

RESSALVA

Atendendo solicitação do(a) autor(a), o texto completo desta tese/dissertação será disponibilizado somente a partir de 29/01/2022.

CAMILA DA SILVA GRASSMANN

**MAIZE-BASED SYSTEMS AS AFFECTED BY FORAGE GRASS AND NITROGEN
FERTILIZATION: ELUCIDATING ^{15}N -FERTILIZER RECOVERY, GREENHOUSE
GAS EMISSIONS, N-CYCLE FUNCTIONAL GENES IN SOIL AND CROP YIELDS**

Botucatu

2021

CAMILA DA SILVA GRASSMANN

**MAIZE-BASED SYSTEMS AS AFFECTED BY FORAGE GRASS AND NITROGEN
FERTILIZATION: ELUCIDATING ¹⁵N-FERTILIZER RECOVERY, GREENHOUSE
GAS EMISSIONS, N-CYCLE FUNCTIONAL GENES IN SOIL AND CROP YIELDS**

Doctoral thesis submitted to the College of
Agricultural Sciences, UNESP - Botucatu
Campus, to obtain the degree of Doctor in
Agriculture.

Advisor: Prof. Dr. Ciro Antonio Rosolem

Co-advisor: Dr. Eduardo Mariano

Botucatu

2021

G769m Grassmann, Camila da Silva
Maize-based systems as affected by forage grass and nitrogen fertilization:
Elucidating ¹⁵N recovery, greenhouse gas emissions, N-cycle functional genes
in soil and crop yields / Camila da Silva Grassmann. -- Botucatu, 2021
159 p. : il., tabs.

Tese (doutorado) - Universidade Estadual Paulista (Unesp), Faculdade de
Ciências Agrônômicas, Botucatu
Orientador: Ciro Antonio Rosolem
Coorientador: Eduardo Mariano

1. Soil fertility. 2. Nitrogen cycle. 3. Forage plants Soils. 4. Greenhouse
gases. I. Título.

Sistema de geração automática de fichas catalográficas da Unesp. Biblioteca da Faculdade de Ciências
Agrônômicas, Botucatu. Dados fornecidos pelo autor(a).

Essa ficha não pode ser modificada.

CERTIFICADO DE APROVAÇÃO

TÍTULO DA TESE: MAIZE-BASED SYSTEMS AS AFFECTED BY FORAGE GRASS AND NITROGEN FERTILIZATION: ELUCIDATING 15N-FERTILIZER RECOVERY, GREENHOUSE GAS EMISSIONS, N-CYCLE FUNCTIONAL GENES IN SOIL AND CROP YIELDS

AUTORA: CAMILA DA SILVA GRASSMANN

ORIENTADOR: CIRO ANTONIO ROSOLEM

COORIENTADOR: EDUARDO MARIANO

Aprovada como parte das exigências para obtenção do Título de Doutora em AGRONOMIA (AGRICULTURA), pela Comissão Examinadora:



Prof. Dr. CIRO ANTONIO ROSOLEM (participação Virtual)
Produção Vegetal / Faculdade de Ciências Agrônômicas de Botucatu - UNESP



Pesquisador Dr. HEITOR CANTARELLA (participação Virtual)
Centro de Pesquisa e Desenvolvimento de Solos e Recursos Ambientais / Instituto Agrônômico de Campinas



Prof. Dr. RAFAEL OTTO (participação Virtual)
Ciência do Solo / Escola Superior de Agricultura Luiz de Queiroz



Prof. Dr. PAULO SÉRGIO PAVINATO (participação Virtual)
Departamento de Ciência do Solo / ESCOLA SUPERIOR DE AGRICULTURA



PROF. DR. GUSTAVO CASTOLDI (Participação Virtual),
POLO DE INOVAÇÃO / INSTITUTO FEDERAL GOIANO

Botucatu, 29 de janeiro de 2021

I dedicate my dissertation work to my family and friends. A special feeling of gratitude to my loving parents Carlos and Sandra Grassmann whose words of encouragement and unconditional love was essential. My special brother Guilherme, who never left my side.

I also dedicate this dissertation to my boyfriend, for supporting me, understanding and loving me.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Firstly, and always to God, for health and strength to work, and enlightenment to discern between right and wrong.

Prof. Dr. Ciro Antonio Rosolem, for his friendship, advice, teaching, trust, partnership and excellent support in these almost 8 years of orientation that started in the undergrad. I will be forever grateful for the opportunity granted.

Prof. Dr. Karl Ritz, from the University of Nottingham, for the excellent guidance and teaching, as well as friendship.

Dr. Eduardo Mariano, who I feel honored and grateful to have had as a co-supervisor. I will be forever grateful for so much learning and friendship.

Prof. Dr. Paulo Roberto Miranda Meirelles and Dr. André Michel de Castilhos, for the support in analysis involving zootechnical matters.

Bruno Rosolen Gilli, for all the help, encouragement and companionship in field sampling and laboratory determinations.

São Paulo State University (UNESP), College of Agricultural Sciences, for the teaching, infrastructure and support offered.

Graduate Program in Agriculture - UNESP/FCA, for the PhD opportunity.

Professors and employees of the Graduate Program in Agronomy (Agriculture) of the São Paulo State University “Júlio de Mesquita Filho” (Botucatu Campus), for the teaching and collaboration.

