiv:hep-ph/0603175.
- [36] CMS Collaboration, Study of the underlying event at forward rapidity in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 0.9, 2.76$, and 7 TeV, *J. High Energy Phys.* 04 (2013) 072, [http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/JHEP04\(2013\)072](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/JHEP04(2013)072), arXiv:1302.2394.
- [37] S. Agostinelli, et al., GEANT4 Collaboration, Geant4—a simulation toolkit, *Nucl. Instrum. Methods A* 506 (2003) 250, [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0168-9002\(03\)01368-8](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0168-9002(03)01368-8).
- [38] S. Alioli, P. Nason, C. Oleari, E. Re, NLO single-top production matched with shower in POWHEG: s- and t-channel contributions, *J. High Energy Phys.* 09 (2009) 111, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1126-6708/2009/09/111>, arXiv:0907.4076. Erratum: [http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/JHEP02\(2010\)011](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/JHEP02(2010)011).
- [39] E. Re, Single-top tW-channel production matched with parton showers using the POWHEG method, *Eur. Phys. J. C* 71 (2011) 1547, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1140/epjc/s10052-011-1547-z>, arXiv:1009.2450.
- [40] P. Nason, A new method for combining NLO QCD with shower Monte Carlo algorithms, *J. High Energy Phys.* 11 (2004) 040, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1126-6708/2004/11/040>, arXiv:hep-ph/0409146.
- [41] S. Frixione, P. Nason, C. Oleari, Matching NLO QCD computations with parton shower simulations: the POWHEG method, *J. High Energy Phys.* 11 (2007) 070, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1126-6708/2007/11/070>, arXiv:0709.2092.
- [42] S. Alioli, P. Nason, C. Oleari, E. Re, A general framework for implementing NLO calculations in shower Monte Carlo programs: the POWHEG BOX, *J. High Energy Phys.* 06 (2010) 043, [http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/JHEP06\(2010\)043](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/JHEP06(2010)043), arXiv:1002.2581.
- [43] M. Czakon, P. Fiedler, A. Mitov, Total top-quark pair-production cross section at hadron colliders through $O(\alpha_s^4)$, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 110 (2013) 252004, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.110.252004>, arXiv:1303.6254.
- [44] R. Gavin, Y. Li, F. Petriello, S. Quackenbush, FEWZ 2.0: a code for hadronic Z production at next-to-next-to-leading order, *Comput. Phys. Commun.* 182 (2011) 2388, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cpc.2011.06.008>, arXiv:1011.3540.
- [45] N. Kidonakis, R. Vogt, Theoretical top quark cross section at the Fermilab Tevatron and the CERN LHC, *Phys. Rev. D* 78 (2008) 074005, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.78.074005>, arXiv:0805.3844.
- [46] J.M. Campbell, R.K. Ellis, C. Williams, Vector boson pair production at the LHC, *J. High Energy Phys.* 07 (2011) 018, [http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/JHEP07\(2011\)018](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/JHEP07(2011)018), arXiv:1105.0020.
- [47] W. Beenakker, R. Höpker, M. Spira, P.M. Zerwas, Squark and gluino production at hadron colliders, *Nucl. Phys. B* 492 (1997) 51, [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0550-3213\(97\)80027-2](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0550-3213(97)80027-2), arXiv:hep-ph/9610490.
- [48] A. Kulesza, L. Motyka, Threshold resummation for squark–antisquark and gluino–pair production at the LHC, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 102 (2009) 111802, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.102.111802>, arXiv:0807.2405.
- [49] A. Kulesza, L. Motyka, Soft gluon resummation for the production of gluino–gluino and squark–antisquark pairs at the LHC, *Phys. Rev. D* 80 (2009) 095004, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.80.095004>, arXiv:0905.4749.
- [50] W. Beenakker, S. Brensing, M. Krämer, A. Kulesza, E. Laenen, I. Niessen, Soft-gluon resummation for squark and gluino hadroproduction, *J. High Energy Phys.* 12 (2009) 041, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1126-6708/2009/12/041>, arXiv:0909.4418.
- [51] M. Krämer, A. Kulesza, R. van der Leeuw, M. Mangano, S. Padhi, T. Plehn, X. Portell, Supersymmetry production cross sections in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV, arXiv:1206.2892, 2012, CERN-PH-TH/2012-163.
- [52] CMS Collaboration, Measurement of the differential cross section for top quark pair production in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV, *Eur. Phys. J. C* 75 (2015) 542, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1140/epjc/s10052-015-3709-x>, arXiv:1505.04480.
- [53] CMS Collaboration, Measurement of W^+W^- and ZZ production cross sections in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV, *Phys. Lett. B* 721 (2013) 190, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2013.03.027>, arXiv:1301.4698.
- [54] CMS Collaboration, Measurement of the t-channel single-top-quark production cross section and of the $|V_{tb}|$ CKM matrix element in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV, *J. High Energy Phys.* 06 (2015) 090, [http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/JHEP06\(2015\)090](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/JHEP06(2015)090), arXiv:1403.7366.
- [55] CMS Collaboration, CMS luminosity based on pixel cluster counting—Summer 2013 update, CMS Physics Analysis Summary CMS-PAS-LUM-13-001, CERN, 2013. URL: <https://cds.cern.ch/record/1598864>.
- [56] CMS Collaboration, Performance of b tagging at $\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV in multijet, $t\bar{t}$ and boosted topology events, CMS Physics Analysis Summary CMS-PAS-BTV-13-001, CERN, 2013. URL: <http://cdsweb.cern.ch/record/1581306>.
- [57] ATLAS Collaboration, CMS Collaboration, LHC Higgs Combination Group, Procedure for the LHC Higgs boson search combination in Summer 2011, Technical Report ATL-PHYS-PUB-2011-11, CMS NOTE 2011/005, CERN, 2011. URL: <http://cdsweb.cern.ch/record/1379837>.

CMS Collaboration

V. Khachatryan, A.M. Sirunyan, A. Tumasyan

Yerevan Physics Institute, Yerevan, Armenia

W. Adam, E. Asilar, T. Bergauer, J. Brandstetter, E. Brondolin, M. Dragicevic, J. Erö, M. Flechl, M. Friedl, R. Frühwirth¹, V.M. Ghete, C. Hartl, N. Hörmann, J. Hrubec, M. Jeitler¹, V. Knünz, A. König, M. Krammer¹, I. Krätschmer, D. Liko, T. Matsushita, I. Mikulec, D. Rabady², B. Rahbaran, H. Rohringer, J. Schieck¹, R. Schöffbeck, J. Strauss, W. Treberer-Treberspur, W. Waltenberger, C.-E. Wulz¹

Institut für Hochenergiephysik der OeAW, Wien, Austria

V. Mossolov, N. Shumeiko, J. Suarez Gonzalez

National Centre for Particle and High Energy Physics, Minsk, Belarus

S. Alderweireldt, T. Cornelis, E.A. De Wolf, X. Janssen, A. Knutsson, J. Lauwers,
S. Luyckx, M. Van De Klundert, H. Van Haevermaet, P. Van Mechelen, N. Van Remortel,
A. Van Spilbeeck

Universiteit Antwerpen, Antwerpen, Belgium

S. Abu Zeid, F. Blekman, J. D'Hondt, N. Daci, I. De Bruyn, K. Deroover, N. Heracleous, J. Keaveney,
S. Lowette, L. Moreels, A. Olbrechts, Q. Python, D. Strom, S. Tavernier, W. Van Doninck,
P. Van Mulders, G.P. Van Onsem, I. Van Parijs

Vrije Universiteit Brussel, Brussel, Belgium

P. Barria, H. Brun, C. Caillol, B. Clerbaux, G. De Lentdecker, G. Fasanella, L. Favart, A. Grebenyuk,
G. Karapostoli, T. Lenzi, A. Léonard, T. Maerschalk, A. Marinov, L. Perniè, A. Randle-conde, T. Seva,
C. Vander Velde, P. Vanlaer, R. Yonamine, F. Zenoni, F. Zhang³

Université Libre de Bruxelles, Bruxelles, Belgium

K. Beernaert, L. Benucci, A. Cimmino, S. Crucy, D. Dobur, A. Fagot, G. Garcia, M. Gul, J. McCartin,
A.A. Ocampo Rios, D. Poyraz, D. Ryckbosch, S. Salva, M. Sigamani, M. Tytgat, W. Van Driessche,
E. Yazgan, N. Zaganidis

Ghent University, Ghent, Belgium

S. Basegmez, C. Beluffi⁴, O. Bondu, S. Brochet, G. Bruno, A. Caudron, L. Ceard, G.G. Da Silva,
C. Delaere, D. Favart, L. Forthomme, A. Giammanco⁵, J. Hollar, A. Jafari, P. Jez, M. Komm, V. Lemaitre,
A. Mertens, M. Musich, C. Nuttens, L. Perrini, A. Pin, K. Piotrkowski, A. Popov⁶, L. Quertenmont,
M. Selvaggi, M. Vidal Marono

