Measurement of the $p\bar{p} \rightarrow WZ + X$ cross section at $\sqrt{s} = 1.96$ TeV and limits on WWZ trilinear gauge couplings

V.M. Abazov,³⁵ B. Abbott,⁷⁵ M. Abolins,⁶⁵ B.S. Acharya,²⁸ M. Adams,⁵¹ T. Adams,⁴⁹ E. Aguilo,⁵ S.H. Ahn,³⁰ M. Ahsan,⁵⁹ G. D. Alexeev,³⁵ G. Alkhazov,³⁹ A. Alton,^{64,*} G. Alverson,⁶³ G. A. Alves,² M. Anastasoaie,³⁴ L. S. Ancu,³⁴ T. Andeen,⁵³ S. Anderson,⁴⁵ B. Andrieu,¹⁶ M. S. Anzelc,⁵³ Y. Arnoud,¹³ M. Arov,⁶⁰ M. Arthaud,¹⁷ A. Askew,⁴⁹ B. Åsman,⁴⁰ A. C. S. Assis Jesus,³ O. Atramentov,⁴⁹ C. Autermann,²⁰ C. Avila,⁷ C. Ay,²³ F. Badaud,¹² A. Baden,⁶¹ L. Bagby,⁵² B. Baldin,⁵⁰ D. V. Bandurin,⁵⁹ S. Banerjee,²⁸ P. Banerjee,²⁸ E. Barberis,⁶³ A.-F. Barfuss,¹⁴ P. Bargassa,⁸⁰ P. Baringer,⁵⁸ J. Barreto,² J. F. Bartlett,⁵⁰ U. Bassler,¹⁶ D. Bauer,⁴³ S. Beale,⁵ A. Bean,⁵⁸ M. Begalli,³ M. Begel,⁷¹ C. Belanger-Champagne,⁴⁰ L. Bellantoni,⁵⁰ A. Bellavance,⁵⁰ J. A. Benitez,⁶⁵ S. B. Beri,²⁶ G. Bernardi,¹⁶ R. Bernhard,²² L. Berntzon,¹⁴ I. Bertram,⁴² M. Besançon,¹⁷ R. Beuselinck,⁴³ V. A. Bezzubov,³⁸ P. C. Bhat,⁵⁰ V. Bhatnagar,²⁶ C. Biscarat,¹⁹ G. Blazey, ⁵² F. Blekman, ⁴³ S. Blessing, ⁴⁹ D. Bloch, ¹⁸ K. Bloom, ⁶⁷ A. Boehnlein, ⁵⁰ D. Boline, ⁶² T. A. Bolton, ⁵⁹ G. Borissov, ⁴² T. Bose, ⁷⁷ A. Brandt, ⁷⁸ R. Brock, ⁶⁵ G. Brooijmans, ⁷⁰ A. Bross, ⁵⁰ D. Brown, ⁸¹ N. J. Buchanan, ⁴⁹ D. Buchholz, ⁵³ M. Buehler, ⁸¹ V. Buescher, ²¹ S. Bunichev, ³⁷ S. Burdin, ^{42,†} S. Burke, ⁴⁵ T. H. Burnett, ⁸² C. P. Buszello, ⁴³ J. M. Butler,⁶² P. Calfayan,²⁴ S. Calvet,¹⁴ J. Cammin,⁷¹ W. Carvalho,³ B. C. K. Casey,⁷⁷ N. M. Cason,⁵⁵ H. Castilla-Valdez,³² S. Chakrabarti,¹⁷ D. Chakraborty,⁵² K. M. Chan,⁵⁵ K. Chan,⁵ A. Chandra,⁴⁸ F. Charles,^{18,††} E. Cheu,⁴⁵ F. Chevallier,¹³ D. K. Cho,⁶² S. Choi,³¹ B. Choudhary,²⁷ L. Christofek,⁷⁷ T. Christoudias,^{43,**} S. Cihangir,⁵⁰ D. Claes,⁶⁷ B. Clément,¹⁸ Y. Coadou,⁵ M. Cooke,⁸⁰ W. E. Cooper,⁵⁰ M. Corcoran,⁸⁰ F. Couderc,¹⁷ M.-C. Cousinou,¹⁴ S. Crépé-Renaudin,¹³ D. Cutts,⁷⁷ M. Ćwiok,²⁹ H. da Motta,² A. Das,⁶² G. Davies,⁴³ K. De,⁷⁸ S.J. de Jong,³⁴ E. De La Cruz-Burelo,⁶⁴ C. De Oliveira Martins,³ J. D. Degenhardt,⁶⁴ F. Déliot,¹⁷ M. Demarteau,⁵⁰ R. Demina,⁷¹ D. Denisov,⁵⁰ S. P. Denisov,³⁸ S. Desai,⁵⁰ H. T. Diehl,⁵⁰ M. Diesburg,⁵⁰ A. Dominguez,⁶⁷ H. Dong,⁷² L. V. Dudko,³⁷ L. Duflot,¹⁵ S. R. Dugad,²⁸ D. Duggan,⁴⁹ A. Duperrin,¹⁴ J. Dyer,⁶⁵ A. Dyshkant,⁵² M. Eads,⁶⁷ D. Edmunds,⁶⁵ J. Ellison,⁴⁸ V. D. Elvira,⁵⁰ Y. Enari,⁷⁷ S. Eno,⁶¹ P. Ermolov,³⁷ H. Evans,⁵⁴ A. Evdokimov,⁷³ V. N. Evdokimov,³⁸ A. V. Ferapontov,⁵⁹ T. Ferbel,⁷¹ F. Fiedler,²⁴ F. Filthaut,³⁴ W. Fisher,⁵⁰ H. E. Fisk,⁵⁰ M. Ford,⁴⁴ M. Fortner,⁵² H. Fox,²² S. Fu,⁵⁰ S. Fuess,⁵⁰ T. Gadfort,⁸² C. F. Galea,³⁴ E. Gallas,⁵⁰ E. Galyaev,⁵⁵ C. Garcia,⁷¹ A. Garcia-Bellido,⁸² V. Gavrilov,³⁶ P. Gay,¹² W. Geist,¹⁸ D. Gelé,¹⁸ C. E. Gerber,⁵¹ Y. Gershtein,⁴⁹ D. Gillberg,⁵ G. Ginther,⁷¹ N. Gollub,⁴⁰ B. Gómez,⁷ A. Goussiou,⁵⁵ P. D. Grannis,⁷² H. Greenlee,⁵⁰ Z. D. Greenwood,⁶⁰ E. M. Gregores,⁴ G. Grenier,¹⁹ Ph. Gris,¹² J.