Employees of the Plant Production Department, especially Adelina, Casemiro, Dariele, Vinícius, Ciro, Dorival, Eliane, Iara, Júlia, Mateus, Valéria, and Vinícius for their friendship, collaboration and teaching.

All members of NUCLEUS: A virtual joint centre to deliver enhanced N–use efficiency via an integrated soil–plant systems approach for the United Kingdom and Brazil. Funded in Brazil by FAPESP–São Paulo Research Foundation [grant number 2015/50305–8].

This work was carried out with the support of the São Paulo State Research Support Foundation (FAPESP), for granting a PhD scholarship (grant number 2016/25253-7) and BEPE scholarship (grant number 2018/09622-8) and Coordination for the Improvement of Higher Education Personnel-Brazil (CAPES) – Financing code 001.

To the friends of the Graduate Program, especially Eduardo Mariano, Carlos Nascimento, Bruno Gazola, Sérgio Freitas, Gustavo Ferreira, Laudelino Motta, Barbara Silva, Priscila Bahia, Beatriz Borges, and Clóvis Borges, for the moments of partnership, friendship, collaboration and support.

To the interns Bruno Rosolen Gilli, Rodrigo Martins, Lucas Brunini, Thiago Benetom, Fernando Thome, and Matheus Miziara for their friendship and help in carrying out this work.

Employees and teachers of UNASP/SP, who contributed to my personal and school education.

All those who, directly or indirectly, contributed to the development realization of this study, my sincere and eternal thanks.

THANKS!

ABSTRACT

Due to the interest in N use efficiency (NUE) and sustainable agricultural systems, the adoption of integrated systems, such as the intercropping of maize with forage grasses can be of great relevance, allowing the use of the land throughout the year, besides avoiding losses of N through nitrate (NO_3^-) leaching, nitrous oxide (N_2O) emissions, ammonia volatilization (NH_3), and immobilization. Tropical forage grasses of the genus *Megathyrsus* and *Urochloa* can suppress soil nitrification by releasing inhibitory substances, reducing N losses and increasing fertilizer N recovery of the cash crop in rotation. In this way, understanding the N transformations in the soil by microorganisms and the fertilizer recovery in the system are very important. Firstly, the first two chapters are about a 3-year (2014-2017) field experiment conducted in southeastern Brazil, where forage grasses Guinea grass (*Megathyrsus maximus* cv. Tanzânia), palisade grass (*Urochloa brizantha* cv. Marandu), and ruzigrass (*Urochloa ruziziensis* cv. Comum) were cultivated in rotation with maize for grain in summer, to analyze the influence of forage grass and N fertilization in each study. In first chapter, maize was fertilized with 140 kg ha^{-1} N as $(^{15}\text{NH}_4)_2\text{SO}_4$ or not fertilized, and recovery of residual ^{15}N was quantified in the second season. In second chapter, the change was that the N source used was ammonium sulphate not labeled, and were analyzed nitrous oxide (N_2O), methane (CH_4), and NH_3 emissions from the system. In the third and fourth chapter, maize was intercropped with the same grasses previously mentioned. The N rates were 90, 180 and 270 kg ha^{-1} N and treatments without N fertilization. The objective was also to ascertain the effect of grasses and N fertilization from the analyzes carried out. The third chapter characterized the changes in N-cycle genes in the soil and measured the N_2O emissions. The fourth chapter assessed maize grain yield and forage production, bromatological quality, and estimated meat production. In the first season after ^{15}N application, 21%, 65%, and 33% of the N in maize grain, stover, and shoots, respectively, was derived from fertilizer. In the next season, of the total N found in maize grain, stover, and shoots, 2.2%, 1.9%, and 2.0%, respectively, was derived from the residual fertilizer applied in the previous year. There were no differences between forage grass species in the amount of ^{15}N recovered by maize, soil, and total N. In the first season of maize in rotation with forage grasses, Guinea grass, palisade grass, ruzigrass did not affect N_2O and NH_3 emission due to their apparent inability to suppress soil nitrification. However, N fertilization slightly increases cumulative N_2O emission. In maize intercropping with grasses, N fertilization increases the abundance of AOB (*amoA* of bacteria) more than AOA (*amoA* of archaea). N_2O emission was influenced by AOB, water-filled pore space (WFPS) and N fertilization. Nitrogen fertilization positively affects forage growth and nutritional quality, resulting in a higher maize grain yield, higher forage production and quality, and eventually higher estimated meat production. Moreover, Guinea grass resulted in the highest estimated meat production when fertilized with 270 kg ha^{-1} N. However, no evidence of biological inhibition by the grasses were confirmed.

Keywords: *Zea mays* L. *Urochloa*. *Megathyrsus*. N_2O . AOB. AOA. Estimated meat production.