Université Catholique de Louvain, Louvain-la-Neuve, Belgium

N. Beliy, G.H. Hammad

Université de Mons, Mons, Belgium

W.L. Aldá Júnior, F.L. Alves, G.A. Alves, L. Brito, M. Correa Martins Junior, M. Hamer, C. Hensel,
A. Moraes, M.E. Pol, P. Rebello Teles

Centro Brasileiro de Pesquisas Físicas, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil

E. Belchior Batista Das Chagas, W. Carvalho, J. Chinellato⁷, A. Custódio, E.M. Da Costa,
D. De Jesus Damiao, C. De Oliveira Martins, S. Fonseca De Souza, L.M. Huertas Guativa, H. Malbouisson,
D. Matos Figueiredo, C. Mora Herrera, L. Mundim, H. Nogima, W.L. Prado Da Silva, A. Santoro,
A. Sznajder, E.J. Tonelli Manganote⁷, A. Vilela Pereira

Universidade do Estado do Rio de Janeiro, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil

S. Ahuja^a, C.A. Bernardes^b, A. De Souza Santos^b, S. Dogra^a, T.R. Fernandez Perez Tomei^a,
E.M. Gregores^b, P.G. Mercadante^b, C.S. Moon^{a,8}, S.F. Novaes^a, Sandra S. Padula^a, D. Romero Abad,
J.C. Ruiz Vargas

^a *Universidade Estadual Paulista, São Paulo, Brazil*

^b *Universidade Federal do ABC, São Paulo, Brazil*

A. Aleksandrov, R. Hadjiiska, P. Iaydjiev, M. Rodozov, S. Stoykova, G. Sultanov, M. Vutova

Institute for Nuclear Research and Nuclear Energy, Sofia, Bulgaria

A. Dimitrov, I. Glushkov, L. Litov, B. Pavlov, P. Petkov

University of Sofia, Sofia, Bulgaria

M. Ahmad, J.G. Bian, G.M. Chen, H.S. Chen, M. Chen, T. Cheng, R. Du, C.H. Jiang, R. Plestina⁹, F. Romeo, S.M. Shaheen, A. Spiezia, J. Tao, C. Wang, Z. Wang, H. Zhang

Institute of High Energy Physics, Beijing, China

C. Asawatangtrakuldee, Y. Ban, Q. Li, S. Liu, Y. Mao, S.J. Qian, D. Wang, Z. Xu

State Key Laboratory of Nuclear Physics and Technology, Peking University, Beijing, China

C. Avila, A. Cabrera, L.F. Chaparro Sierra, C. Florez, J.P. Gomez, B. Gomez Moreno, J.C. Sanabria

Universidad de Los Andes, Bogota, Colombia

N. Godinovic, D. Lelas, I. Puljak, P.M. Ribeiro Cipriano

University of Split, Faculty of Electrical Engineering, Mechanical Engineering and Naval Architecture, Split, Croatia

Z. Antunovic, M. Kovac

University of Split, Faculty of Science, Split, Croatia

V. Brigljevic, K. Kadija, J. Luetic, S. Micanovic, L. Sudic

Institute Rudjer Boskovic, Zagreb, Croatia

A. Attikis, G. Mavromanolakis, J. Mousa, C. Nicolaou, F. Ptochos, P.A. Razis, H. Rykaczewski

University of Cyprus, Nicosia, Cyprus

M. Bodlak, M. Finger¹⁰, M. Finger Jr.¹⁰

Charles University, Prague, Czech Republic

Y. Assran^{11,12}, S. Elgammal¹¹, A. Ellithi Kamel¹³, M.A. Mahmoud¹⁴

Academy of Scientific Research and Technology of the Arab Republic of Egypt, Egyptian Network of High Energy Physics, Cairo, Egypt

B. Calpas, M. Kadastik, M. Murumaa, M. Raidal, A. Tiko, C. Veelken

National Institute of Chemical Physics and Biophysics, Tallinn, Estonia

P. Eerola, J. Pekkanen, M. Voutilainen

Department of Physics, University of Helsinki, Helsinki, Finland

J. Härkönen, V. Karimäki, R. Kinnunen, T. Lampén, K. Lassila-Perini, S. Lehti, T. Lindén, P. Luukka, T. Peltola, E. Tuominen, J. Tuominiemi, E. Tuovinen, L. Wendland

Helsinki Institute of Physics, Helsinki, Finland

J. Talvitie, T. Tuuva

Lappeenranta University of Technology, Lappeenranta, Finland

M. Besancon, F. Couderc, M. Dejardin, D. Denegri, B. Fabbro, J.L. Faure, C. Favaro, F. Ferri, S. Ganjour, A. Givernaud, P. Gras, G. Hamel de Monchenault, P. Jarry, E. Locci, M. Machet, J. Malcles, J. Rander, A. Rosowsky, M. Titov, A. Zghiche

DSM/IRFU, CEA/Saclay, Gif-sur-Yvette, France

I. Antropov, S. Baffioni, F. Beaudette, P. Busson, L. Cadamuro, E. Chapon, C. Charlot, O. Davignon, N. Filipovic, R. Granier de Cassagnac, M. Jo, S. Lisniak, L. Mastrolorenzo, P. Miné, I.N. Naranjo,

M. Nguyen, C. Ochando, G. Ortona, P. Paganini, P. Pigard, S. Regnard, R. Salerno, J.B. Sauvan, Y. Sirois, T. Strebler, Y. Yilmaz, A. Zabi

Laboratoire Leprince-Ringuet, Ecole Polytechnique, IN2P3–CNRS, Palaiseau, France

J.-L. Agram¹⁵, J. Andrea, A. Aubin, D. Bloch, J.-M. Brom, M. Buttignol, E.C. Chabert, N. Chanon, C. Collard, E. Conte¹⁵, X. Coubez, J.-C. Fontaine¹⁵, D. Gelé, U. Goerlach, C. Goetzmann, A.-C. Le Bihan, J.A. Merlin², K. Skovpen, P. Van Hove

Institut Pluridisciplinaire Hubert Curien, Université de Strasbourg, Université de Haute Alsace Mulhouse, CNRS/IN2P3, Strasbourg, France

S. Gadrat

Centre de Calcul de l'Institut National de Physique Nucleaire et de Physique des Particules, CNRS/IN2P3, Villeurbanne, France

S. Beauceron, C. Bernet, G. Boudoul, E. Bouvier, C.A. Carrillo Montoya, R. Chierici, D. Contardo, B. Courbon, P. Depasse, H. El Mamouni, J. Fan, J. Fay, S. Gascon, M. Gouzevitch, B. Ille, F. Lagarde, I.B. Laktineh, M. Lethuillier, L. Mirabito, A.L. Pequegnot, S. Perries, J.D. Ruiz Alvarez, D. Sabes, L. Sgandurra, V. Sordini, M. Vander Donckt, P. Verdier, S. Viret

Université de Lyon, Université Claude Bernard Lyon 1, CNRS–IN2P3, Institut de Physique Nucléaire de Lyon, Villeurbanne, France

T. Toriashvili¹⁶

Georgian Technical University, Tbilisi, Georgia

L. Rurua

Tbilisi State University, Tbilisi, Georgia

C. Autermann, S. Beranek, L. Feld, A. Heister, M.K. Kiesel, K. Klein, M. Lipinski, A. Ostapchuk, M. Preuten, F. Raupach, S. Schael, J.F. Schulte, T. Verlage, H. Weber, V. Zhukov⁶

RWTH Aachen University, I. Physikalisches Institut, Aachen, Germany

M. Ata, M. Brodski, E. Dietz-Laursonn, D. Duchardt, M. Endres, M. Erdmann, S. Erdweg, T. Esch, R. Fischer, A. Güth, T. Hebbeker, C. Heidemann, K. Hoepfner, S. Knutzen, P. Kreuzer, M. Merschmeyer, A. Meyer, P. Millet, M. Olschewski, K. Padeken, P. Papacz, T. Pook, M. Radziej, H. Reithler, M. Rieger, F. Scheuch, L. Sonnenschein, D. Teyssier, S. Thüer

RWTH Aachen University, III. Physikalisches Institut A, Aachen, Germany

V. Cherepanov, Y. Erdogan, G. Flügge, H. Geenen, M. Geisler, F. Hoehle, B. Kargoll, T. Kress, Y. Kuessel, A. Künsken, J. Lingemann, A. Nehrkorn, A. Nowack, I.M. Nugent, C. Pistone, O. Pooth, A. Stahl