-F. Grivaz,¹⁵ A. Grohsjean,²⁴ S. Grünendahl,⁵⁰ M. W. Grünewald,²⁹ J. Guo,⁷² F. Guo,⁷² P. Gutierrez,⁷⁵ G. Gutierrez,⁵⁰ A. Haas,⁷⁰ N. J. Hadley,⁶¹ P. Haefner,²⁴ S. Hagopian,⁴⁹ J. Haley,⁶⁸ I. Hall,⁶⁵ R. E. Hall,⁴⁷ L. Han,⁶ K. Hanagaki,⁵⁰ P. Hansson,⁴⁰ K. Harder,⁴⁴ A. Harel,⁷¹ R. Harrington,⁶³ J. M. Hauptman,⁵⁷ R. Hauser,⁶⁵ J. Hays,⁴³ T. Hebbeker,²⁰ D. Hedin,⁵² J. G. Hegeman,³³ J. M. Heinmiller,⁵¹ A. P. Heinson,⁴⁸ U. Heintz,⁶² C. Hensel,⁵⁸ K. Herner,⁷² G. Hesketh,⁶³ M. D. Hildreth,⁵⁵ R. Hirosky,⁸¹ J. D. Hobbs,⁷² B. Hoeneisen,¹¹ H. Hoeth,²⁵ M. Hohlfeld,²¹ S. J. Hong,³⁰ S. Hossain,⁷⁵ P. Houben,³³ Y. Hu,⁷² Z. Hubacek,⁹ V. Hynek,⁸ I. Iashvili,⁶⁹ R. Illingworth,⁵⁰ A. S. Ito,⁵⁰ S. Jabeen,⁶² M. Jaffré,¹⁵ S. Jain,⁷⁵ K. Jakobs,²² C. Jarvis,⁶¹ R. Jesik,⁴³ K. Johns,⁴⁵ C. Johnson,⁷⁰ M. Johnson,⁵⁰ A. Jonckheere,⁵⁰ P. Jonsson,⁴³ A. Juste,⁵⁰ D. Käfer,²⁰ S. Kahn,⁷³ E. Kajfasz,¹⁴ A. M. Kalinin,³⁵ J. R. Kalk,⁶⁵ J. M. Kalk,⁶⁰ S. Kappler,²⁰ D. Karmanov,³⁷ J. Kasper,⁶² P. Kasper,⁵⁰ I. Katsanos,⁷⁰ D. Kau,⁴⁹ R. Kaur,²⁶ V. Kaushik,⁷⁸ R. Kehoe,⁷⁹ S. Kermiche,¹⁴ N. Khalatyan,³⁸ A. Khanov,⁷⁶ A. Kharchilava,⁶⁹ Y. M. Kharzheev,³⁵ D. Khatidze,⁷⁰ H. Kim,³¹ T. J. Kim,³⁰ M. H. Kirby,³⁴ M. Kirsch,²⁰ B. Klima,⁵⁰ J. M. Kohli,²⁶ J.-P. Konrath,²² M. Kopal,⁷⁵ V. M. Korablev,³⁸ A. V. Kozelov,³⁸ D. Krop,⁵⁴ T. Kuhl,²³ A. Kumar,⁶⁹ S. Kunori, ⁶¹ A. Kupco, ¹⁰ T. Kurča, ¹⁹ J. Kvita, ⁸ F. Lacroix, ¹² D. Lam, ⁵⁵ S. Lammers, ⁷⁰ G. Landsberg, ⁷⁷ P. Lebrun, ¹⁹ W. M. Lee, ⁵⁰ A. Leflat, ³⁷ F. Lehner, ⁴¹ J. Lellouch, ¹⁶ J. Leveque, ⁴⁵ P. Lewis, ⁴³ J. Li, ⁷⁸ Q. Z. Li, ⁵⁰ L. Li, ⁴⁸ S. M. Lietti, ⁴ J. G. R. Lima, ⁵² D. Lincoln, ⁵⁰ J. Linnemann, ⁶⁵ V. V. Lipaev, ³⁸ R. Lipton, ⁵⁰ Y. Liu, ⁶** Z. Liu, ⁵ L. Lobo, ⁴³ A. Lobodenko, ³⁹ M. Lokajicek, ¹⁰ A. Lounis, ¹⁸ P. Love, ⁴² H. J. Lubatti, ⁸² A. L. Lyon, ⁵⁰ A. K. A. Maciel, ² D. Mackin, ⁸⁰ R. J. Madaras, ⁴⁶ P. Mättig, ²⁵ C. Magass, ²⁰ A. Magerkurth, ⁶⁴ N. Makovec, ¹⁵ P. K. Mal, ⁵⁵ H. B. Malbouisson, ³ S. Malik, ⁶⁷ V. L. Malyshev, ³⁵ H. S. Mao,⁵⁰ Y. Maravin,⁵⁹ B. Martin,¹³ R. McCarthy,⁷² A. Melnitchouk,⁶⁶ A. Mendes,¹⁴ L. Mendoza,⁷ P. G. Mercadante,⁴ M. Merkin,³⁷ K. W. Merritt,⁵⁰ J. Meyer,²¹ A. Meyer,²⁰ M. Michaut,¹⁷ T. Millet,¹⁹ J. Mitrevski,⁷⁰ J. Molina,³ R. K. Mommsen,⁴⁴ N. K. Mondal,²⁸ R. W. Moore,⁵ T. Moulik,⁵⁸ G. S. Muanza,¹⁹ M. Mulders,⁵⁰ M. Mulhearn,⁷⁰ O. Mundal,²¹ L. Mundim,³ E. Nagy,¹⁴ M. Naimuddin,⁵⁰ M. Narain,⁷⁷ N. A. Naumann,³⁴ H. A. Neal,⁶⁴ J. P. Negret,⁷ P. Neustroev,³⁹ H. Nilsen,²² H. Nogima,³ A. Nomerotski,⁵⁰ S. F. Novaes,⁴ T. Nunnemann,²⁴ V. O'Dell,⁵⁰ D. C. O'Neil,⁵ G. Obrant,³⁹ C. Ochando,¹⁵ D. Onoprienko,⁵⁹ N. Oshima,⁵⁰ J. Osta,⁵⁵ R. Otec,⁹ G. J. Otero y Garzón,⁵¹ M. Owen,⁴⁴ P. Padley,⁸⁰ M. Pangilinan,⁷⁷ N. Parashar,⁵⁶ S.-J. Park,⁷¹ S. K. Park,³⁰ J. Parsons,⁷⁰ R. Partridge,⁷⁷ N. Parua,⁵⁴ A. Patwa,⁷³ G. Pawloski,⁸⁰ B. Penning,²² M. Perfilov,³⁷ K. Peters,⁴⁴ Y. Peters,²⁵ P. Pétroff,¹⁵ M. Petteni,⁴³ R. Piegaia,¹ J. Piper,⁶⁵