RESUMO

Devido ao interesse na eficiência no uso do N (NUE) e em sistemas agrícolas sustentáveis, a adoção de sistemas integrados, como o consórcio de milho com gramíneas forrageiras, pode ser de grande relevância, permitindo o uso da terra ao longo do ano, além de evitar perdas de N por lixiviação de nitrato (NO_3^-), emissões de óxido nitroso (N_2O), volatilização de amônia (NH_3) e imobilização. Gramíneas forrageiras tropicais do gênero *Megathyrsus* e *Urochloa* podem suprimir a nitrificação do solo ao liberar substâncias inibidoras, reduzindo as perdas de N e aumentando a recuperação de N fertilizante da cultura comercial em sucessão. Desta forma, o entendimento das transformações do N no solo por microrganismos e a recuperação do fertilizante no sistema são muito importantes. Em primeiro lugar, os dois primeiros capítulos são a respeito de um experimento de campo de 3 anos (2014-2017) conduzido no sudeste do Brasil, onde gramíneas forrageiras capim colômbio (*Megathyrsus maximus* cv. Tanzânia), capim braquiária (*Urochloa brizantha* cv. Marandu) e capim braquiária (*Urochloa ruziziensis* cv. Comum) foram cultivadas em rotação com milho para grão no verão, para analisar a influência da gramínea forrageira e da fertilização com N em cada estudo. No primeiro capítulo, o milho foi fertilizado com 140 kg ha^{-1} de N na forma de $(^{15}\text{NH}_4)_2\text{SO}_4$ ou não fertilizado, e a recuperação do ^{15}N residual foi quantificada na segunda safra. No segundo capítulo, a mudança foi que a fonte de N utilizada foi o sulfato de amônio não rotulado, e foram analisadas as emissões de óxido nitroso (N_2O), metano (CH_4) e NH_3 do sistema. No terceiro e quarto capítulos, o milho foi consorciado com as mesmas gramíneas mencionadas anteriormente. As doses de N foram 90, 180 e 270 kg ha^{-1} e os tratamentos sem adubação nitrogenada. O objetivo também foi verificar o efeito das gramíneas e da fertilização com N a partir das análises realizadas. O terceiro capítulo caracterizou as mudanças nos genes do ciclo N no solo e mediu as emissões de N_2O . O quarto capítulo avaliou o rendimento de grãos de milho e a produção de forragem, a qualidade bromatológica e a estimativa da produção de carne. Na primeira safra após a aplicação de ^{15}N , 21%, 65% e 33% do N no grão de milho, palha e brotos, respectivamente, foi derivado de fertilizante. Na safra seguinte, do total de N encontrado nos grãos, caules e ramos de milho, 2,2%, 1,9% e 2,0%, respectivamente, foram derivados do fertilizante residual aplicado no ano anterior. Não houve diferenças entre as espécies de gramíneas forrageiras na quantidade de ^{15}N recuperado pelo milho, solo e N. total. Na primeira temporada de milho em rotação com gramíneas forrageiras, capim-Guiné, capim-paliçada, ruzigrass não afetou a emissão de N_2O e NH_3 devido à sua aparente incapacidade de suprimir a nitrificação do solo. No entanto, a fertilização com N aumenta ligeiramente a emissão cumulativa de N_2O . No consórcio de milho com gramíneas, a fertilização com N aumenta a abundância de AOB (*amoA* de bactérias) mais do que AOA (*amoA* de arqueias). A emissão de N_2O foi influenciada por AOB, espaço poroso cheio de água (WFPS) e fertilização de N. A fertilização nitrogenada afeta positivamente o crescimento das forragens e a qualidade nutricional, resultando em maior rendimento de grãos de milho, maior produção e qualidade das forragens, e eventualmente maior produção de carne estimada. Além disso, o capim colômbio resultou na maior produção de carne estimada quando fertilizado com 270 kg ha^{-1} N. No entanto, não foram confirmadas provas de inibição biológica por parte das gramíneas.

Palavras-chave: *Zea mays* L. *Urochloa*. *Megathyrsus*. N_2O . AOB. AOA. Produção estimada de carne.

FIGURE LIST

Figure 1 - Monthly precipitation, and average minimum and maximum air temperatures in the first (2015–2016) and second (2016–2017) growing seasons, and long-term average (period 1955-2015).....	32
Figure 2 -Schematic representation of the whole plot and its microplot (deployed exclusively in N-fertilized treatments), in addition to the sampling procedures of plant, litter, and soil.....	36
Figure 3 - Maize (partitioned into grains and stover) and litter N derived from fertilizer as affected by forage grass in the first (2015–2016) and second (2016-2017) growing season after application of ^{15}N -labeled fertilizer.....	47
Figure 4 - Soil N derived from fertilizer at four depth layers as affected by forage grass in the first (2015–2016) and second (2016–2017) growing season after application of ^{15}N -labeled fertilizer.....	48
Figure 5 -The fate of ^{15}N -labeled fertilizer in plant–litter–soil system as affected by forage grass in the first (2015–2016) and second (2016–2017) growing season after application of ^{15}N -labeled fertilizer.....	49
Figure 6 - Daily minimum and maximum air temperatures and rainfall recorded during the field experiment period (2014-2017).....	69
Figure 7 - Seasonal variation of water-filled pore space (a) and soil temperature (b) at depth of 5.5 cm in forage grass-maize rotations from 2015 to 2017 as affected by forage grass specie and N fertilization.....	70
Figure 8 - Seasonal variation of $\text{NH}_4^+\text{-N}$ (a) and $\text{NO}_3^-\text{-N}$ content in the soil (b) at depth of 0-10 cm in forage grass-maize rotations from 2014 to 2017 as affected by forage grass specie and N fertilization.....	71
Figure 9 - Seasonal variation of N_2O (a) and CH_4 flux (b), in addition to NH_3 loss rate (c) in forage grass-maize rotations from 2014 to 2017 as affected by forage grass specie and N fertilization.....	73
Supplementary Figure 1 - Cumulative emissions (or uptake) of N_2O (a), CH_4 (b), and NH_3 (c) in forage grass-maize rotations as affected by forage grass specie and N fertilization over the experimental period.....	76
Supplementary Figure 2 - Cumulative crop biomass (forage plus maize) production (a) and yield-scaled emission (or uptake) of N_2O (b), CH_4 (c), and NH_3 (d) in	