RWTH Aachen University, III. Physikalisches Institut B, Aachen, Germany

M. Aldaya Martin, I. Asin, N. Bartosik, O. Behnke, U. Behrens, A.J. Bell, K. Borras¹⁷, A. Burgmeier, A. Campbell, F. Costanza, C. Diez Pardos, G. Dolinska, S. Dooling, T. Dorland, G. Eckerlin, D. Eckstein, T. Eichhorn, G. Flucke, E. Gallo¹⁸, J. Garay Garcia, A. Geiser, A. Gizhko, P. Gunnellini, J. Hauk, M. Hempel¹⁹, H. Jung, A. Kalogeropoulos, O. Karacheban¹⁹, M. Kasemann, P. Katsas, J. Kieseler, C. Kleinwort, I. Korol, W. Lange, J. Leonard, K. Lipka, A. Lobanov, W. Lohmann¹⁹, R. Mankel, I. Marfin¹⁹, I.-A. Melzer-Pellmann, A.B. Meyer, G. Mittag, J. Mnich, A. Mussgiller, S. Naumann-Emme, A. Nayak, E. Ntomari, H. Perrey, D. Pitzl, R. Placakyte, A. Raspereza, B. Roland, M.Ö. Sahin, P. Saxena, T. Schoerner-Sadenius, M. Schröder, C. Seitz, S. Spannagel, K.D. Trippkewitz, R. Walsh, C. Wissing

Deutsches Elektronen-Synchrotron, Hamburg, Germany

V. Blobel, M. Centis Vignali, A.R. Draeger, J. Erfle, E. Garutti, K. Goebel, D. Gonzalez, M. Görner, J. Haller, M. Hoffmann, R.S. Höing, A. Junkes, R. Klanner, R. Kogler, N. Kovalchuk, T. Lapsien, T. Lenz, I. Marchesini, D. Marconi, M. Meyer, D. Nowatschin, J. Ott, F. Pantaleo², T. Peiffer, A. Perieanu, N. Pietsch, J. Poehlsen,

D. Rathjens, C. Sander, C. Scharf, H. Schettler, P. Schleper, E. Schlieckau, A. Schmidt, J. Schwandt, V. Sola, H. Stadie, G. Steinbrück, H. Tholen, D. Troendle, E. Usai, L. Vanelderen, A. Vanhoefer, B. Vormwald

University of Hamburg, Hamburg, Germany

C. Barth, C. Baus, J. Berger, C. Böser, E. Butz, T. Chwalek, F. Colombo, W. De Boer, A. Descroix, A. Dierlamm, S. Fink, F. Frensch, R. Friese, M. Giffels, A. Gilbert, D. Haitz, F. Hartmann², S.M. Heindl, U. Husemann, I. Katkov⁶, A. Kornmayer², P. Lobelle Pardo, B. Maier, H. Mildner, M.U. Mozer, T. Müller, Th. Müller, M. Plagge, G. Quast, K. Rabbertz, S. Röcker, F. Roscher, G. Sieber, H.J. Simonis, F.M. Stober, R. Ulrich, J. Wagner-Kuhr, S. Wayand, M. Weber, T. Weiler, S. Williamson, C. Wöhrmann, R. Wolf

Institut für Experimentelle Kernphysik, Karlsruhe, Germany

G. Anagnostou, G. Daskalakis, T. Geralis, V.A. Giakoumopoulou, A. Kyriakis, D. Loukas, A. Psallidas, I. Topsis-Giotis

Institute of Nuclear and Particle Physics (INPP), NCSR Demokritos, Aghia Paraskevi, Greece

A. Agapitos, S. Kesisoglou, A. Panagiotou, N. Saoulidou, E. Tziaferi

National and Kapodistrian University of Athens, Athens, Greece

I. Evangelou, G. Flouris, C. Foudas, P. Kokkas, N. Loukas, N. Manthos, I. Papadopoulos, E. Paradas, J. Strologas

University of Ioánnina, Ioánnina, Greece

G. Bencze, C. Hajdu, A. Hazi, P. Hidas, D. Horvath²⁰, F. Sikler, V. Veszpremi, G. Vesztergombi²¹, A.J. Zsigmond

Wigner Research Centre for Physics, Budapest, Hungary

N. Beni, S. Czellar, J. Karancsi²², J. Molnar, Z. Szillasi²

Institute of Nuclear Research ATOMKI, Debrecen, Hungary

M. Bartók²³, A. Makovec, P. Raics, Z.L. Trocsanyi, B. Ujvari

University of Debrecen, Debrecen, Hungary

S. Choudhury²⁴, P. Mal, K. Mandal, D.K. Sahoo, N. Sahoo, S.K. Swain

National Institute of Science Education and Research, Bhubaneswar, India

S. Bansal, S.B. Beri, V. Bhatnagar, R. Chawla, R. Gupta, U. Bhawandeep, A.K. Kalsi, A. Kaur, M. Kaur, R. Kumar, A. Mehta, M. Mittal, J.B. Singh, G. Walia

Panjab University, Chandigarh, India

Ashok Kumar, A. Bhardwaj, B.C. Choudhary, R.B. Garg, A. Kumar, S. Malhotra, M. Naimuddin, N. Nishu, K. Ranjan, R. Sharma, V. Sharma

University of Delhi, Delhi, India

S. Bhattacharya, K. Chatterjee, S. Dey, S. Dutta, Sa. Jain, N. Majumdar, A. Modak, K. Mondal, S. Mukherjee, S. Mukhopadhyay, A. Roy, D. Roy, S. Roy Chowdhury, S. Sarkar, M. Sharan

Saha Institute of Nuclear Physics, Kolkata, India

A. Abdulsalam, R. Chudasama, D. Dutta, V. Jha, V. Kumar, A.K. Mohanty², L.M. Pant, P. Shukla, A. Topkar

Bhabha Atomic Research Centre, Mumbai, India

T. Aziz, S. Banerjee, S. Bhowmik²⁵, R.M. Chatterjee, R.K. Dewanjee, S. Dugad, S. Ganguly, S. Ghosh, M. Guchait, A. Gurtu²⁶, G. Kole, S. Kumar, B. Mahakud, M. Maity²⁵, G. Majumder, K. Mazumdar, S. Mitra, G.B. Mohanty, B. Parida, T. Sarkar²⁵, N. Sur, B. Sutar, N. Wickramage²⁷

Tata Institute of Fundamental Research, Mumbai, India

S. Chauhan, S. Dube, A. Kapoor, K. Kothekar, S. Sharma

Indian Institute of Science Education and Research (IISER), Pune, India

H. Bakhshiansohi, H. Behnamian, S.M. Etesami²⁸, A. Fahim²⁹, R. Goldouzian, M. Khakzad, M. Mohammadi Najafabadi, M. Naseri, S. Paktinat Mehdiabadi, F. Rezaei Hosseinabadi, B. Safarzadeh³⁰, M. Zeinali

Institute for Research in Fundamental Sciences (IPM), Tehran, Iran

M. Felcini, M. Grunewald

University College Dublin, Dublin, Ireland

M. Abbrescia^{a,b}, C. Calabria^{a,b}, C. Caputo^{a,b}, A. Colaleo^a, D. Creanza^{a,c}, L. Cristella^{a,b}, N. De Filippis^{a,c}, M. De Palma^{a,b}, L. Fiore^a, G. Iaselli^{a,c}, G. Maggi^{a,c}, M. Maggi^a, G. Miniello^{a,b}, S. My^{a,c}, S. Nuzzo^{a,b}, A. Pompili^{a,b}, G. Pugliese^{a,c}, R. Radogna^{a,b}, A. Ranieri^a, G. Selvaggi^{a,b}, L. Silvestris^{a,2}, R. Venditti^{a,b}, P. Verwilligen^a

^a INFN Sezione di Bari, Bari, Italy

^b Università di Bari, Bari, Italy

^c Politecnico di Bari, Bari, Italy

G. Abbiendi^a, C. Battilana², A.C. Benvenuti^a, D. Bonacorsi^{a,b}, S. Braibant-Giacomelli^{a,b}, L. Brigliadori^{a,b}, R. Campanini^{a,b}, P. Capiluppi^{a,b}, A. Castro^{a,b}, F.R. Cavallo^a, S.S. Chhibra^{a,b}, G. Codispoti^{a,b}, M. Cuffiani^{a,b}, G.M. Dallavalle^a, F. Fabbri^a, A. Fanfani^{a,b}, D. Fasanella^{a,b}, P. Giacomelli^a, C. Grandi^a, L. Guiducci^{a,b}, S. Marcellini^a, G. Masetti^a, A. Montanari^a, F.L. Navarria^{a,b}, A. Perrotta^a, A.M. Rossi^{a,b}, T. Rovelli^{a,b}, G.P. Siroli^{a,b}, N. Tosi^{a,b,2}, R. Travaglini^{a,b}

^a INFN Sezione di Bologna, Bologna, Italy

^b Università di Bologna, Bologna, Italy

G. Cappello^a, M. Chiorboli^{a,b}, S. Costa^{a,b}, A. Di Mattia^a, F. Giordano^{a,b}, R. Potenza^{a,b}, A. Tricomi^{a,b}, C. Tuve^{a,b}

^a INFN Sezione di Catania, Catania, Italy

^b Università di Catania, Catania, Italy

G. Barbagli^a, V. Ciulli^{a,b}, C. Civinini^a, R. D'Alessandro^{a,b}, E. Focardi^{a,b}, V. Gori^{a,b}, P. Lenzi^{a,b}, M. Meschini^a, S. Paoletti^a, G. Sguazzoni^a, L. Viliani^{a,b,2}