PHYSICAL REVIEW D 76, 111104(R) (2007)

M.-A. Pleier,²¹ P. L. M. Podesta-Lerma,^{32,‡} V. M. Podstavkov,⁵⁰ Y. Pogorelov,⁵⁵ M.-E. Pol,² P. Polozov,³⁶ B. G. Pope,⁶⁵ A. V. Popov,³⁸ C. Potter,⁵ W. L. Prado da Silva,³ H. B. Prosper,⁴⁹ S. Protopopescu,⁷³ J. Qian,⁶⁴ A. Quadt,^{21,§} B. Quinn,⁶⁶ A. Rakitine,⁴² M. S. Rangel,² K. Ranjan,²⁷ P. N. Ratoff,⁴² P. Renkel,⁷⁹ S. Reucroff,⁶³ P. Rich,⁴⁴ M. Rijssenbeek,⁷² I. Ripp-Baudot,¹⁸ F. Rizatdinova,⁷⁶ S. Robinson,⁴³ R. F. Rodrigues,³ M. Rominsky,⁷⁵ C. Royon,¹⁷ P. Rubinov,⁵⁰
R. Ruchti,⁵⁵ G. Safronov,³⁶ G. Sajot,¹³ A. Sánchez-Hernández,³² M. P. Sanders,¹⁶ A. Santoro,³ G. Savage,⁵⁰ L. Sawyer,⁶⁰
T. Scanlon,⁴³ D. Schaile,²⁴ R. D. Schamberger,⁷² Y. Scheglov,³⁹ H. Schellman,⁵³ P. Schieferdecker,²⁴ T. Schliephake,²⁵
C. Schwanenberger,⁴⁴ A. Schwartzman,⁶⁸ R. Schwienhorst,⁶⁵ J. Sekaric,⁴⁹ H. Severini,⁷⁵ E. Shabalina,⁵¹ M. Shamin,⁵⁹
V. Shary,¹⁷ A. A. Shchukin,³⁸ R. K. Shivpuri,²⁷ D. Shpakov,⁵⁰ V. Siccardi,¹⁸ V. Simak,⁹ V. Sirotenko,⁵⁰ P. Skubic,⁷⁵
P. Slattery,⁷¹ D. Smirnov,⁵⁵ J. Snow,⁷⁴ G. R. Snow,⁶⁷ S. Snyder,⁷³ S. Söldner-Rembold,⁴⁴ L. Sonnenschein,¹⁶ A. Sopczak,⁴²
M. Sosebee,⁷⁸ K. Soustruznik,⁸ M. Souza,² B. Spurlock,⁷⁸ J. Stark,¹³ J. Steele,⁶⁰ V. Stolin,³⁶ D. A. Stoyanova,³⁸
J. Strandberg,⁶⁴ S. Strandberg,⁴⁰ M. A. Strang,⁶⁹ M. Strauss,⁷⁵ E. Strauss,⁷² R. Ströhmer,²⁴ D. Strom,⁵³ L. Stutt,⁵⁰
S. Sumowidagdo,⁴⁹ P. Svoisky,⁵⁵ A. Sznajder,³ M. Talby,¹⁴ P. Tamburello,⁴⁵ A. Tanasijczuk,¹ W. Taylor,⁵ J. Temple,⁴⁵
B. Tiller,²⁴ F. Tissandier,¹² M. Titov,¹⁷ V. V. Tokmenin,⁵⁵ T. Toole,⁶¹ I. Torchiani,²² T. Trefzger,²³ D. Tsybychev,⁷² B. Tuchming,¹⁷ C. Tully,⁶⁸ P. M. Tuts,⁷⁰ R. Unalan,⁶⁵ S. Uvarov,³⁹ L. Uvarov,⁹⁵ S. Uzuryan,⁵² B. Vachon,⁵
P. Verdier,¹⁹ L. S. Vertogradov,⁵⁴ M. Wa Leeuwen,³³ N. Varelas,⁵¹ E. W. Varnes,⁴⁵ I.

