

Prevalence of positivity in patch tests and reactivity to substances present in the main dressings in patients with chronic leg ulcers[☆]



Dear Editor,

Chronic lower limb ulcers (CLLUs) are lesions that occur below the knee and last for more than six weeks. They are usually venous ulcers (60%–70%), arterial or mixed ulcers (10%–25%), and neuropathic ulcers, and affect 1%–7% of the population over 65 years of age, representing an important public health problem.¹

In CLLUs, allergic contact dermatitis (ACD) occurs in approximately 50% of patients, and can impair healing.² ACD occurs due to repeated contact with low molecular weight allergens, breakdown of the skin barrier, and particularly due to prolonged use of topical therapies for the treatment of CLLUs.³ Patch testing is indicated in patients with peri-ulcer eczema.

The most prevalent allergens vary according to the geographic region, popular practices, and genetic characteristics of each population. Since there are few studies on the subject and, to date, none in Brazil, this study aimed to identify the prevalence of positivity to patch tests and reactivity to substances present in the main dressings used in patients with CLLUs.

A cross-sectional study was conducted in patients with CLLUs treated at the chronic ulcers outpatient clinic of the Dermatology Service of Hospital das Clínicas, Medical School (FMB), São Paulo State University (Unesp), Botucatu Campus. The project was approved by the local Research Ethics Committee (CAAE 47938715.9.0000.5411) and the selected participants signed the free and informed consent form. The inclusion criterion comprised a diagnosis of CLLU, regardless of the etiology. The exclusion criteria comprised the use of systemic immunosuppressants in the last thirty days; the presence of dermatoses that prevented the performance of the patch test on the back region; and pregnancy.

The patch test was performed using a standardized battery for the Brazilian population (FDA Allergenic, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil), which consists of 30 substances fixed in Finn Chambers®. It was also performed with the twelve main dressings and topical products used for CLLUs and peri-ulcer skin: essential fatty acid oil, silver sulfadiazine, collagenase with chloramphenicol, mupirocin, hydrogels, hydrocolloids, elastic compression bandage, Unna's boot, hydrofiber with silver, silver activated charcoal, calcium alginate, and micropore. Readings were performed at 48 h and 72 h.

A total of 78 participants with CLLUs were included, with a predominance of females (47/78–60.9%) and a mean age (standard deviation) of 68.4 (12.5) years. The etiology of the ulcers was venous in 67/78 (85.9%), mixed (venous and arte-

rial) in 9/78 (11.5%), and arterial in one participant (1.3%). The median (p25-p75) duration of the ulcers was 24 (10–120) months. Peri-ulcer eczema was present in 56/78 participants (71.8%; Fig. 1). There was a personal history of peri-ulcer eczema in 27/78 (34.5%) participants; 17/78 (21.8%) had a history of eczema in other sites besides the peri-ulcer region and 11/78 (14.1%) had a history of atopy. Table 1 shows the main products and dressings that were reported as having been used on the ulcer and peri-ulcer region.

Regarding the contact test with the standard battery (Table 2), it was positive in 72 h in 31/78 (39.7%, 95% CI 30%–49%) participants, and the main allergens were: paraben mix (9/78–11.5%), nickel sulfate (5/78–6.4%) and lanolin (3/78–3.8%). Some substances that were positive are not relevant to patients with peri-ulcer eczema because they are not related to the dressings and products usually used by these patients.

In the tests with dressing substances (Table 3), 22/78 (28.2%; 95% CI 18%–37%) participants showed reactivity to these components within 72 h. The main allergens were: collagenase with chloramphenicol (13/78–16.7%), silver sulfadiazine (11/78–14.1%), and hydrogel (6/78–7.7%). Another relevant aspect was that 5/78 (6.4%) participants showed a reaction to micropore; however, with a positive reading only in the first 48 h, then negative in the 72 h reading, demonstrating to be an irritant reaction and not ACD.

When the results of the patch test were analyzed for the standard battery and for the dressings concomitantly, 39/78 (50%; 95% CI 39%–59%) of the participants reacted to some of the tested substances, and 14/78 (17.9%; 95% CI 10%–24%) participants reacted to both the standard battery and the dressings (Fig. 1A).