^a INFN Sezione di Firenze, Firenze, Italy

^b Università di Firenze, Firenze, Italy

L. Benussi, S. Bianco, F. Fabbri, D. Piccolo, F. Primavera²

INFN Laboratori Nazionali di Frascati, Frascati, Italy

V. Calvelli^{a,b}, F. Ferro^a, M. Lo Vetere^{a,b}, M.R. Monge^{a,b}, E. Robutti^a, S. Tosi^{a,b}

^a INFN Sezione di Genova, Genova, Italy

^b Università di Genova, Genova, Italy

L. Brianza, M.E. Dinardo ^{a,b}, S. Fiorendi ^{a,b}, S. Gennai ^a, R. Gerosa ^{a,b}, A. Ghezzi ^{a,b}, P. Govoni ^{a,b}, S. Malvezzi ^a, R.A. Manzoni ^{a,b,2}, B. Marzocchi ^{a,b,2}, D. Menasce ^a, L. Moroni ^a, M. Paganoni ^{a,b}, D. Pedrini ^a, S. Ragazzi ^{a,b}, N. Redaelli ^a, T. Tabarelli de Fatis ^{a,b}

^a INFN Sezione di Milano-Bicocca, Milano, Italy

^b Università di Milano-Bicocca, Milano, Italy

S. Buontempo ^a, N. Cavallo ^{a,c}, S. Di Guida ^{a,d,2}, M. Esposito ^{a,b}, F. Fabozzi ^{a,c}, A.O.M. Iorio ^{a,b}, G. Lanza ^a, L. Lista ^a, S. Meola ^{a,d,2}, M. Merola ^a, P. Paolucci ^{a,2}, C. Sciacca ^{a,b}, F. Thyssen

^a INFN Sezione di Napoli, Napoli, Italy

^b Università di Napoli 'Federico II', Napoli, Italy

^c Università della Basilicata, Potenza, Italy

^d Università G. Marconi, Roma, Italy

P. Azzi ^{a,2}, N. Bacchetta ^a, L. Benato ^{a,b}, D. Bisello ^{a,b}, A. Boletti ^{a,b}, R. Carlin ^{a,b}, P. Checchia ^a, M. Dall'Osso ^{a,b,2}, T. Dorigo ^a, U. Dosselli ^a, F. Gasparini ^{a,b}, U. Gasparini ^{a,b}, F. Gonella ^a, A. Gozzelino ^a, M. Gulmini ^{a,31}, S. Lacaprara ^a, M. Margoni ^{a,b}, A.T. Meneguzzo ^{a,b}, F. Montecassiano ^a, J. Pazzini ^{a,b,2}, N. Pozzobon ^{a,b}, P. Ronchese ^{a,b}, F. Simonetto ^{a,b}, E. Torassa ^a, M. Tosi ^{a,b}, M. Zanetti, P. Zotto ^{a,b}, A. Zucchetta ^{a,b,2}, G. Zumerle ^{a,b}

^a INFN Sezione di Padova, Padova, Italy

^b Università di Padova, Padova, Italy

^c Università di Trento, Trento, Italy

A. Braghieri ^a, A. Magnani ^{a,b}, P. Montagna ^{a,b}, S.P. Ratti ^{a,b}, V. Re ^a, C. Riccardi ^{a,b}, P. Salvini ^a, I. Vai ^{a,b}, P. Vitulo ^{a,b}

^a INFN Sezione di Pavia, Pavia, Italy

^b Università di Pavia, Pavia, Italy

L. Alunni Solestizi ^{a,b}, G.M. Bilei ^a, D. Ciangottini ^{a,b,2}, L. Fanò ^{a,b}, P. Lariccia ^{a,b}, G. Mantovani ^{a,b}, M. Menichelli ^a, A. Saha ^a, A. Santocchia ^{a,b}

^a INFN Sezione di Perugia, Perugia, Italy

^b Università di Perugia, Perugia, Italy

K. Androsov ^{a,32}, P. Azzurri ^{a,2}, G. Bagliesi ^a, J. Bernardini ^a, T. Boccali ^a, R. Castaldi ^a, M.A. Ciocci ^{a,32}, R. Dell'Orso ^a, S. Donato ^{a,c,2}, G. Fedi, L. Foà ^{a,c,†}, A. Giassi ^a, M.T. Grippo ^{a,32}, F. Ligabue ^{a,c}, T. Lomtadze ^a, L. Martini ^{a,b}, A. Messineo ^{a,b}, F. Palla ^a, A. Rizzi ^{a,b}, A. Savoy-Navarro ^{a,33}, A.T. Serban ^a, P. Spagnolo ^a, R. Tenchini ^a, G. Tonelli ^{a,b}, A. Venturi ^a, P.G. Verdini ^a

^a INFN Sezione di Pisa, Pisa, Italy

^b Università di Pisa, Pisa, Italy

^c Scuola Normale Superiore di Pisa, Pisa, Italy

L. Barone ^{a,b}, F. Cavallari ^a, G. D'imperio ^{a,b,2}, D. Del Re ^{a,b,2}, M. Diemoz ^a, S. Gelli ^{a,b}, C. Jorda ^a, E. Longo ^{a,b}, F. Margaroli ^{a,b}, P. Meridiani ^a, G. Organtini ^{a,b}, R. Paramatti ^a, F. Preiato ^{a,b}, S. Rahatlou ^{a,b}, C. Rovelli ^a, F. Santanastasio ^{a,b}, P. Traczyk ^{a,b,2}

^a INFN Sezione di Roma, Roma, Italy

^b Università di Roma, Roma, Italy

N. Amapane ^{a,b}, R. Arcidiacono ^{a,c,2}, S. Argiro ^{a,b}, M. Arneodo ^{a,c}, R. Bellan ^{a,b}, C. Biino ^a, N. Cartiglia ^a, M. Costa ^{a,b}, R. Covarelli ^{a,b}, A. Degano ^{a,b}, N. Demaria ^a, L. Finco ^{a,b,2}, B. Kiani ^{a,b}, C. Mariotti ^a, S. Maselli ^a, E. Migliore ^{a,b}, V. Monaco ^{a,b}, E. Monteil ^{a,b}, M.M. Obertino ^{a,b}, L. Pacher ^{a,b}, N. Pastrone ^a, M. Pelliccioni ^a, G.L. Pinna Angioni ^{a,b}, F. Ravera ^{a,b}, A. Romero ^{a,b}, M. Ruspa ^{a,c}, R. Sacchi ^{a,b}, A. Solano ^{a,b}, A. Staiano ^a

^a INFN Sezione di Torino, Torino, Italy

^b Università di Torino, Torino, Italy

^c Università del Piemonte Orientale, Novara, Italy

S. Belforte ^a, V. Candelise ^{a,b}, M. Casarsa ^a, F. Cossutti ^a, G. Della Ricca ^{a,b}, B. Gobbo ^a, C. La Licata ^{a,b},
M. Marone ^{a,b}, A. Schizzi ^{a,b}, A. Zanetti ^a

^a INFN Sezione di Trieste, Trieste, Italy

^b Università di Trieste, Trieste, Italy

A. Kropivnitskaya, S.K. Nam

Kangwon National University, Chuncheon, Republic of Korea

D.H. Kim, G.N. Kim, M.S. Kim, D.J. Kong, S. Lee, Y.D. Oh, A. Sakharov, D.C. Son

Kyungpook National University, Daegu, Republic of Korea

J.A. Brochero Cifuentes, H. Kim, T.J. Kim

Chonbuk National University, Jeonju, Republic of Korea

S. Song

Chonnam National University, Institute for Universe and Elementary Particles, Kwangju, Republic of Korea

S. Choi, Y. Go, D. Gyun, B. Hong, H. Kim, Y. Kim, B. Lee, K. Lee, K.S. Lee, S. Lee, S.K. Park, Y. Roh

Korea University, Seoul, Republic of Korea

H.D. Yoo

Seoul National University, Seoul, Republic of Korea

M. Choi, H. Kim, J.H. Kim, J.S.H. Lee, I.C. Park, G. Ryu, M.S. Ryu

University of Seoul, Seoul, Republic of Korea

Y. Choi, J. Goh, D. Kim, E. Kwon, J. Lee, I. Yu

Sungkyunkwan University, Suwon, Republic of Korea

V. Dudenias, A. Juodagalvis, J. Vaitkus

Vilnius University, Vilnius, Lithuania

I. Ahmed, Z.A. Ibrahim, J.R. Komaragiri, M.A.B. Md Ali ³⁴, F. Mohamad Idris ³⁵, W.A.T. Wan Abdullah,
M.N. Yusli

National Centre for Particle Physics, Universiti Malaya, Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia

E. Casimiro Linares, H. Castilla-Valdez, E. De La Cruz-Burelo, I. Heredia-De La Cruz ³⁶,
A. Hernandez-Almada, R. Lopez-Fernandez, A. Sanchez-Hernandez