forage grass-maize rotations as affected by forage grass specie and N fertilization over the experimental period.....	79
Figure 10 - Heatmap showing Spearman rank-order correlation coefficients (<i>r</i>) between greenhouse gas flux and soil properties (<i>n</i> = 237) throughout the experimental period (2015-2017).....	80
Figure 11 - Crop succession occurred in the experimental site from 2014 to 2018....	96
Figure 12- Daily minimum, maximum and average air temperatures and rainfall recorded during the growing season period (Dec.2017-May 2018).....	103
Figure 13 -Contents of NO ₃ ⁻ -N (a), NH ₄ ⁺ -N (b), and total N (c) at the 0-20 cm soil layer as affected by forage grass specie, N fertilization, and time after planting.....	105
Figure 14 - Abundance of 16S rRNA of bacteria (a) and archaea (b and c), <i>nifH</i> (d), <i>amoA</i> of bacteria (e), <i>amoA</i> of archaea (f), <i>nirS</i> (g) and <i>nosZ</i> (h) at the 0-20 cm soil layer as affected by forage grass species, N fertilization and days after planting.....	107
Figure 15 - Cumulative N ₂ O emission in forage grass-maize intercropping as affected by forage specie and N fertilization.....	109
Supplementary Figure 3 - Variation of water-filled pore space (a) and soil temperature at depth of 5.5 cm (b) as well as N ₂ O flux (c) in forage grass-maize intercropping as affected by forage grass specie and N fertilization.....	110
Figure 16 - Heatmap of the Spearman rank correlation order among the relative abundance of N-cycle functional genes, soil properties, and N ₂ O flux. *, **, and *** significant at <i>P</i> ≤0.05, <i>P</i> ≤0.01, and <i>P</i> ≤0.001, respectively.....	111
Figure 17 - Principal component analysis of the soil microbial genes (a) and chemical properties (b) with N ₂ O fluxes, water-filled pore space (WFPS) and temperature (T°C) from soil of 72 sampling points across three times.....	113
Figure 18 - Monthly minimum, maximum and average air temperatures and rainfall recorded during the growing season period (Dec.2017-Nov.2018).....	135
Figure 19 - Forage dry matter yield (a) and maize grain yield (b) at maize harvest. The error bars represent the SEM (<i>n</i> = 8 and 4 for panels a and b, respectively).....	138

Figure 20 - Light interception in Guinea grass (a) and palisade grass (b) from 14 days after maize harvest until the forage grass reach 95% of LI; SPAD index in forage grass cut (c).....	141
Figure 21 - Estimated meat production by the LRNS Cornell model.....	143

TABLE LIST

Table 1 - Net nitrification rate from 10–d incubation of soil samples taken at the 0–20 cm depth layer before maize planting in the first (2015–2016) and second (2016–2017) growing season, in which the latter was also affected by fertilizer N rate.....	39
Table 2 - Dry matter yield and N accumulation of forage grass species grown before maize in the first (2015–2016) and second (2016–2017) growing season, in wich the later was also affected by fertilizer N rate.....	40
Table 3 -Maize (partitioned into grains and stover) and litter dry matter yield and harvest index (HI) as affected by forage grass and fertilizer N rate in the first (2015-2016) and second (2016-2017) growing season.....	42
Table 4 – Maize (partitioned into grains and stover) and litter N accumulation and N harvest index (NHI) as affected by forage grass and fertilizer N rate in the first (2015-2016) and second (2016-2017) growing season.....	44
Table 5 - Seasonal N ₂ O and CH ₄ , and NH ₃ emissions (or uptake) in forage grass-maize rotations from 2014 to 2017 as affected by forage grass specie and N fertilization.....	74
Table 6 - Seasonal crop biomass and yield-scaled (YS) emission (or uptake) of N ₂ O, CH ₄ , and NH ₃ in forage grass-maize rotations from 2014 to 2017 as affected by forage grass specie and N fertilization	77
Table 7 - Seasonal N ₂ O emission factor in forage grass-maize rotations as influenced by forage grasses.....	80
Table 8 - Description of primers, standards DNA and amplification conditions used in qPCR analysis.....	98
Supplementary Table 1 - Soil properties as affected by N fertilization and days after planting (DAP).....	104
Supplementary Table 2 - Probability (P) values for microbial genes in the soil as affected by forage grass species, N fertilization and days after planting (DAP).....	108
Supplementary Table 3 - Scores of principal components analysis relative to temperature (T°C), water-filled pore space (WFPS), N ₂ O flux, and abundance of microbial genes as presented in Fig. 17a.....	114

Supplementary Table 4 - Scores of principal components analysis of the soil chemical characteristics, water-filled pore space (WFPS), temperature (T°C), and N ₂ O flux presented in Fig. 17b.....	115
Table 9 - Bromatological quality of forage grass at maize harvest. The error bars represent the SEM (n = 16, 8 and 4 for forage grasses, N rate and forage grass x N rate, respectively).....	139
Table 10 - Dry matter yield and bromatological quality of forage grass at cutting in Nov. 2018.....	142