A high percentage of positive allergy patch tests was observed, in agreement with recent literature.³ However, the positivity was lower than that of older studies, which varied between 75% and 80%, leading to the hypothesis that substances known to be more allergenic have been avoided in the composition of dressings and products for treating ulcers.^{4,5}

The substances in the standard patch test that showed the most reactivity in the present study were paraben mix, nickel sulfate and lanolin. Other allergens that showed reactivity in a few participants in the present study, but were highly significant in other samples were neomycin, perfume mix, carba mix, benzocaine and hydroquinone.⁵

Paraben mix is a preservative used in the cosmetic, pharmaceutical and food industries. It is reported that patients with CLLUs may react to parabens used in cosmetics applied to the peri-ulcer skin but tolerate them on healthy skin.⁶ Regarding lanolin, which is used in dermocosmetics such as creams and shampoos, the present study showed positivity similar to that reported in other studies. Nickel sulfate has shown low positivity in other studies, but had high positivity in the present study (12.8%). In the general population with suspected ACD, the prevalence is approximately 36%.⁷

In tests with dressing substances, the present study identified 28.2% with at least one positive test, a result similar to that found in other series.^{3,5} This demonstrates the importance of performing patch tests with specific dressing substances, in addition to the standard battery.

[☆] Study conducted at the Department of Infectology, Dermatology, Imaging Diagnosis and Radiotherapy, Faculty of Medicine, Universidade Estadual Paulista, Botucatu, SP, Brazil.




Fig. 1 (A) Patient with venous ulcer and peri-ulcer eczema characterized by pruritus, erythema and desquamation. The patch test showed a positive reading at 72 hours, with relevance for lanolin, paraben mix, hydrogel, silver sulfadiazine, elastic bandage, Unna's boot, collagenase with chloramphenicol and hydrofiber with silver. (B) Patient with venous ulcer and peri-ulcer eczema. The patch test did not show a positive result with the standard battery; however, the patch test with dressings showed a positive test at 72 hours for hydrogel and collagenase with chloramphenicol.

The most reactive dressing substances in this sample were: collagenase with chloramphenicol, silver sulfadiazine and hydrogel. Collagenase contains a proteolytic enzyme capable of digesting collagen and is widely used for debridement of ulcers. However, it is difficult to differentiate whether the reactivity is due to clostridiopeptidase A or chloramphenicol.⁸ Hydrogels and hydrocolloids are mentioned as allergens, with positivity in other studies in up to 23% of patients tested for hydrogel and 52% of those tested for hydrocolloids.^{3,9} Sensitization to hydrogels seems to be related to propylene glycol, and sensitization to hydrocolloids may be due to carboxymethyl cellulose and colophonium derivatives.¹⁰

The limitations of the present study were due to the majority inclusion of venous ulcers, with little representation of other causes of CLLUs. The authors did not test all products and dressings available in the national market, but rather the main products used in the treatment of CLLUs, and collagenase was not tested by itself. Moreover, control tests were not performed with the dressings, and since they were of certain commercial brands, it was difficult to identify the actual allergen.

In conclusion, there was a high positivity in the patch tests in patients with CLLUs, with emphasis on paraben mix, nickel sulfate, lanolin, collagenase with chloramphenicol, silver sulfadiazine, and hydrogel. These results highlight the relevance of performing patch tests with a standard bat-

tery and with the dressings/products usually used in patients with peri-ulcer eczema.

Authors' contributions

Ísis Fiorello de Oliveira Mesquita: Design and planning of the study; collection, analysis and interpretation of data; drafting and editing of the manuscript; critical review of the literature; critical review of the manuscript; approval of the final version of the manuscript.

Larissa Pierri Carvalho Fonseca: Design and planning of the study; collection, analysis and interpretation of data; drafting and editing of the manuscript; critical review of the literature; critical review of the manuscript; approval of the final version of the manuscript.

Maria Rita Parise Fortes: Collection, analysis and interpretation of data; drafting and editing of the manuscript; critical review of the literature; critical review of the manuscript; approval of the final version of the manuscript.

Hélio Amante Miot: Analysis and interpretation of data; statistical analysis; drafting and editing of the manuscript; critical review of the literature; critical review of the manuscript; approval of the final version of the manuscript.

Luciana Patricia Fernandes Abbade: Design and planning of the study; analysis and interpretation of data; drafting and editing of the manuscript; critical review of the litera-

Table 1 Compression therapy, dressings and products that were reported as previously used by the 78 participants in the ulcer and peri-ulcer region.

Variable	Value
Compression therapy^a, n (%)	
Elastic bandage	65 (83.3)
Elastic stockings	44 (56.4)
Unna's boot	34 (43.6)
Did not use	06 (7.7)
Topical antibiotic^a, n (%)	
Did not use	35 (44.9)
Silver sulfadiazine	32 (41.0)
Neomycin/Nebacetin	22 (28.2)
Mupirocin	8 (10.3)
Fusidic acid	1 (1.3)
Gentamicin	5 (6.4)
Essential fatty acid, n (%)	47 (60.3)
Fibrolysin with chloramphenicol, n (%)	40 (51.3)
Collagenase with chloramphenicol, n (%)	54 (69.2)
Peri-ulcer topical corticosteroid^a, n (%)	
Did not use	39 (50.0)
Betamethasone	29 (37.2)
Dexamethasone	19 (24.4)
Betamethasone + Gentamicin	7 (9.0)
Occlusive dressings^a, n (%)	
Hydrogel	62 (79.5)
Silver activated charcoal	46 (59.0)
Hydrofiber with silver	40 (51.3.)
Hydrocolloid	35 (44.9)
Calcium alginate	25 (32.1)
Polyurethane film	4 (5.1)
Peri-ulcer skin moisturizer, n (%)	42 (53.8)

^a The same participant may have used more than one item.