Centro de Investigacion y de Estudios Avanzados del IPN, Mexico City, Mexico

S. Carrillo Moreno, F. Vazquez Valencia

Universidad Iberoamericana, Mexico City, Mexico

I. Pedraza, H.A. Salazar Ibarguen

Benemerita Universidad Autonoma de Puebla, Puebla, Mexico

A. Morelos Pineda

Universidad Autónoma de San Luis Potosí, San Luis Potosí, Mexico

D. Krofcheck

University of Auckland, Auckland, New Zealand

P.H. Butler*University of Canterbury, Christchurch, New Zealand***A. Ahmad, M. Ahmad, Q. Hassan, H.R. Hoorani, W.A. Khan, T. Khurshid, M. Shoaib***National Centre for Physics, Quaid-I-Azam University, Islamabad, Pakistan***H. Bialkowska, M. Bluj, B. Boimska, T. Frueboes, M. Górski, M. Kazana, K. Nawrocki, K. Romanowska-Rybinska, M. Szleper, P. Zalewski***National Centre for Nuclear Research, Swierk, Poland***G. Brona, K. Bunkowski, A. Byszek³⁷, K. Doroba, A. Kalinowski, M. Konecki, J. Krolikowski, M. Misiura, M. Olszewski, M. Walczak***Institute of Experimental Physics, Faculty of Physics, University of Warsaw, Warsaw, Poland***P. Bargassa, C. Beirão Da Cruz E Silva, A. Di Francesco, P. Faccioli, P.G. Ferreira Parracho, M. Gallinaro, N. Leonardo, L. Lloret Iglesias, F. Nguyen, J. Rodrigues Antunes, J. Seixas, O. Toldaiev, D. Vadrucio, J. Varela, P. Vischia***Laboratório de Instrumentação e Física Experimental de Partículas, Lisboa, Portugal***S. Afanasiev, P. Bunin, M. Gavrilenko, I. Golutvin, I. Gorbunov, A. Kamenev, V. Karjavin, A. Lanev, A. Malakhov, V. Matveev^{38,39}, P. Moisenz, V. Palichik, V. Perelygin, S. Shmatov, S. Shulha, N. Skatchkov, V. Smirnov, A. Zarubin***Joint Institute for Nuclear Research, Dubna, Russia***V. Golovtsov, Y. Ivanov, V. Kim⁴⁰, E. Kuznetsova, P. Levchenko, V. Murzin, V. Oreshkin, I. Smirnov, V. Sulimov, L. Uvarov, S. Vavilov, A. Vorobyev***Petersburg Nuclear Physics Institute, Gatchina (St. Petersburg), Russia***Yu. Andreev, A. Dermenev, S. Gninenko, N. Golubev, A. Karneyeu, M. Kirsanov, N. Krasnikov, A. Pashenkov, D. Tisov, A. Toropin***Institute for Nuclear Research, Moscow, Russia***V. Epshteyn, V. Gavrilov, N. Lychkovskaya, V. Popov, I. Pozdnyakov, G. Safronov, A. Spiridonov, E. Vlasov, A. Zhokin***Institute for Theoretical and Experimental Physics, Moscow, Russia***A. Bylinkin***National Research Nuclear University 'Moscow Engineering Physics Institute' (MEPhI), Moscow, Russia***V. Andreev, M. Azarkin³⁹, I. Dremin³⁹, M. Kirakosyan, A. Leonidov³⁹, G. Mesyats, S.V. Rusakov***P.N. Lebedev Physical Institute, Moscow, Russia***A. Baskakov, A. Belyaev, E. Boos, M. Dubinin⁴¹, L. Dudko, A. Ershov, A. Gribushin, V. Klyukhin, O. Kodolova, I. Lokhtin, I. Myagkov, S. Obraztsov, S. Petrushanko, V. Savrin, A. Snigirev***Skobeltsyn Institute of Nuclear Physics, Lomonosov Moscow State University, Moscow, Russia***I. Azhgirey, I. Bayshev, S. Bitiukov, V. Kachanov, A. Kalinin, D. Konstantinov, V. Krychkine, V. Petrov, R. Ryutin, A. Sobol, L. Tourtchanovitch, S. Troshin, N. Tyurin, A. Uzunian, A. Volkov***State Research Center of Russian Federation, Institute for High Energy Physics, Protvino, Russia*

P. Adzic⁴², P. Cirkovic, J. Milosevic, V. Rekovic

University of Belgrade, Faculty of Physics and Vinca Institute of Nuclear Sciences, Belgrade, Serbia

J. Alcaraz Maestre, E. Calvo, M. Cerrada, M. Chamizo Llatas, N. Colino, B. De La Cruz, A. Delgado Peris, A. Escalante Del Valle, C. Fernandez Bedoya, J.P. Fernández Ramos, J. Flix, M.C. Fouz, P. Garcia-Abia, O. Gonzalez Lopez, S. Goy Lopez, J.M. Hernandez, M.I. Josa, E. Navarro De Martino, A. Pérez-Calero Yzquierdo, J. Puerta Pelayo, A. Quintario Olmeda, I. Redondo, L. Romero, J. Santaolalla, M.S. Soares

Centro de Investigaciones Energéticas Medioambientales y Tecnológicas (CIEMAT), Madrid, Spain

C. Albajar, J.F. de Trocóniz, M. Missiroli, D. Moran

Universidad Autónoma de Madrid, Madrid, Spain

J. Cuevas, J. Fernandez Menendez, S. Folgueras, I. Gonzalez Caballero, E. Palencia Cortezon, J.M. Vizan Garcia

Universidad de Oviedo, Oviedo, Spain

I.J. Cabrillo, A. Calderon, J.R. Castiñeiras De Saa, P. De Castro Manzano, M. Fernandez, J. Garcia-Ferrero, G. Gomez, A. Lopez Virto, J. Marco, R. Marco, C. Martinez Rivero, F. Matorras, J. Piedra Gomez, T. Rodrigo, A.Y. Rodríguez-Marrero, A. Ruiz-Jimeno, L. Scodellaro, N. Trevisani, I. Vila, R. Vilar Cortabitarte

Instituto de Física de Cantabria (IFCA), CSIC–Universidad de Cantabria, Santander, Spain

D. Abbaneo, E. Auffray, G. Auzinger, M. Bachtis, P. Baillon, A.H. Ball, D. Barney, A. Benaglia, J. Bendavid, L. Benhabib, J.F. Benitez, G.M. Berruti, P. Bloch, A. Bocci, A. Bonato, C. Botta, H. Breuker, T. Camporesi, R. Castello, G. Cerminara, M. D'Alfonso, D. d'Enterria, A. Dabrowski, V. Daponte, A. David, M. De Gruttola, F. De Guio, A. De Roeck, S. De Visscher, E. Di Marco⁴³, M. Dobson, M. Dordevic, B. Dorney, T. du Pree, D. Duggan, M. Dünser, N. Dupont, A. Elliott-Peisert, G. Franzoni, J. Fulcher, W. Funk, D. Gigi, K. Gill, D. Giordano, M. Girone, F. Glege, R. Guida, S. Gundacker, M. Guthoff, J. Hammer, P. Harris, J. Hegeman, V. Innocente, P. Janot, H. Kirschenmann, M.J. Kortelainen, K. Kousouris, K. Krajczar, P. Lecoq, C. Lourenço, M.T. Lucchini, N. Magini, L. Malgeri, M. Mannelli, A. Martelli, L. Masetti, F. Meijers, S. Mersi, E. Meschi, F. Moortgat, S. Morovic, M. Mulders, M.V. Nemallapudi, H. Neugebauer, S. Orfanelli⁴⁴, L. Orsini, L. Pape, E. Perez, M. Peruzzi, A. Petrilli, G. Petrucciani, A. Pfeiffer, M. Pierini, D. Piparo, A. Racz, T. Reis, G. Rolandi⁴⁵, M. Rovere, M. Ruan, H. Sakulin, C. Schäfer, C. Schwick, M. Seidel, A. Sharma, P. Silva, M. Simon, P. Sphicas⁴⁶, J. Steggemann, B. Stieger, M. Stoye, Y. Takahashi, D. Treille, A. Triossi, A. Tsiros, G.I. Veres²¹, N. Wardle, H.K. Wöhri, A. Zagozdinska³⁷, W.D. Zeuner

CERN, European Organization for Nuclear Research, Geneva, Switzerland

W. Bertl, K. Deiters, W. Erdmann, R. Horisberger, Q. Ingram, H.C. Kaestli, D. Kotlinski, U. Langenegger, D. Renker, T. Rohe

Paul Scherrer Institut, Villigen, Switzerland

F. Bachmair, L. Bäni, L. Bianchini, B. Casal, G. Dissertori, M. Dittmar, M. Donegà, P. Eller, C. Grab, C. Heidegger, D. Hits, J. Hoss, G. Kasieczka, W. Lustermann, B. Mangano, M. Marionneau, P. Martinez Ruiz del Arbol, M. Masciovecchio, D. Meister, F. Micheli, P. Musella, F. Nessi-Tedaldi, F. Pandolfi, J. Pata, F. Pauss, L. Perrozzi, M. Quittnat, M. Rossini, M. Schönenberger, A. Starodumov⁴⁷, M. Takahashi, V.R. Tavolaro, K. Theofilatos, R. Wallny