(D0 Collaboration)

¹Universidad de Buenos Aires, Buenos Aires, Argentina

²LAFEX, Centro Brasileiro de Pesquisas Físicas, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil

³Universidade do Estado do Rio de Janeiro, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil

⁴Instituto de Física Teórica, Universidade Estadual Paulista, São Paulo, Brazil

⁵University of Alberta, Edmonton, Alberta, Canada, Simon Fraser University, Burnaby, British Columbia, Canada,

York University, Toronto, Ontario, Canada, and McGill University, Montreal, Quebec, Canada

⁶University of Science and Technology of China, Hefei, Peoples Republic of China

⁷Universidad de los Andes, Bogotá, Colombia

⁸Center for Particle Physics, Charles University, Prague, Czech Republic

⁹Czech Technical University, Prague, Czech Republic

¹⁰Center for Particle Physics, Institute of Physics, Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic, Prague, Czech Republic

¹¹Universidad San Francisco de Quito, Quito, Ecuador

¹²Laboratoire de Physique Corpusculaire, IN2P3-CNRS, Université Blaise Pascal, Clermont-Ferrand, France

¹³Laboratoire de Physique Subatomique et de Cosmologie, IN2P3-CNRS, Universite de Grenoble 1, Grenoble, France

¹⁴CPPM, IN2P3-CNRS, Université de la Méditerranée, Marseille, France

¹⁵Laboratoire de l'Accélérateur Linéaire, IN2P3-CNRS et Université Paris-Sud, Orsay, France

¹⁶LPNHE, IN2P3-CNRS, Universités Paris VI and VII, Paris, France

¹⁷DAPNIA/Service de Physique des Particules, CEA, Saclay, France

¹⁸IPHC, Université Louis Pasteur et Université de Haute Alsace, CNRS, IN2P3, Strasbourg, France

¹⁹IPNL, Université Lyon 1, CNRS/IN2P3, Villeurbanne, France and Université de Lyon, Lyon, France

²⁰III. Physikalisches Institut A, RWTH Aachen, Aachen, Germany

²¹Physikalisches Institut, Universität Bonn, Bonn, Germany

²²Physikalisches Institut, Universität Freiburg, Freiburg, Germany

²³Institut für Physik, Universität Mainz, Mainz, Germany

²⁴Ludwig-Maximilians-Universität München, München, Germany

²⁵Fachbereich Physik, University of Wuppertal, Wuppertal, Germany

²⁶Panjab University, Chandigarh, India

²⁷Delhi University, Delhi, India

²⁸Tata Institute of Fundamental Research, Mumbai, India

²⁹University College Dublin, Dublin, Ireland

³⁰Korea Detector Laboratory, Korea University, Seoul, Korea

³¹SungKyunKwan University, Suwon, Korea ³²CINVESTAV, Mexico City, Mexico MEASUREMENT OF THE $p\bar{p} \rightarrow WZ + X$ CROSS ...

PHYSICAL REVIEW D 76, 111104(R) (2007)

³³FOM-Institute NIKHEF and University of Amsterdam/NIKHEF, Amsterdam, The Netherlands ³⁴Radboud University Nijmegen/NIKHEF, Nijmegen, The Netherlands ³⁵Joint Institute for Nuclear Research, Dubna, Russia ³⁶Institute for Theoretical and Experimental Physics, Moscow, Russia ³⁷Moscow State University, Moscow, Russia ³⁸Institute for High Energy Physics, Protvino, Russia ³⁹Petersburg Nuclear Physics Institute, St. Petersburg, Russia ⁴⁰Lund University, Lund, Sweden, Royal Institute of Technology and Stockholm University, Stockholm, Sweden, and Uppsala University, Uppsala, Sweden ⁴¹Physik Institut der Universität Zürich, Zürich, Switzerland ⁴²Lancaster University, Lancaster, United Kingdom ⁴³Imperial College, London, United Kingdom ⁴⁴University of Manchester, Manchester, United Kingdom ⁴⁵University of Arizona, Tucson, Arizona 85721, USA ⁴⁶Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory and University of California, Berkeley, California 94720, USA ⁴⁷California State University, Fresno, California 93740, USA ⁴⁸University of California, Riverside, California 92521, USA ⁴⁹Florida State University, Tallahassee, Florida 32306, USA ⁵⁰Fermi National Accelerator Laboratory, Batavia, Illinois 60510, USA ⁵¹University of Illinois at Chicago, Chicago, Illinois 60607, USA ⁵²Northern Illinois University, DeKalb, Illinois 60115, USA ⁵³Northwestern University, Evanston, Illinois 60208, USA ⁵⁴Indiana University, Bloomington, Indiana 47405, USA ⁵⁵University of Notre Dame, Notre Dame, Indiana 46556, USA ⁵⁶Purdue University Calumet, Hammond, Indiana 46323, USA ⁵⁷Iowa State University, Ames, Iowa 50011, USA ⁵⁸University of Kansas, Lawrence, Kansas 66045, USA ⁵⁹Kansas State University, Manhattan, Kansas 66506, USA ⁶⁰Louisiana Tech University, Ruston, Louisiana 71272, USA ⁶¹University of Maryland, College Park, Maryland 20742, USA ⁶²Boston University, Boston, Massachusetts 02215, USA ⁶³Northeastern University, Boston, Massachusetts 02115, USA ⁶⁴University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, Michigan 48109, USA ⁶⁵Michigan State University, East Lansing, Michigan 48824, USA ⁶⁶University of Mississippi, University, Mississippi 38677, USA ⁶⁷University of Nebraska, Lincoln, Nebraska 68588, USA ⁶⁸Princeton University, Princeton, New Jersey 08544, USA ⁶⁹State University of New York, Buffalo, New York 14260, USA ⁷⁰Columbia University, New York, New York 10027, USA ⁷¹University of Rochester, Rochester, New York 14627, USA ⁷²State University of New York, Stony Brook, New York 11794, USA ⁷³Brookhaven National Laboratory, Upton, New York 11973, USA ⁷⁴Langston University, Langston, Oklahoma 73050, USA ⁷⁵University of Oklahoma, Norman, Oklahoma 73019, USA ⁷⁶Oklahoma State University, Stillwater, Oklahoma 74078, USA ⁷⁷Brown University, Providence, Rhode Island 02912, USA ⁷⁸University of Texas, Arlington, Texas 76019, USA ⁷⁹Southern Methodist University, Dallas, Texas 75275, USA ⁸⁰Rice University, Houston, Texas 77005, USA ⁸¹University of Virginia, Charlottesville, Virginia 22901, USA