SUMMARY

GENERAL INTRODUCTION.....	25
CHAPTER 1: FATE OF ¹⁵N FERTILIZER APPLIED TO MAIZE IN ROTATION WITH TROPICAL FORAGE GRASSES.....	29
1.1 Introduction.....	30
1.2 Material and methods.....	31
1.2.1 Study site.....	31
1.2.2 Study design.....	33
1.2.3 Crop management.....	33
1.2.4 Soil nitrification assessed by laboratory incubation.....	34
1.2.5 ¹⁵ N microplots.....	34
1.2.6 Sampling procedure and ¹⁵ N analyses	36
1.2.7 Calculations and statistical analysis.....	37
1.3 Results.....	38
1.3.1 Net nitrification rates.....	38
1.3.2 Forage yield and N accumulation.....	39
1.3.3 Maize yield and N accumulation.....	40
1.3.4 Distribution and fate of ¹⁵ N–labeled fertilizer applied to maize.....	46
1.4 Discussion.....	49
1.4.1 Dry matter yield and n accumulation.....	49
1.4.2 Distribution of ¹⁵ N–Labeled fertilizer.....	51
1.4.3 Fate of ¹⁵ N–labeled fertilizer.....	52
1.5 Conclusion.....	55

References.....	56
-----------------	----

CHAPTER 2: EFFECT OF TROPICAL GRASS NITROGEN FERTILIZATION ON NITROUS OXIDE, METHANE, AND AMMONIA EMISSIONS OF MAIZE-BASED-ROTATION SYSTEMS.....61

2.1 Introduction.....	62
2.2 Material and methods.....	64
2.2.1. Study site and experimental setup.....	64
2.2.2 Gas sampling procedure and auxiliary measurement.....	65
2.2.3 Ammonia measurements.....	66
2.2.4. Calculations and statistical analysis.....	67
2.3 Results.....	68
2.3.1 Environmental conditions and soil properties.....	68
2.3.2 Nitrous oxide, methane, and ammonia emissions.....	71
2.3.3 Crop biomass, yield-scaled emissions, and N ₂ O emission factor.....	77
2.3.4 Relationships between greenhouse gas flux and soil properties.....	80
2.4 Discussion.....	81
2.4.1 Greenhouse gas flux and rate of ammonia volatilization.....	81
2.4.2 Cumulative emissions of greenhouse gases and ammonia.....	82
2.4.3 N ₂ O emission factor.....	84
2.5 Conclusion.....	84
References.....	85

CHAPTER 3: FUNCTIONAL N-CYCLE GENES IN SOIL AND N₂O EMISSIONS IN A MAIZE/TROPICAL FORAGE GRASSES INTERCROPPING SYSTEM.....91

3.1	Introduction.....	92
3.2	Material and methods.....	94
3.2.1	Site description and experimental design.....	94
3.2.2	Soil sampling.....	95
3.2.3	Soil chemical analysis.....	96
3.2.4	Isolation of dna and quantitative real-time PCR.....	96
3.2.5	Gas sampling, temperature and water-filled pore space procedure.....	101
3.2.6	Statistical analysis.....	102
3.3.	Results.....	102
3.3.1	Environmental conditions and soil characteristics.....	102
3.3.2	N-cycle functional genes.....	106
3.3.3	Nitrous oxide emissions.....	108
3.3.4	Correlations between the abundance of N cycle genes, soil properties and N ₂ O flux.....	111
3.3.5	Principal component analysis.....	112
3.4	Discussion.....	115
3.4.1	Linking N-cycle genes, forage grass and N fertilization.....	115
3.4.2	N-cycle gene abundance and soil chemical characteristics.....	118
3.4.3	Relationships of N ₂ O emissions with N-cycle genes, N fertilization and soil properties.....	119
3.5....	Conclusions.....	120
	References.....	122

CHAPTER 4: BROMATOLOGICAL QUALITY AND ESTIMATED MEAT PRODUCTION IN MAIZE INTERCROPPING WITH TROPICAL FORAGE GRASSES WITH NITROGEN FERTILIZATION.....131

4.1	Introduction.....	132
4.2	Materials and methods.....	133
4.2.1	Study site.....	133
4.2.2	Study design.....	134
4.2.3	Crop management.....	134
4.2.4	Forage dry matter and maize grain yield.....	135
4.2.5	Bromatological analysis of forage grass.....	135
4.2.6	Light interception, SPAD index and height of grasses.....	136
4.2.7	Estimated meat production.....	136
4.2.8	Statistical analysis.....	137
4.3	Results.....	137
4.3.1	Forage dry matter and bromatological quality, and grain yield at maize harvest.....	137
4.3.2	Forage light interception and SPAD index in grass cut.....	140
4.3.3	Forage dry matter yield and bromatological quality at the final.....	140
4.3.4	Estimated meat production.....	143
4.4	Discussion.....	143
4.4.1	Forage yield and bromatological quality yield at maize harvest.....	143
4.4.2	Light interception, height and SPAD index after maize-harvest.....	145
4.4.3	Dry matter, bromatological quality and estimated meat production.....	146
4.5	Conclusions.....	147