Institute for Particle Physics, ETH Zurich, Zurich, Switzerland

T.K. Aarrestad, C. Amsler⁴⁸, L. Caminada, M.F. Canelli, V. Chiochia, A. De Cosa, C. Galloni, A. Hinzmann, T. Hreus, B. Kilminster, C. Lange, J. Ngadiuba, D. Pinna, G. Rauco, P. Robmann, F.J. Ronga, D. Salerno, Y. Yang

Universität Zürich, Zurich, Switzerland

M. Cardaci, K.H. Chen, T.H. Doan, Sh. Jain, R. Khurana, M. Konyushikhin, C.M. Kuo, W. Lin, Y.J. Lu, A. Pozdnyakov, S.S. Yu

National Central University, Chung-Li, Taiwan

Arun Kumar, R. Bartek, P. Chang, Y.H. Chang, Y.W. Chang, Y. Chao, K.F. Chen, P.H. Chen, C. Dietz, F. Fiori, U. Grundler, W.-S. Hou, Y. Hsiung, Y.F. Liu, R.-S. Lu, M. Miñano Moya, E. Petrakou, J.f. Tsai, Y.M. Tzeng

National Taiwan University (NTU), Taipei, Taiwan

B. Asavapibhop, K. Kovitanggoon, G. Singh, N. Srimanobhas, N. Suwonjandee

Chulalongkorn University, Faculty of Science, Department of Physics, Bangkok, Thailand

A. Adiguzel, M.N. Bakirci⁴⁹, Z.S. Demiroglu, C. Dozen, E. Eskut, F.H. Gecit, S. Girgis, G. Gokbulut, Y. Guler, E. Gurpinar, I. Hos, E.E. Kangal⁵⁰, G. Onengut⁵¹, M. Ozcan, K. Ozdemir⁵², S. Ozturk⁴⁹, D. Sunar Cerci⁵³, B. Tali⁵³, H. Topakli⁴⁹, M. Vergili, C. Zorbilmez

Cukurova University, Adana, Turkey

I.V. Akin, B. Bilin, S. Bilmis, B. Isildak⁵⁴, G. Karapinar⁵⁵, M. Yalvac, M. Zeyrek

Middle East Technical University, Physics Department, Ankara, Turkey

E. Gülmez, M. Kaya⁵⁶, O. Kaya⁵⁷, E.A. Yetkin⁵⁸, T. Yetkin⁵⁹

Bogazici University, Istanbul, Turkey

A. Cakir, K. Cankocak, S. Sen⁶⁰, F.I. Vardarli

Istanbul Technical University, Istanbul, Turkey

B. Grynyov

Institute for Scintillation Materials of National Academy of Science of Ukraine, Kharkov, Ukraine

L. Levchuk, P. Sorokin

National Scientific Center, Kharkov Institute of Physics and Technology, Kharkov, Ukraine

R. Aggleton, F. Ball, L. Beck, J.J. Brooke, E. Clement, D. Cussans, H. Flacher, J. Goldstein, M. Grimes, G.P. Heath, H.F. Heath, J. Jacob, L. Kreczko, C. Lucas, Z. Meng, D.M. Newbold⁶¹, S. Paramesvaran, A. Poll, T. Sakuma, S. Seif El Nasr-storey, S. Senkin, D. Smith, V.J. Smith

University of Bristol, Bristol, United Kingdom

K.W. Bell, A. Belyaev⁶², C. Brew, R.M. Brown, L. Calligaris, D. Cieri, D.J.A. Cockerill, J.A. Coughlan, K. Harder, S. Harper, E. Olaiya, D. Petyt, C.H. Shepherd-Themistocleous, A. Thea, I.R. Tomalin, T. Williams, S.D. Worm

Rutherford Appleton Laboratory, Didcot, United Kingdom

M. Baber, R. Bainbridge, O. Buchmuller, A. Bundock, D. Burton, S. Casasso, M. Citron, D. Colling, L. Corpe, P. Dauncey, G. Davies, A. De Wit, M. Della Negra, P. Dunne, A. Elwood, D. Futyan, G. Hall, G. Iles, R. Lane, R. Lucas⁶¹, L. Lyons, A.-M. Magnan, S. Malik, J. Nash, A. Nikitenko⁴⁷, J. Pela, M. Pesaresi, K. Petridis,

D.M. Raymond, A. Richards, A. Rose, C. Seez, A. Tapper, K. Uchida, M. Vazquez Acosta⁶³, T. Virdee, S.C. Zenz

Imperial College, London, United Kingdom

J.E. Cole, P.R. Hobson, A. Khan, P. Kyberd, D. Leggat, D. Leslie, I.D. Reid, P. Symonds, L. Teodorescu, M. Turner

Brunel University, Uxbridge, United Kingdom

A. Borzou, K. Call, J. Dittmann, K. Hatakeyama, H. Liu, N. Pastika

Baylor University, Waco, USA

O. Charaf, S.I. Cooper, C. Henderson, P. Rumerio

The University of Alabama, Tuscaloosa, USA

D. Arcaro, A. Avetisyan, T. Bose, C. Fantasia, D. Gastler, P. Lawson, D. Rankin, C. Richardson, J. Rohlf, J. St. John, L. Sulak, D. Zou

Boston University, Boston, USA

J. Alimena, E. Berry, S. Bhattacharya, D. Cutts, A. Ferapontov, A. Garabedian, J. Hakala, U. Heintz, E. Laird, G. Landsberg, Z. Mao, M. Narain, S. Piperov, S. Sagir, R. Syarif

Brown University, Providence, USA

R. Breedon, G. Breto, M. Calderon De La Barca Sanchez, S. Chauhan, M. Chertok, J. Conway, R. Conway, P.T. Cox, R. Erbacher, G. Funk, M. Gardner, W. Ko, R. Lander, C. Mclean, M. Mulhearn, D. Pellett, J. Pilot, F. Ricci-Tam, S. Shalhout, J. Smith, M. Squires, D. Stolp, M. Tripathi, S. Wilbur, R. Yohay

University of California, Davis, Davis, USA

R. Cousins, P. Everaerts, A. Florent, J. Hauser, M. Ignatenko, D. Saltzberg, E. Takasugi, V. Valuev, M. Weber

University of California, Los Angeles, USA

K. Burt, R. Clare, J. Ellison, J.W. Gary, G. Hanson, J. Heilman, M. Iova, P. Jandir, E. Kennedy, F. Lacroix, O.R. Long, A. Luthra, M. Malberti, M. Olmedo Negrete, A. Shrinivas, H. Wei, S. Wimpenny, B.R. Yates

University of California, Riverside, Riverside, USA

J.G. Branson, G.B. Cerati, S. Cittolin, R.T. D'Agnolo, M. Derdzinski, A. Holzner, R. Kelley, D. Klein, J. Letts, I. Macneill, D. Olivito, S. Padhi, M. Pieri, M. Sani, V. Sharma, S. Simon, M. Tadel, A. Vartak, S. Wasserbaech⁶⁴, C. Welke, F. Würthwein, A. Yagil, G. Zevi Della Porta

University of California, San Diego, La Jolla, USA

J. Bradmiller-Feld, C. Campagnari, A. Dishaw, V. Dutta, K. Flowers, M. Franco Sevilla, P. Geffert, C. George, F. Golf, L. Gouskos, J. Gran, J. Incandela, N. Mccoll, S.D. Mullin, J. Richman, D. Stuart, I. Suarez, C. West, J. Yoo

University of California, Santa Barbara, Santa Barbara, USA

D. Anderson, A. Apresyan, A. Bornheim, J. Bunn, Y. Chen, J. Duarte, A. Mott, H.B. Newman, C. Pena, M. Spiropulu, J.R. Vlimant, S. Xie, R.Y. Zhu

California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, USA

M.B. Andrews, V. Azzolini, A. Calamba, B. Carlson, T. Ferguson, M. Paulini, J. Russ, M. Sun, H. Vogel, I. Vorobiev

Carnegie Mellon University, Pittsburgh, USA

J.P. Cumalat, W.T. Ford, A. Gaz, F. Jensen, A. Johnson, M. Krohn, T. Mulholland, U. Nauenberg, K. Stenson, S.R. Wagner

University of Colorado Boulder, Boulder, USA

J. Alexander, A. Chatterjee, J. Chaves, J. Chu, S. Dittmer, N. Eggert, N. Mirman, G. Nicolas Kaufman, J.R. Patterson, A. Rinkevicius, A. Ryd, L. Skinnari, L. Soffi, W. Sun, S.M. Tan, W.D. Teo, J. Thom, J. Thompson, J. Tucker, Y. Weng, P. Wittich