^{*}Visitor from Augustana College, Sioux Falls, SD, USA.

[†]Visitor from The University of Liverpool, Liverpool, United Kingdom

[‡]Visitor from ICN-UNAM, Mexico City, Mexico

[§]Visitor from II. Physikalisches Institut, Georg-August-University Göttingen, Germany

Visitor from Helsinki Institute of Physics, Helsinki, Finland

[¶]Visitor from Universität Zürich, Zürich, Switzerland

^{**}Fermilab International Fellow

^{††}Deceased

PHYSICAL REVIEW D 76, 111104(R) (2007)

⁸²University of Washington, Seattle, Washington 98195, USA (Received 20 September 2007; published 28 December 2007)

We present measurements of the process $p\bar{p} \rightarrow WZ + X \rightarrow \ell' \nu_{\ell'} \ell \bar{\ell}$ at $\sqrt{s} = 1.96$ TeV, where ℓ and ℓ' are electrons or muons. Using 1 fb⁻¹ of data from the D0 experiment, we observe 13 candidates with an expected background of 4.5 ± 0.6 events and measure a cross section $\sigma(WZ) = 2.7^{+1.7}_{-1.3}$ pb. From the number of observed events and the Z boson transverse momentum distribution, we limit the trilinear WWZ gauge couplings to $-0.17 \leq \lambda_Z \leq 0.21(\Delta \kappa_Z = 0)$ at the 95% C.L. for a form factor scale $\Lambda =$ 2 TeV. Further, assuming that $\Delta g_1^T = \Delta \kappa_Z$, we find $-0.12 \leq \Delta \kappa_Z \leq 0.29(\lambda_Z = 0)$ at the 95% C.L. These are the most restrictive limits on the WWZ couplings available to date.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevD.76.111104

PACS numbers: 14.70.Fm, 13.40.Em, 13.87.Ce, 14.70.Hp

The $SU(2)_L \times U(1)_Y$ structure of the standard model (SM) Lagrangian requires that the massive electroweak gauge bosons, the W and Z bosons, interact with one another at trilinear and quadrilinear vertices. In the SM, the production cross section for $p\bar{p} \rightarrow WZ + X$, $\sigma(WZ)$, depends on the strength of the WWZ coupling, $g_{WWZ} =$ $-e \cot\theta_W$, where e is the positron charge and θ_W is the weak mixing angle. At $\sqrt{s} = 1.96$ TeV, the SM predicts $\sigma_{WZ} = 3.68 \pm 0.25$ pb [1]. Any significant deviation from this prediction would be evidence for new physics.

The WWZ interaction can be parametrized by a generalized effective Lagrangian [2,3] with CP-conserving trilinear gauge coupling parameters (TGCs) g_1^Z , κ_Z , and λ_Z that describe the coupling strengths of the vector bosons to the weak field. The TGCs are commonly presented as deviations from their SM values, i.e. as $\Delta g_1^Z = g_1^Z - 1$, $\Delta \kappa_Z = \kappa_Z - 1$, and λ_Z , where $\lambda_Z = 0$ in the SM. Since tree-level unitarity restricts the anomalous couplings to their SM values at asymptotically high energies, each of the couplings must be parametrized as a form factor, e.g. $\lambda_Z(\hat{s}) = \lambda_Z/(1 + \hat{s}/\Lambda^2)^2$, where Λ is the form factor scale and \hat{s} is the square of the invariant mass of the WZ system. New physics will result in anomalous TGCs and an enhancement in the production cross section as well as modifications to the shapes of kinematic distributions, such as the W and Z bosons transverse momenta. Because the Fermilab Tevatron is the only particle accelerator that can produce the charged state WZ + X, this measurement provides a unique opportunity to study the WWZ TGCs without any assumption on the values of the $WW\gamma$ couplings. Measurements of TGCs using the WW final state [4-8] are sensitive to both the $WW\gamma$ and WWZ couplings at the same time and must make some assumption as to how they are related to each other.

WZ production measurements and studies of *WWZ* couplings have been presented previously. The D0 Collaboration measured $\sigma_{WZ} = 4.5^{+3.8}_{-2.6}$ pb, with a 95% C.L. upper limit of 13.3 pb, using 0.3 fb⁻¹ of $p\bar{p}$ collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 1.96$ TeV [9]. The observed number of candidates was used to derive the most restrictive available limits on anomalous *WWZ* couplings. More recently, the CDF Collaboration measured $\sigma_{WZ} = 5.0^{+1.8}_{-1.6}$ pb using 1.1 fb⁻¹ of $p\bar{p}$ collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 1.96$ TeV [10], but did not present any results on *WWZ* couplings.