References.....	148
FINAL CONSIDERATIONS.....	155
REFERENCES.....	157

GENERAL INTRODUCTION

Maize (*Zea Mays* L.) is among the most important food sources in the world, playing a key role (Ileri et al., 2018) in ensuring food security. It has been used for animal feed, human nutrition and, more recently, for bioethanol production (Ranum et al., 2014). As a C₄ plant, maize is capable of achieving high dry matter yields accumulating large amounts of nutrients (Uzun et al., 2020). Usually, nitrogen (N) is the nutrient most required by maize (Teixeira et al., 2014; Wang et al., 2017). Nitrogen deficiency can limit crop yields, since this element is a constituent of important molecules such as amino acids, proteins, nucleic acids, nitrogenous bases, and chlorophyll (Moreira and Siqueira, 2002). One of the most studied topics regarding N in agricultural systems refers to strategies to improve N use efficiency (NUE) by crops, which can be achieved through conservation practices, like systems with different species intercropped (Adewopo et al., 2014; Rosolem et al., 2017).

The adoption of integrated systems, such as the intercropping of maize with forage grasses has been of great relevance for tropical agriculture, allowing the use of land throughout the year (Crusciol et al., 2009; Kichel et al., 2009). However, increasing the NUE through conservation systems is paramount, as it is necessary to ensure adequate soil N availability for plants and to reduce losses in the agricultural systems (Rosolem et al., 2017). The volatilization of NH₃ from fertilizer sources is an important issue when urea is applied on the soil surface in no-till systems (Mariano et al., 2012), due to the higher activity of the urease enzyme when compared to conventional tillage (Silva et al., 2017). However, in Brazil, with the N rates currently used and split applications, the risk of NO₃⁻ reaching the groundwater is relatively low (Villalba et al., 2014). In addition, since N fertilizer is critical to sustain or increase the crop yield, the application of high N rates can lead to subsequent high N₂O emissions in N-fertilized soils compared with those unfertilized (McSwiney and Robertson, 2005; Martins et al., 2015). No-till systems also largely affect the organic matter in the soil (Sá et al., 2015., Souza et al., 2016), and during the anaerobic decomposition of organic matter, CH₄ production can occur (Dutaur and Verchot, 2007). Therefore, crop management strategies to decrease leaching losses and N₂O emissions, as well as to increase NUE are fundamental for achieving adequate sustainability levels in agricultural systems (Rosolem, et al., 2017).

Despite the several benefits from the no-till systems in relation to conventional cropping systems, such as improvements in the chemical, physical and biological properties of the soil, and reduction of CO₂ emissions (Lal et al., 2007), maize-grass intercropped systems can result in competition between species for N, which can compromise crop yields (Borghi et al. 2014). The rotation and intercropping of maize with tropical grasses of the genus *Urochloa* (syn. *Brachiaria*) and *Megathyrsus* (syn. *Panicum*) is very common for integrated crop-livestock systems in tropical Brazil (Salton et al., 2014). In addition, it has been suggested that forage species of the genus *Urochloa* and *Megathyrsus* can affect microbiological processes within the N cycle, as well as N availability and losses (Subbarao et al., 2012). Subbarao et al. (2012) reported that biological nitrification inhibition (BNI) capacity was much higher in *U. humidicola* than in *U. decumbens*, *M. maximus*, *Lolium perenne*, *U. brizantha*, cereal and vegetable crops studied in a sand-vermiculite culture for 60 days. Thus, using ¹⁵N to study fertilizer N recovery by cash crops intercropped with forage species is fundamental (Rocha et al., 2019) to assess whether the fate of fertilizer N in the soil-plant system is affected by BNI, when this process is active.

The N transformations in the soil by microorganisms can occur in several ways (Zhang et al., 2006), and the understanding of these processes is essential in the search for efficient and sustainable agricultural systems. In the atmosphere, N is found as a diatomic molecule (N₂), which can be fixed by a specialized microbiota, by a process known as biological N fixation (BNF; Cardoso, 1992). In the soil, this element can be found in organic and mineral forms (Cantarella, 2007). The soil N dynamics is complex, mostly driven by soil microorganisms as follows: i) BNF: the enzyme nitrogenase, which is encoded by the *nifH* gene, breaks the N₂ triple bond to reduce N₂ to ammonia (NH₃; Zhang et al., 2006); ii) nitrification: NH₄⁺ is converted to NO₃⁻ via the action of ammonia monooxygenase (*amoA*). This process comprises two phases: oxidation of NH₄⁺ to nitrite (NO₂⁻) and oxidation of NO₂⁻ to NO₃⁻; iii) denitrification: copper nitrite reductase (*nirK*), iron nitrite reductase (*nirS*) and nitric oxide reductase (*norB*; Levy-Booth et al., 2014) oxidize NO₃⁻ successively to NO₂⁻, NO and finally N₂O; iv) the only route for converting N₂O to N₂ (Sun et al., 2019) is nitrous oxide reductase (*nosZ*; Henry et al., 2006).

Considering all these aspects, the following hypotheses can be formulated:

1. Forage species with high BNI capacity would increase maize grain yield and ^{15}N recovery in the soil-plant system by suppressing soil nitrification and therefore decreasing fertilizer N losses;
2. Tropical forage grasses would affect N cycle-associated genes and mitigate N_2O emissions in N-fertilized maize;
3. Nitrogen fertilization would increase maize yield, as well as dry matter yield and bromatological quality of forage grasses.