Cornell University, Ithaca, USA

S. Abdullin, M. Albrow, G. Apollinari, S. Banerjee, L.A.T. Bauerdick, A. Beretvas, J. Berryhill, P.C. Bhat, G. Bolla, K. Burkett, J.N. Butler, H.W.K. Cheung, F. Chlebana, S. Cihangir, V.D. Elvira, I. Fisk, J. Freeman, E. Gottschalk, L. Gray, D. Green, S. Grünendahl, O. Gutsche, J. Hanlon, D. Hare, R.M. Harris, S. Hasegawa, J. Hirschauer, Z. Hu, B. Jayatilaka, S. Jindariani, M. Johnson, U. Joshi, B. Klima, B. Kreis, S. Lammel, J. Linacre, D. Lincoln, R. Lipton, T. Liu, R. Lopes De Sá, J. Lykken, K. Maeshima, J.M. Marraffino, S. Maruyama, D. Mason, P. McBride, P. Merkel, S. Mrenna, S. Nahn, C. Newman-Holmes[†], V. O'Dell, K. Pedro, O. Prokofyev, G. Rakness, E. Sexton-Kennedy, A. Soha, W.J. Spalding, L. Spiegel, N. Strobbe, L. Taylor, S. Tkaczyk, N.V. Tran, L. Uplegger, E.W. Vaandering, C. Vernieri, M. Verzocchi, R. Vidal, H.A. Weber, A. Whitbeck

Fermi National Accelerator Laboratory, Batavia, USA

D. Acosta, P. Avery, P. Bortignon, D. Bourilkov, A. Carnes, M. Carver, D. Curry, S. Das, R.D. Field, I.K. Furic, S.V. Gleyzer, J. Konigsberg, A. Korytov, K. Kotov, P. Ma, K. Matchev, H. Mei, P. Milenovic⁶⁵, G. Mitselmakher, D. Rank, R. Rossin, L. Shchutska, M. Snowball, D. Sperka, N. Terentyev, L. Thomas, J. Wang, S. Wang, J. Yelton

University of Florida, Gainesville, USA

S. Hewamanage, S. Linn, P. Markowitz, G. Martinez, J.L. Rodriguez

Florida International University, Miami, USA

A. Ackert, J.R. Adams, T. Adams, A. Askew, S. Bein, J. Bochenek, B. Diamond, J. Haas, S. Hagopian, V. Hagopian, K.F. Johnson, A. Khatiwada, H. Prosper, M. Weinberg

Florida State University, Tallahassee, USA

M.M. Baarmand, V. Bhopatkar, S. Colafranceschi⁶⁶, M. Hohlmann, H. Kalakhety, D. Noonan, T. Roy, F. Yumiceva

Florida Institute of Technology, Melbourne, USA

M.R. Adams, L. Apanasevich, D. Berry, R.R. Betts, I. Bucinskaite, R. Cavanaugh, O. Evdokimov, L. Gauthier, C.E. Gerber, D.J. Hofman, P. Kurt, C. O'Brien, I.D. Sandoval Gonzalez, P. Turner, N. Varelas, Z. Wu, M. Zakaria

University of Illinois at Chicago (UIC), Chicago, USA

B. Bilki⁶⁷, W. Clarida, K. Dilsiz, S. Durgut, R.P. Gandrajula, M. Haytmyradov, V. Khristenko, J.-P. Merlo, H. Mermerkaya⁶⁸, A. Mestvirishvili, A. Moeller, J. Nachtman, H. Ogul, Y. Onel, F. Ozok⁶⁹, A. Penzo, C. Snyder, E. Tiras, J. Wetzel, K. Yi

The University of Iowa, Iowa City, USA

I. Anderson, B.A. Barnett, B. Blumenfeld, N. Eminizer, D. Fehling, L. Feng, A.V. Gritsan, P. Maksimovic, C. Martin, M. Osherson, J. Roskes, A. Sady, U. Sarica, M. Swartz, M. Xiao, Y. Xin, C. You

Johns Hopkins University, Baltimore, USA

P. Baringer, A. Bean, G. Benelli, C. Bruner, R.P. Kenny III, D. Majumder, M. Malek, M. Murray, S. Sanders, R. Stringer, Q. Wang

The University of Kansas, Lawrence, USA

A. Ivanov, K. Kaadze, S. Khalil, M. Makouski, Y. Maravin, A. Mohammadi, L.K. Saini, N. Skhirtladze, S. Toda

Kansas State University, Manhattan, USA

D. Lange, F. Rebassoo, D. Wright

Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory, Livermore, USA

C. Anelli, A. Baden, O. Baron, A. Belloni, B. Calvert, S.C. Eno, C. Ferraioli, J.A. Gomez, N.J. Hadley, S. Jabeen, R.G. Kellogg, T. Kolberg, J. Kunkle, Y. Lu, A.C. Mignerey, Y.H. Shin, A. Skuja, M.B. Tonjes, S.C. Tonwar

University of Maryland, College Park, USA

A. Apyan, R. Barbieri, A. Baty, K. Bierwagen, S. Brandt, W. Busza, I.A. Cali, Z. Demiragli, L. Di Matteo, G. Gomez Ceballos, M. Goncharov, D. Gulhan, Y. Iiyama, G.M. Innocenti, M. Klute, D. Kovalskyi, Y.S. Lai, Y.-J. Lee, A. Levin, P.D. Luckey, A.C. Marini, C. McGinn, C. Mironov, S. Narayanan, X. Niu, C. Paus, C. Roland, G. Roland, J. Salfeld-Nebgen, G.S.F. Stephans, K. Sumorok, M. Varma, D. Velicanu, J. Veverka, J. Wang, T.W. Wang, B. Wyslouch, M. Yang, V. Zhukova

Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, USA

B. Dahmes, A. Evans, A. Finkel, A. Gude, P. Hansen, S. Kalafut, S.C. Kao, K. Klapoetke, Y. Kubota, Z. Lesko, J. Mans, S. Nourbakhsh, N. Ruckstuhl, R. Rusack, N. Tambe, J. Turkewitz

University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, USA

J.G. Acosta, S. Oliveros

University of Mississippi, Oxford, USA

E. Avdeeva, K. Bloom, S. Bose, D.R. Claes, A. Dominguez, C. Fangmeier, R. Gonzalez Suarez, R. Kamalieddin, D. Knowlton, I. Kravchenko, F. Meier, J. Monroy, F. Ratnikov, J.E. Siado, G.R. Snow

University of Nebraska-Lincoln, Lincoln, USA

M. Alyari, J. Dolen, J. George, A. Godshalk, C. Harrington, I. Iashvili, J. Kaisen, A. Kharchilava, A. Kumar, S. Rappoccio, B. Roozbahani

State University of New York at Buffalo, Buffalo, USA

G. Alverson, E. Barberis, D. Baumgartel, M. Chasco, A. Hortiangtham, A. Massironi, D.M. Morse, D. Nash, T. Orimoto, R. Teixeira De Lima, D. Trocino, R.-J. Wang, D. Wood, J. Zhang

Northeastern University, Boston, USA

K.A. Hahn, A. Kubik, J.F. Low, N. Mucia, N. Odell, B. Pollack, M. Schmitt, S. Stoynev, K. Sung, M. Trovato, M. Velasco

Northwestern University, Evanston, USA

A. Brinkerhoff, N. Dev, M. Hildreth, C. Jessop, D.J. Karmgard, N. Kellams, K. Lannon, N. Marinelli, F. Meng, C. Mueller, Y. Musienko³⁸, M. Planer, A. Reinsvold, R. Ruchti, G. Smith, S. Taroni, N. Valls, M. Wayne, M. Wolf, A. Woodard

University of Notre Dame, Notre Dame, USA

L. Antonelli, J. Brinson, B. Bylsma, L.S. Durkin, S. Flowers, A. Hart, C. Hill, R. Hughes, W. Ji, T.Y. Ling, B. Liu, W. Luo, D. Puigh, M. Rodenburg, B.L. Winer, H.W. Wulsin

The Ohio State University, Columbus, USA

O. Driga, P. Elmer, J. Hardenbrook, P. Hebda, S.A. Koay, P. Lujan, D. Marlow, T. Medvedeva, M. Mooney, J. Olsen, C. Palmer, P. Piroué, H. Saka, D. Stickland, C. Tully, A. Zuranski

Princeton University, Princeton, USA

S. Malik

University of Puerto Rico, Mayaguez, USA

A. Barker, V.E. Barnes, D. Benedetti, D. Bortoletto, L. Gutay, M.K. Jha, M. Jones, A.W. Jung, K. Jung, D.H. Miller, N. Neumeister, B.C. Radburn-Smith, X. Shi, I. Shipsey, D. Silvers, J. Sun, A. Svyatkovskiy, F. Wang, W. Xie, L. Xu

Purdue University, West Lafayette, USA

N. Parashar, J. Stupak

Purdue University Calumet, Hammond, USA

A. Adair, B. Akgun, Z. Chen, K.M. Ecklund, F.J.M. Geurts, M. Guilbaud, W. Li, B. Michlin, M. Northup, B.P. Padley, R. Redjimi, J. Roberts, J. Rorie, Z. Tu, J. Zabel

Rice University, Houston, USA

B. Betchart, A. Bodek, P. de Barbaro, R. Demina, Y. Eshaq, T. Ferbel, M. Galanti, A. Garcia-Bellido, J. Han, A. Harel, O. Hindrichs, A. Khukhunaishvili, G. Petrillo, P. Tan, M. Verzetti