This communication describes a significant improvement to the previous D0 analysis. Not only is the data sample more than 3 times larger, but an improved technique is used to constrain the WWZ couplings. Instead of merely the total number of observed events, the number and the p_T distribution of the Z bosons (p_T^Z) produced in the collisions are compared to the expectations of non-SM WWZ couplings, significantly increasing the power of the WWZ coupling measurement over previous measurements [5,9].

We search for WZ candidate events in final states with three charged leptons, referred to as trileptons, produced when $Z \rightarrow \ell^+ \ell^-$ and $W \rightarrow \ell' \nu$, where ℓ and ℓ' are e^{\pm} or μ^{\pm} . SM backgrounds can be suppressed by requiring three isolated high- p_T leptons and large missing transverse energy ($\not\!\!\!E_T$) from the neutrino. The combined branching fraction for these four possible final states (*eee*, *ee* μ , $\mu\mu e$ and $\mu\mu\mu$) is 1.5% [11].

D0 is a multipurpose detector [12] composed of several subdetectors and a fast triggering system. At the center of the detector is a central tracking system, consisting of a silicon microstrip tracker (SMT) and a central fiber tracker (CFT), both located within a 2 T superconducting solenoidal magnet. These detectors are optimized for tracking and vertexing at pseudorapidities [13] $|\eta| < 3$ and $|\eta| < 2.5$, respectively. The liquid-argon and uranium calorimeter has a central section (CC) covering $|\eta| < 1.1$, and two end calorimeters (EC) that extend coverage to $|\eta| \approx 4.2$, with all three housed in separate cryostats [14]. An outer muon system, covering $|\eta| < 2$, consists of a layer of tracking detectors and scintillation trigger counters in front of 1.8 T iron toroids, followed by two similar layers after the toroids [15].

Electrons are identified by their distinctive pattern of energy deposits in the calorimeter and by the presence of a track in the central tracker that can be extrapolated from the interaction vertex to a cluster of energy in the calorimeter. Electrons measured in the CC (EC) must have $|\eta| < 1.1$ (1.5 < $|\eta| < 2.5$). Electrons must have transverse energy $E_T > 15$ GeV and be isolated from other energy clusters. A likelihood variable, formed from the quality of the electron track and its spatial and momentum match to the calorimeter cluster and the calorimeter cluster information, is used to discrimMEASUREMENT OF THE $p\bar{p} \rightarrow WZ + X$ CROSS ...

inate electron candidates from instrumental backgrounds.

Muons tracks are reconstructed using information from the muon drift chambers and scintillation detectors and must have a matching central track with $p_T > 15 \text{ GeV}/c$. Candidate muons are required to be isolated in the calorimeter and tracker to minimize the contribution of muons originating from jets [16].

Events collected from 2002–2006 using single muon, single electron, di-electron, and jet triggers were used for signal and background studies. The integrated luminosities [17] for the *eee*, $ee\mu$, $\mu\mu e$, and $\mu\mu\mu$ final states are 1070 pb⁻¹, 1020 pb⁻¹, 944 pb⁻¹, and 944 pb⁻¹, respectively. There is a common 6.1% systematic uncertainty on the integrated luminosities.

The WZ event selection requires three reconstructed, well-isolated leptons with $p_T > 15 \text{ GeV}/c$. All three leptons must be associated with isolated tracks that originate from the same collision point and must satisfy the electron or muon identification criteria outlined above. To select Zbosons, and further suppress background, the invariant mass of a like-flavor lepton pair must fall within the range 71 to 111 GeV/ c^2 for $Z \rightarrow ee$ events, and 50 to 130 GeV/ c^2 for $Z \rightarrow \mu\mu$ events, with the mass ranges set by the mass resolution. For *eee* and $\mu\mu\mu$ decay channels, the lepton pair with invariant mass closest to that of the Z boson mass are chosen to define the Z boson daughter particles. The $\not \!\!\! E_T$ is required to be greater than 20 GeV, consistent with the decay of a W boson. The transverse recoil of the WZ system, calculated using the vector sum of the transverse momenta of the charged leptons and missing transverse energy, is required to be less than 50 GeV/c. This selection reduces the background contribution from $t\bar{t}$ production to a negligible level.

WZ event detection efficiencies are determined for each of the four final states. Monte Carlo (MC) events are generated using PYTHIA [18] and a GEANT [19] detector simulation and then processed using the same reconstruction chain as the data. Lepton identification efficiencies are determined from study of *Z* bosons in the D0 data. The average efficiencies for detecting an electron or muon with $E_T(p_T) > 15$ GeV are $(91 \pm 2)\%$ and $(90 \pm 2)\%$, respectively. The trigger efficiency for events with two (or more) electrons is estimated to be $(99 \pm 1)\%$. For events with two or three muons, the trigger efficiencies are estimated to be

PHYSICAL REVIEW D 76, 111104(R) (2007)



 $(91 \pm 5)\%$ and $(98 \pm 2)\%$, respectively. The kinematic and geometric acceptances range from 29% for the *eee* decay mode to 45% for the $\mu\mu\mu\mu$ decay mode. It is also necessary to account for $\tau \rightarrow e, \mu$ final states of WZ that contribute to the signal. The number of τ events expected to satisfy the selection criteria is 0.67 ± 0.11 events. These are treated as signal in the cross section analysis, but are treated as background in the TGC analysis. Table I summarizes the efficiency determinations.