Based on these hypotheses, the objectives of this study were: i) to estimate N_2O , CH_4 , and NH_3 emissions in maize-based rotation systems as affected by tropical forage grasses; ii) assess whether rotation with tropical forage grasses influences maize dry matter yield, N accumulation, ^{15}N recovery, and the fate of the N fertilizer in the plant-litter-soil system over two growing seasons; iii) to characterize changes in total bacterial and archaeal abundances and in microbial populations involved in N-fixation (*nifH*), ammonia oxidation (AOA and AOB), and denitrification (*nirS* and *nosZ*) and to measure N_2O emissions in the maize intercropped with forage grasses; and iv) to assess maize grain yield and forage production, bromatological quality and estimated meat production in maize-forage grass intercropped systems.

The first chapter of this thesis, entitled: “Fate of ^{15}N fertilizer applied to maize in rotation with tropical forage grasses” was published in *Field Crops Research*. Chapter 2, entitled: “Effect of tropical grass and nitrogen fertilization on nitrous oxide, methane, and ammonia emissions of maize-based rotation systems” was published in *Atmospheric Environment*; and the third chapter, entitled: “Functional N-cycle genes in soil and N_2O emissions in a maize/tropical forage grasses intercropping system” was recently submitted to *Science of the Total Environment*. Lastly, chapter 4, entitled “Bromatological quality and estimated meat production in maize intercropping with tropical forage grasses with N fertilization” will be submitted in due course to *Grass and Forage Science*.

FINAL CONSIDERATIONS

This study brings the importance of understanding some mechanisms that govern the integrated production systems such as intercropping and crop rotation in conservationist systems, through the choice of N fertilizer rates and forage grass species. These systems prove to be sustainable, since they allow the use of land throughout the year in addition to the recovery of degraded pastures. In addition, the discussions of the 21st century that address climate change across the planet (Oertel et al., 2016) show us the essentiality of studying systems that can result in more sustainable environments, aiming the reducing hunger in the world, by increasing production without increasing the area cultivated. In this way, the NUE, as well as the reduction of losses of this nutrient in the soil and in the atmosphere, become fundamental in the current agricultural systems, since N is one of the most limiting nutrients for plants (Teixeira et al., 2014; Wang et al., 2017). According to the results obtained, it was possible to understand the interactions between agricultural management and plant-soil-microorganism relationships can affect the N cycle (Bowles et al., 2013) and plant yield. Despite reports suggest that BNI by *Urochloa* spp. and *Megathyrsus* spp. decrease N loss in the system, it was not evident in N-rich environments. Regarding to maize rotation with forage grasses, there was no difference among the forage grass species in the distribution and fate of ^{15}N in the plant–litter–soil system and, consequently, in unrecovered–N (i.e., potential losses). The amount of residual labeled N taken up by maize in the second growing season was very low. Guinea grass, palisade grass and ruzigrass did not affect N_2O and NH_3 emission due to their apparent inability to suppress soil nitrification. However, N fertilization slightly increased cumulative N_2O emission in the second maize season and decreased soil CH_4 uptake in the fertilized palisade grass and ruzigrass relative to unfertilized palisade grass in the second forage season.

Regarding the results of maize intercropped with forage grasses, cumulative N_2O emissions were higher in the N-fertilized treatments than those without N addition. Moreover, a close relationship was observed among soil characteristics ($\text{NH}_4^+\text{-N}$, $\text{NO}_3^-\text{-N}$, and WFPS), gene abundance (AOB) and N_2O emissions. No relationship was found between denitrifying genes and N_2O emissions. This suggests that although N modifies the soil microbiome, in addition to the abundance of AOB being highly linked to the increase in N_2O emissions in the intercropped system, the increase in N- N_2O

emissions to the system is small in relation to productivity gains of the system, suggesting a decreasing the specific emission, that is, the emission per unit of product.