Table 2 Positive patch test (+ to +++) with the standard battery after 72 hours and its relevance in relation to peri-ulcer eczema, in 78 participants.

Substance	Positive test at 72 h reading ^a , n (%)	Relevance in relation to peri-ulcer eczema
Paraben mix	9 (11.5)	Yes
Nickel sulfate	5 (6.4)	Yes
Lanoline	3 (3.8)	Yes
Balsam of Peru	2 (2.6)	No
Kathon CG	2 (2.6)	Yes
Thimerosal	2 (2.6)	Yes
Colophonium	2 (2.6)	Yes
Formaldehyde	2 (2.6)	No
Propylene glycol	1 (1.3)	Yes
Cobalt chloride	1 (1.3)	Yes
Thiuram mix	1 (1.3)	No
Ethylenediamine	1 (1.3)	No
Quinoline	1 (1.3)	No

^a The following substances from the standard battery did not show positivity in any patient within 72 hours: potassium dichromate, neomycin, perfume mix, quaternium-15, nitrofurazone, turpentine, carba mix, paraphenylenediamine, anthraquinone, PPD, hydroquinone, para-tertiary butylphenol, Irgasan, Mercapto mix, epoxy resin, benzocaine and promethazine.

Table 3 Positive patch tests at 72 hour readings (+ to +++) for products and dressings used in 78 participants.

Substance	Positive test at 72 h reading ^a , n (%)
Collagenase with chloramphenicol	13 (16.7)
Sulfadiazine with silver	11 (14.1)
Hydrogel	6 (7.7)
Unna's boot	4 (5.1)
Essential fatty acids	3 (3.8)
Elastic bandage	2 (2.6)
Hydrofiber with silver	2 (2.6)
Mupirocin	1 (1.3)
Silver activated charcoal	1 (1.3)

^a The following substances in the patch tests with dressings did not show positivity in any patient at 72 hours: hydrocolloid, calcium alginate and micropore.

ture; critical review of the manuscript; approval of the final version of the manuscript.

Financial support

None declared.

Conflicts of interest

None declared

References

1. Abbade LPF, Frade MAC, Pegas JRP, Dadalti-Granja P, Garcia LC, Bueno Filho R, et al. Consensus on the diagnosis and management of chronic leg ulcers - Brazilian Society of Dermatology. *An Bras Dermatol*. 2020;95:1–18.
2. Tavadia S, Bianchi J, Dawe RS, McEvoy M, Wiggins E, Hamill E, et al. Allergic contact dermatitis in venous leg ulcer patients. *Contact Dermatitis*. 2003;48:261–5.
3. Valois A, Waton J, Avenel-Audran M, Truchetet F, Collet E, Raison-Peyron N, et al. Contact sensitization to modern dressings: a multicentre study on 354 patients with chronic leg ulcers. *Contact Dermatitis*. 2015;72:90–6.
4. Machet L, Couhe C, Perrinaud A, Hoarau C, Lorette G, Vaillant L. A high prevalence of sensitization still persists in leg ulcer patients: a retrospective series of 106 patients tested between 2001 and 2002 and a meta-analysis of 1975–2003 data. *Br J Dermatol*. 2004;150:929–35.
5. Erfurt-Berge C, Geier J, Mahler V. The current spectrum of contact sensitization in patients with chronic leg ulcers or stasis dermatitis – new data from the Information Network of Departments of Dermatology (IVDK). *Contact Dermatitis*. 2017;77:151–8.
6. Mowad C. Allergic contact dermatitis caused by parabens: 2 case reports and a review. *Am J Contact Dermat*. 2000; 11:53–6.
7. Corrêa-Fissmer M, Dalazen CC, Ferreira BP, Iser BPM. Assessment of patch test results carried out during ten years in a city in southern Brazil. *An Bras Dermatol*. 2018;93:807–12.
8. Foti C, Conserva A, Casulli C, Scrimieri V, Pepe ML, Quaranta D. Contact dermatitis with clostridiopeptidase A contained in Norxol® ointment. *Contact Dermatitis*. 2007;56:361–2.