The main background for $WZ \rightarrow \ell' \nu_{\ell'} \ell \bar{\ell}$ are Z + Xevents where X is a jet that has been misidentified as an electron or muon. We assess the background from Z + jets production by using an inclusive jet data sample that is selected with an independent jet trigger. Events characteristic of QCD two-jet production are used to measure the probability, as a function of jet E_T and η , that a single jet

TABLE I. The numbers of candidate events, expected signal events, and estimated background events, and the overall detection efficiency for the four final states.

Final state	Number of candidate events	Expected signal events	Estimated background events	Overall efficiency
eee	2	2.3 ± 0.2	1.2 ± 0.1	0.16 ± 0.02
eeµ	1	2.2 ± 0.2	0.46 ± 0.03	0.17 ± 0.02
μμε	8	2.2 ± 0.3	2.0 ± 0.4	0.17 ± 0.03
 μμμ	2	2.5 ± 0.4	0.86 ± 0.06	0.21 ± 0.03
Total	13	9.2 ± 1.0	4.5 ± 0.6	—

V.M. ABAZOV et al.

will be misidentified as a muon or electron. Next, subsamples of ee + jets, $e\mu + jets$, and $\mu\mu + jets$ events are selected using the same criteria as for the WZ signal except that the requirements for a third lepton in the event are dropped. The single jet-lepton misidentification probabilities are then convoluted with the measured jet distributions in the dilepton + jets subsamples to provide an estimate of the background from Z + jets events. The contribution for all four decay modes totals 1.3 ± 0.1 events.

All other backgrounds are determined using MC. Nonnegligible backgrounds include SM ZZ production, $Z\gamma$ production, and W^*Z , WZ^* , or $W\gamma^*$ production. We define these processes as three-lepton final states produced through the decay of one on-mass-shell and one off-shell vector boson. These backgrounds and their determination are described in the following paragraphs.

ZZ production becomes a background when both Z bosons decay to charged leptons and one of the final state leptons escapes detection, thus mimicking a neutrino. The total contribution from ZZ production is 0.70 ± 0.08 events.

The contribution to the background from off-shell bosons should be nearly the same as occurs in similar processes and a fraction relative to the expected signal is determined from ZZ MC events generated using PYTHIA. It depends on the decay channel and varies from 8% for the $ee\mu$ mode to 15% for the $\mu\mu\mu\mu$ mode. The uncertainties include all of those used for the signal plus an additional 16% systematic component to account for uncertainties in the off-shell component of the MC. The total contribution of this background is 0.99 ± 0.19 events.

The SM predicts that $9.2 \pm 1.0 WZ$ events are expected to be observed in the data sample. The probability for the background, 4.5 ± 0.6 events, to fluctuate to 13 or more events is 1.2×10^{-3} , which translates to a one-sided Gaussian significance of 3.0σ , determined by using a Poisson distribution for the number of observed events in each channel convoluted with a Gaussian to model the systematic uncertainty on the background. A likelihood method [21] taking into account correlations among sys-



FIG. 2. The reconstructed Z boson p_T of the WZ candidate events used in the WWZ coupling parameter limit setting procedure. The solid histogram is the expected sum of signal and background for the case of the WWZ coupling parameters set to their SM values. The dotted and double dotted histograms are the expected sums of signal and background for two different cases of anomalous WWZ coupling parameter values. The black dots are the data. The final bin is the overflow bin.

tematic uncertainties is used to determine the most probable WZ cross section. The cross section $\sigma(WZ)$ is $2.7^{+1.7}_{-1.3}$ pb, where the $\pm 1\sigma$ uncertainties are the 68% C.L. limits from the minimum of the negative log likelihood. The uncertainty is dominated by the statistics of the number of observed events.

By comparing the measured cross section and p_T^2 distribution to models with anomalous TGCs, we set one- and two-dimensional limits on the three *CP*-conserving coupling parameters. A comparison of the observed Z boson p_T distribution with MC predictions is shown in Fig. 2. We use the Hagiwara-Woodside-Zeppenfeld (HWZ) [22] leading-order event generator processed with a fast detector and event reconstruction simulation to produce events with anomalous *WWZ* couplings and simulate their efficiencies and acceptances. The HWZ event generator does not account for τ final states, and as a result, we treat the 0.7 event τ contribution as background for the *WWZ* coupling limit setting procedure. The method used to determine the coupling limits is described in Ref. [23]. Limits are set on the coupling parameters λ_Z , Δg_1^2 , and

TABLE II. One-dimensional 95% C.L. intervals on λ_Z , Δg_1^Z , and $\Delta \kappa_Z$ for two sets of form factor scale, Λ .

$\Lambda = 1.5 \text{ TeV}$	$\Lambda = 2.0 \text{ TeV}$
$\begin{aligned} -0.18 < \lambda_Z < 0.22 \\ -0.15 < \Delta g_1^Z < 0.35 \\ -0.14 < \Delta \kappa_Z = \Delta g_1^Z < 0.31 \end{aligned}$	$\begin{aligned} & -0.17 < \lambda_Z < 0.21 \\ & -0.14 < \Delta g_1^Z < 0.34 \\ & -0.12 < \Delta \kappa_Z = \Delta g_1^Z < 0.29 \end{aligned}$



FIG. 3. Two-dimensional 95% C.L. contour limit in $\Delta g_1^Z = \Delta \kappa_Z$ versus $\Delta \lambda_Z$ space (inner contour). The form factor scale for this contour is $\Lambda = 2$ TeV. The physically allowed region (unitarity limit) is bounded by the outer contour. The cross hairs are the 95% C.L. one-dimensional limits.