REFERENCES

- ADEWOPO, J.B. et al. Top ranked priority research questions for soil science in the 21st century. **Soil Science Society of America Journal**, v. 78, p. 337–347, 2014.
- BORGHI, E. et al. Nitrogen fertilization ($^{15}\text{NH}_4\text{NO}_3$) of palisadegrass and residual effect on subsequent no-tillage corn. **Revista Brasileira de Ciência do Solo**, v. 38, p. 1457–1468, 2014.
- BOWLES, T.M. et al. Addressing agricultural nitrogen losses in a changing climate. **Nature Sustainability**, v. 1, p. 399-408, 2018.
- CANTARELLA, H. Nitrogênio. In: Novais, R.F., Alvares, V.H., Barros, N.F., Fontes, R.L.F., Cantarutti, R.B., Neves, J.C.L. **Fertilidade do solo**. Viçosa: Sociedade Brasileira de Ciência do Solo, p. 375-470, 2007.
- CARDOSO, E.J.B.N., TSAI, S.M., NEVES, M.C.P. **Microbiologia do Solo**. Sociedade Brasileira de Ciência do Solo (Ed.), p. 360, 1992.
- CRUSCIOL, C.A.C. et al. Integração lavoura-pecuária: Benefício das gramíneas perenes nos sistemas de produção. **Informações Agronômicas**, Piracicaba, n. 125, p. 2-15, 2009.
- DUTTAUR, L.; VERCHOT, L.V. A global inventory of the soil CH_4 sink. **Global Biogeochemical Cycles**, v. 21, GB4013, 2007.
- HENRY, S. et al. Quantitative detection of the *nosZ* gene encoding nitrous oxide reductase, and comparison of the abundances of 16S rRNA, *narG*, *nirK*, and *nosZ* genes in soils. **Applied and Environmental Microbiology**, v. 72 (8), p. 5181-5189, 2006.
- ILERI, O. et al. Effect of sowing methods on silage yield and quality of some corn cultivars grown in second crop season under irrigated conditions of central Anatolia, Turkey. **Turkish Journal of Field Crops**, v. 23, p. 72-79, 2018.
- KICHEL, A.N.; COSTA, J.A.A.; ALMEIDA, R.G. Cultivo simultâneo de capins com milho safrinha: produção de grãos, de forragem e de palhada para plantio direto. Campo Grande: Embrapa Gado de Corte. (Embrapa Gado de Corte. **Comunicado técnico**. Documentos, 177), p. 24, 2009.
- LAL, R., REICOSKY, D.C., HANSON, J.D. Evolution of the plow power over 10,000 years and the rationale for no-till farming. **Soil & Tillage Research**, v. 93, p. 1–12, 2007.
- LEVY-BOTH, D.J.; PRESCOTT, C.E.; GRAYSTON, S.J. Microbial functional genes involved in nitrogen fixation, nitrification and denitrification in forest ecosystems. **Soil Biology and Biochemistry**, v. 75, p. 11-25, 2014.
- MARIANO, E. et al. Ammonia losses estimated by an open collector from urea applied to sugarcane straw. **Revista Brasileira de Ciência do Solo**, v. 36, p. 411-9, 2012.

MARTINS, M.R. et al. Nitrous oxide and ammonia emissions from N fertilization of maize crop under no-till in a Cerrado soil. **Soil and Tillage Research**, v. 151, p. 75-81, 2015.

MCSWINEY, C.P., ROBERTSON, G.P. Nonlinear response of N₂O flux to incremental fertilizer addition in a continuous maize (*Zea mays* L.) cropping system. **Global Change Biology**, v. 11, p. 1712-1719, 2005.

MOREIRA, F. M. S.; SIQUEIRA, J. O. **Microbiologia e bioquímica do solo**. Lavras: UFLA, p. 625, 2002.

OERTEL, C. et al. Greenhouse gas emissions from soil - a review. **Geochemistry**, v. 76, p. 327-352, 2016.

RANUM, P.; PEÑA-ROSAS, J.P.; GARCIA-CASAL, M.N. Global maize production, utilization, and consumption. **Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences**, v. 1312, p. 105-112, 2014.

ROCHA, K.F. et al. Fate of ¹⁵N fertilizer applied to maize in rotation with tropical forage grasses. **Field Crops Research**, v. 238, p. 35-44, 2019.

ROSOLEM, C.A. et al. Chapter Five – Enhanced plant rooting and crop system management for improved N use efficiency. **Advances in Agronomy**, v. 146, p. 205-239, 2017.

SÁ, J.C.M. et al. Carbon depletion by plowing and its restoration by no-till cropping systems in Oxisols of subtropical and tropical agro-ecoregions in Brazil. **Land Degradation and Development**, v. 26, p. 531-543, 2013.

SALTON, J.C. et al. Integrated crop-livestock system in tropical Brazil: toward a sustainable production system. **Agriculture, Ecosystems & Environment**, v. 190, p. 70-79, 2014.

SILVA, A.G.B. et al. Urease inhibitor NBPT on ammonia volatilization and crop productivity: A meta-analysis. **Agronomy Journal**, v. 109, p. 1-13, 2017.

SOUZA, G.P.; FIGUEIREDO, C.C.; SOUSA, D.M.G. Soil organic matter as affected by management systems, phosphate fertilization, and cover crops. **Pesquisa Agropecuária Brasileira**, v. 51, n. 9, p. 1668-1676, 2016.

SUBBARAO, G.V. et al. Biological nitrification inhibition-a novel strategy to regulate nitrification in agricultural systems. **Advances in Agronomy**, v. 114, p. 249-302, 2012.

SUN, R. et al. AOA and AOB communities respond differently to changes of soil pH under long-term fertilization. **Soil Ecology Letters**, v. 1, p. 126-135, 2019.

TEIXEIRA, E.I. et al. The impact of water and nitrogen limitation on maize biomass and resource-use efficiencies for radiation, water and nitrogen. **Field Crops Research**, v. 168, p. 109-118, 2014.

UZUN, S.; ÖZAKTAN, H.; UZUN, O. Effects of different nitrogen dose and sources as top-dressing on yield and silage quality attributes of silage maize. **Anais da Academia Brasileira de Ciências**, v. 92, suppl.1, ISSN 1678-2690, 2020.

VILLALBA, H.A.G. et al. Fertilizantes nitrogenados: novas tecnologias. **Informações Agronômicas**, v. 148, p. 12–20, 2014.

WANG, Y. et al. Effect of irrigation regimes and nitrogen rates on water use efficiency and nitrogen uptake in maize. **Agricultural Water Management.**, v. 179, p. 271-276, 2017.

ZHANG, Y. et al. Molecular diversity of nitrogen-fixing bacteria from the Tibetan Plateau, China. **FEMS Microbiology Letters**, v. 260, p. 134-142, 2006.