9. Motolese A, Capriata S, Simonelli M. Contact sensitivity to 'advanced' wound dressings in 116 patients with leg ulcers. *Contact Dermatitis*. 2009;60:107.
10. Pereira TM, Flour M, Goossens A. Allergic contact dermatitis from modified colophonium in wound dressings. *Contact Dermatitis*. 2007;56:5–9.

Ísis Fiorello de Oliveira Mesquita ^a,
 Larissa Pierri Carvalho Fonseca ^b,
 Maria Rita Parise Fortes ^c, Hélio Amante Miot ^c,
 Luciana Patricia Fernandes Abbade ^{c,*}

^a Check-up Sector, Hospital Sírio Libanês, São Paulo, SP, Brazil

^b Postgraduate Course in Cosmiatry and Laser, Instituto Lapidare, Balneário Camboriú, SC, Brazil

^c Department of Infectology, Dermatology, Imaging Diagnosis and Radiotherapy, Faculty of Medicine, Universidade Estadual Paulista, Botucatu, SP, Brazil

* Corresponding author.

E-mail: fernandes.abbade@unesp.br

(L. Patricia Fernandes Abbade).

Received 7 April 2024; accepted 24 May 2024

Available online 9 November 2024

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.abd.2024.05.003>

0365-0596/ © 2024 Sociedade Brasileira de Dermatologia.

Published by Elsevier España, S.L.U. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

Translation, cultural adaptation and validation of the Brazilian version of the Frontal Fibrosing Alopecia Quality of Life Index (FFA-QLI-BRA)[☆]

Dear Editor,

Described in 1994, frontal fibrosing alopecia (FFA) is a primary lymphocytic cicatricial alopecia primarily characterized by anterior hairline recession and bilateral eyebrow loss. FFA most frequently affects postmenopausal women (87%) and its incidence has been progressively increasing, making it currently the main cause of cicatricial alopecia treated by dermatologists.^{1,2}

Although it does not carry a risk of death or contagion, alopecias have a great impact on Quality of Life (QoL). This impact is evidenced by the higher prevalence of depressive symptoms and anxiety in patients with alopecia, especially among women.^{3,4}

Few studies have investigated the impact of QoL induced by FFA, but most patients recognize that their QoL is affected by it.⁵ Porriño-Bustamante et al. developed and validated a specific instrument to investigate the impact on QoL in women with FFA, the Frontal Fibrosing Alopecia Quality of Life Index (FFA-QLI).⁶ This self-administered instrument consists of 20 items with four alternatives, resulting in a score (one-dimensional) of the items ranging from 0 to 60 points.

A methodological study was carried out to adapt and validate the Brazilian version of the FFA-QLI. After authorization by the instrument authors, two dermatologists, fluent in English, translated it into Brazilian Portuguese, generating a consensus version, which was back-translated into English by a non-specialist and approved by the authors of the original



questionnaire. The Brazilian version was named FFA-QLI-BRA. To obtain the cultural adaptation, ten women with FFA evaluated the instrument and were asked about the clarity of the questions, the language used, and its applicability. The version adapted to Brazilian Portuguese is available at <https://doi.org/10.17632/75v2xxrb39.1>.

For content validation, seven dermatologists with experience in trichology evaluated and scored each item (0 to 10) for relevance, with zero corresponding to 'Not at all relevant' and ten to 'Very relevant'.

For the other validations, 99 women with FFA (diagnosed by a dermatologist) were investigated using an online questionnaire containing demographic data, FFA-QLI-BRA, and the Dermatology Quality of Life Index (DLQI-BRA), for concurrent validation. The study was conducted at the Dermatology Service of FMB-Unesp (Botucatu, SP, Brazil). A subgroup of eight participants completed the questionnaire again within a seven-day period, aiming to investigate its temporal stability. The instrument internal consistency was assessed using the McDonald- ω coefficient.

The demographic and QoL findings of the sampled patients are shown in Table 1. There was a predominance of women over 30 years of age, with higher levels of school-

Table 1 Demographic and quality of life data of 99 women with frontal fibrosing alopecia.

Variables		Values
Age (years)	Mean (SD)	54 (11)
Level of schooling ^a	Elementary education	7 (7%)
	High School	17 (17%)
	Higher Education	75 (76%)
Marital status ^a	Married	73 (74%)
	Divorced	20(20%)
	Single	6 (6%)
DLQI-BRA	Median (Q1–Q3)	3 (1–7)
FFA-QLI-BRA	Mean (SDP)	24 (12)

^a n (%), SD, Standard Deviation, Q1–Q3, First and Third Quartiles.

[☆] Study conducted at the Department of Infectology, Dermatology, Imaging Diagnosis and Radiotherapy, Faculty of Medicine, Universidade Estadual Paulista, Botucatu, SP, Brazil.