 $\Delta \kappa_Z$. Two-dimensional grids are constructed in which the parameters λ_Z and Δg_1^Z are allowed to vary simultaneously. Table II presents the one-dimensional 95% C.L. limits on λ_Z , Δg_1^Z and $\Delta \kappa_Z$. Figure 3 presents the two-dimensional 95% C.L. limits under the assumption $\Delta g_1^Z =$

PHYSICAL REVIEW D 76, 111104(R) (2007)

 $\Delta \kappa_Z$ [3] for $\Lambda = 2$ TeV. The form factor scale, Λ [24], associated with each grid, is chosen such that the limits are within the unitarity bound.

In summary, we present the results of a search for WZ production in 1.0 fb⁻¹ of $p\bar{p}$ collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 1.96$ TeV. We observe 13 trilepton candidate events with an expected 9.2 ± 1.0 signal events and 4.5 ± 0.6 events from background. This gives an observed significance of 3.0σ . We measure the WZ production cross section to be $2.7^{+1.7}_{-1.3}$ pb, in agreement with the SM prediction. We use the measured cross section and p_T^Z distribution to improve constraints on WWZ trilinear gauge couplings by a factor of 2 over the previous best results.

We thank the staffs at Fermilab and collaborating institutions, and acknowledge support from the DOE and NSF (USA); CEA and CNRS/IN2P3 (France); FASI, Rosatom and RFBR (Russia); CAPES, CNPq, FAPERJ, FAPESP and FUNDUNESP (Brazil); DAE and DST (India); Colciencias (Colombia); CONACyT (Mexico); KRF and KOSEF (Korea); CONICET and UBACyT (Argentina); FOM (The Netherlands); Science and Technology Facilities Council (United Kingdom); MSMT and GACR (Czech Republic); CRC Program, CFI, NSERC and WestGrid Project (Canada); BMBF and DFG (Germany); SFI (Ireland); The Swedish Research Council (Sweden); CAS and CNSF (China); Alexander von Humboldt Foundation; and the Marie Curie Program.

- [1] J. M. Campbell and R. K. Ellis, Phys. Rev. D **60**, 113006 (1999).
- [2] K. Hagiwara, R. D. Peccei, D. Zeppenfeld, and K. Hikasa, Nucl. Phys. **B282**, 253 (1987).
- [3] K. Hagiwara, S. Ishihara, R. Szalapski, and D. Zeppenfeld, Phys. Rev. D **48**, 2182 (1993). The authors parameterize the *WWZ* couplings in terms of the *WW* γ couplings: $\Delta \kappa_Z = \Delta \kappa_{\gamma} (1 - \tan^2 \theta_W)/2$, $\Delta g_1^Z = \Delta \kappa_{\gamma}/(2\cos^2 \theta_W)$ and $\lambda_Z = \lambda_{\gamma}$.
- [4] F. Abe *et al.* (CDF Collaboration), Phys. Rev. Lett. 78, 4536 (1997).
- [5] B. Abbott *et al.* (D0 Collaboration), Phys. Rev. D **60**, 072002 (1999). This report contains both a description of a search for $WZ \rightarrow$ trileptons with anomalous WWZ coupling limits and a search for non-standard-model $WW + WZ \rightarrow \ell \nu$ jet jet production with limits on anomalous $WW\gamma$ and WWZ couplings.
- [6] LEP Collaborations ALEPH, DELPHI, L3, OPAL, and LEP TGC Working Group, Report No. LEPWWG/TGC/2005-01, 2005. The authors parameterize *WWZ* couplings in terms of the *WW* γ couplings: $\Delta \kappa_Z = \Delta g_1^Z \Delta \kappa_\gamma \tan^2 \theta_W$ and $\lambda_Z = \lambda_\gamma$.
- [7] V. M. Abazov *et al.* (D0 Collaboration), Phys. Rev. D **74**, 057101 (2006).

- [8] T. Aaltonen et al. (CDF Collaboration), arXiv:0705.2247.
- [9] V. M. Abazov *et al.* (D0 Collaboration), Phys. Rev. Lett. 95, 141802 (2005).
- [10] A. Abulencia *et al.* (CDF Collaboration), Phys. Rev. Lett. 98, 161801 (2007).
- [11] W.-M. Yao *et al.* (Particle Data Group), J. Phys. G 33, 1 (2006).
- [12] V.M. Abazov *et al.* (D0 Collaboration), Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res., Sect. A 565, 463 (2006).
- [13] The D0 coordinate system is cylindrical with the z-axis along the proton beamline and the polar and azimuthal angles denoted as θ and ϕ respectively. The pseudorapidity is defined as $\eta = -\ln \tan \theta/2$.
- [14] S. Abachi *et al.* (D0 Collaboration), Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res., Sect. A 338, 185 (1994).
- [15] V. Abazov *et al.*, Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res., Sect. A **552**, 372 (2005).
- [16] V. Abazov et al., Phys. Rev. D 76, 052006 (2007).
- [17] T. Andeen et al., Report No. FERMILAB-TM-2365, 2007.
- [18] T. Sjöstrand *et al.*, Comput. Phys. Commun. **135**, 238 (2001).
- [19] R. Brun *et al.*, Report No. CERN-DD-78-2-REV, 1994 (unpublished).
- [20] U. Baur and E. L. Berger, Phys. Rev. D 41, 1476 (1990).

V.M. ABAZOV et al.

- [21] G. J. Feldman and R. D. Cousins, Phys. Rev. D 57, 3873 (1998).
- [22] K. Hagiwara, J. Woodside, and D. Zeppenfeld, Phys. Rev. D 41, 2113 (1990).

- PHYSICAL REVIEW D 76, 111104(R) (2007)
- [23] V. M. Abazov *et al.* (D0 Collaboration), Phys. Rev. Lett. 94, 151801 (2005).
- [24] U. Baur, arXiv:hep-ph/9510265.