University of Rochester, Rochester, USA

S. Arora, J.P. Chou, C. Contreras-Campana, E. Contreras-Campana, D. Ferencek, Y. Gershtein, R. Gray, E. Halkiadakis, D. Hidas, E. Hughes, S. Kaplan, R. Kunnawalkam Elayavalli, A. Lath, K. Nash, S. Panwalkar, M. Park, S. Salur, S. Schnetzer, D. Sheffield, S. Somalwar, R. Stone, S. Thomas, P. Thomassen, M. Walker

Rutgers, The State University of New Jersey, Piscataway, USA

M. Foerster, G. Riley, K. Rose, S. Spanier

University of Tennessee, Knoxville, USA

O. Bouhali⁷⁰, A. Castaneda Hernandez⁷⁰, A. Celik, M. Dalchenko, M. De Mattia, A. Delgado, S. Dildick, R. Eusebi, J. Gilmore, T. Huang, T. Kamon⁷¹, V. Krutelyov, R. Mueller, I. Osipenkov, Y. Pakhotin, R. Patel, A. Perloff, A. Rose, A. Safonov, A. Tatarinov, K.A. Ulmer²

Texas A&M University, College Station, USA

N. Akchurin, C. Cowden, J. Damgov, C. Dragoiu, P.R. Dundero, J. Faulkner, S. Kunori, K. Lamichhane, S.W. Lee, T. Libeiro, S. Undleeb, I. Volobouev

Texas Tech University, Lubbock, USA

E. Appelt, A.G. Delannoy, S. Greene, A. Gurrola, R. Janjam, W. Johns, C. Maguire, Y. Mao, A. Melo, H. Ni, P. Sheldon, S. Tuo, J. Velkovska, Q. Xu

Vanderbilt University, Nashville, USA

M.W. Arenton, B. Cox, B. Francis, J. Goodell, R. Hirosky, A. Ledovskoy, H. Li, C. Lin, C. Neu, T. Sinthuprasith, X. Sun, Y. Wang, E. Wolfe, J. Wood, F. Xia

University of Virginia, Charlottesville, USA

C. Clarke, R. Harr, P.E. Karchin, C. Kottachchi Kankanamge Don, P. Lamichhane, J. Sturdy

Wayne State University, Detroit, USA

D.A. Belknap, D. Carlsmith, M. Cepeda, S. Dasu, L. Dodd, S. Duric, B. Gomber, M. Grothe, R. Hall-Wilton, M. Herndon, A. Hervé, P. Klabbers, A. Lanaro, A. Levine, K. Long, R. Loveless, A. Mohapatra, I. Ojalvo, T. Perry, G.A. Pierro, G. Polese, T. Ruggles, T. Sarangi, A. Savin, A. Sharma, N. Smith, W.H. Smith, D. Taylor, N. Woods

University of Wisconsin – Madison, Madison, WI, USA

[†] Deceased.

¹ Also at Vienna University of Technology, Vienna, Austria.

² Also at CERN, European Organization for Nuclear Research, Geneva, Switzerland.

³ Also at State Key Laboratory of Nuclear Physics and Technology, Peking University, Beijing, China.

⁴ Also at Institut Pluridisciplinaire Hubert Curien, Université de Strasbourg, Université de Haute Alsace Mulhouse, CNRS/IN2P3, Strasbourg, France.

⁵ Also at National Institute of Chemical Physics and Biophysics, Tallinn, Estonia.

⁶ Also at Skobeltsyn Institute of Nuclear Physics, Lomonosov Moscow State University, Moscow, Russia.

⁷ Also at Universidade Estadual de Campinas, Campinas, Brazil.

⁸ Also at Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique (CNRS) – IN2P3, Paris, France.

⁹ Also at Laboratoire Leprince-Ringuet, Ecole Polytechnique, IN2P3–CNRS, Palaiseau, France.

¹⁰ Also at Joint Institute for Nuclear Research, Dubna, Russia.

¹¹ Also at British University in Egypt, Cairo, Egypt.

¹² Now at Suez University, Suez, Egypt.

¹³ Also at Cairo University, Cairo, Egypt.

¹⁴ Also at Fayoum University, El-Fayoum, Egypt.

¹⁵ Also at Université de Haute Alsace, Mulhouse, France.

¹⁶ Also at Tbilisi State University, Tbilisi, Georgia.

¹⁷ Also at RWTH Aachen University, III. Physikalisches Institut A, Aachen, Germany.

¹⁸ Also at University of Hamburg, Hamburg, Germany.

¹⁹ Also at Brandenburg University of Technology, Cottbus, Germany.

²⁰ Also at Institute of Nuclear Research ATOMKI, Debrecen, Hungary.

²¹ Also at Eötvös Loránd University, Budapest, Hungary.

²² Also at University of Debrecen, Debrecen, Hungary.

²³ Also at Wigner Research Centre for Physics, Budapest, Hungary.

²⁴ Also at Indian Institute of Science Education and Research, Bhopal, India.

²⁵ Also at University of Visva-Bharati, Santiniketan, India.

²⁶ Now at King Abdulaziz University, Jeddah, Saudi Arabia.

²⁷ Also at University of Ruhuna, Matara, Sri Lanka.

²⁸ Also at Isfahan University of Technology, Isfahan, Iran.

²⁹ Also at University of Tehran, Department of Engineering Science, Tehran, Iran.

³⁰ Also at Plasma Physics Research Center, Science and Research Branch, Islamic Azad University, Tehran, Iran.

³¹ Also at Laboratori Nazionali di Legnaro dell'INFN, Legnaro, Italy.

³² Also at Università degli Studi di Siena, Siena, Italy.

³³ Also at Purdue University, West Lafayette, USA.

³⁴ Also at International Islamic University of Malaysia, Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia.

³⁵ Also at Malaysian Nuclear Agency, MOSTI, Kajang, Malaysia.

³⁶ Also at Consejo Nacional de Ciencia y Tecnología, Mexico city, Mexico.

³⁷ Also at Warsaw University of Technology, Institute of Electronic Systems, Warsaw, Poland.

³⁸ Also at Institute for Nuclear Research, Moscow, Russia.

³⁹ Now at National Research Nuclear University 'Moscow Engineering Physics Institute' (MEPhI), Moscow, Russia.

⁴⁰ Also at St. Petersburg State Polytechnical University, St. Petersburg, Russia.

⁴¹ Also at California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, USA.

⁴² Also at Faculty of Physics, University of Belgrade, Belgrade, Serbia.

⁴³ Also at INFN Sezione di Roma; Università di Roma, Roma, Italy.

⁴⁴ Also at National Technical University of Athens, Athens, Greece.

⁴⁵ Also at Scuola Normale e Sezione dell'INFN, Pisa, Italy.

- ⁴⁶ Also at National and Kapodistrian University of Athens, Athens, Greece.
- ⁴⁷ Also at Institute for Theoretical and Experimental Physics, Moscow, Russia.
- ⁴⁸ Also at Albert Einstein Center for Fundamental Physics, Bern, Switzerland.
- ⁴⁹ Also at Gaziosmanpasa University, Tokat, Turkey.
- ⁵⁰ Also at Mersin University, Mersin, Turkey.
- ⁵¹ Also at Cag University, Mersin, Turkey.
- ⁵² Also at Piri Reis University, Istanbul, Turkey.
- ⁵³ Also at Adiyaman University, Adiyaman, Turkey.
- ⁵⁴ Also at Ozyegin University, Istanbul, Turkey.
- ⁵⁵ Also at Izmir Institute of Technology, Izmir, Turkey.
- ⁵⁶ Also at Marmara University, Istanbul, Turkey.
- ⁵⁷ Also at Kafkas University, Kars, Turkey.
- ⁵⁸ Also at Istanbul Bilgi University, Istanbul, Turkey.
- ⁵⁹ Also at Yildiz Technical University, Istanbul, Turkey.
- ⁶⁰ Also at Hacettepe University, Ankara, Turkey.
- ⁶¹ Also at Rutherford Appleton Laboratory, Didcot, United Kingdom.
- ⁶² Also at School of Physics and Astronomy, University of Southampton, Southampton, United Kingdom.
- ⁶³ Also at Instituto de Astrofísica de Canarias, La Laguna, Spain.
- ⁶⁴ Also at Utah Valley University, Orem, USA.
- ⁶⁵ Also at University of Belgrade, Faculty of Physics and Vinca Institute of Nuclear Sciences, Belgrade, Serbia.
- ⁶⁶ Also at Facoltà Ingegneria, Università di Roma, Roma, Italy.
- ⁶⁷ Also at Argonne National Laboratory, Argonne, USA.
- ⁶⁸ Also at Erzincan University, Erzincan, Turkey.
- ⁶⁹ Also at Mimar Sinan University, Istanbul, Istanbul, Turkey.
- ⁷⁰ Also at Texas A&M University at Qatar, Doha, Qatar.
- ⁷¹ Also at Kyungpook National University, Daegu, Republic of Korea.